

# Chapter 3 Functions of a Real Variable

Arthur Chen

March 3, 2022

## Problem 1

Assume that  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq |t - x|^2$  for all  $t, x$ . Prove that  $f$  is constant.

**Proof:** The assumption implies that for all  $t, x$ ,

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \right| = \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{|t - x|} \leq |t - x|$$

implies that  $f'(t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow t} \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} = 0$  at all  $t$ . The only functions with derivatives that are zero everywhere are constant functions.  $\square$

## Problem 2

A function  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies a Holder condition of order  $\alpha$  if  $\alpha > 0$ , and for some constant  $H$  and all  $u, x \in (a, b)$  we have

$$|f(u) - f(x)| \leq H|u - x|^\alpha$$

The function is said to be  $\alpha$ -Holder, with  $\alpha$ -Holder constant  $H$ .

### Part a

Prove that the  $\alpha$ -Holder function defined on  $(a, b)$  is uniformly continuous and infer that it extends uniquely to a continuous function defined on  $[a, b]$ . Is the extended function  $\alpha$ -Holder?

**Proof:** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and define  $\delta = (\frac{\epsilon}{H})^{1/\alpha}$ . Then for all  $u, x \in (a, b)$  such that  $|u - x| < \delta$ , we have

$$|f(u) - f(x)| \leq H|u - x|^\alpha < \epsilon$$

since  $\alpha > 0$ .  $\square$

By Problem 54 in Chapter 2, a uniformly continuous function defined on a metric space  $S$  has a unique continuous extension on  $\bar{S}$ . Since  $[a, b] = \overline{(a, b)}$ ,  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  being uniformly continuous implies that  $f$  extends uniquely to  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $g$  is continuous. In fact,  $g$  is uniformly continuous because it is continuous on a compact.

We claim that  $g$  is  $\alpha$ -Holder on  $[a, b]$ . Let  $x, y \in [a, b]$ . If  $x, y \in (a, b)$ , this just follows because  $g$  extends  $f$ .

Without loss of generality, let  $x = a$  and let  $y \in (a, b)$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed and arbitrary, and let  $\delta > 0$  be the corresponding continuity condition. Then

$$|g(c) - g(a)| \leq |g(c) - g(a + \delta)| + |g(a) - g(a + \delta)|$$

by the Triangle inequality. For the first term, because  $c$  and  $a + \delta$  are in the interval  $(a, b)$ , the Holder condition from  $f$  extends to  $g$ , so

$$|g(c) - g(a + \delta)| \leq H|c - a - \delta|^\alpha \leq H|c - a|^\alpha$$

because  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ . For the second term, continuity of  $g$  means  $|g(a) - g(a + \delta)| < \epsilon$ . Thus

$$|g(c) - g(a)| \leq H|c - a|^\alpha + \epsilon$$

and  $\epsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small. The case where  $y = b$ , and the case where  $x = a$  and  $y = b$  simultaneously, are essentially the same.

## Part b

What does  $\alpha$ -Holder continuity mean when  $\alpha = 1$ ?

When  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\alpha$ -Holder continuity simplifies to Lipschitz continuity.

## Part c

Prove that  $\alpha$ -Holder continuity when  $\alpha > 1$  implies that  $f$  is constant.

Let  $x$  in the domain of  $f$  be arbitrary. Dividing both sides by  $|u - x|$ ,

$$0 \leq \frac{|f(u) - f(x)|}{|u - x|} \leq H|u - x|^{\alpha-1}$$

Let  $u \rightarrow x$ . Since  $\alpha > 1$  the right side goes to 0, implying  $\frac{|f(u) - f(x)|}{|u - x|} \rightarrow 0$  and that  $f'(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  in  $f$ 's domain. The only functions with this property are constant functions.

## Problem 3

Assume that  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable.

### Part a

If  $f'(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ , prove that  $f$  is strictly monotone increasing.

**Proof:** Let  $c, d \in (a, b)$ ,  $c < d$ . Then because  $f$  is differentiable on its domain, the Mean Value Theorem indicates that there is a point  $\theta \in (c, d)$  such that

$$f(c) - f(d) = f'(\theta)(d - c)$$

Since  $f'$  is always strictly positive and  $c < d$ , the right side is strictly positive.  
 $\square$

### Part b

If  $f'(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ , what can you prove?

