# Automatic Universal In-Browser Payments

by

Daan Middendorp

**Matriculation Number 397108** 

A thesis submitted to

Technische Universität Berlin School IV - Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Department of Telecommunication Systems Service-centric Networking

Master's Thesis

April 25, 2020

Supervised by: Prof. Dr. Axel Küpper

Assistant supervisor: Dr. Dr. Chuck Norris





	Statutory	y Decl	laration
--	-----------	--------	----------

I hereby declare that I have created this work completely on my own and used no other sources or tools than the ones listed.

Berlin, April 25, 2020

Daan Middendorp

# Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my teddybear...

## **Abstract**

In this thesis, we show that lorem ipsum dolor sit amet.

## Contents

1	Intro	oductio	on	1
	1.1	Resear	rch statement	. 1
	1.2	Metho	odology	. 2
2	Rela	ited Wo	ork	3
	2.1	Brave	Browser	. 3
		2.1.1	Basic Attention Token	. 3
		2.1.2	Anonize protocol	. 4
			2.1.2.1 ICO	. 4
			2.1.2.2 Brave ledger system	. 4
	2.2	Lightn	ning Network	. 4
3	Con	cept an	nd Design	5
	3.1	Archit	tecture	
		3.1.1	Wallet	
		3.1.2	Publisher library	
		3.1.3	Communication	
		3.1.4	Micropayments	. 6
4	Imp	lement	tation	7
5	Eval	uation		9
6	Con	clusion	1	11
Lis	st of T	<b>Fables</b>		13
Lis	st of l	Figures	;	15
	peno	Ü		17
-	-			
Αŗ	pend	11x 1		19

### 1 Introduction

The business of online advertising has evolved into a landscape which is not transparent anymore. A handful of large advertisement firms are controlling practically every online ad you see. Almost every movement during the visit of a regular website is sent in an obfuscated way to the advertisement broker, without any visible sign to the visitor. This makes the whole browsing experience obnoxious, especially now it turns out that entire societies are being influenced by the power of advertisement networks, as we have seen in the Cambridge Analytica scandal [?].

Several publishers have been experimenting with alternative ways of generating income. Currently, some of them are selling subscriptions, asking for donations or using the visitors' computer for cryptomining [?]. But these models do not seem to be a real substitute for advertisement networks.

In this master thesis, which is written at the Service-centric networking research group at the Technische Universität Berlin, the main focus lies at solving this so called unpaid content problem while assuring the privacy of the user and keeping the costs low. The increasing possibilties in the field of blockchain technology are of great use for such a solution and therefore also a key building block of the proof of concept.

The concept, as discribed in chapter 3, features a system that runs in the background while browsing the web. If the users visits a publisher that also supports the system, a message will be shown to the user indicating that it is possible to hide the advertisements and pay a small amount per pageview instead. When this permission is granted, the user will not see any advertisements on that particular website again, but contribute by sending small payments to the publisher instead.

As this research is made possible by public money, the entire process is kept as transparent as possible. This is achieved by publishing everything related to this thesis under a permissive free software license on Github <sup>1</sup>.

### 1.1 Research statement

This research will investigate the possiblities of new technologies in order to solve the unpaid content problem. The following research question is defined:

How can the unpaid content problem be solved in a cheap, privacy preserving and transparent way?

This research question is split up in the following subquestions:

<sup>1</sup> https://github.com/lightning-sprinkle

- What current revenue models are used in order to solve the unpaid content problem?
- How is privacy preserved in the current models?
- What are the costs of the current models?
- What is the amount of transparency in the current models?
- What are the conditions, that an alternative model should adopt in order to be at least comparable to existing models?
- How to realize and implement a comparable revenue model that follows these conditions?

### 1.2 Methodology

In order to explain the different models and concept, a couple of roles will be used througout this thesis.

### **Unpaid** content

Content that is freely available on the internet (without a subscription or payment), such as news articles and video's.

