What is Backward Elimination?

It is used to remove those features that do not have a significant effect on the dependent variable or prediction of output. There are various ways to build a model in Machine Learning, which are:

- 1. All-in
- 2. Backward Elimination
- 3. Forward Selection
- 4. Bidirectional Elimination
- 5. Score Comparison

Steps of Backward Elimination

Below are some main steps which are used to apply backward elimination process:

Step-1: Firstly, We need to select a significance level to stay in the model. (SL=0.05

Step-2: Fit the complete model with all possible predictors/independent variables.

Step-3: Choose the predictor which has the highest P-value, such that.

If P-value >SL, go to step 4.

Else Finish, and Our model is ready.

Step-4: Remove that predictor.

Step-5: Rebuild and fit the model with the remaining variables.

Need for Backward Elimination: An optimal Multiple Linear Regression model:

we took 4 independent variables (R&D spend, Administration spend, Marketing spend, and state (dummy variables)) and one dependent variable (Profit). But that model is not optimal, as we have included all the independent variables and do not know which independent model is most affecting and which one is the least affecting for the prediction.

Unnecessary features increase the complexity of the model. Hence it is good to have only the most significant features and keep our model simple to get the better result.

Steps for Backward Elimination method:

We will use the same model which we build in the previous chapter of MLR. Below is the complete code for it:

```
# importing libraries
import numpy as nm
import matplotlib.pyplot as mtp
import pandas as pd
#importing datasets
data_set= pd.read_csv('50_CompList.csv')
#Extracting Independent and dependent Variable
x= data_set.iloc[:, :-1].values
y= data_set.iloc[:, 4].values
#Catgorical data
from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder, OneHotEncoder
labelencoder_x= LabelEncoder()
x[:, 3] = labelencoder\_x.fit\_transform(x[:,3])
onehotencoder= OneHotEncoder(categorical_features= [3])
x= onehotencoder.fit_transform(x).toarray()
#Avoiding the dummy variable trap:
x = x[:, 1:]
# Splitting the dataset into training and test set.
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
x_train, x_test, y_train, y_test= train_test_split(x, y, test_size= 0.2, random_state=0)
```

```
#Fitting the MLR model to the training set:
from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
regressor= LinearRegression()
regressor.fit(x_train, y_train)

#Predicting the Test set result;
y_pred= regressor.predict(x_test)

#Checking the score
print('Train Score: ', regressor.score(x_train, y_train))
print('Test Score: ', regressor.score(x_test, y_test))
```

From the above code, we got training and test set result as:

```
Train Score: 0.9501847627493607
Test Score: 0.9347068473282446
```

The difference between both scores is 0.0154.

Step: 1- Preparation of Backward Elimination:

o Importing the library:


import statsmodels.api as smf

Adding a column in matrix of features

To add this, we will use **append** function of **Numpy** library (nm which we have already imported into our code), and will assign a value of 1. Below is the code for it.

```
x = nm.append(arr = nm.ones((50,1)).astype(int), values=x, axis=1)
```

Here we have used axis = 1, as we wanted to add a column. For adding a row, we can use axis = 0.



As we can see in the above output image, the first column is added successfully, which corresponds to the constant term of the MLR equation.

Step: 2:

```
x_opt=x [:, [0,1,2,3,4,5]]
regressor_OLS=sm.OLS(endog = y, exog=x_opt).fit()
regressor_OLS.summary()
```

```
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
                    OLS Regression Results
                          y R-squared:
                                                      0.951
     OLS Adj. R-squared:

Least Squares F-statistic:

Mon, 14 Oct 2019 Prob (F-statistic):
                                                      0.945
Model:
Method:
                                                      169.9
                                                   1.34e-27
Date:
              17:49:58 Log-Likelihood:
                                                    -525.38
Time:
No. Observations:
                          50 AIC:
                                                      1063.
Df Residuals:
                          44
                             BIC:
                                                       1074.
Df Model:
                          5
Covariance Type:
                   nonrobust
coef std err t
                                             [0.025 0.975]
------
                                           5.013e+04 6884.820 7.281
                                    0.000 3.62e+04 6.4e+04
                                    0.953 -6595.030 6992.607
0.990 -6604.003 6520.229
        198.7888 3371.007
                           0.059
        -41.8870 3256.039 -0.013
x2
         0.8060 0.046 17.369
x3
                                    0.000
                                            0.712
                                                     0.900
         -0.0270 0.052 -0.517
0.0270 0.017 1.574
x4
                                    0.608
                                            -0.132
                                                      0.078
x5
                                            -0.008
                                    0.123
                                                      0.062
_____
                                           -----
                      14.782 Durbin-Watson:
Omnibus:
                                                      1.283
                      0.001 Jarque-Bera (JB):
-0.948 Prob(JB):
Prob(Omnibus):
Skew:
                                                     21.266
                                                   2.41e-05
Skew:
                       5.572 Cond. No.
Kurtosis:
                                                    1.45e+06
Warnings:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
[2] The condition number is large, 1.45e+06. This might indicate that there are
strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.
```