We can prove that  $f$  is weakly monotone increasing. The proof is the same, except that  $f'(\theta)(d - c)$  can be zero.

## Problem 4

Prove that  $\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider the function  $f(x) = \sqrt{x}$ , and take a Taylor approximation of degree zero around  $x = n$ , where  $n$  is a positive natural number. Then  $P_0(x) = \sqrt{n}$ . Use the Taylor approximation to approximate  $x = n+1$ . The Taylor remainder term is

$$R(1) = \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}$$

$\sqrt{x}$  is smooth when  $x > 0$ , and  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $f$  is smooth on  $(n, n+1)$ , and the Taylor approximation theorem states that there exists  $\theta \in (n, n+1)$  such that

$$R(1; n) = \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} = \frac{f'(\theta)}{1!}(1)^1 = \frac{1}{2}\theta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\theta > n$  implies  $\theta \rightarrow \infty$  implies  $R(1; n) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} = 0$ .

## Problem 8

### Part b

Find a formula for a continuous function defined on  $[0, 1]$  that is differentiable on the interval  $(0, 1)$ , but not at the endpoints.

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) & x \in (0, 1] \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$f$  is the composition of continuous functions on  $(0, 1]$ , so it is continuous on that interval. At  $x = 0$ , we noting that for all  $x \in (0, 1]$ , we have

$$-x \leq x \sin(\frac{1}{x}) \leq x$$

implying that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} f(x) = 0 = f(0)$  by the Squeeze theorem. This implies that  $f(x)$  is continuous at  $x = 0$ , and thus  $[0, 1]$ .  $\frac{1}{x}$  is differentiable on  $\mathbb{R} - 0$ , so  $f(x)$  is differentiable on  $(0, 1]$ .

Taking the definition of derivative to attempt to evaluate  $f'(0)$ ,

$$f'(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x) - f(0)}{x - 0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \sin(\frac{1}{x})$$

which does not exist. Thus  $f(x)$  is differentiable on  $(0, 1]$ .

Consider the function

$$g(x) = f(x) + f(1 - x)$$

This consists of  $f$  and  $f$  reflected about the line  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  added together. From the above,  $g$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , and differentiable on  $(0, 1)$ , but not 0 or 1.

## Part c

Does the Mean Value Theorem apply to such a function?

Yes, since the Mean Value Theorem only requires the function to be differentiable on the open interval. In this case, the Mean Value Theorem states there is a point  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $g'(\theta) = 0$ . We can probably prove that a point exists by using the Intermediate Value Theorem on  $g'(x)$  since it's continuous on  $(0, 1)$ , but I'm too lazy at the moment.

## Problem 10

Concoct a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with a discontinuity of the second kind at  $x = 0$  such that  $f$  does not have the intermediate value property there. Infer that it is incorrect to assert that functions without jumps are Darboux continuous.

Consider the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$f$  is continuous at  $x = 1$  and discontinuous everywhere else. These discontinuities are discontinuities of the second kind, since left and right limits don't exist when  $x$  is not 1.  $f(x)$  clearly does not have the intermediate value

property, as except for 1,  $f$  assumes no rational values. Since this is a function without jump discontinuities but does not possess the intermediate value property, functions without jumps are not necessarily Darboux continuous.

## Problem 11

Let  $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given.

### Part a

If  $f''(x)$  exists, prove that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h)}{h^2} = f''(x)$$

Denote  $F(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h)}{h^2}$ . Since  $f$  is twice differentiable, we take a second-order Taylor expansion of  $f$  around  $x$ , getting

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 f''(x) + R(x)$$

where  $R(x)$  is second-order flat at  $h = 0$ , i.e.  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} R(x)/h^2 = 0$ . Similarly,

$$f(x-h) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 f''(x) + S(x)$$

where  $S(x)$  is second-order flat at  $h = 0$ . Substituting,

$$F(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h^2 f''(x) + R(x) + S(x)}{h^2} = f''(x)$$

since the  $f(x)$  and  $hf'(x)$  terms cancel, and  $R(x)$  and  $S(x)$  are second-order flat.

