


# *Software Engineering*



## Chapter 8 Analysis Modeling

Moon kun Lee

Division of Electronics & Information Engineering  
Chonbuk National University


# Contents

- 8.1 Requirements Analysis
- 8.2 Analysis Modeling Approaches
- 8.3 Data Modeling Concepts
- 8.4 Object-Oriented Modeling
- 8.5 Scenario-Based Modeling
- 8.6 Flow-Oriented Modeling
- 8.7 Class-Based Modeling
- 8.8 Creating a Behavioral Model
- 8.9 Summary

# Requirements Analysis

- Requirements analysis
  - specifies software's operational characteristics
  - indicates software's interface with other system elements
  - establishes constraints that software must meet
- Requirements analysis allows the software engineer (called an *analyst* or *modeler* in this role) to:
  - elaborate on basic requirements established during earlier requirement engineering tasks
  - build models that depict user scenarios, functional activities, problem classes and their relationships, system and class behavior, and the flow of data as it is transformed.

# A Bridge



# Rules of Thumb

- The model should focus on requirements that are visible within the problem or business domain. The level of abstraction should be relatively high.
- Each element of the analysis model should add to an overall understanding of software requirements and provide insight into the information domain, function and behavior of the system.
- Delay consideration of infrastructure and other non-functional models until design.
- Minimize coupling throughout the system.
- Be certain that the analysis model provides value to all stakeholders.
- Keep the model as simple as it can be.

# Domain Analysis

Software domain analysis is the identification, analysis, and specification of common requirements from a specific application domain, typically for reuse on multiple projects within that application domain . . .

[Object-oriented domain analysis is] the identification, analysis, and specification of common, reusable capabilities within a specific application domain, in terms of common objects, classes, subassemblies, and frameworks . . .

*Donald Firesmith*

# Domain Analysis

- Define the domain to be investigated.
- Collect a representative sample of applications in the domain.
- Analyze each application in the sample.
- Develop an analysis model for the objects.

# Data Modeling

- examines data objects independently of processing
- focuses attention on the data domain
- creates a model at the customer's level of abstraction
- indicates how data objects relate to one another

# What is a Data Object?

*Object* —something that is described by a set of attributes (data items) and that will be manipulated within the software (system)

- each instance of an object (e.g., a book) can be identified uniquely (e.g., ISBN #)
- each plays a necessary role in the system i.e., the system could not function without access to instances of the object
- each is described by attributes that are themselves data items

# Typical Objects

- *external entities* (printer, user, sensor)
- *things* (e.g., reports, displays, signals)
- *occurrences or events* (e.g., interrupt, alarm)
- *roles* (e.g., manager, engineer, salesperson)
- *organizational units* (e.g., division, team)
- *places* (e.g., manufacturing floor)
- *structures* (e.g., employee record)

A data object contains a set of attributes that act as an aspect, quality, characteristic, or descriptor of the object


**object: automobile**  
**attributes:**  
**make**  
**model**  
**body type**  
**price**  
**options code**

*relationship* —indicates “connectedness”; a "fact" that must be "remembered" by the system and cannot or is not computed or derived mechanically

- several instances of a relationship can exist
- objects can be related in many different ways

# ERD Notation

One common form:




Another common form:



# Building an ERD

- Level 1—model all data objects (entities) and their “connections” to one another
- Level 2—model all entities and relationships, and the attributes that provide further depth
- Level 3—model all entities, relationships, attributes, and the cardinality and modality that provide further depth




# Object-Oriented Concepts

- Must be understood to apply class-based elements of the analysis model
- Key concepts:
  - Classes and objects
  - Attributes and operations
  - Encapsulation and instantiation
  - Inheritance


# Classes

- object-oriented thinking begins with the definition of a **class**, often defined as:
  - template
  - generalized description
  - “blueprint” ... describing a collection of similar items
- a **metaclass** (also called a **superclass**) establishes a hierarchy of classes
- once a class of items is defined, a specific instance of the class can be identified

# Building a Class




# What is a Class?




# Encapsulation/Hiding

The object encapsulates both data and the logical procedures required to manipulate the data




# Class Hierarchy



# Methods

An executable procedure that is encapsulated in a class and is designed to operate on one or more data attributes that are defined as part of the class.

