## Softmax exercise

Complete and hand in this completed worksheet (including its outputs and any supporting code outside of the worksheet) with your assignment submission. For more details see the <u>assignments page</u> (http://vision.stanford.edu/teaching/cs231n/assignments.html) on the course website.

This exercise is analogous to the SVM exercise. You will:

- implement a fully-vectorized loss function for the Softmax classifier
- implement the fully-vectorized expression for its analytic gradient
- check your implementation with numerical gradient
- use a validation set to tune the learning rate and regularization strength
- optimize the loss function with SGD
- visualize the final learned weights

```
In [1]: import random
   import numpy as np
   from cs23ln.data_utils import load_CIFAR10
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

%matplotlib inline
   plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plo
   ts
   plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest'
   plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray'

# for auto-reloading extenrnal modules
   # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules
   -in-ipython
   %load_ext autoreload
%autoreload 2
```

```
try:
       del X train, y train
       del X test, y test
       print('Clear previously loaded data.')
    except:
       pass
    X train, y train, X test, y test = load CIFAR10(cifar10 dir)
    # subsample the data
    mask = list(range(num training, num training + num validation))
    X val = X train[mask]
    y_val = y_train[mask]
    mask = list(range(num training))
    X_train = X_train[mask]
    y_train = y_train[mask]
    mask = list(range(num test))
    X test = X test[mask]
    y test = y test[mask]
    mask = np.random.choice(num training, num dev, replace=False)
    X dev = X train[mask]
    y_dev = y_train[mask]
    # Preprocessing: reshape the image data into rows
    X train = np.reshape(X train, (X train.shape[0], -1))
    X \text{ val} = \text{np.reshape}(X \text{ val}, (X \text{ val.shape}[0], -1))
    X test = np.reshape(X test, (X test.shape[0], -1))
    X \text{ dev} = \text{np.reshape}(X \text{ dev}, (X \text{ dev.shape}[0], -1))
    # Normalize the data: subtract the mean image
    mean_image = np.mean(X_train, axis = 0)
    X train -= mean image
    X val -= mean image
    X test -= mean image
    X dev -= mean image
    # add bias dimension and transform into columns
    X train = np.hstack([X train, np.ones((X train.shape[0], 1))])
    X val = np.hstack([X val, np.ones((X val.shape[0], 1))])
    X test = np.hstack([X test, np.ones((X test.shape[0], 1))])
    X dev = np.hstack([X dev, np.ones((X dev.shape[0], 1))])
    return X_train, y_train, X_val, y_val, X_test, y_test, X_dev, y_de
V
# Invoke the above function to get our data.
X train, y train, X val, y val, X test, y test, X dev, y dev = get CIF
AR10 data()
print('Train data shape: ', X_train.shape)
print('Train labels shape: ', y_train.shape)
print('Validation data shape: ', X_val.shape)
print('Validation labels shape: ', y_val.shape)
```

```
print('Test data shape: ', X_test.shape)
print('Test labels shape: ', y_test.shape)
print('dev data shape: ', X_dev.shape)
print('dev labels shape: ', y_dev.shape)

Train data shape: (49000, 3073)
Train labels shape: (49000,)
Validation data shape: (1000, 3073)
Validation labels shape: (1000,)
Test data shape: (1000, 3073)
Test labels shape: (1000,)
dev data shape: (500, 3073)
dev labels shape: (500,)
```

## **Softmax Classifier**

Your code for this section will all be written inside cs231n/classifiers/softmax.py.

```
In [8]: # First implement the naive softmax loss function with nested loops.
# Open the file cs231n/classifiers/softmax.py and implement the
# softmax_loss_naive function.

from cs231n.classifiers.softmax import softmax_loss_naive
import time

# Generate a random softmax weight matrix and use it to compute the lo
ss.
W = np.random.randn(3073, 10) * 0.0001
loss, grad = softmax_loss_naive(W, X_dev, y_dev, 0.0)

# As a rough sanity check, our loss should be something close to -log(
0.1).
print('loss: %f' % loss)
print('sanity check: %f' % (-np.log(0.1)))
```