### Part b

Find an example that this limit can exist even when  $f''(x)$  fails to exist.

Let  $f(x) = x|x|$ . Taking the first derivative, when  $x > 0$ ,  $f'(x) = x^2$ , so  $f'(x) = 2x$ . Similarly, when  $x < 0$ ,  $f'(x) = -2x$ . When  $x = 0$ ,

$$f'(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0+h) - f(0)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{h|h|}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} |h| = 0$$

Thus

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} 2x & x \geq 0 \\ -2x & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

As previously stated,  $f''(0)$  does not exist, since

$$f''(0) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(x+h) - f'(x)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f'(h)}{h}$$

which does not exist, since the limit from the positive direction is 2 and the limit from the negative direction is  $-2$ .

Despite this, the partial difference approximation exists at  $x = 0$ . The partial difference approximation from the right is

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(-h) + f(h)}{h^2} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{-h| - h| + h|h|}{h^2} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{0}{h^2} = \infty$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{f(-h) + f(h)}{h^2} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{h|h| + -h| - h|}{h^2} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^-} \frac{0}{h^2} = \infty$$

Thus the difference approximation exists at  $x = 0$ , even though  $f''(0)$  does not exist.

## Problem 15

Define  $f(x) = x^2$  if  $x < 0$  and  $f(x) = x + x^2$  if  $x \geq 0$ . Differentiation gives  $f''(x) = 2$ . This is bogus. Why?

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, if  $G$  is an antiderivative of  $g$ , then  $g$  equals the derivative of  $G$  where  $g$  is continuous. In this case, the standard power rule only applies when  $x \neq 0$ , since there is a discontinuity there.

Specifically, we have  $f''(0)$  does not exist, since  $f'(x) = 2x$  when  $x \geq 0$ , and  $f'(x) = 2x + 1$  when  $x < 0$ .  $f'(x)$  is discontinuous at  $x = 0$ , so its derivative does not exist there.

## Problem 16

$\log x$  is defined to be  $\int_1^x 1/t dt$  for  $x > 0$ . Using only the mathematics explained in this chapter,

### Part a

Prove that  $\log$  is a smooth function.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, the indefinite integral of a Riemann integrable function is continuous with respect to  $x$ . Thus,  $\log x$  is continuous. Its derivative, again by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, is  $\frac{d}{dx} \int_1^x 1/t dx = 1/x$  when  $x > 0$ , which is continuous.  $1/x$  itself is smooth, so it has derivatives of all orders, which are continuous. Thus  $\log x$  is smooth.

## Part b

Prove that  $\log(xy) = \log x + \log y$  for all  $x, y > 0$ .

For any given  $y > 0$ , define  $f(x) = \log xy - \log x - \log y$ . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_1^{xy} 1/t dt - \int_1^x 1/t dt - \int_1^y 1/t dt \\ &= \int_x^{xy} 1/t dt - \int_1^y 1/t dt \end{aligned}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $f(x) = \int_1^y 1/t dt - \int_1^y 1/t dt = 0$ .

We now evaluate  $f'(x)$ . Splitting the integrals, for all  $x > 0$ , we can find a constant  $0 < c < x$ . Then

$$f(x) = \int_c^{xy} 1/t dt - \int_c^x 1/t dt - \int_1^y 1/t dt$$

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,  $\frac{d}{dx} \int_c^x 1/t dt = 1/x$  since  $1/t$  is continuous on  $[c, \infty)$ . By the Chain Rule,  $\frac{d}{dx} \int_c^{xy} 1/t dt = y \frac{1}{xy} = 1/x$ . Thus,  $f'(x) = 0$  for all  $x > 0$ .  $\int_1^y 1/t dt$  is constant with regards to  $x$ , and thus has derivative zero. The only functions with derivatives equal to zero everywhere are constant functions, and since  $f(1) = 0$ , this implies that  $f(x) = 0$ . Thus  $\log xy = \log x + \log y$ .

## Part c

Prove that  $\log$  is strictly monotone increasing and its range is all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$\frac{d}{dx} \log x = 1/x$ , which is strictly positive for all  $x > 0$ . Thus  $\log x$  is strictly monotone increasing.

TO FINISH.