A method is invoked via message passing.



# Scenario-Based Modeling

“[Use-cases] are simply an aid to defining what exists outside the system (actors) and what should be performed by the system (use-cases).” Ivar Jacobson

- (1) What should we write about?
- (2) How much should we write about it?
- (3) How detailed should we make our description?
- (4) How should we organize the description?


# Use-Cases

- a scenario that describes a “thread of usage” for a system
- *actors* represent roles people or devices play as the system functions
- *users* can play a number of different roles for a given scenario

# Developing a Use-Case


- What are the main tasks or functions that are performed by the actor?
- What system information will the actor acquire, produce or change?
- Will the actor have to inform the system about changes in the external environment?
- What information does the actor desire from the system?
- Does the actor wish to be informed about unexpected changes?

# Use-Case Diagram




# Activity Diagram

Supplements the use-case by providing a diagrammatic representation of procedural flow



# Swimlane Diagrams

Allows the modeler to represent the flow of activities described by the use-case and at the same time indicate which actor (if there are multiple actors involved in a specific use-case) or analysis class has responsibility for the action described by an activity rectangle




# Flow-Oriented Modeling

Represents how data objects are transformed as they move through the system


A [data flow diagram \(DFD\)](#) is the diagrammatic form that is used

Considered by many to be an ‘old school’ approach, flow-oriented modeling continues to provide a view of the system that is unique—it should be used to supplement other analysis model elements


Every computer-based system is an information transform ....




# Flow Modeling Notation



**external entity**



**process**



**data flow**



**data store**

## A producer or consumer of data

Examples: a person, a device, a sensor

Another example: computer-based system

*Data must always originate somewhere  
and must always be sent to something*



**A data transformer (changes input to output)**


Examples: compute taxes, determine area, format report, display graph

*Data must always be processed in some way to achieve system function*


# Data Flow



**Data flows through a system, beginning as input and being transformed into output.**




**Data is often stored for later use.**



# Data Flow Diagramming: Guidelines

- all icons must be labeled with meaningful names
- the DFD evolves through a number of levels of detail
- always begin with a context level diagram (also called level 0)
- always show external entities at level 0
- always label data flow arrows
- do not represent procedural logic


- review the data model to isolate data objects and use a grammatical parse to determine “operations”
- determine external entities (producers and consumers of data)
- create a level 0 DFD



# Constructing a DFD—II

- write a narrative describing the transform
- parse to determine next level transforms
- “balance” the flow to maintain data flow continuity
- develop a level 1 DFD
- use a 1:5 (approx.) expansion ratio


# The Data Flow Hierarchy




# Flow Modeling Notes

- each bubble is refined until it does just one thing
- the expansion ratio decreases as the number of levels increase
- most systems require between 3 and 7 levels for an adequate flow model
- a single data flow item (arrow) may be expanded as levels increase (data dictionary provides information)

# Process Specification (PSPEC)



# DFDs: A Look Ahead




# Control Flow Diagrams

- Represents “events” and the processes that manage events
- An “event” is a Boolean condition that can be ascertained by:
  - listing all sensors that are "read" by the software.
  - listing all interrupt conditions.
  - listing all "switches" that are actuated by an operator.
  - listing all data conditions.
  - recalling the noun/verb parse that was applied to the processing narrative, review all "control items" as possible CSPEC inputs/outputs.

# The Control Model

- ❑ the control flow diagram is "superimposed" on the DFD and shows events that control the processes noted in the DFD
- ❑ control flows—events and control items—are noted by dashed arrows
- ❑ a vertical bar implies an input to or output from a control spec (CSPEC) — a separate specification that describes how control is handled
- ❑ a dashed arrow entering a vertical bar is an input to the CSPEC
- ❑ a dashed arrow leaving a process implies a data condition
- ❑ a dashed arrow entering a process implies a control input read directly by the process
- ❑ control flows do not physically activate/deactivate the processes—this is done via the CSPEC

# Control Flow Diagram



# Control Specification (CSPEC)

*The CSPEC can be:*

- state diagram  
(sequential spec)
  - state transition table
  - decision tables
  - activation tables
- 
- combinatorial spec

# Guidelines for Building a CSPEC

- list all sensors that are "read" by the software
- list all interrupt conditions
- list all "switches" that are actuated by the operator
- list all data conditions
- recalling the noun-verb parse that was applied to the software statement of scope, review all "control items" as possible CSPEC inputs/outputs
- describe the behavior of a system by identifying its states; identify how each state is reached and defines the transitions between states
- focus on possible omissions ... a very common error in specifying control, e.g., ask: "Is there any other way I can get to this state or exit from it?"

