Creating Customer Segments In this project you, will analyze a dataset containing annual spending amounts for internal structure, to understand the variation in the different types of customers that a wholesale distributor interacts with.

Instructions: Run each code block below by pressing Shift+Enter, making sure to implement any steps marked with a TODO. Answer each question in the space provided by editing the blocks labeled "Answer:".

version (File > Download as). In [64]:

• When you are done, submit the completed notebook (.ipynb) with all code blocks executed, as well as a .pdf

Import libraries: NumPy, pandas, matplotlib import numpy as np

import pandas as pd import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from sklearn.preprocessing import normalize

%matplotlib inline # Read dataset data = pd.read_csv("wholesale-customers.csv") print "Dataset has {} rows, {} columns".format(*data.shape)

Tell iPython to include plots inline in the notebook

#data = normalize(data) Dataset has 440 rows, 6 columns Fresh Milk Grocery Frozen Detergents_Paper Delicatessen 7561 214 2674 7057 9810 9568 1762 3293

print data.head() # print the first 5 rows # Normalizing/Scaling Data

0 12669 9656 1338 1776 1 6353 8808 7684 7844 2 2405 3516 3 13265 1196 4221 6404 507 1788 4 22615 5410 7198 1777 3915 5185

Feature Transformation

1) In this section you will be using PCA and ICA to start to understand the structure of the data. Before doing any computations, what do you think will show up in your computations? List one or two ideas for what might show up as the first PCA dimensions, or what type of vectors will show up as ICA dimensions.

ICA basically act differently and it shows unrelated and independents features.

Answer: Based on the Read_Me file, "Std. Deviation" for Fresh is about 12647 and also for Grocery is somewhere around 9503 based on these two numbers I expect play most role(biggest impact) on the first Principle Component Analysis

PCA In [65]:

TODO: Apply PCA with the same number of dimensions as variables in the dataset from sklearn.decomposition import PCA pca = PCA(n_components=data.shape[1]) pca.fit(data)

Print the components and the amount of variance in the data contained in each dimension

df = pd.DataFrame(pca.components_ ,columns=data.columns).T df.columns = ["PC-%i"%(n) for n in range(1,7)]print df

Detergents_Paper 0.007054 0.365351 -0.204410 0.149380 0.207930 -0.871284

Grocery

Delicatessen

P_Components

Frozen

1

2

3

Answer:

after.

variance_ratio = (pd.DataFrame({'Cum_Vari':(pca.explained_variance_ratio_).cumsum(dtype=float) ,'Exp_Vari':pca.explained_variance_ratio_} ,index=xrange(1,7))

> -0.061540 0.764606 -0.275781 0.375460 -0.160292 0.410939 -0.152365 -0.018723 0.714200 0.646292 0.220186 -0.013289

> -0.068105 0.057079 0.283217 -0.020396 -0.917077 -0.265417

PC-5

PC-6

Ι

1.207316

ICA-5

1.535363e-07 2.108382e-07 -3.002618

variance_ratio.index.name = 'P_Components' print '\n', variance_ratio PC-1 PC-2 PC-3 PC-4 -0.976537 -0.110614 -0.178557 -0.041876 0.015986 -0.015763 Fresh Milk -0.121184 0.515802 0.509887 -0.645640 0.203236 0.033492

Cum_Vari Exp_Vari

0.459614 0.459614

0.864786 0.405172

0.934816 0.070030

would you choose for your analysis? Why?

4 0.978839 0.044023 5 0.993862 0.015022 6 1.000000 0.006138 2) How quickly does the variance drop off by dimension? If you were to use PCA on this dataset, how many dimensions

go a little bit further and consider even component 3. Now these three components are explaining more than 93% of data. But it totally depends on why we are reducing dimensionality. 3) What do the dimensions seem to represent? How can you use this information? Answer: These number represent correlation of each items (Fresh, Milk, Grocery, ...) with components.

talking about Fresh (-0.97) feature and then a little bit about Frozen (0.15).

ica = FastICA(n_components = data.shape[1])

pd.set_option('display.expand_frame_repr', False)

df.columns = ['ICA-%i'%(n) for n in xrange(1,7)]

corresponds to. What could these components be used for?