loss: 2.380704 sanity check: 2.302585

## **Inline Question 1**

Why do we expect our loss to be close to -log(0.1)? Explain briefly.\*\*

*Your Answer*: Our initialization number of classes is low, which means that the probability predictions will all huddle around the same distribution at initialization, close to 0.1.

```
# Complete the implementation of softmax loss naive and implement a (n
In [9]:
        aive)
        # version of the gradient that uses nested loops.
        loss, grad = softmax loss naive(W, X dev, y dev, 0.0)
        # As we did for the SVM, use numeric gradient checking as a debugging
        tool.
        # The numeric gradient should be close to the analytic gradient.
        from cs231n.gradient check import grad check sparse
        f = lambda w: softmax loss naive(w, X dev, y dev, 0.0)[0]
        grad numerical = grad check sparse(f, W, grad, 10)
        # similar to SVM case, do another gradient check with regularization
        loss, grad = softmax loss naive(W, X dev, y dev, 5e1)
        f = lambda w: softmax loss naive(w, X dev, y dev, 5e1)[0]
        grad_numerical = grad_check_sparse(f, W, grad, 10)
        numerical: -0.714321 analytic: -0.714321, relative error: 2.023323e-
        80
        numerical: -3.132088 analytic: -3.132088, relative error: 2.194385e-
        numerical: -0.416735 analytic: -0.416735, relative error: 3.867904e-
        80
        numerical: 1.327177 analytic: 1.327177, relative error: 2.587317e-08
        numerical: 1.399740 analytic: 1.399740, relative error: 4.753285e-08
        numerical: 1.812898 analytic: 1.812898, relative error: 2.535014e-08
        numerical: -0.888163 analytic: -0.888163, relative error: 2.589727e-
        80
        numerical: -1.257603 analytic: -1.257603, relative error: 3.617679e-
        80
        numerical: -1.854077 analytic: -1.854077, relative error: 1.288557e-
        numerical: -1.224152 analytic: -1.224153, relative error: 2.582007e-
        numerical: 1.321453 analytic: 1.321453, relative error: 4.461755e-09
        numerical: -2.744751 analytic: -2.744751, relative error: 6.710593e-
        numerical: 0.364409 analytic: 0.364409, relative error: 1.493146e-08
        numerical: -3.907450 analytic: -3.907450, relative error: 4.122210e-
        09
        numerical: 2.346587 analytic: 2.346587, relative error: 9.298321e-09
        numerical: -0.118372 analytic: -0.118372, relative error: 6.468934e-
        numerical: -0.007598 analytic: -0.007598, relative error: 4.914527e-
        06
        numerical: 0.591932 analytic: 0.591932, relative error: 5.035477e-08
        numerical: 0.348856 analytic: 0.348856, relative error: 1.091617e-07
        numerical: -1.033043 analytic: -1.033043, relative error: 2.639177e-
```

80

```
In [16]: # Now that we have a naive implementation of the softmax loss function
         and its gradient,
         # implement a vectorized version in softmax loss vectorized.
         # The two versions should compute the same results, but the vectorized
         version should be
         # much faster.
         tic = time.time()
         loss naive, grad naive = softmax loss naive(W, X dev, y dev, 0.000005)
         toc = time.time()
         print('naive loss: %e computed in %fs' % (loss naive, toc - tic))
         from cs231n.classifiers.softmax import softmax loss vectorized
         tic = time.time()
         loss vectorized, grad vectorized = softmax loss vectorized(W, X dev, y
         dev, 0.000005)
         toc = time.time()
         print('vectorized loss: %e computed in %fs' % (loss vectorized, toc -
         tic))
         # As we did for the SVM, we use the Frobenius norm to compare the two
         versions
         # of the gradient.
         grad difference = np.linalg.norm(grad naive - grad vectorized, ord='fr
         print('Loss difference: %f' % np.abs(loss naive - loss vectorized))
         print('Gradient difference: %f' % grad difference)
         naive loss: 2.380704e+00 computed in 0.106275s
         vectorized loss: 0.000000e+00 computed in 0.002561s
         Loss difference: 2.380704
         Gradient difference: 0.000000
In [25]: # Use the validation set to tune hyperparameters (regularization stren
         gth and
         # learning rate). You should experiment with different ranges for the
         learning
         # rates and regularization strengths; if you are careful you should be
         able to
         # get a classification accuracy of over 0.35 on the validation set.
         from cs231n.classifiers import Softmax
         results = {}
         best val = -1
         best softmax = None
         #########
         # TODO:
```

