#### Context-Free Grammars

Sipser 2.1 (pages 99 – 109)

### What are we missing?

- So far:
- We know how to recognize languages
  - With finite state automata
  - As people...
- We know how to generate languages
  - With regular expressions
  - As people...
- Finite state automata and regular expressions are limited, though!

### Bring back memories?

- In English, a grammar tells us whether a particular sentence is well formed or not
- For instance, "a sentence can consist of a noun phrase followed by a verb phrase"
- More concisely, we could write
  <sentence> →<sub>G</sub> <noun\_phrase> <verb\_phrase>

#### Great, but what's a noun phrase?

- A sentence is
  - <sentence> →<sub>G</sub> <noun\_phrase><verb\_phrase>
- We need to provide definitions for the newly introduced constructs
   <noun\_phrase> and <verb\_phrase>
  - <noun\_phrase> →<sub>G</sub> <article><noun>
  - <verb\_phrase> → G <verb>

#### Generating well-formed sentences

- · Grammar rules so far:
  - <sentence> → c <noun\_phrase> <verb\_phrase>
  - <noun\_phrase> → article><noun>
  - <verb\_phrase> → c <verb>
- To complete our simple grammar, we associate actual words with the terms <article>, <noun>, and <verb>
  - <article> → a
  - <article> →<sub>G</sub> the
  - <noun> → <sub>G</sub> student
  - <verb> → relaxes
  - <verb> →<sub>G</sub> studies

### Context-free grammars

- A context-free grammar G is a quadruple  $(V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , where
  - V is a finite set called the variables
  - $\Sigma$  is a finite set, disjoint from V, called the terminals
  - R is a finite subset of  $V \times (VU\Sigma)^*$  called the rules
  - $-S \subseteq V$  is called the start symbol
- For any  $A \subseteq V$  and  $u \subseteq (V \cup \Sigma)^*$ , we write  $A \rightarrow_C u$  whenever  $(A, u) \subseteq R$

## The language of a grammar

- If  $-u, v, w \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*$  $-A \rightarrow_G w \text{ is a rule}$ then
  - We say uAv yields uwv
  - Write  $uAv \Rightarrow_G uwv$
- If

$$-u\Rightarrow_G u1\Rightarrow_G u2\Rightarrow_G ...\Rightarrow_G uk\Rightarrow_G v$$

- We write  $u \Rightarrow^*_G v$
- The language of the grammar G is

$$L(G) = \{ w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \Rightarrow^*_G w \}$$

## For example...

- Consider  $G = (V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , where
  - $-V=\{S\}$
  - $-\Sigma = \{a,b\}$
  - $-R = \{ S \rightarrow_G aSa \mid bSb \mid aSb \mid bSa \mid \varepsilon \}$
- Is there a grammar whose language is  $PAL = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w = reverse(w)\}$ ?

# Arithmetic expressions and parse trees

- Consider  $G=(V, \Sigma, R, S)$ , where  $-V = \{<EXPR>, <TERM>, <FACTOR>\}$  $-\Sigma = \{a, +, \times, (, )\}$  $-R = \{<EXPR> \rightarrow_G <EXPR> + <TERM> | <TERM>,$  $<TERM> \rightarrow_G <TERM> \times <FACTOR> |$ <FACTOR>, $<FACTOR> \rightarrow_G <EXPR> | a \}$ -S = <EXPR>
- What about  $a \times a + a$ ?

#### Leftmost derivation

- A derivation of a string in a grammar is a leftmost derivation if:
  - at every step the *leftmost* remaining variable is the one replaced

### Needlessly complicated?

How about just

 A grammar G is ambiguous if some string w has two or more different leftmost derivations

## Chomsky normal form

A context-free grammar G is in

#### **Chomsky normal form**

- If every rule is of the form
  - $\cdot A \rightarrow BC$
  - $\cdot A \rightarrow a$
  - where  $A,B,C \subseteq V, B \neq S \neq C$ , and  $a \subseteq \Sigma$
- We permit S → ε

## Chomsky normal form

- Theorem 2.9: Any context-free language is generated by a context-free grammar in Chomsky normal form
- Proof:
  - 1. Make sure S appears only on the left
  - 2. Remove empty rules:  $A \rightarrow \varepsilon$
  - 3. Handle unit rules:  $A \rightarrow B$
  - 4. Fix all the rest...
- For example:
  - $S \rightarrow_G ASA \mid aA$
  - $-A \rightarrow_{G}^{\circ} b \mid \varepsilon$