

2025 UPDATE ON POTENTIAL DEVICES, DIAGNOSTICS, & THERAPEUTICS



Lois W. Sayrs, PhD

Director of Biostatistics, CHOC Children's Research Institute

2025



The Rady-OC Virtual Incubator

Research Strategic Plan for acceleration of peds devices

- WHAT? Calls for us to build out a peds device incubator or accelerator
- WHY?
 - Ethical responsibility to promote peds devices
 - Promote RADY as a top destination for peds medical device testing and innovative research on devices
 - Leverage our geographic locale in center of MedTech ecosphere
 - Generate an economic engine for RADY and for OC/San Diego Counties by accelerating devices

HOW? Current approach:

- Utilize Nadine Afari to source promising devices
- Develop early phase partnerships-pre FDA clearance/approval
- Partner with Octane and City of Irvine to provide full service acceleration
- Build capacity towards becoming a MPDTCU
- Use “wins” to apply for SHIP hospital status

The Rady-OC Virtual Incubator

Test cohort June 2025-June 2026

- Opportunity to try our incubation skills for a few devices
- Leverage our unique position and resources to support early stage devices
- Demonstrate CHOC is a key local partner for OC founders and others
- Apply for grants, attempt device trials and other efforts to test our limits and highlight areas for improvement
- Align infrastructure, assess needs for future growth of incubator
- Develop a strategic direction and phase growth

Update December 2025 –Lessons Learned

- No clear legal pathway for partnership
- No dedicated fast-lane for ARC review of experimental devices regardless of risk
- Strategic direction for the incubator not aligned with RI processes and infrastructure
- RI Leadership focused on managing operations for merger, growing budget and FTE, fitting device trials into normal research operations, and improving basic research operations
 - No prioritization of device partnerships in research
 - No defined processes for effective management of projects, research requests, and founder's needs (all ad hoc via email requests using extant personnel)
 - Limited expertise and experience among regulatory staff or managers for devices
- Future opportunities will require us to align strategic direction to new processes and a develop a plan to stage growth

The 2025 Cohort of Companies

Leasing space:

Omaroon: <https://www.omaroon.com/>

Interro IQ, Inc. <https://www.interroiq.io>

Syntr Health Technologies, Inc.: <https://syntrhealth.com/>

Implementation/clinical trial:

Canva Dx: <https://cognoa.com/>

Industry Education/partner:

Innopiphany: <https://innopiphany.com/>

In process/planned partnering with PIs for CHOC RI:

Syntr Health Technologies, Inc.: <https://syntrhealth.com/>

Epinex: <https://www.epinex.com/>

KiHealth: <https://www.kihealth.com/?srsId=AfmBOooaMDJcOqmOBVRU20yVD4KVR17yKCr1xMLzpRwmlMJvUDyhhh>

CoreDX: <https://cordx.com/>

Centralive: <https://centralive.health/>

Sanofi: <https://www.sanofi.com/en/patients/understanding-diseases-conditions/diabetes/autoimmune-type-one-diabetes>

Other

Ventris medical

AcQumen

2026 Update on Biostats Innovation Collabs

- **Cardiology**
 - Digital twinning-tool to develop “counterfactual examples” to test hypotheses
 - Virtual Pectus patient -Simulation of complex cardio-pulmonary and chest wall dynamics- (Dr. Renella, Dr. Kabeer, University of Genoa)
 - Digital twinning-Fontan patient optimizing surgical intervention (Dr. Starr, Dr. Kelly).
- **Device testing**
 - Promoting *in silico* evidence testing for SaMD
 - Ventris medical –data analytics for device testing
- **GI**
 - Remote monitoring (Dr. Chogle and UCI); agentic component
- **Population Health**
 - RSV #1-Query-based agent to provide analytic insights based on Clauda and RSV data to identify RSV vaccine “hot spots” in OC (dr. Winkler)
 - RSV #2- Applying game theory to improve forecast of vaccine geo-dynamics (dr. Winkler)
 - *TOAST-Targeting Obesity in AdoleScents with Obesity* prototype agentic health coach. (Dr. Weiss, Dr. Casilang, Centralive)
 - Food as Medicine-content added to health coach

2026 Proposed Process for Incubator Growth

- Create a process to review opportunities monthly or quarterly
- Create a Rady incubator stakeholder's group
 - Key research personnel
 - Clinical and other stakeholders
 - Experienced PIs with skills and interest in MedTech
- Develop clear incubator goals and tasks
 - Assess organizational readiness to incubate
 - Resources
 - Training
 - Data
 - Regulatory
 - Develop a plan to implement incubator goals
 - Grow capacity
 - Target key partnerships
 - Develop incubator criteria
 - Identify incubator partners
 - Implement and assess incubator criteria

