PHYS 357 Midterm. You have 3 hours from when you start, due by 11:59 PM on Wednesday October 23

You may use 3 pages of notes. The maximum score is 100 points, even though there are 101 (plus up to 5 bonus) points available.

1. For matrices A and B, would you expect that $\exp(A) \exp(B) = \exp(A + B)$? Please answer for the following three cases.

A: If A and B are general matrices.

B: If A and B are Hermitian.

C: if A and B commute.

For each case, please justify your answer mathematically. (7 points each)

- 2. Some operator A has an eigenstate $|\Psi\rangle$ with eigenvalue λ , so $A|\Psi\rangle = \lambda |\Psi\rangle$. Let's assume there is another operator B that anti-commutes with A: $\{A,B\} \equiv AB + BA = 0$. Show that if $B|\Psi\rangle$ is non-zero, it is also an eigenstate of A (10 points), and determine its eigenvalue (10 points).
- 3. Your professor promised to show you at some point in time why a rotation matrix has the form $\exp(-iJ\theta/\hbar)$ and is not allowed to have an overall phase. That time has now come. We will do this for a spin-1/2 particle, where we saw the rotation matrix about an arbitrary axis must have the form

$$R_n(\theta) = \exp(i\delta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(i\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1)

as long as we are working in the basis defined by that axis. *i.e.* if we are rotating about the n-axis, then the two states in R_n are $|+n\rangle$ and $|-n\rangle$.

A: Show that δ must be proportional to θ (reminder - a rotation by $n\theta$ is the same as n rotations by θ about the same axis). (5 points)

1. For matrices A and B, would you expect that $\exp(A) \exp(B) = \exp(A + B)$? Please answer for the following three cases.

A: If A and B are general matrices.

B: If A and B are Hermitian.

C: if A and B commute.

For each case, please justify your answer mathematically. (7 points each)

$$e^{A} = \sum_{N,1}^{AN} \quad So \quad e^{A}e^{B} = \sum_{N}^{AN} \sum_{N,1}^{BN} \sum_{N,1}^{BN} \quad and \quad e^{A+B} = \sum_{N,1}^{A} \sum_{N,1}^{A} \sum_{m,1}^{BN} \sum_{m,1}^{A} \sum_{m,1}^{BN} \sum_{m,1}^{A} \sum_{m,1}^{BN} \sum_{m,1}^{A} \sum_{m,1}^{BN} \sum_{m,1}^{A} \sum_{m,1}^{BN} \sum_{m$$

30 $e^A e^B = e^{A+B}$ only holds if $A \neq B$ commute (not true for Hermitian or general matrices)

2. Some operator A has an eigenstate $|\Psi\rangle$ with eigenvalue λ , so $A|\Psi\rangle = \lambda |\Psi\rangle$. Let's assume there is another operator B that anti-commutes with A: $\{A,B\} \equiv AB + BA = 0$. Show that if $B|\Psi\rangle$ is non-zero, it is also an eigenstate of A (10 points), and determine its eigenvalue (10 points).

$$A(B|\Psi\rangle) = AB|\Psi\rangle \quad \text{with } B|\Psi\rangle \neq 0$$

$$AB = -BA$$

$$= -BA|\Psi\rangle$$

$$= -B(\lambda|\Psi\rangle)$$

$$= -\lambda(B|\Psi\rangle)$$
So $(B|\Psi\rangle)$ is an eigenstate of A with eigenvalue $-\lambda$

3. Your professor promised to show you at some point in time why a rotation matrix has the form
$$\exp(-iJ\theta/\hbar)$$
 and is not allowed to have an overall phase. That time has now come. We will do this for a spin-1/2 particle, where we saw the rotation matrix about an arbitrary axis must have the form

$$R_n(\theta) = \exp(i\delta) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(i\theta) \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1)

as long as we are working in the basis defined by that axis. i.e. if we are rotating about the n-axis, then the two states in R_n are $|+n\rangle$ and $|-n\rangle$.