# Use the validation set to set the learning rate and regularization s

# This should be identical to the validation that you did for the SVM;

trength. #

```
save
# the best trained softmax classifer in best softmax.
#########
# Provided as a reference. You may or may not want to change these hyp
erparameters
learning rates = [1e-7, 5e-7]
regularization strengths = [2.5e3, 5e3]
# *****START OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE) *****
for lr in learning rates:
   for reg in regularization strengths:
       softmax = Softmax() #specifying function
       softmax.train(X train, y train, learning rate = lr, reg = reg,
num iters = 1500)
       #train
       y_train_pred = softmax.predict(X train)
       train_accuracy = np.mean(y_train == y_train_pred)
       #validation
       y val pred = softmax.predict(X val)
       val accuracy = np.mean(y val == y val pred)
       if val accuracy > best_val:
           best val = val accuracy
           best softmax = softmax
       results[(lr, reg)] = train accuracy, val accuracy
       ##updating reg stregnth down by one factor (4-3) gave a 3 poin
t jump in cross valid accuracy.
# *****END OF YOUR CODE (DO NOT DELETE/MODIFY THIS LINE) ****
# Print out results.
for lr, reg in sorted(results):
   train accuracy, val accuracy = results[(lr, reg)]
   print('lr %e reg %e train accuracy: %f val accuracy: %f' % (
               lr, reg, train accuracy, val accuracy))
print('best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: %f'
% best val)
```

```
lr 1.000000e-07 reg 2.500000e+03 train accuracy: 0.274163 val accura cy: 0.276000
lr 1.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+03 train accuracy: 0.298265 val accura cy: 0.294000
lr 5.000000e-07 reg 2.500000e+03 train accuracy: 0.389429 val accura cy: 0.384000
lr 5.000000e-07 reg 5.000000e+03 train accuracy: 0.385673 val accura cy: 0.393000
best validation accuracy achieved during cross-validation: 0.393000
```

```
In [26]: # evaluate on test set
# Evaluate the best softmax on test set
y_test_pred = best_softmax.predict(X_test)
test_accuracy = np.mean(y_test == y_test_pred)
print('softmax on raw pixels final test set accuracy: %f' % (test_accuracy, ))
```

softmax on raw pixels final test set accuracy: 0.373000

## Inline Question 2 - True or False

Suppose the overall training loss is defined as the sum of the per-datapoint loss over all training examples. It is possible to add a new datapoint to a training set that would leave the SVM loss unchanged, but this is not the case with the Softmax classifier loss.

Your Answer: yes, it is possible - True.

*Your Explanation*: with softmax, because we are in log scale, the overall value added to our classifier will always be greater than 0.

```
In [27]: # Visualize the learned weights for each class
         w = best_softmax.W[:-1,:] # strip out the bias
         w = w.reshape(32, 32, 3, 10)
         w \min, w \max = np.min(w), np.max(w)
         classes = ['plane', 'car', 'bird', 'cat', 'deer', 'dog', 'frog', 'hors
         e', 'ship', 'truck']
         for i in range(10):
             plt.subplot(2, 5, i + 1)
             # Rescale the weights to be between 0 and 255
             wimg = 255.0 * (w[:, :, i].squeeze() - w_min) / (w_max - w_min)
             plt.imshow(wimg.astype('uint8'))
             plt.axis('off')
             plt.title(classes[i])
              plane
                       car
                                bird
                                        cat
                                                deer
```

```
dog frog horse ship truck
```

```
In [ ]:
```