Augustin Birot

Coping with climate change: Implications of a body mass increase¹ in yellow-bellied marmot over the last half century.

BACKGROUND: As a result of climate change, natural populations face quick environmental variation on different aspects (e.g., temperature, season length, environmental predictability) making persistence more challenging than ever. This implies phenotypic variation in life history traits (LHT, i.e., characteristics affecting directly survival and reproduction¹). LHT such as body mass and size are expected to decrease with increasing temperature^{2,3}, following Bergmann's rule, which predict that decreased surface to volume ratio favors heat dissipation⁴. However, body mass also sometimes increases in response to global warming⁵⁻⁷. Furthermore, LHT are often correlated with individual behavior such as boldness and risk taking as with environment and physiology in line with the pace-of-life syndrome (POLS)⁸. These adaptations can be based on genetic changes, so-called evolution (i.e. shift in allele frequencies within the population in response to selection) or non-genetic changes, socalled phenotypic plasticity (i.e., genotype expressing different phenotypes depending on environmental conditions)⁹. Those two mechanism will have different consequences, plasticity being the best fit for transient changes, and evolution the best for long-term as it imply permanent changes.

STUDY SPECIES: Yellow-bellied marmots (Marmota flaviventris) are ground-dwelling rodents inhabiting alpine habitats in western North America. Their life cycle is divided between an "active season" representing approximately a third of the year (May-September) where individuals must forage to reach a threshold body mass in order to survive hibernation for the remainder of the time¹⁰. A significant increase in body mass during the last decades (1970s-2010s) in a wild population has been studied and theorized to be caused by a longer active season due to climate change¹¹. However, recent works tend to show a strong genetic effect, indicating an evolution event alongside plasticity on this trait, raising the need to reconsider the evolutionary scenario behind this shift. This wild population has been followed since 1962 in Colorado, USA, at the individual level. This study presents extensive data about mar-

¹ shift is too vague since it can be either way

mots biology, behavior, individual relatedness and environmental variable. That allows me to conduct a complete study of this shift and its implication in climate change context.

OBJECTIVES: My PhD will explore three important research questions (RQ). RQ1: What are the mechanisms of this increase? So is it due to an increase in the individual baseline? An increase in growing capacity? Or both? I will assess that by estimating individual specific intercept and slopes for body mass through their lifetime to compare them through cohorts. Having strong variation in the intercept over time would indicate an increase of the individual baseline, and variation on the slopes represent an increase of their growing capacity. RQ2: Which modification in the environment, over the years, could have triggered this shift? I will test the impact of various weather variables (measured at the study site since 1978) on the body mass in order to target precise factors. Then, I will test the effect of a combined variable (computed from principal component analysis) to check for a global weather effect on body mass. RQ3: What are the implications of this change in body condition on marmots' behavior, in line with the POLS? Using results from "flight initiation distance" experiment as a proxy of individual boldness¹², I will test the impact of body mass, over time, on individual boldness. Then, using "animal model" (i.e., mixed models assessing genetic variance from individual relatedness¹³) I will test for variation of the individual's boldness genetic value over time.

SIGNIFICANCE: This research uses one of the most extensive natural population databases in the world to deepen our understanding of the genotype-phenotype-environment relationship. It will provide crucial insights into how wild populations adapt to changing environments. The project aims to illustrate the multimodal nature of both causes and consequences of this body mass increase, as we anticipate that no single hypothesis will fully explain the observed changes. Instead, we expect that each hypothesis will account for part of the process, either complementing or conflicting with one another. These findings will contribute valuable knowledge to the field of conservation biology, helping shape more effective conservation policies in the context of global climate change.

References

- 1. Kaplan, H. S. & Gangestad, S. W. Life History Theory and Evolutionary Psychology. in *The Handbook of Evolutionary Psychology* (ed. Buss, D. M.) 68–95 (Wiley, 2015). doi:10.1002/9780470939376.ch2.
- 2. Daufresne, M., Lengfellner, K. & Sommer, U. Global warming benefits the small in aquatic ecosystems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **106**, 12788–12793 (2009).
- 3. Gardner, J. L., Peters, A., Kearney, M. R., Joseph, L. & Heinsohn, R. Declining body size: A third universal response to warming? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **26**, 285–291 (2011).
- 4. Bergmann, C. About the relationships between heat conservation and body size of animals. *Goett Stud* 1, (1847).
- 5. Guillemain, M. et al. Wintering French Mallard and Teal Are Heavier and in Better Body Condition than 30 Years Ago: Effects of a Changing Environment? AM-BIO 39, 170–180 (2010).
- 6. Sheridan, J. A. & Bickford, D. Shrinking body size as an ecological response to climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 1, 401–406 (2011).
- 7. Yom-Tov, Y., Yom-Tov, S. & Jarrell, G. Recent increase in body size of the American marten Martes americana in Alaska: GLOBAL WARMING AND BODY SIZE OF THE AMERICAN MARTEN. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **93**, 701–707 (2008).
- 8. Dammhahn, M., Dingemanse, N. J., Niemelä, P. T. & Réale, D. Pace-of-life syndromes: A framework for the adaptive integration of behaviour, physiology and life history. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **72**, 62, s00265-018-2473-y (2018).
- 9. Gienapp, P. & Brommer, J. E. Evolutionary dynamics in response to climate change. in *Quantitative Genetics in the Wild* (eds. Charmantier, A., Garant, D. & Kruuk, L. E. B.) 254–274 (Oxford University PressOxford, 2014). doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199674237.003.0015.
- 10. Armitage, K. B. Marmot biology. (2014) doi:10.1017/cbo9781107284272.

- 11. Ozgul, A. *et al.* Coupled dynamics of body mass and population growth in response to environmental change. *Nature* **466**, 482–485 (2010).
- 12. Ydenberg, R. C. & Dill, L. M. The Economics of Fleeing from Predators. in *Advances in the Study of Behavior* vol. 16 229–249 (Elsevier, 1986).
- 13. Kruuk, L. E. B. Estimating genetic parameters in natural populations using the 'animal model'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **359**, 873–890 (2004).