

Supplementary Methods

Chemicals, Reagents and Materials

The LC-MS-grade solvents methanol (MeOH), acetonitrile (ACN), isopropanol (IPA) and water, as well as the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Formic acid, polyacrylonitrile (PAN), N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) and the standards of neurotransmitters: γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA), glutamic acid (Glu), acetylcholine (ACh), histamine (Hist), serotonin (5-HT), dopamine (DA) and choline (Cho) as well as their deuterated analogues were purchased from Millipore Sigma (Oakville, ON, Canada). Epinephrine (Epi), norepinephrine (NE) and their deuterated analogues were obtained from Cerilliant Corporation (Round Rock, TX, USA). Choline-D9, was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Tewksbury, MA, USA). The reagents used for synthesis of hydrophilic-lipophilic balance polymer particles functionalized with strong cation exchange groups, as well as compounds for preparation of PBS were purchased from Millipore-Sigma. The stainless steel wire (stainless steel grade AISI 304, 150 μ m diameter) used for manufacturing of SPME probes was purchased from Unimed S.A. (Lausanne, Switzerland). The stainless steel tubing used as guiding cannulas (270 μ m O.D.; 200 \pm 5 μ m I.D.) was obtained from Vita Needle (Needham, MA, USA).

LC-MS/MS Analysis

On the day of analysis, the SPME probes were defrosted and desorbed into 40 μ L of water/ACN/MeOH 40:30:30 (v/v/v) mixture containing 1 % of formic acid and a mixture of deuterated isotopologues of targeted neuromodulators (used as internal standards, IS) at 20 ng/mL. The desorption was carried out for 1 h with agitation at 1500 rpm. The extracts were injected into the LC-MS system for targeted neurotransmitter analysis within a few hours after desorption. All experiments were carried out using an Ultimate 3000RS HPLC system coupled to TSQ Quantiva triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, California, USA). Data processing was performed using Thermo software Xcalibur 4.0 and Trace Finder 4.1. For chromatographic separation of the target compounds, a modified method of what is previously reported (Cudjoe and Pawliszyn 2014) was used, adjusted to include more targeted neuromodulators and their corresponding IS. A Kinetex® PFP LC column (100 x 2.1 mm, 1.7 μ m;

Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA) was held at 30°C with the mobile phase flow rate at 400 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$. The mobile phase A consisted of water/MeOH/ACN 90:5:5 (v/v/v) with 0.1 % formic acid, and mobile phase B was ACN/water 90:10 (v/v) with 0.1% of formic acid. The chromatographic gradient was applied starting from 100% B for 1 min and increasing the aqueous mobile phase A to 100% for 3 min with convex gradient function, held for 0.5 min and subsequent linear return to initial conditions and re-equilibration for 1 min, yielding total time of 6.5 min. The injection volume was 10 μL . MS/MS analysis was performed with electrospray ionization in positive mode under selected reaction monitoring conditions, with two MS/MS transitions for each neuromodulator (quantifier and qualifier) and one for each IS.

Quantitation of neuromodulators

Individual stock standard solutions of all targeted neuromodulators were prepared in methanol or water with 0.1% formic acid at a concentration of 1 mg/mL and stored at -80°C for maximum of one month. In order to calculate the amounts of neuromodulators extracted by each probe, calibrator standards prepared in the same desorption solvent as real samples were analyzed in the same batch. This instrumental calibration curve was prepared in the range of 0.1-200 ng/mL by a serial dilution of the stock standard mixture of all compounds at 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. The IS concentration was kept constant at 20 ng/mL in all calibrators, identically as in the real samples. The amounts extracted were calculated based on linear regression equation obtained from the analytical signal (the ratio of chromatographic peak areas of analytes and their corresponding IS) plotted against the concentration.

