### Software Alchemy:

## Turning the Complex into Embarrassingly Parallel

#### Norm Matloff

Department of Computer Science, University of California at Davis

Bay Area R Users Group, April 12, 2011





#### On the Web

This PDF file contains my presentation at the R meeting. I've extended the document by including material summarizing the question-and-answer period of that talk, and will occasionally add some updates as well.

The most up-to-date version of these slides, and associated R code, will be available on the Web at

http://heather.cs.ucdavis.edu/barugApr11/.

Correction:

http://heather.cs.ucdavis.edu/barugApr2011/



• **Problem:** Large data sets and complex statistical methods require large amounts of computation.

- **Problem:** Large data sets and complex statistical methods require large amounts of computation.
- Solution: Use a multicore machine or cluster.

- **Problem:** Large data sets and complex statistical methods require large amounts of computation.
- Solution: Use a multicore machine or cluster.
- **Problem:** The above solution usually works well only for *embarrassingly parallel* (EP) problems.

- **Problem:** Large data sets and complex statistical methods require large amounts of computation.
- Solution: Use a multicore machine or cluster.
- **Problem:** The above solution usually works well only for *embarrassingly parallel* (EP) problems. (Especially for R, given its functional programming approach.)

- **Problem:** Large data sets and complex statistical methods require large amounts of computation.
- Solution: Use a multicore machine or cluster.
- Problem: The above solution usually works well only for embarrassingly parallel (EP) problems. (Especially for R, given its functional programming approach.)
- "Solution": Run in parallel only if you have an embarrassingly parallel algorithm. :-)

• I will present a rather general solution here...

• I will present a rather general solution here...I might even say a panacea.

- I will present a rather general solution here...I might even say a panacea.
- Works for most statistical problems.

- I will present a rather general solution here...I might even say a panacea.
- Works for most statistical problems.
- Our goal here: Turn highly NON-EP problems into EP ones!

• Old, old idea in parallel processing: Break data into chunks, work on each chunk, then combine results.

- Old, old idea in parallel processing: Break data into chunks, work on each chunk, then combine results.
- But this requires EP.

- Old, old idea in parallel processing: Break data into chunks, work on each chunk, then combine results.
- But this requires EP.
- New approach: Exploit the statistical properties.

- Old, old idea in parallel processing: Break data into chunks, work on each chunk, then combine results.
- But this requires EP.
- New approach: Exploit the statistical properties.
- Key point:

- Old, old idea in parallel processing: Break data into chunks, work on each chunk, then combine results.
- But this requires EP.
- New approach: Exploit the <u>statistical</u> properties.
- Key point: Calculate a statistically equivalent quantity that lends itself to EP computation.

• Suppose we wish to calculate an estimator  $\widehat{\theta}$ , say regression coefficients.

- Suppose we wish to calculate an estimator  $\widehat{\theta}$ , say regression coefficients.
- Have n data points, p processes (e.g. p = 2 for dual core on a single machine).

- Suppose we wish to calculate an estimator  $\widehat{\theta}$ , say regression coefficients.
- Have n data points, p processes (e.g. p = 2 for dual core on a single machine).
- Break into r chunks of n/p data points each.

- Suppose we wish to calculate an estimator  $\widehat{\theta}$ , say regression coefficients.
- Have n data points, p processes (e.g. p = 2 for dual core on a single machine).
- Break into r chunks of n/p data points each.
- ullet For i=1,...,r calculate  $\widehat{ heta}$  on chunk i, yielding  $\widetilde{ heta}_i$ .
- Average all those chunked values:

$$\overline{\theta} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \widetilde{\theta}_{i}$$

• The result,  $\overline{\theta}$  can be proven to have the **same statistical** accuracy as the original  $\widehat{\theta}$ .

• The result,  $\overline{\theta}$  can be proven to have the **same statistical** accuracy as the original  $\widehat{\theta}$ . (Manuscript in preparation.)

- The result,  $\overline{\theta}$  can be proven to have the **same statistical accuracy** as the original  $\widehat{\theta}$ . (Manuscript in preparation.)
- But the computation of  $\overline{\theta}$  is EP even if  $\widehat{\theta}$  is non-EP.

- The result,  $\overline{\theta}$  can be proven to have the **same statistical accuracy** as the original  $\widehat{\theta}$ . (Manuscript in preparation.)
- But the computation of  $\overline{\theta}$  is EP even if  $\widehat{\theta}$  is non-EP.
- Alchemy! Non-EP  $\rightarrow$  EP.