# Class-Based Modeling

- Identify analysis classes by examining the problem statement
- Use a “grammatical parse” to isolate potential classes
- Identify the attributes of each class
- Identify operations that manipulate the attributes


# Analysis Classes

- *External entities* (e.g., other systems, devices, people) that produce or consume information to be used by a computer-based system.
- *Things* (e.g., reports, displays, letters, signals) that are part of the information domain for the problem.
- *Occurrences or events* (e.g., a property transfer or the completion of a series of robot movements) that occur within the context of system operation.
- *Roles* (e.g., manager, engineer, salesperson) played by people who interact with the system.
- *Organizational units* (e.g., division, group, team) that are relevant to an application.
- *Places* (e.g., manufacturing floor or loading dock) that establish the context of the problem and the overall function of the system.
- *Structures* (e.g., sensors, four-wheeled vehicles, or computers) that define a class of objects or related classes of objects.


# Selecting Classes—Criteria

-  retained information
-  needed services
-  multiple attributes
-  common attributes
-  common operations
-  essential requirements

# Class Diagram



# Class Diagram



# CRC Modeling

- Class-Responsibility-Collaboration
- Analysis classes have “responsibilities”
  - *Responsibilities* are the attributes and operations encapsulated by the class
- Analysis classes collaborate with one another
  - *Collaborators* are those classes that are required to provide a class with the information needed to complete a responsibility.
  - In general, a collaboration implies either a request for information or a request for some action.

# CRC Modeling

# Class Types

- *Entity classes*, also called *model* or *business* classes, are extracted directly from the statement of the problem (e.g., FloorPlan and Sensor).
- *Boundary classes* are used to create the interface (e.g., interactive screen or printed reports) that the user sees and interacts with as the software is used.
- *Controller classes* manage a “unit of work” [UML03] from start to finish. That is, controller classes can be designed to manage
  - the creation or update of entity objects;
  - the instantiation of boundary objects as they obtain information from entity objects;
  - complex communication between sets of objects;
  - validation of data communicated between objects or between the user and the application.


# Responsibilities

- System intelligence should be distributed across classes to best address the needs of the problem
- Each responsibility should be stated as generally as possible
- Information and the behavior related to it should reside within the same class
- Information about one thing should be localized with a single class, not distributed across multiple classes.
- Responsibilities should be shared among related classes, when appropriate.

# Collaborations

- Classes fulfill their responsibilities in one of two ways:
  - A class can use its own operations to manipulate its own attributes, thereby fulfilling a particular responsibility, or
  - a class can collaborate with other classes.
- Collaborations identify relationships between classes
- Collaborations are identified by determining whether a class can fulfill each responsibility itself
- three different generic relationships between classes [WIR90]:
  - the *is-part-of* relationship
  - the *has-knowledge-of* relationship
  - the *depends-upon* relationship

# Composite Aggregate Class




# Reviewing the CRC Model

- All participants in the review (of the CRC model) are given a subset of the CRC model index cards.
  - Cards that collaborate should be separated (i.e., no reviewer should have two cards that collaborate).
- All use-case scenarios (and corresponding use-case diagrams) should be organized into categories.
- The review leader reads the use-case deliberately.
  - As the review leader comes to a named object, she passes a token to the person holding the corresponding class index card.
- When the token is passed, the holder of the class card is asked to describe the responsibilities noted on the card.
  - The group determines whether one (or more) of the responsibilities satisfies the use-case requirement.
- If the responsibilities and collaborations noted on the index cards cannot accommodate the use-case, modifications are made to the cards.
  - This may include the definition of new classes (and corresponding CRC index cards) or the specification of new or revised responsibilities or collaborations on existing cards.