df = pd.DataFrame(ica.components_, columns=data.columns).T

ICA-1

Print the independent components

ica.fit_transform(data)

First and second diminution both have more than 40% variance and both together account more than 86% of the

variance in the data. But after that there is huge drop for second diminution (only 7%), I would say variance drops off

Most Probably I would have chosen 2 or 3. Component one, two are explaining more than 86% of the data. Also we can

For example the PC-1 is strongly correlated with with Fresh (-0.97). This information tells us first diminution (PC-1) is more

PC-2 is is strongly correlated with Grocery(0.76) and milk(0.51). it tells us, this dimentions more shows about Grocery and milk. It also shows if we change one of them, anothe one also will change. It means these two items are a group or cluster.

How can you use this information? For instance PC-1 shows less demand for Fresh (-0.97) or there is a nice corealation

in PC-4 between Milk (-0.64) and Frozen (0.64). We might be able to use this Information to clustering order types.

In [76]: # TODO: Fit an ICA model to the data # Note: Adjust the data to have center at the origin first! from sklearn.decomposition import FastICA

ICA-3

1.403604e-07 -8.586367e-07 2.195303e-07 9.845836e-06 -1.889637e-06 2.302099

-1.114613e-05 -6.776396e-07 5.220803e-07 -3.641202e-07 4.143265e-07 -1.462014

-7.742032e-07 -6.219818e-07 6.010689e-07 -5.808446e-06 6.402042e-06

ICA-4

print df CA-6

Fresh

e-07 Milk

e-06

e-05

Grocery

Frozen

Frozen 1.114620e-05,

Fresh:-2.102040e-07,

Grocery:-6.448052e-06, Frozen: -4.083602e-07,

Grocery:-6.001236e-07,

Frozen: -5.221177e-07,

Fresh: -3.975999e-06,

Milk: 8.597850e-07,

Fresh: 2.989439e-07,

Milk:-2.310651e-06,

Grocery:-1.204737e-05,

Detergents Paper: 2.820432e-05,

ICA-6: It corresponds to Milk 9.84

Detergents_Paper: 3.316383e-06,

Delicatessen :-6.057262e-06,

Delicatessen :5.729828e-06,

Frozen: 1.463498e-06,

Fresh: 1.536524e-07,

Grocery:-5.810466e-06,

Frozen: -3.638148e-07,

sklearn documentation.

Import clustering modules

 $pca = PCA(n_components=2)$

[[-650.02212207

[4426.80497937

[4841.9987068

from sklearn.cluster import KMeans

reduced_data = pca.fit_transform(data)

-990.34643689 -6279.805996631 [-10657.99873116 -2159.72581518] [2765.96159271 -959.87072713] 715.55089221 -2013.00226567] [4474.58366697 1429.49697204] [6712.09539718 -2205.90915598] [4823.63435407 13480.55920489]]

print reduced_data[:10] # print upto 10 elements

1585.51909007]

4042.45150884]

2578.762176

print "%d Clusters: "%c , clusters[-1], '\n\n'

from sklearn.mixture import GMM

In [77]:

In [78]:

In [79]:

clusters=[]

for c in xrange(2,6):

verbose=0)

verbose=0)

verbose=0)

ion

Milk: 9.845323e-06,

Clustering

Detergents_Paper:5.094260e-07,

Delicatessen :1.809238e-05,

Milk: 1.881313e-06,

frozen.

Detergents_Paper -5.543345e-07,

Detergents_Paper:8.581204e-07,

Delicatessen :1.465505e-06,

Delicatessen -5.952081e-06,

ICA

e-06 Detergents_Paper 5.555689e-07 2.056151e-06 -5.104406e-07 3.311112e-06 -7.510000e-07 -2.820906 e-05

ICA-2

8.652273e-07 3.975862e-06 3.864151e-07

Delicatessen 5.952345e-06 -1.044652e-06 -1.809267e-05 -6.058189e-06 -1.442451e-06 -5.732818 e-06 4) For each vector in the ICA decomposition, write a sentence or two explaining what sort of object or property it

Answer: ICA-1: It is more correspond to fresh feature than other features. It also shows Detergents_Paper and Delicatessen have same impact. It also indicates a relation between "low Fresh demand" along with "high Grocery demand". Fresh -8.651571e-07, Milk -1.405604e-07, Grocery 7.738996e-07,

ICA-2: The most valuable value in this ICA is Detergents_Paper with 8.58. and its reverse relationship with Grocery or

ICA-3: The largest absolute value of this IC corresponds to Grocery. Also it indicates Frozen (-5) and Detergents_Paper(+5) have reverse relationship. Fresh: -3.864868e-07, Milk:-2.195676e-07,

ICA-4: It corresponds to Milk with 8.59, also Grocery (6) and Frozen(6) have same impact.