### Publisher

The owner of the website that provides the unpaid content

#### User

The visitor of the website that consumes the unpaid content

### Ad broker

A third party providing advertisements to the user in order to generate revenue for the publisher



### 2 Related Work

Revenue models on the internet to monetize online content is a topic that has been actively researched and experimented with over the past few decades. Even in the early days of the World Wide Web, the problem of unpaid content existed. These approaches include paywalls and web advertisements. For example, the *Wall Street Journal* implemented already a hard paywall in 1996, which is still in place as of today, with over 2 million subscribers as of February 2020. Alternatives to hard paywalls are soft paywalls. The difference between both types is that soft paywalls are trying to convince potential customers to subscribe by giving them a free sample of the content. For example, the *New York Times* has implemented a soft paywall with a limit of 5 free articles per month.

Paywalls, however are fairly easy to circumvent. This especially accounts for soft paywalls. Therefore, publishers are trying to implement counter measures in order to enforce a subscription. For example, the *New York Times* attacked one popular circumvention method, the use of an *incognito window*, by detecting it an blocking it completely.

### 2.1 Brave Browser

In 2016, Brave Software launched a browser that blocked ads and trackers by default, the Brave Browser <sup>1</sup>. During the introduction, Brave Software also shared their plans for a Brave Publisher Ads program to pay publishers back fair a share of internet revenue. As of 2020, their service is called "Brave rewards program", and any content creator can enroll in order to get paid for content.

#### 2.1.1 Basic Attention Token

In order to achieve a system that makes it possible to reward content makers on the internet, Brave introduced the Basic Attention Token. This token, which works like any other cryptocurrency, represents user attention. Their goal with this token is to trade "attention" just like any other commodity, like oil and coffee. This means that the this token can also be traded on a cryptocurrency exchange.

<sup>1</sup> https://www.brave.com

### 2.1.2 Anonize protocol

### 2.1.2.1 ICO

Brave Software used an initial coin offering to introduce the new token to the market. The ICO happened in May 2017 and raised 156,000 ETH, which was worth around 35M USD at the time. The raised money is mostly used to pay for the development and other costs of the token. The development team exists out of 20 developers.

### 2.1.2.2 Brave ledger system

- Brave vault

2.1.3

### 2.2 Lightning Network



# 3 Concept and Design

The proposed solution is an implementation which is completely based on the existing infrastructure that is available on the web. This comes with the advance that it works across all different types of devices, from desktop computers to smartphones. Another feature of this approach is that it does not require any additional tools, which might need some effort to setup.

### 3.1 Architecture

There are basically two components in this system: there is a wallet, which takes care of the storage of encryption keys and is responsible for handling all communications with a cryptocurrency network. The second part is the publisher library, which can be embedded by any website who want to take part in the universal-pay ecosystem.

#### **3.1.1** Wallet

The wallet will be, like all the other parts of the architecture, implemented in standard web technology, which means Javascript. For the convenience of the end user, the wallet will be hosted on a domain to make sure that for the system to work, still no additional configuration is needed. However, this requires trust. If the owner of the domain becomes malicious, the entire wallet might be stolen. Therefore, the user is free to host his own wallet on every desired location, even *localhost* is a possiblity.

### 3.1.2 Publisher library

Publishers can load an external library into their website, this library communicates with the wallet, as described in 3.1.1. When the user visits the page of the publisher, the loaded library will check if there is a wallet running on that local machine. If this is not the case, it will embed the hosted instance of the wallet in an iframe.

If the connection with the wallet is established, the publisher will ask the wallet for a payment. The wallet can accept this payment and create a transaction which is sent to the blockchain network.

### 3.1.3 Communication

One of the challenges with this architecture it the communication and how to make sure a connection is established with a publisher that actually is legit and provides content on the users' computer.

In order to make this possible, a structure with WebRTC is proposed. WebRTC is a technology which makes it possible for different websites (even accross different computers) to communicate with eachother. The technology was invented to make real time video and audio communication possible within the browser. However, the API makes it also possible to send data over the channel.

### 3.1.4 Micropayments



# 4 Implementation

Describe the details of the actual implementation here...

# 5 Evaluation

The evaluation of the thesis should be described in this chapter

# 6 Conclusion

Describe what you did here

# List of Tables

# List of Figures

# Appendices

# Appendix 1

```
1 for($i=1; $i<123; $i++)
2 {
3     echo "work harder!;)";
4 }</pre>
```