

Athreya Lahiri Chapter 2 Solutions

Arthur Chen

June 5, 2025

Problem 2.1

Prove de Morgan's laws. Let $\Omega_i, i = 1, 2$ be two nonempty sets, and let $T : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be a map. For any collection $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ of subsets of Ω_2 , prove that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha\right) &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} T^{-1}(A_\alpha) \\ T^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha\right) &= \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} T^{-1}(A_\alpha) \\ (T^{-1}(A))^C &= T^{-1}(A^C) \end{aligned}$$

For the first,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha\right) &= \{B \in \Omega_1 : T(B) \in \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} A_\alpha\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \{B \in \Omega_1 : T(B) \in A_\alpha\} \\ &= \bigcup_{\alpha \in I} T^{-1}(A_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

with a similar argument holding for the second. For the third,

$$(T^{-1}(A))^C = \{B \in \Omega_1 : T(b) \in A\}^C = \{B \in \Omega_1 : T(b) \in A^C\} = T^{-1}(A^C)$$

Problem 2.3

Let $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable. Set

$$h(\omega) = \frac{f(\omega)}{g(\omega)} \mathbf{1}(g(\omega) \neq 0)$$

Verify that h is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

Directly from the definition, we have that for $a \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} h^{-1}((-\infty, a]) &= \{\omega : \frac{f(\omega)}{g(\omega)} \mathbb{1}(g(\omega) \neq 0) \leq a\} \\ &= \{\omega : f(\omega) \leq ag(\omega), g(\omega) > 0\} \cup \{\omega : f(\omega) \geq ag(\omega), g(\omega) < 0\} \\ &= \{\omega : f(\omega) - ag(\omega) \leq 0, g(\omega) > 0\} \cup \{\omega : f(\omega) - ag(\omega) \geq 0, g(\omega) < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

$f(\omega) - ag(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$ are $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable functions, so for the left and right sets, the conditions individually define sets in \mathcal{F} so their intersection is in \mathcal{F} . Thus $h(\omega)$ is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

Problem 2.6

Let $X_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$. Consider the equation (with $t \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$X_1(\omega)t^2 + X_2(\omega)t + X_3(\omega) = 0$$

Part a

Show that $A := \{\omega \in \Omega : \text{The above equation has two distinct roots}\} \in \mathcal{F}$.

The condition for $\omega \in A$ is equivalent to

$$\{\omega : X_1(\omega) \neq 0\} \cap \{\omega : X_2^2(\omega) - 4X_1(\omega)X_3(\omega) > 0\}$$

because this indicates that the polynomial is second-order and its discriminant is positive. $X_1(\omega)$ and $X_2^2(\omega) - 4X_1(\omega)X_3(\omega)$ are random variables on probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ and the sets $(0, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, 0) \cup (0, \infty)$ are Borel sets in \mathbb{R} , so both of the above sets are in \mathcal{F} , and their intersection is thus in \mathcal{F} .

Part b

Let $T_1(\omega)$ and $T_2(\omega)$ denote the two roots of the above equation on A . Let

$$f_i(\omega) = \begin{cases} T_i(\omega) & \omega \in A \\ 0 & \omega \in A^c \end{cases}$$

Show that (f_1, f_2) is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) \rangle$ -measurable.

Lemma 1 *Let f be a non-negative $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable function. Then \sqrt{f} is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.*

Proof: For all $a \geq 0$,

$$(\sqrt{f(\omega)})^{-1}((-\infty, a]) = \{\omega : 0 \leq \sqrt{f(\omega)} \leq a\} = \{\omega : 0 \leq f(\omega) \leq a^2\} \in F$$

□

By the quadratic formula (arbitrarily letting $i = 1$ be the positive root), we have that

$$f_1(\omega) = \frac{-X_2(\omega) + \sqrt{X_2^2(\omega) - 4X_1(\omega)X_3(\omega)}}{2X_1(\omega)} \mathbf{1}_A$$

and f_2 is the negative root. By Problem 2.3, since the numerator and denominator are $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable, $A \in F$, and the restriction in A prevents the denominator from being zero, $f_i, i = 1, 2$ is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable, and the Cartesian product of $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable functions is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

Problem 2.7

Let $M := ((X_{ij})), 1 \leq i, j \leq k$ be a random matrix of random variables X_{ij} defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$.