What chunking does here:

• set up r R processes (via snow, Rmpi, Rdsm or whatever)

- set up r R processes (via snow, Rmpi, Rdsm or whatever)
- call Im() on each chunk

- set up r R processes (via snow, Rmpi, Rdsm or whatever)
- call **Im()** on each chunk (EP)

- set up r R processes (via snow, Rmpi, Rdsm or whatever)
- call **Im()** on each chunk (EP)
- average the regression coefficients over all chunks

- set up r R processes (via snow, Rmpi, Rdsm or whatever)
- call Im() on each chunk (EP)
- average the regression coefficients over all chunks
- use those values as your coefficients

#### **Example: Regression**

#### What chunking does here:

- set up r R processes (via snow, Rmpi, Rdsm or whatever)
- call **Im()** on each chunk (EP)
- average the regression coefficients over all chunks
- use those values as your coefficients
- will have the same statistical accuracy, but will be faster

compared ordinary sequential Im(),

• compared ordinary sequential Im(), my chunked method, and

 compared ordinary sequential Im(), my chunked method, and gputools (R package to interface GPU cards)

- compared ordinary sequential Im(), my chunked method, and gputools (R package to interface GPU cards)
- n = number of data points, q = number of predictors, p = number of processes (deg. of parallelism)

- compared ordinary sequential Im(), my chunked method, and gputools (R package to interface GPU cards)
- n = number of data points, q = number of predictors, p = number of processes (deg. of parallelism)
- used 3 dual-core PCs, so  $p \le 6$

- compared ordinary sequential Im(), my chunked method, and gputools (R package to interface GPU cards)
- n = number of data points, q = number of predictors, p = number of processes (deg. of parallelism)
- used 3 dual-core PCs, so  $p \le 6$
- regression is a non-EP problem

### Regression Experiments, cont'd.

Elapsed times in seconds (single runs):

### Regression Experiments, cont'd.

#### Elapsed times in seconds (single runs):

n	q	р	ordinary	NM method	gputools
500000	30	6	4.18	3.58	8.40
500000	50	6	9.41	6.61	exceeded mem.
100000	100	6	4.13	3.55	3.86
50000	150	6	4.14	3.36	2.92

#### Regression Experiments, cont'd.

Elapsed times in seconds (single runs):

n	q	р	ordinary	NM method	gputools
500000	30	6	4.18	3.58	8.40
500000	50	6	9.41	6.61	exceeded mem.
100000	100	6	4.13	3.55	3.86
50000	150	6	4.14	3.36	2.92

NM method "handicapped": used **snow** (which uses **serialize()**), over a network.

 Model the population conditional quantiles, say medians, as a linear function.

- Model the population conditional quantiles, say medians, as a linear function.
- VERY non-EP.

- Model the population conditional quantiles, say medians, as a linear function.
- VERY non-EP.

#### Elapsed times in seconds (single runs):

n	q	р	ordinary	NM method	
10000	50	2	2.39	1.50	
10000	50	4	2.39	1.34	
50000	50	4	36.10	13.43	
50000	50	6	35.51	11.19	

• How general is this method?

- How general is this method?
  - My proof applies to i.i.d. random samples.

- How general is this method?
  - My proof applies to i.i.d. random samples.
  - Proof could be extended to designed-experiment settings, e.g. clinical trials with pre-assigned sample sizes for treatment and control groups.

- How general is this method?
  - My proof applies to i.i.d. random samples.
  - Proof could be extended to designed-experiment settings, e.g. clinical trials with pre-assigned sample sizes for treatment and control groups.
- I have R code available: General code to do the chunking in snow or Rdsm, and the specific code used for the simulations here.

- How general is this method?
  - My proof applies to i.i.d. random samples.
  - Proof could be extended to designed-experiment settings, e.g. clinical trials with pre-assigned sample sizes for treatment and control groups.
- I have R code available: General code to do the chunking in snow or Rdsm, and the specific code used for the simulations here.
- Chunking has been used before for a different goal, that of larger-than-memory data sets: R's biglm(); Fan and Cheng (2007)

- How general is this method?
  - My proof applies to i.i.d. random samples.
  - Proof could be extended to designed-experiment settings, e.g. clinical trials with pre-assigned sample sizes for treatment and control groups.
- I have R code available: General code to do the chunking in snow or Rdsm, and the specific code used for the simulations here.
- Chunking has been used before for a different goal, that of larger-than-memory data sets: R's biglm(); Fan and Cheng (2007)

# Q&A Period (slightly updated)

Question: Does this only work on linear regression problems?

- No, the math works on any function of i.i.d. data.
- I've tried it on logistic regression, principle components and estimation of hazard functions from censored data, getting modest to excellent speedups.
- Note that if  $\widehat{\theta}$  is an unbiased estimator, then  $\overline{\theta}$  is also unbiased.

Question: Is there a convergence rate issue in your asymptotics?

- In my experiments I've found only tiny differences between  $\overline{\theta}$  and  $\widehat{\theta}$ .
- The only problems that are worth parallelizing have very large sample sizes, and thus the asymptotics have certainly taken effect by then.