In the above image, we can clearly see the p-values of all the variables. Here x1, x2 are dummy variables, x3 is R&D spend, x4 is Administration spend, and x5 is Marketing spend.

```
x_opt=x[:, [0,2,3,4,5]]
regressor_OLS=sm.OLS(endog = y, exog=x_opt).fit()
regressor_OLS.summary()
```

```
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
Model:

Model:

Model:

Mothod:

Method:

Method:

Mon, 14 Oct 2019

Mon, 14 Oct 201
                                                                                       OLS Regression Results
     _____
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   0.946
217.2
                                                                                                                                                                                                                 8.50e-29
-525.38
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     1061.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       1070.
   Covariance Type: nonrobust
      ______
     coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]

    const
    5.018e+04
    6747.623
    7.437
    0.000
    3.66e+04
    6.38e+04

    x1
    -136.5042
    2801.719
    -0.049
    0.961
    -5779.456
    5506.447

    x2
    0.8059
    0.046
    17.571
    0.000
    0.714
    0.898

    x3
    -0.0269
    0.052
    -0.521
    0.605
    -0.131
    0.077

    x4
    0.0271
    0.017
    1.625
    0.111
    -0.007
    0.061

                                               14.892 Durbin-Watson:
    Omnibus:

    Prob(Omnibus):
    0.001
    Jarque-Bera (JB):
    21.665

    Skew:
    -0.949
    Prob(JB):
    1.97e-05

    Kurtosis:
    5.608
    Cond. No.
    1.43e+06

     .-----
   [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
    [2] The condition number is large, 1.43e+06. This might indicate that there are
   strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.
```

As we can see in the output image, now five variables remain. In these variables, the highest p-value is 0.961. So we will remove it in the next iteration.

```
x_opt= x[:, [0,3,4,5]]
regressor_OLS=sm.OLS(endog = y, exog=x_opt).fit()
regressor_OLS.summary()
```

```
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
                      OLS Regression Results
______
Dep. Variable:
                            y R-squared:
                                                             0.951
0.948
                                                              296.0
                                                          4.53e-30
                                                            -525.39
                                                              1059.
                                                              1066.
Df Model:
                              3
Covariance Type: nonrobust
_____
                                                 [0.025 0.975]
          coef std err t

    const
    5.012e+04
    6572.353
    7.626
    0.000
    3.69e+04
    6.34e+04

    x1
    0.8057
    0.045
    17.846
    0.000
    0.715
    0.897

    x2
    -0.0268
    0.051
    -0.526
    0.602
    -0.130
    0.076

    x3
    0.0272
    0.016
    1.655
    0.105
    -0.006
    0.060

                                                _____
______
                          14.838 Durbin-Watson:
Omnibus:
                                                              1.282
                        0.001 Jarque-Bera (JB):
-0.949 Prob(JB):
Prob(Omnibus):
Skew:
                                                             21.442
                                                           2.21e-05
Kurtosis:
                          5.586 Cond. No.
                                                            1.40e+06
______
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specifi
[2] The condition number is large, 1.4e+06. This might indicate that there are
strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.
```