Part a

Show that $\det(M)$ and $\text{tr}(M)$ are both $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

The trace is trivial; the diagonal entries of M are $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable and so is their sum. The determinant follows by induction on the size of M . When $k = 1$, the determinant is the random variable X_{11} , which by assumption is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable. Assuming that the determinant of a size $k - 1$ matrix of random variables in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable, by the Laplace expansion, we can rewrite the determinant of the size k matrix as the sum of random variables multiplied by the determinant of size $k - 1$ matrices, and this sum is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

Part b

Show that the largest eigenvalue of $M'M$ is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

Using the hint, I will note that the largest eigenvalue is equal to

$$\sup_x \frac{x'M'Mx}{x'x} \mathbf{1}_{x \neq 0}$$

The numerator and denominator are the sums of products of random variables in $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ and the denominator is restricted from zero, so the internal function is $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable, and the supremum of a measurable function is measurable.

Problem 2.8

Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let $\bar{f}(x) = \inf_{\delta > 0} \sup_{|y-x| < \delta} f(y)$ and $\underline{f}(x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \inf_{|y-x| < \delta} f(y)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Part a

Show that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\{x : \bar{f}(x) < t\}$$

is open and hence \bar{f} is $\langle \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ -measurable.

Denote the set above as A . By the definition of \bar{f} and the properties of infimum, for each $x \in A$, there exists δ_0 such that

$$\sup_{|y-x| < \delta_0} f(y) < t$$

Thus for all x' such that $|y - x'| < \delta_0$, there exists an open ball centered at x' such that $B(x', r) \subset B(x, \delta_0)$, and thus

$$\sup_{y \in B(x', r)} f(y) \leq \sup_{y \in B(x, \delta_0)} f(y) < t$$

implies

$$\inf_{r \rightarrow 0} \sup_{y \in B(x', r)} f(y) < t$$

and thus $x' \in A$, which implies that $B(x, \delta_0) \subset A$. Thus A is open. A similar argument holds in reverse for \underline{f} using $\{x : \underline{f}(x) > t\}$

Part b

Show that for any $t > 0$,

$$\{x : \bar{f}(x) - \underline{f}(x) < t\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{x : \bar{f}(x) < t + r, \underline{f}(x) > r\}$$

and hence is open.

Denote the set on the left A . A can be built up as a union of smaller sets. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, consider $A_r = \{x : \bar{f}(x) < r + t, \underline{f}(x) = r\}$. It's clear that this captures all x in the inclusion condition of A for a given value of $\underline{f}(x) = r$. By taking the union over all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we get the inclusion condition of A for all possible $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and thus the union equals A . Thus

$$A = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \{x : \bar{f}(x) < r + t, \underline{f}(x) = r\}$$

Since we're taking the union over all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and our inclusion criteria is a strictly less than sign, we can replace the $\underline{f}(x) = r$ conditions with $\underline{f}(x) > r$, such that $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ instead of \mathbb{R} .

To see this, let $A'_r = \{x : \bar{f}(x) < r + t, \underline{f}(x) > r\}$. If $x \in A_r$, then $\bar{f}(x) < r + t, \underline{f}(x) = r$. Since rationals are dense in the reals and the inequality is strict, there exists $r' \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\bar{f}(x) < r' + t, \underline{f}(x) > r'$, and thus $x \in A'_{r'}$. Thus

$$A = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{x : \bar{f}(x) < r + t, \underline{f}(x) > r\}$$

But by Part a, each of the subsets is the finite intersection of two open sets and is thus open, and the countable union of open sets is open. Thus A is open, as desired.

Problem 2.15

Consider the probability space $((0, 1), \mathcal{B}((0, 1)), m)$, where m is the Lebesgue measure.

Part a

Let Y_1 be the random variable $Y_1(x) = \sin(2\pi x)$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. Find the cdf of Y_1 .

Looking at the graph of $\sin(2\pi x)$, for $y \in (0, 1)$, we have that $\sin^{-1} y > 0$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_1 \leq y) &= mY_1^{-1}((-\infty, y]) \\ &= m\left(\left(0, \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{2\pi}\right) \cup \left(1/2 - \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{2\pi}, 1\right)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{\pi} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $y \in (-1, 0)$, we have that $\sin^{-1} y < 0$, thus

$$P(Y_1 \leq y) = m\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{2\pi}, 1 + \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{2\pi}\right) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{\pi}$$

Thus

$$P(Y_1 \leq y) = \begin{cases} 0 & y \leq -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sin^{-1} y}{\pi} & y \in (-1, 1) \\ 1 & y \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Part b

Let Y_2 be the random variable $Y_2(x) = \log x$ for $x \in (0, 1)$. Find the cdf of Y_2 .