X: Show that δ must be proportional to θ (reminder - a rotation by $n\theta$ is the same as nrotations by θ about the same axis). (5 points)

a) we need
$$R_n(a\theta) = R_n(\theta)^a$$

$$e^{is'}(0 e^{ia\theta}) = [e^{is}(0 e^{i\theta})]^{a}$$
 $e^{is'}(0 e^{ia\theta}) = e^{ias}(0 e^{ia\theta})$
 $e^{is'}(0 e^{ia\theta})$

B: Show that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in the $|+z\rangle$, $|-z\rangle$ -basis swaps +z and -z. (5 points)

$$50 \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 - 2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1 + 2 >$$

C: The key step in getting the correct overall phase is noting that a rotation by θ about the $|+z\rangle$ -axis is the same as a rotation by $-\theta$ about the -z-axis¹. Show that fact, along with the results from parts a) and b), requires $\delta = -\theta/2$. (10 points)

if we have
$$|\Psi\rangle = C_{+} |1+2\rangle + C_{-} |1-2\rangle$$
, then we can write:

$$Rotating by \Theta \text{ about } |1+2\rangle : R_{+2}(\Theta) = e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Rotating by \Theta \text{ about } |1-2\rangle : R_{-2}(\Theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\Theta} \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ C_{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\Theta} \\ e^{i\Theta} C_{-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ C_{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\Theta} \\ e^{i\Theta} C_{-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ C_{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\Theta} \\ e^{i\Theta} C_{-} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ C_{-} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= e^{iS} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\Theta} \\ 0 & e^{i\Theta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{+} \\ 0 &$$

B: Show that the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

in the $\left|+z\right\rangle,\left|-z\right\rangle$ -basis swaps +z and -z. (5 points)

C: The key step in getting the correct overall phase is noting that a rotation by θ about the $|+z\rangle$ -axis is the same as a rotation by $-\theta$ about the -z-axis¹. Show that fact, along with the results from parts a) and b), requires $\delta = -\theta/2$. (10 points)

4. Consider a two-state system with operator A that has eigenvalues λ₁ and λ₂. Consider a state (c₁, c₂) with amplitudes c₁ and c₂ to be in the eigenstates with eigenvalues λ₁ and λ₂.
A: What is the expectation value of the operator A? Please express in terms of p₁ = c₁*c₁, p₂ = c₂*c₂, λ₁, and λ₂? (5 points)

B: What is the uncertainty in a measurement of A, again in terms of the same variables. (5 points)

C: Show the three conditions under which the uncertainty is zero, and show that it is otherwise greater than zero. Hint: you might want to convince yourself that $p_1 - p_1^2 = p_2 - p_2^2$. (10 points)

5. A: Working in the x-basis, sketch out where the non-zero elements of the J_x raising and lowering operators are for some modest value of j (you may assume that, as usual, the m's are in decreasing order). I don't need to see the actual numbers, just where the non-zero entries are. If you feel the need to be concrete, you may write out the j = 2 case. (5 points)

B: Continuing to work in the x-basis, show where the non-zero entries of J_y and J_z are. (5 points)

C: Given the form of the operators from part B, explain why $\langle J_y \rangle = \langle J_z \rangle = 0$ for any pure state of J_x . (10 points)

¹You can see this by bringing your hands together with your thumbs pointing in the opposite direction. The fingers on both your right-hand and left-hand curl in the same direction, but your left hand is the opposite sign from your right hand.

4. Consider a two-state system with operator A that has eigenvalues λ₁ and λ₂. Consider a state (c₁, c₂) with amplitudes c₁ and c₂ to be in the eigenstates with eigenvalues λ₁ and λ₂.
★: What is the expectation value of the operator A? Please express in terms of p₁ = c₁*c₁, p₂ = c₂*c₂, λ₁, and λ₂? (5 points)

B: What is the uncertainty in a measurement of A, again in terms of the same variables. (5 points)