## Problem 29

Prove that the interval  $[a, b]$  is not a zero set.

### Part a

Explain why the following observation is not a solution to the problem: "Every open interval that contains  $[a, b]$  has length  $> b - a$ ."

This 'solution' does not consider the possibility that there is a union of open sets that cover  $[a, b]$  such that their sum of their lengths can be made arbitrarily small.

## Part b

Instead, suppose there is a "bad" covering of  $[a, b]$  by open intervals  $\{I_i\}$  whose total length is  $< b - a$ , and justify the following steps in the proof by contradiction.

I will define a good covering as a covering of  $[a, b]$  by open intervals  $\{J\}$  such that the total length of the intervals in  $\{J\}$  is greater than or equal to  $b - a$ .

**i**

It is enough to deal with finite bad coverings.

Let  $\{I\}$  be an infinite bad covering of  $[a, b]$ . Because  $\{I\}$  is an open cover of compact  $[a, b]$ , it reduces to a finite subcovering  $\{I_i\}$ . Thus, either  $\{I\}$  reduces to a finite bad covering, or it reduces to a good covering. If  $\{I\}$  reduces to a good covering  $\{J_i\}$ , then  $\{J_i\} \subset \{I\}$  and the sum of the intervals in  $\{J_i\}$  being  $\geq b - a$  implies that the sum of the intervals in  $\{I\}$  is  $\geq b - a$ . Thus  $\{I\}$  is an infinite good covering, which contradicts the assumption that  $\{I\}$  is a bad covering.

Thus, if  $\{I\}$  is an infinite bad covering, it reduces to a finite bad covering. Contrapositively, if there are no finite bad coverings, then there are no infinite bad coverings, and the theorem is proven.

**ii**

Let  $\mathbb{B} = \{I_1, \dots, I_n\}$  be a bad covering such that  $n$  is minimal among all bad coverings.

There is at least one finite bad covering, by assumption.  $n = 1$  is a lower bound for the size of bad coverings. Then because  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, there exists a greatest lower bound for the sizes of the bad coverings, denoted  $c$ .

There must be a finite bad covering  $\{C\}$  such that the size of  $\{C\} = c$ . Suppose not. Then all bad coverings have size  $> c$ , and since the sizes of the bad coverings must be integers, all bad coverings have size  $\geq c + 1$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $c$  is a greatest lower bound. This bad covering  $\{C\}$  is the bad covering with minimal  $n$  among all bad coverings.

**iii**

Show that no bad covering has  $n = 1$  so we have  $n \geq 2$ .

This follows from the observation in Part a.

**iv**

Show that it is no loss of generality to assume  $a \in I_1$  and  $I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$ .

There exists at least one interval such that  $a \in I_j$ , and we are free to denote that interval  $I_1$ .

There must exist an interval that intersects  $I_1$ . Suppose not. Let  $d_1$  be the right endpoint of  $I_1$ , and let  $c_2, c_3 \dots c_n$  be the left endpoints of the other



intervals in the bad covering, and let  $c = \min\{c_1 \dots c_n\}$ . Then  $\frac{c-d}{2}$  is not covered by the bad covering, contradicting the assumption that  $\{I\}$  is a covering. Thus, there exists an interval in  $\{I\}$  that intersects  $I_1$ . Denote it  $I_2$ . By construction,  $I_1 \cap I_2$  is nonempty.

**v**

Show that  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$  is an open interval and  $|I| < |I_1| + |I_2|$ .

If  $I_1 \subset I_2$  or  $I_2 \subset I_1$ ,  $I_1 \cup I_2$  is trivially an open interval. Otherwise,  $I_1 \cup I_2$  is the open because it is the union of open sets, connected because it is the union of two connected sets with a common point, and bounded because it is the finite union of bounded sets. Therefore  $I_1 \cup I_2$  is a open, connected, and bounded subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , and by the theorems shown in Chapter 2 Problem 31, open, connected, and bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  are open intervals.