Grocery: 6.290630e-07, Frozen: 6.770578e-07, Detergents_Paper :-2.071218e-06, Delicatessen :1.040901e-06,

ICA-5: It seems it is more correspond to Delicatessen with 5.72 than other features and Milk, Fresh and Detergents Paper have same impact.

In this section you will choose either K Means clustering or Gaussian Mixed Models clustering, which implements

I want to use K-Means because it is deterministic and we don't need to deal with probability at this point. Because we don't

6) Below is some starter code to help you visualize some cluster data. The visualization is based on this demo from the

TODO: Implement your clustering algorithm here, and fit it to the reduced data for visualizat

clusters.append(KMeans(n_clusters=c, max_iter=500, n_jobs=2).fit(reduced_data))

2 Clusters: KMeans(copy_x=True, init='k-means++', max_iter=500, n_clusters=2, n_init=10,

3 Clusters: KMeans(copy_x=True, init='k-means++', max_iter=500, n_clusters=3, n_init=10,

4 Clusters: KMeans(copy_x=True, init='k-means++', max_iter=500, n_clusters=4, n_init=10,

The visualizer below assumes your clustering object is named 'clusters'

n_jobs=2, precompute_distances='auto', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,

n_jobs=2, precompute_distances='auto', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,

n_jobs=2, precompute_distances='auto', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,

makes less mistake in comparison with k-Means in cases data points are near decision boundary.

TODO: First we reduce the data to two dimensions using PCA to capture variation

expectation-maximization. Then you will sample elements from the clusters to understand their significance. **Choose a Cluster Type** 5) What are the advantages of using K Means clustering or Gaussian Mixture Models? Answer: These two Models act really like each other. I think only differences between these two models can be about type of prediction. K-Means predicts deterministic (hard) so it is faster, especially when we have small numbers of K. Time complexity for K-Means is normally O (n² log n), Whereas Gaussian Mixture predicts more probabilistic (Soft). So it

know after how much certainty we can assign a data point to a cluster.

5 Clusters: KMeans(copy_x=True, init='k-means++', max_iter=500, n_clusters=5, n_init=10, n_jobs=2, precompute_distances='auto', random_state=None, tol=0.0001, verbose=0)

In [80]:

Z=[]

for x in range(4):

2 clusters:

3 clusters:

4 clusters:

In [82]:

for x in range(4):

plt.clf()

plt.figure(1)

[4175.31101293

[-24088.33276689

[[4114.95375632 -3081.03219608] [1339.44615464 25546.49074629] [-24220.71188261 -4364.45560022]]

[[3542.08605212 -4936.7212132]

[-9052.39957144 -4808.55909102]]

Put the result into a color plot

Z[x] = Z[x].reshape(xx.shape)

cmap=plt.cm.Paired,

color='w', zorder=10)

Clustering on the wholesale grocery dataset (PCA-reduced data) Centroids are marked with white cross - 2 Clusters

plt.xlim(x_min, x_max) plt.ylim(y_min, y_max)

plt.xticks(()) plt.yticks(())

plt.show()

plt.imshow(Z[x], interpolation='nearest',

aspect='auto', origin='lower')

plt.scatter(centroids[x][:, 0], centroids[x][:, 1],

marker='x', s=169, linewidths=3,

 $y_min, y_max = reduced_data[:, 1].min() - 1, reduced_data[:, 1].max() + 1$ $hx = (x_max - x_min)/1000.$ $hy = (y_{max}-y_{min})/1000.$ xx, yy = np.meshgrid(np.arange(x_min, x_max, hx), np.arange(y_min, y_max, hy)) # Obtain labels for each point in mesh. Use last trained model.

