

# Math 251W: Foundations of Advanced Mathematics

Portfolio problems from sections 3.4, 4.1, & 4.2

August: name

---

Problem 3.4.3(vi)

proposition: If  $I$  is a nonempty set,  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of sets indexed by  $I$ , and  $B$  is a set, then  $B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$ .

Proof (direct)

Let  $I$  be an arbitrary non-empty set,  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an arbitrary family of sets indexed by  $I$ , and  $B$  be an arbitrary set.

Let  $x$  be an arbitrary element of  $B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$ . By definition of set difference,  $x \in B$  and  $x \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Because of this, by definition of the intersection of an indexed family of sets, there exists some  $k \in I$  such that  $x \notin A_k$ . Since  $x$  is in  $B$  and not in  $A_k$ , by definition of set difference  $x \in B - A_k$ . We know by definition of the union of an indexed family of sets that  $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$ . Hence, since  $x$  is an arbitrary element of  $B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$ , by definition of subsets,  $B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$

Now let  $y$  be an arbitrary element of  $\bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$ . By definition of the union of an indexed family of sets,  $y \in B - A_j$  for at least one  $j$  in  $I$ . Thus, by the definition of set difference,  $y \in B$  and  $y \notin A_j$ . Hence, by definition of the intersection of an indexed family of sets, we know that  $y \notin \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Thus, by definition of set difference,  $y \in B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$ . Since  $y$  is an arbitrary element of  $\bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$ , by definition of subsets,  $B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$

Thus, by definition of set equality, for all families of sets  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  indexed by a nonempty set  $I$ , and for all sets  $B$ ,  $B - (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in I} (B - A_i)$ .

Problem 3.4.6(2)

proposition: If  $I$  is a nonempty set,  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a family of sets indexed by  $I$ , and  $B$  is a set, then  $B \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (B \times A_i)$ .

Proof ( Direct)

Let  $I$  be an arbitrary nonempty set, let  $B$  be a set, and let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be an arbitrary family of sets indexed by  $I$ .

Let  $(a, b)$  be an arbitrary element of  $B \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$ . By definition of the Cartesian product,  $a \in B$  and  $b \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Let  $j$  be an arbitrary element of  $I$ . By definition of the intersection of an indexed family of sets,  $a \in A_j$ . By definition of the Cartesian product,  $(a, b) \in B \times A_j$ . Furthermore, by definition of the intersection of an indexed family of sets, and since  $j$  is arbitrary,  $(a, b) \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Because  $(a, b)$  is an arbitrary element of  $B \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$ ,  $B \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (B \times A_i)$ .

Let  $(x, y)$  be an arbitrary element of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (B \times A_i)$ . Let  $k$  be an arbitrary element of  $I$ . By definition of the intersection of an indexed family of sets,  $(x, y) \in B \times A_k$ . Furthermore, by definition of the Cartesian product,  $x \in B$  and  $y \in A_k$ . Since  $k$  is an arbitrary element of  $I$ ,  $y \in A_i$  for all  $i$  in  $I$ . By definition of the intersection of an indexed family of sets,  $y \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . By definition of the Cartesian product,  $(x, y) \in B \times \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ . Since  $(x, y)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\bigcap_{i \in I} (B \times A_i) \subseteq B \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i)$

Thus, by definition of set equality,  $B \times (\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigcap_{i \in I} (B \times A_i)$ .

Problem 4.1.8

proposition: Given  $A, B \subseteq X$ ,  $\chi_A = \chi_B$  iff  $A = B$

proof (Direct)

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary subsets of  $X$ . Since the proposition is biconditional, this proof will be divided into two sections.

1. For this section, suppose  $\chi_A = \chi_B$ .

- Let  $a$  be an arbitrary element in  $A$ . By definition of the characteristic function, we know that the domain of  $\chi_A$  and  $\chi_B$  is  $X$ . By assumption, since  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of the domain of  $\chi_A$  and  $\chi_B$ ,  $a$  is in the domain of both of these functions. Thus,  $\chi_A(a)$  and  $\chi_B(a)$  must be defined. By definition of the characteristic function, since  $a$  is in  $A$ ,  $\chi_A(a) = 1$ . Furthermore, by supposition,  $\chi_B(a) = 1 = \chi_A(a)$ . By definition of the characteristic function,  $a$  must be in  $B$ . Thus, since  $a$  is an arbitrary element of  $A$ ,  $A \subseteq B$ .
- Let  $b$  be an arbitrary element of  $B$ . Since  $B$  is in the domain of  $\chi_A$  and  $\chi_B$ ,  $\chi_A(b)$  and  $\chi_B(b)$  are defined. Furthermore, by definition of the characteristic function, since  $b \in B$ ,  $\chi_B(b) = 1$ . Furthermore, since the two functions are equal, their mapping must also be equal. Hence  $\chi_A(b) = \chi_B(b) = 1$ . By definition of the characteristic function,  $b$  must be in  $A$ . Since  $b$  is an arbitrary element of  $B$ ,  $A \supseteq B$ .

Since  $A \supseteq B$  and  $A \subseteq B$ , by definition of set equality,  $A = B$ .

2. Now suppose that  $A = B$ . By definition of the characteristic function, both the domain and codomain are equal for  $\chi_A$  and  $\chi_B$ . This being the case, all that remains to be proven is that the functions map the same. To do this, let  $n$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$ .

- Case 1: Consider the case where  $n$  is in  $A$ . By definition of set equality,  $n$  is in  $B$  as well. Furthermore, by definition of the characteristic function,  $\chi_A(n) = 1 = \chi_B(n)$ . Thus, whenever  $n$  is in  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $\chi_A(n) = \chi_B(n)$ .
- Case 2: Now consider the case where  $n$  is not in  $A$ . By definition of set equality,  $n$  is not in  $B$ . Furthermore, by definition of the characteristic function,  $\chi_A(n) = 0 = \chi_B(n)$ . Thus, whenever  $n$  is not in  $A$  and not in  $B$ , the  $\chi_A(n) = \chi_B(n)$ .

Since  $A = B$ ,  $n$  is either in both  $A$  and  $B$ , or in neither. Thus, this covers all cases, proving that for all  $n$  in the domain,  $\chi_A(n) = \chi_B(n)$ . Hence, whenever  $A = B$ ,  $\chi_A = \chi_B$ .

By this we have shown that for arbitrary subsets of  $X$ ,  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A = B$  if and only if  $\chi_A = \chi_B$ .