In order to calculate the concentrations of neuromodulators in brain, matrix-matched external calibration approach was used. The surrogate matrix consisted of 2% agar gel mixed with brain homogenate in the ratio 1:1 (v/w). The homogenized brain tissue was earlier incubated with 1 mM PMSF for 1 h at 37°C to prevent enzymatic digestion of acetylcholine in the calibrator samples. Due to several target compounds being present in brain homogenate at high concentrations (e.g. for glutamate and choline the “blank” brain homogenate matrix doesn’t exist), their quantitation was based on signals of their deuterated isotopologues. The calibrator samples were prepared in the surrogate matrix with concentrations of neuromodulators ranging from 5 to 3000 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ for the isotopically labelled compounds or from 10 to 2000 ng/mL for the remaining compounds.

The extractions were carried out with SPME probes manufactured and pre-treated identically to the probes used for *in vivo* sampling and using the same 20 min extraction time and desorption conditions as for the real samples. The amounts of neuromodulators extracted from the calibrator samples were determined in the same way as described above and plotted against concentrations of calibrators. The resulting weighted linear regression equations were applied to the amounts of neuromodulators extracted from the *in vivo* samples, yielding values of concentrations of the compounds of interest in brain.

The limits of detection (LOD) were estimated as the levels corresponding to the signal to noise ratio of 3 and were calculated based on the signal of blank calibrator sample (considered as the noise).

Supplementary Figures

Fig S1. Behavioral task that the monkeys were engaged in. Briefly, the monkey was expected to fixate a central fixation point until criterion when two graded stimuli appeared. The graded stimuli acquired color and motion, of the graded stripes, features in either order. The two stimuli then either simultaneously dimmed (go-signal), or dimmed one at a time in either order. The monkey, through trial and error, identified the rewarded stimulus via its color feature which was the sole identifying feature informative of reward. The monkey was then expected to wait until the dimming of the selected stimulus and respond in the same direction as the motion of the graded stripes on the chosen stimulus. If the monkey correctly accomplished this, it would receive deterministic reward in the form of liquid juice. Monkey As was engaged in a variation of this task with reduced complexity in order to match monkey Ke in performance and reward acquisition over the sampling period. Figure reproduced from Hassani et al., 2017.

Fig S2. Experimental procedure from SPME probe fabrication to quantitation. Fabrication described the in-house procedure to prepare SPME probes. Preparation describes experimental set-up. Sampling describes the actual data collection process. LC/MS analysis describes the chemical quantitation of collected data samples.

Supplementary Tables

Table S1. Overview of in vivo neurochemical measurement methods

	PET imaging	Electro-chemistry	Micro-dialysis	Solid phase micro-extraction
<i>Temporal Resolution</i>	Minutes	Highest (millisecond range)	1-30 minutes; dependent on MS sensitivity, target etc.	<5-30 minutes; dependent on MS sensitivity, coating thickness, target etc.
<i>Spatial Resolution</i>	Voxel	High; surface area may vary (relevant for enzyme based methods)	Diffusion based; surface area may vary	Diffusion based; surface area may vary
<i>Sensitivity</i>	Indirect measurement via competitive radiolabeled species	High	Depending on post-hoc methods (i.e. MS)	Depending on post-hoc methods (i.e. MS)
<i>Neuro-active targets</i>	A few at most	A few at most	Many	Many
<i>Non-neuro-active targets</i>	No	No	Yes, greater efficacy for hydrophilic compounds	Yes, greater potential efficacy for hydrophobic compounds
<i>In vivo feasibility</i>	Difficult in awake, behaving animal models; movement highly restricted	Good (low reliability in NHPs)	Good, often requires chronic implant of cannula for repeated measurements	Very good; robust placement of multiple simultaneous probes and repeatable acute measurements
<i>Cost</i>	High	Requires special equipment	Requires special equipment	Easy to port to an acute micro-electrode setup; requires a chemistry core

Table S1. A comparison of methods capable of measuring single or multiple neurochemicals in vivo. Temporal resolution, spatial resolution, sensitivity, capability to measure neuro-active and non-neuro-active compounds, in vivo feasibility and cost. PET: positron emission tomography; NHP: non-human primate; MS: mass spectrometer.