## Regular languages: complement closure

## Audrey St. John CS 311 Mount Holyoke College

This is a proof for completely showing the *closure* of the class of regular languages under the complement operator. Note the **correctness** portion where we must show an "if and only if."

**Theorem 1.** The class of regular languages is closed under the complement operator.

*Proof.* Let A be a regular language. Then there exists a DFA  $M=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_0,F)$  that recognizes A, i.e., L(M)=A.

We must show that  $\overline{A}$  is a regular language. That is, we must show that there exists a DFA that recognizes  $\overline{A}$ . The proof of existence is by construction. We build the DFA  $M' = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F')$ , where  $F' = \overline{F}$ , so that  $L(M') = \overline{A}$ .

We now show correctness of our construction, allowing us to conclude that  $\overline{A}$  is a regular language; i.e., regular languages are closed under the complement operator.

Claim 1. A string  $w \in L(M')$  if and only if  $w \in \overline{A}$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Assume  $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \in L(M')$ , where  $w_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By definition of the language of a machine, M' accepts w. Then, by definition of a DFA accepting an input, there exists a sequence of states  $s'_0, s'_1, \dots, s'_n$  such that

- 1.  $s_0' = q_0$
- 2.  $\delta(s'_i, w_{i+1}) = s'_{i+1}$  for all i = 0, ..., n-1
- 3.  $s'_n \in F'$

We show that  $w \in \overline{A}$ , i.e.,  $w \notin A$ . Since L(M) = A, this is equivalent to showing that M does not accept w; i.e., we must show there does not exist a sequence of states satisfying the three conditions of the definition of a DFA accepting an input string.

Suppose, for a contradiction, there did exist a sequence of states  $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_n$  such that

1. 
$$s_0 = q_0$$

2. 
$$\delta(s_i, w_{i+1}) = s_{i+1}$$
 for all  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ 

3. 
$$s_n \in F$$

Since  $s'_0 = q_0$  from above,  $s_0 = s'_0$ . Also from above,  $\delta(s'_0 = s_0, w_1) = s'_1$ , so  $s_1 = s'_1$ . By applying this argument inductively on the length n of w, we can conclude that  $s_i = s'_i$  for all i = 0, ..., n. In particular,  $s_n = s'_n$ , so  $s_n \in F'$ . However,  $F' = \overline{F}$  and  $s_n$  cannot be in both F and its complement, giving the contradiction.

Thus, it must be the case that M does not accept w and  $w \in \overline{A}$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  Assume  $w = w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \in \overline{A}$ , where  $w_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Since L(M) = A, M does not accept w.

We show that  $w \in L(M')$ , i.e., we show that M' accepts w. Consider the sequence of states  $s'_0, s'_1, \ldots, s'_n$  constructed as follows:

1. 
$$s_0' = q_0$$

2. 
$$s'_i = \delta(s'_{i-1}, w_i)$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., n$ 

Notice that the sequence  $s'_0, \ldots, s'_n$  satisfies the first two conditions of the definition of a DFA accepting an input for the machine M. Since M does not accept w, it must be the case that the third condition fails, i.e.,  $s'_n \notin F$ . Therefore,  $s'_n \in \overline{F}$ ; by construction  $F' = \overline{F}$ , so the sequence  $s'_0, \ldots, s'_n$  shows that M' accepts w. Thus,  $w \in L(M')$ , completing the proof.

2