 \mathcal{C} : Show the three conditions under which the uncertainty is zero, and show that it is otherwise greater than zero. Hint: you might want to convince yourself that $p_1 - p_1^2 = p_2 - p_2^2$. (10 points)

$$|\psi\rangle = C_1 |\varphi_1\rangle + C_2 |\varphi_2\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
, $A|\varphi_1\rangle = \lambda_1 |\varphi_1\rangle$, $A|\varphi_2\rangle = \lambda_2 |\varphi_2\rangle$

a)
$$\langle A \rangle = \langle \Psi | A | \Psi \rangle = \langle C_1^* | C_2^* \rangle \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

from $\langle \Psi | = | \Psi \rangle^{\dagger}$

$$= \langle C_1^* | C_2^* \rangle \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 | C_1 \\ \lambda_2 | C_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= C_1^* | C_1 | \lambda_1 + C_2^* | C_2 | \lambda_2$$

$$= P_1 | \lambda_1 + P_2 | \lambda_2$$

b)
$$\sigma(A) = [\langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2]^{1/2}$$

 $Same \ as \ above \ but \ with \ \lambda^2$
 $\langle A^2 \rangle = P_1 \lambda_1^2 + P_2 \lambda_2^2$
 $= [P_1 \lambda_1^2 + P_2 \lambda_2^2 - (P_1 \lambda_1 + P_2 \lambda_2)^2]^{1/2}$
 $= [P_1 \lambda_1^2 + P_2 \lambda_2^2 - (P_1 \lambda_1 + P_2 \lambda_2)^2]^{1/2}$
 $= [P_1 \lambda_1^2 + P_2 \lambda_2^2 - P_1^2 \lambda_1^2 - P_2^2 \lambda_2^2 - 2P_1P_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{1/2}$
 $= [\lambda_1^2 P_1 (1 - P_1) + \lambda_2^2 P_2 (1 - P_2) - 2P_1P_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{1/2}$
 $\int P_1 + P_2 = 1$
 $= [\lambda_1^2 P_1 P_2 + \lambda_2^2 P_2 P_1 - 2P_1 P_2 \lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{1/2}$
 $= \sqrt{P_1 P_2} [\lambda_1 - \lambda_2]^2$

c) we have
$$\sigma(A) = 0$$
 if 0 $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$

$$(2) P_1 = 0 \quad (P_2 \neq 0)$$

$$(3) P_2 = 0 \quad (P_1 \neq 0)$$

$$(4) = 0 \quad (5) \neq 0$$

$$(5) = 0 \quad (6) \neq 0$$

$$(6) = 0 \quad (6) \neq 0$$

$$(7) = 0 \quad (6) \neq 0$$

$$(7) = 0 \quad (6) \neq 0$$

$$(8) =$$

otherwise, $p_1 \not\in p_2$ are both >0 since $p = c \cdot c = |c|^2$, and $\sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2} > 0$ since $\lambda \in |R|$ (measuring A so it represents an observable, i.e., eigenvalues are real)

- 5. A: Working in the x-basis, sketch out where the non-zero elements of the J_x raising and lowering operators are for some modest value of j (you may assume that, as usual, the m's are in decreasing order). I don't need to see the actual numbers, just where the non-zero entries are. If you feel the need to be concrete, you may write out the j=2 case. (5 points)
 - **B**: Continuing to work in the x-basis, show where the non-zero entries of J_y and J_z are. (5) points)
 - \mathscr{L} : Given the form of the operators from part B, explain why $\langle J_y \rangle = \langle J_z \rangle = 0$ for any pure state of J_x . (10 points)
- a) $J_{\pm,x}$ in the J_x basis so we have $J_+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ nonzero (right above diagonal)

$$J_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$diagonal = 0$$

$$Nonzero (right below diag.)$$

b) we have $J_{\pm,x} = J_y \pm i J_z$ so $J_{+} + J_{-} = 2J_y \rightarrow J_y = \frac{1}{2}(J_{+} + J_{-})$ and $J_{+} - J_{-} = -2iJ_z \rightarrow J_z = \frac{i}{2i}(J_{+} - J_{-})$

so both Jy and Jz will have the form of Nonzero

c) pure state of T_x will look like $147 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ie a single 1 in a single entry (in the x basis)

 $-n^{th}$ entry =0 since diag of J is 0 - any nonzero entry will be in a row $\neq n$ ie when the 1 matches up with an off diag. nonzero entry of J

so this will give o since the 1 in (0...1...0) is in nth column, ie where we for sure have a o in

Bonus: Again, looking at the form of the angular momentum operators, what is the minimal condition on a general wave function expressed in the x-basis to have a non-zero mean value of J_y or J_z ? (up to 5 points missed elsewhere)