In the above output image, we can see the dummy variable(x2) has been removed. And the next highest value is .602, which is still greater than .5, so we need to remove it.

```
x_opt=x[:, [0,3,5]]
regressor_OLS=sm.OLS(endog = y, exog=x_opt).fit()
regressor_OLS.summary()
```

```
Dut[13]:
kclass 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
                              OLS Regression Results
 .-----

        Dep. Variable:
        y
        R-squared:
        0.950

        Model:
        OLS
        Adj. R-squared:
        0.948

        Method:
        Least Squares
        F-statistic:
        450.8

        Date:
        Mon, 14 Oct 2019
        Prob (F-statistic):
        2.16e-31

        Time:
        18:13:46
        Log-Likelihood:
        -525.54

        No. Observations:
        50
        AIC:
        1057.

        Df Residuals:
        47
        BIC:
        1063.

Of Residuals:
Of Model:
Covariance Type: nonrobust
 _____
                                                                  _____
              coef std err t
                                                        P>|t|
                                                                      [0.025 0.975]
 -----
                                                                   -----
const 4.698e+04 2689.933 17.464
x1 0.7966 0.041 19.266
x2 0.0299 0.016 1.927
                                                        0.000 4.16e+04 5.24e+04
0.000 0.713 0.880
                                                                   0.713 0.880
                                                                    -0.001
                                                                                   0.061
                                   14.677 Durbin-Watson:
Prob(Omnibus):
Skew:
                                   0.001 Jarque-Bera (JB):
-0.939 Prob(JB):
                                                                                  21.161
                                                                               2.54e-05
                                  -0.939 Prob(JB):
                                    5.575 Cond. No.
                                                                                5.32e+05
(urtosis:
 ______
Warnings:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
[2] The condition number is large, 5.32e+05. This might indicate that there are
strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.
```

As we can see in the above output image, the variable (Admin spend) has been removed. But still, there is one variable left, which is **marketing spend** as it has a high p-value **(0.60)**. So we need to remove it.

Finally, we will remove one more variable, which has .60 p-value for marketing spend,
 which is more than a significant level.

Below is the code for it:

```
x_opt=x[:, [0,3]]
regressor_OLS=sm.OLS(endog = y, exog=x_opt).fit()
regressor_OLS.summary()
```

```
Dut[14]:
<class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>
                      OLS Regression Results
-----
Dep. Variable: y R-squared: 0.947
Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.945
Nodel:
Nethod:
Date:
              OLS Adj. R-squared:
Least Squares F-statistic:
                                                              849.8
Date: Mon, 14 Oct 2019 Prob (F-statistic):


Time: 18:16:40 Log-Likelihood:

No. Observations: 50 AIC:

Of Residuals: 48 PTC:
                                                         3.50e-32
                                                            -527.44
                                                              1059.
                             48 BIC:
Of Residuals:
                                                               1063.
of Model:
                              1
                nonrobust
Covariance Type:
......
coef std err t P>|t| [0.025 0.975]
const 4.903e+04 2537.897 19.320 0.000 4.39e+04 5.41e+04
c1 0.8543 0.029 29.151 0.000 0.795 0.913
                         13.727 Durbin-Watson:
                                                              1.116
                        0.001 Jarque-Bera (JB):
-0.911 Prob(JB):
Prob(Omnibus):
                                                             18.536
                                                          9.44e-05
Skew:
                          5.361 Cond. No.
                                                           1.65e+05
Warnings:
[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified
2] The condition number is large, 1.65e+05. This might indicate that there are
strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.
```

As we can see in the above output image, only two variables are left. So only the **R&D independent variable** is a significant variable for the prediction. So we can now predict efficiently using this variable.

Estimating the performance:



Below is the code for Building Multiple Linear Regression model by only using R&D spend:

```
# import numpy as nm
import matplotlib.pyplot as mtp
import pandas as pd

#importing datasets
data_set= pd.read_csv('50_CompList1.csv')

#Extracting Independent and dependent Variable
x_BE= data_set.iloc[:, :-1].values
y_BE= data_set.iloc[:, 1].values
```

```
# Splitting the dataset into training and test set.

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

x_BE_train, x_BE_test, y_BE_train, y_BE_test= train_test_split(x_BE, y_BE, test_size= 0.2, random_state=0)

#Fitting the MLR model to the training set:

from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

regressor= LinearRegression()

regressor.fit(nm.array(x_BE_train).reshape(-1,1), y_BE_train)

#Predicting the Test set result;

y_pred= regressor.predict(x_BE_test)

#Cheking the score

print('Train Score: ', regressor.score(x_BE_train, y_BE_train))

print("Test Score: ', regressor.score(x_BE_test, y_BE_test))
```

Output:

After executing the above code, we will get the Training and test scores as:

```
Train Score: 0.9449589778363044
Test Score: 0.9464587607787219
```

As we can see, the training score is 94% accurate, and the test score is also 94% accurate. The difference between both scores is **.00149**. This score is very much close to the previous score, i.e., **0.0154**, where we have included all the variables.

We got this result by using one independent variable (R&D spend) only instead of four variables. Hence, now, our model is simple and accurate.