**Lemma 1** *Let  $C, D \subset \mathbb{R}$  be (bounded) intervals that intersect, and let  $E = C + D$ . Then  $|E| < |C| + |D|$ .*

**Proof:** *If  $C$  is a subset of  $D$  or vice versa, the proof is trivial. Without loss of generality, let the left endpoint of  $C$  be less than the left endpoint of  $D$ . Denote  $c$  as the right endpoint of  $C$ , and  $d$  the left endpoint of  $D$ .  $d < c$ , otherwise the two intervals do not intersect. Letting  $\epsilon = c - d > 0$ , the total length of  $E$  is  $|C| + |D| - \epsilon$ , which is strictly less than  $|C| + |D|$ .  $\square$*

By using the above Lemma, we see that  $|I| < |I_1| + |I_2|$ .

**vi**

Show that  $\mathbb{B}' = \{I, I_3, \dots, I_n\}$  is a bad covering of  $[a, b]$  with fewer intervals, contradicting the minimality of  $n$ .

Let  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since  $\mathbb{B}$  is a covering of  $[a, b]$ , there exists  $i \in 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $x \in I_i$ . If  $i \geq 3$ , then because  $I_i \in \mathbb{B}'$ ,  $x$  is also covered by  $\mathbb{B}'$ . If  $i = 1, 2$ , then  $x \in I = I_1 \cup I_2$ , so  $x$  is still covered by  $\mathbb{B}'$ .  $\mathbb{B}'$  is a covering by open intervals, because  $I$  is an open interval.  $\mathbb{B}'$  is a bad covering.  $|I| < |I_1| + |I_2|$  implies that  $|I| + \sum_{j=3}^n |I_j| < \sum_{i=1}^n |I_i| < b - a$ , implying that the total length of  $\mathbb{B}'$  is less than the total length of  $\mathbb{B}$ . Thus  $\mathbb{B}'$  is a bad covering with fewer intervals than  $\mathbb{B}$ , contradicting the assumption that  $\mathbb{B}$  is the minimal bad covering. Thus, there are no bad coverings of  $[a, b]$ , coverings of  $[a, b]$  can not have arbitrarily small length, and  $[a, b]$  is not a zero set.

## Problem 34

Assume that  $\psi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable. A critical point of  $\psi$  is an  $x$  such that  $\psi'(x) = 0$ . A critical value is a number  $y$  such that for at least one critical point  $x$  we have  $y = \psi(x)$ .

## Part a

Prove that the set of critical values is a zero set. (This is the Morse-Sard Theorem in dimension one.)

We first start with some lemmas.

**Lemma 2** *If  $\psi'(x) = 0$  on an interval  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ , then there is only one critical value on that interval, namely  $\psi(c)$ .*

**Proof:** By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, for  $x \in [c, d]$ ,  $\psi(x) = \psi(c) + \int_c^x \psi'(x)dx = \psi(c)$  since  $\psi'(x) = 0$  on the interval.  $\square$

FIX THIS UP.

MODIFY THIS TO USE SIGN CHANGES. OR SOME OTHER TERMINOLOGY.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[a, b]$  such that for all critical points  $x$ ,  $\text{osc}_x(f) > 0$ . Then  $f$  has a finite number of critical points (i.e. points where  $f$  changes sign).*

**Proof:** Suppose not. Then  $f$  has an infinite number of critical points in the interval  $[a, b]$ , which implies that there is a point  $x$  which is a cluster point for critical points. Otherwise, all critical points have finite distance between them, and there is no way to fit an infinite number intervals with length  $> \epsilon$  into a finite interval  $[a, b]$ .

Because critical points get arbitrarily close to  $x$ , for all  $\delta_n = \frac{b-a}{k}$ , we can choose a  $c_n, d_n \in (x - \delta_n, x + \delta_n)$  such that  $f(c_n) > 0$  and  $f(d_n) < 0$ .  $c_n, d_n \rightarrow x$ , but because  $\text{osc}_x(f) > 0$ ,  $f(c_n) \neq f(d_n)$ , if those quantities exist. Thus  $f$  is not continuous at  $x$ , contradicting the assumption. Thus  $f$  only has finite oscillations in  $[a, b]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[a, b]$  with an infinite number of sign changes. Then the number of sign changes is countable.*

**Proof:** TODO.  $\square$

**Corollary 5** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $[a, b]$  with infinite sign changes on  $[a, b]$ . Then there exists sign change  $x$  such that  $\text{osc}_x(f) = 0$ .*