We have that for $y < 0$,

$$P(Y_2 \leq y) = mY_2^{-1}((-\infty, y]) = m((0, e^y)) = e^y$$

Thus

$$P(Y_2 \leq y) = \begin{cases} e^y & y < 0 \\ 1 & y \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Part c

Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a cdf. For $x \in (0, 1)$, let

$$F_1^{-1}(x) = \inf\{y : y \in \mathbb{R}, F(y) \geq x\}$$

$$F_2^{-1}(x) = \sup\{y : y \in \mathbb{R}, F(y) \leq x\}$$

Let Z_i be the random variable defined by

$$Z_i = F_i^{-1}(x), x \in (0, 1), i = 1, 2$$

Subpart i

IN PROGRESS

Find the cdf of $Z_i, i = 1, 2$.

We begin with a characterization of F_1^{-1} and F_2^{-1} .

Lemma 2 *Let $A_x = \{y : y \in \mathbb{R}, F(y) = x\}$. Then A is either the empty set, a singleton, or an interval.*

Proof: F is right-continuous and nondecreasing. If there is no y such that $F(y) = x$, then F has a jump discontinuity that jumps from below y to above y , since otherwise by the intermediate value theorem F would achieve the value x . If A_x is a nonempty nonsingleton, then there exist multiple y such that $F(y) = x$. This must occur when F is flat, and since F is nondecreasing, this can only happen on a connected interval. \square

Lemma 3 $F_i^{-1}(x)$ can be broken up into cases. When $F(y)$ is flat, letting y_1 and y_2 be the left and right endpoints of the interval, $F_1^{-1}(x) = y_1$ and $F_2^{-1}(x) = y_2$. When $F(y)$ has a jump discontinuity at y that jumps from x_1 to x_2 , $x : x \in [x_1, x_2] \rightarrow F_i^{-1}(x) = y$. Otherwise, F is invertible at y and $F_i^{-1}(x) = F^{-1}(F(y)) = y$.

Lemma 4 *For any $x \in (0, 1), t \in \mathbb{R}, F(t) \geq x \Leftrightarrow F_1^{-1}(x) \leq t$.*

Proof: For the forward, assume that $F(t) \geq x$. Because F is nondecreasing, the infimum of y such that $F(y) \geq x$ must be less than or equal to t . For the reverse, assume that $F_1^{-1}(x) \leq t$. Because F is nondecreasing and right continuous, the sets $F(y) \geq x$ are closed intervals, and thus their infimum lies within the set - specifically, at the left endpoint, which is $F_1^{-1}(x)$. Thus for $t \geq F_1^{-1}(x)$, we know that $F(t) \geq F(F_1^{-1}(x)) = x$, since $F_1^{-1}(x)$ is the left endpoint and this is where the sets achieve their minimum. \square

Thus for Z_1 ,

$$P(Z_1 \leq z) = mZ_1^{-1}((-\infty, z]) = m([0, F(z)]) = F(z)$$

where we used the lemma substituting z for t .

Problem 2.16

Part a

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu)$ be a σ -finite measure space. Let $T : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ measurable. Show by counterexample that the induced measure μT^{-1} need not be σ -finite.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu)$ be the Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets of \mathbb{R} , which is obviously σ -finite. Let $T(x) = 0$. T is continuous and thus $\langle \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \rangle$ measurable. However, the induced measure $\mu T^{-1}(A)$ equals infinity if $x \in A$, zero otherwise. Thus there is no collection of sets with finite measure such that their union is \mathbb{R} , and thus μT^{-1} is not σ -finite.

Part b

Let $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ be measurable spaces for $i = 1, 2$ and let $T : \Omega_1 \rightarrow \Omega_2$ be $\langle \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \rangle$ -measurable. Show that any measure μ on $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ is σ -finite if μT^{-1} is σ -finite on $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$.

By assumption of σ -finiteness of $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$, there is a countable collection of sets $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n = \Omega_2$ and $\mu T^{-1}(A_n) < \infty$ for all n . By the first assumption,

$$\Omega_1 = T^{-1}(\Omega_2) = T^{-1}\left(\bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n\right) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} T^{-1}(A_n)$$

By the second assumption, $\mu(T^{-1}(A_n)) < \infty$ for all n . Thus the sets $\{T^{-1}(A_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ show that μ is σ -finite.

Problem 2.20

Use Corollary 2.3.5 to show that for any collection $\{a_{ij} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of nonnegative numbers,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right)$$

Let $(\mathbb{N}, P(\mathbb{N}))$ with the counting measure be a measure space, and let $h_n : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function/sequence $h_i(j) = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int h_i(j) d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right) \\ \int \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_i(j) d\mu &= \int \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right) d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and by Corollary 2.3.5, since $h_i(j)$ is nonnegative and measurable, the two expressions equal each other.