# Associations and dependencies

- Two analysis classes are often related to one another in some fashion
  - In UML these relationships are called *associations*
  - Associations can be refined by indicating *multiplicity* (the term *cardinality* is used in data modeling)
- In many instances, a client-server relationship exists between two analysis classes.
  - In such cases, a client-class depends on the server-class in some way and a *dependency relationship* is established

# Multiplicity




# Dependencies



# Analysis Packages

- Various elements of the analysis model (e.g., use-cases, analysis classes) are categorized in a manner that packages them as a grouping
- The **plus** sign preceding the analysis class name in each package indicates that the classes have public visibility and are therefore accessible from other packages.
- Other symbols can precede an element within a package. A **minus** sign indicates that an element is hidden from all other packages and a **#** symbol indicates that an element is accessible only to packages contained within a given package.

# Analysis Packages




# Behavioral Modeling

- The behavioral model indicates how software will respond to external events or stimuli. To create the model, the analyst must perform the following steps:
  - Evaluate all use-cases to fully understand the sequence of interaction within the system.
  - Identify events that drive the interaction sequence and understand how these events relate to specific objects.
  - Create a sequence for each use-case.
  - Build a state diagram for the system.
  - Review the behavioral model to verify accuracy and consistency.

# State Representations

- In the context of behavioral modeling, two different characterizations of states must be considered:
  - the state of each class as the system performs its function and
  - the state of the system as observed from the outside as the system performs its function
- The state of a class takes on both passive and active characteristics [CHA93].
  - A *passive state* is simply the current status of all of an object's attributes.
  - The *active state* of an object indicates the current status of the object as it undergoes a continuing transformation or processing.

# State Diagram for the ControlPanel Class



- **state**—a set of observable circumstances that characterizes the behavior of a system at a given time
- **state transition**—the movement from one state to another
- **event**—an occurrence that causes the system to exhibit some predictable form of behavior
- **action**—process that occurs as a consequence of making a transition

# Behavioral Modeling

- make a list of the different states of a system  
(How does the system behave?)
- indicate how the system makes a transition from one state to another (How does the system change state?)
  - indicate event
  - indicate action
- draw a state diagram or a sequence diagram

# Sequence Diagram




Figure 8.27 Sequence diagram (partial) for SafeHome security function

# Writing the Software Specification



# Specification Guidelines

- ❑ use a layered format that provides increasing detail as the "layers" deepen
- ❑ use consistent graphical notation and apply textual terms consistently (stay away from aliases)
- ❑ be sure to define all acronyms
- ❑ be sure to include a table of contents; ideally, include an index and/or a glossary
- ❑ write in a simple, unambiguous style (see "editing suggestions" on the following pages)
- ❑ always put yourself in the reader's position, "Would I be able to understand this if I wasn't intimately familiar with the system?"

# Specification Guidelines

Be on the lookout for persuasive connectors, ask why?

keys: *certainly, therefore, clearly, obviously, it follows that ...*

Watch out for vague terms

keys: *some, sometimes, often, usually, ordinarily, most, mostly ...*

When lists are given, but not completed, be sure all items are understood

keys: *etc., and so forth, and so on, such as*

Be sure stated ranges don't contain unstated assumptions

e.g., *Valid codes range from 10 to 100. Integer? Real? Hex?*

Beware of vague verbs such as *handled, rejected, processed, ...*

Beware "passive voice" statements

e.g., *The parameters are initialized.* By what?

Beware "dangling" pronouns

e.g., *The I/O module communicated with the data validation module and its control flag is set.* Whose control flag?

# Specification Guidelines

When a term is explicitly defined in one place, try substituting the definition for other occurrences of the term

When a structure is described in words, draw a picture

When a structure is described with a picture, try to redraw the picture to emphasize different elements of the structure

When symbolic equations are used, try expressing their meaning in words

When a calculation is specified, work at least two examples

Look for statements that imply certainty, then ask for proof keys; always, every, all, none, never

Search behind certainty statements  
be sure restrictions or limitations are realistic