2026 Organizational Readiness

- Infrastructure

- Formal independent Intake system, vetting, communications, referrals
- Coordination with pipelines
- Tracking system
- Transparency in deliverables, costs
- Strict timeframes for deliverables
- Easy data access for exploration, recruitment, and trial optimization
- Trial management system with embedded costs

- Resources

- Permanently assigned portfolio project director/manager and assistants
- Independent budget with funding
- Available dedicated “Hubs” or PIs
- Scientists, engineers, device founders and investors
- Legal support specifically for contracting
- SBIR dedicated support; Trial support
- FDA support

- Other Needs/Training

- Regulatory experience
- Device recruiting
- Specific lane in Foundation for peds device gifts to “de-risk” investment
- Financial and other incentives for clinicians

- Clear Criteria

- Impact/novelty
- Commercial viability
- Shared success
- Patient/population fit
- Resources required
- Clinical fit and capabilities

- Planned Growth

- Leadership
- Strategic planning
- Funding
- Additional resources

Appendix A : Details for 2026 Proposed CHOC/Rady Incubator Selection Criteria

- **Impact Assessment**

- **Outcomes improvement:** Degree of clinical benefit expected for patients/families
- **Standard of Care Improvement:** Measurable advantages over current approaches (outcomes, safety, workflow)
- **Workflow Efficiency Gains:** Time and resource savings for clinical teams
- **Cost-Benefit Profile:** Economic advantages for healthcare delivery
- **Quality of Life Enhancement/Reduce Burden of Care:** Patient experience and functional improvement potential
- **Scalability Beyond CHOC/Rady:** Applicability to Broader Pediatric Healthcare Environments
- **Health Equity Advancement:** Potential to address disparities in care or outcomes
- **Transformative Potential:** Capacity to fundamentally change practice paradigms in pediatric care

2026 Proposed Selection Criteria cont'd

- **Patient Availability, Population Exploration, Trial Design, and Data Access**

- **Target Population Presence:** Sufficient numbers of relevant patient population at CHOC
- **Data Access to RWE, Exploration and Sample Optimization-Capabilities** to optimize trial design and sampling
- **Patient Recruitment Potential:** Established pathways to identify and engage appropriate patients
- **Demographic Representation:** Availability of diverse patient populations reflective of target markets
- **Follow-up Capabilities:** Infrastructure for patient tracking and long-term outcomes assessment
- **Ethical Considerations:** Clear pathway for appropriate consent and patient safety protections
- **Competitive Study Landscape:** Assessment of other ongoing studies that might compete for the same patients

2026 Proposed Selection Criteria cont'd

- **Resource Availability**

- **Technical Infrastructure Readiness:** Necessary systems, equipment, and IT support
- **Staff Expertise Alignment:** Availability of personnel with relevant skills and knowledge
- **Time Commitment Feasibility:** Realistic assessment of clinician and staff time requirements
- **Departmental Support:** Buy-in from relevant clinical and administrative departments
- **Physical Space Availability:** Appropriate facilities for implementation and evaluation
- **Financial Resource Allocation:** Budget alignment with project requirements
- **Cross-Functional Collaboration:** Mechanisms for interdisciplinary teamwork and communication

2026 Proposed Selection Criteria cont'd

- **Clinical Capabilities and Fit**

- **Clinical Problem Definition:** Clarity and precision in defining the clinical need being addressed
- **Clinical Champion:** Presence and commitment of CHOC clinical advocates
- **Clinical Evidence Needs:** (conceptual, preliminary data, pilot studies, IRB clinical trials)
- **Clinical Implementation Feasibility:** Ease of integration into clinical workflows and systems
- **Regulatory Pathway:** Knowledge and ability to meet FDA/regulatory requirements

2026 Proposed Selection Criteria cont'd

- **Shared Success Model/Partnership**

- **Stakeholder Value Alignment:** Families, providers, patients, payers, health system
- **Revenue Sharing Framework:** Transparent mechanisms for distributing potential income
- **Brand Association Parameters:** Guidelines for CHOC name and reputation utilization
- **“De-Risking” Assessment:** Fair allocation of financial upside relative to contributions
- **Intellectual Property Rights:** Clear ownership and licensing arrangements
- **Publication and Recognition Rights:** Academic credit and authorship guidelines
- **Follow-on Innovation Rights:** Process for managing subsequent improvements and applications

2026 Proposed Selection Criteria cont'd

- **Commercial Viability**

- **Reimbursement Pathway:** Payment Mechanisms
- **Exit or Commercialization Strategy:** An agreed approach for technology transfer or spinout
- **Timeline Feasibility:** Realistic timeframes for clinical trials, testing, or evidence generation
- **Adoption Barriers:** Understanding of challenges to purchasing, implementing
- **Competitive advantage:** Identifiable differences from alternatives
- **Sustainable Revenue Generation:** Growth and robust revenue strategy



Questions? Comments?