Z.append(clusters[x].predict(np.c_[xx.ravel(), yy.ravel()]))

Plot the decision boundary by building a mesh grid to populate a graph. x_{min} , $x_{max} = reduced_data[:, 0].min() - 1, <math>reduced_data[:, 0].max() + 1$

In [81]: # TODO: Find the centroids for KMeans or the cluster means for GMM centroids = []for x in range(4): centroids.append(clusters[x].cluster_centers_)

print "%d clusters: "%(x+2), '\n', centroids[x], '\n\n'

-211.15109304] 1218.17938291]]

[5710.98964991 12661.45687292] [-24220.71188261 -4364.45560022] [-14537.71774395 61715.67085248]] 5 clusters: [[6399.7117556 -4169.29690862] [5607.91709853 14199.18040025] [-37704.64157991 -5488.35405895] [-14537.71774395 61715.67085248]

extent=(xx.min(), xx.max(), yy.min(), yy.max()),

plt.plot(reduced_data[:, 0], reduced_data[:, 1], 'k.', markersize=2)

plt.title('Clustering on the wholesale grocery dataset (PCA-reduced data)\n' 'Centroids are marked with white cross - %d Clusters ' % (x+2))

Clustering on the wholesale grocery dataset (PCA-reduced data) Centroids are marked with white cross - 3 Clusters Clustering on the wholesale grocery dataset (PCA-reduced data) Centroids are marked with white cross - 4 Clusters

Clustering on the wholesale grocery dataset (PCA-reduced data) Centroids are marked with white cross - 5 Clusters

In [83]:

print df

Detergents_Paper

Delicatessen

Detergents Paper.

Answer:

Cluster-1 Cluster-2 Cluster-3 8322.698619 36135.484573 7866.483346 Fresh Milk 3708.396004 18810.881445 6480.234754 Grocery 5342.264512 27401.857168 6104.738784 2502.646022 2389.529055 6844.029050 Frozen

1784.863317 12224.371740

comparison with Milk because these three have lowest number ic Cluster-1

df.columns= ['Cluster-%i'% x for x in xrange(1,4)]

1068.759828

df = pd.DataFrame(pca.inverse_transform(centroids[1]),columns=data.columns).T

2891.821454

Cluster-3 describes those customers who spend a lot on Fresh because it is too high and also they spend same money on Milk, Grocery and Frozen and only a little on Detergents_Paper and Delicatessen **Conclusions**

8) Which of these techniques did you feel gave you the most insight into the data?

as much as PCA.

Answer:

I think this clustering can help company to introduce each strategy only for some part of its customers not all of them. I

mean you can have a better understanding of your customer requirements with PCA and clustering, so you can make a new customized strategy for each group of your customer to get a better result. We can chose few customers from each cluster and then apply our new strategy on each group_test and then evaluate our result. After certain time we can realize for which clusters the new strategy is useful. 10) How would you use that data to help you predict future customer needs? Answer: We can assign a label to each cluster(i.e. each data point inside that cluster) and then run any types of supervised learning algorithm. It really can be helpful to categorize online customers or new customers based on their first porches, in

7) What are the central objects in each cluster? Describe them as customers.

1116.078897

2925.295328

To answer this question I had to revert back centroids to actual value. I think 3 Clusters are the best for this model.

Cluster-1 describes those customers who spend or order a lot of Grocery items because it has biggest number in this

vector and then Milk, Detergents_Paperbut are next, but they spend so much less on Delicatessen, Frozen and Fresh in

Cluster-2 describes those types of customers who spend more on Fresh and Grocery in comarison with Delicatessen and

Answer: I think PCA gave me most insight into the data; it lets you to see data's dimensionality and lets you to reduce it efficiently. Also you can see which features are playing most roles on each component. After PCA I would say ICA was helpful, but not KMeans and plotting gave me a good understanding of my clusters and data. but if I have to chose only one I would definitely say PCA. **9)** How would you use that technique to help the company design new experiments?

order to make a new suggestion to them. Because we know they are belong to which group and what they are exactly looking for and what should we suggest to them. For example based on cluster-3 we know whoever spends a lot of money on Fresh most probably spends same amount of money on Milk, Grocery and Frozen. In []: