

# Athreya Lahiri Chapter 3 Solutions

Arthur Chen

October 8, 2025

## Problem 3.1

Let  $\phi : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be convex. Show the following.

We will constantly use the following convexity formula: if  $\phi$  is convex and  $a < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < b$ , then

$$\frac{\phi(x_2) - \phi(x_1)}{x_2 - x_1} \leq \frac{\phi(x_3) - \phi(x_1)}{x_3 - x_1} \leq \frac{\phi(x_3) - \phi(x_2)}{x_3 - x_2}$$

### Part a

For each  $x \in (a, b)$ ,

$$\phi'_+(x) = \lim_{y \downarrow x} \frac{\phi(y) - \phi(x)}{y - x}, \phi'_-(x) = \lim_{y \uparrow x} \frac{\phi(y) - \phi(x)}{y - x}$$

exist and are finite.

Consider  $\phi'_+$  first. Let  $z < x$  be arbitrary and let  $\{y_n\}_{n=1} \geq x$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow x$ . Wlog, let  $y_n$  be decreasing. Then by convexity,

$$\frac{\phi(y_1) - \phi(x)}{y_1 - x} \geq \frac{\phi(y_2) - \phi(x)}{y_2 - x} \geq \dots$$

which is bounded below by  $\frac{\phi(x) - \phi(z)}{x - z}$ . Thus  $\frac{\phi(y_n) - \phi(x)}{y_n - x}$  is a monotonically decreasing sequence bounded below, thus it has a limit. Since this sequence was arbitrary, the limit and thus  $\phi'_+$  exists. The same applies in reverse for  $\phi'_-$ .

## Problem 3.3

Prove the following.

### Part a

Let  $a_1 \dots a_k$  be real and  $p_1 \dots p_k$  be positive numbers such that  $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \exp(a_i) \geq \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i a_i\right)$$

Let  $P$  be the probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  that assigns probability  $p_i$  to point  $a_i$  and apply Jensen's inequality with  $\phi(x) = e^x$ .

### Part b

Let  $b_1 \dots b_k$  be nonnegative numbers and  $p_1 \dots p_k$  be as in Part a. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i b_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^k b_i^{p_i}$$

Furthermore, equality holds iff  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_k$ .

Let  $a_i = \log b_i$  and apply Part a. For the iff, since the exponential function is strictly convex, inequality holds iff  $f(\omega)$  is a constant, which in this context means that all the  $b_i$ s are equal.

### Part c

For any  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$|a + b|^p \leq 2^{p-1}(|a|^p + |b|^p)$$

Let  $f(x) = x$ ,  $\phi(x) = |x|^p$ , which is convex on the range of  $p$ , and let  $P$  be the probability measure with  $1/2$  probability on  $\{a, b\}$ . Thus by Jensen's inequality,

$$\phi\left(\int x dP\right) = \frac{1}{2^p} |a + b|^p \leq \int |x|^p dP = \frac{1}{2}(|a|^p + |b|^p)$$

which implies the desired result.

## Problem 3.12

### Part b

Prove that for  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\int |f + g|^p d\mu \leq \int |f|^p d\mu + \int |g|^p d\mu$ .

Building off of equation 2.2, we have that

$$\left(\frac{|x|}{|x| + |y|}\right)^p + \left(\frac{|y|}{|x| + |y|}\right)^p \geq \frac{|x|}{|x| + |y|} + \frac{|y|}{|x| + |y|} = 1$$

implies

$$|x + y|^p \leq (|x| + |y|)^p \leq |x|^p + |y|^p$$

and integrating pointwise gives the result.

### Problem 3.14

Show that  $(L^\infty(\mu), d_\infty)$  is a complete metric space.

Using the hint, let  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $L^\infty(\mu)$ . For each  $k \geq 1$ , let  $f_{n_k}$  be a subsequence such that  $\|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_\infty < 2^{-k}$ . By the definition of  $L^\infty$ , for all  $f \in L^\infty$ , the set  $\{\omega : |f(\omega)| > \|f\|_\infty\}$  has measure zero.

Let

$$A = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{\omega : |f_{n_{k+1}}(\omega) - f_{n_k}(\omega)| \leq \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_\infty\}$$

$A^C$  has measure zero because it is the countable union of zero sets.

Thus, for  $\omega \in A$  and for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $|f_{n_{k+1}}(\omega) - f_{n_k}(\omega)| \leq \|f_{n_{k+1}} - f_{n_k}\|_\infty < 2^{-k}$ . Thus for  $\omega \in A$ ,  $\{f_{n_k}(\omega)\}_{k \geq 1}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$ , which converges to a point we denote  $f(\omega)$ . For  $\omega \in A^C$ , let  $f(\omega) = 0$ . Then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k} = f$  a.e.  $(\mu)$ , and the rest of the proof follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.2.2, which proves completeness for  $L^p$ ,  $p \in (0, \infty)$ .