Chapter 3 Functions of a Real Variable

Arthur Chen

February 3, 2022

Problem 1

Assume that $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $|f(t) - f(x)| \leq |t - x|^2$ for all t, x. Prove that f is constant.

Proof: The assumption implies that for all t, x,

$$0 \leq \left| \frac{f(t) - f(x)}{t - x} \right| = \frac{|f(t) - f(x)|}{|t - x|} \leq |t - x|$$

implies that $f'(t) = \lim_{x \to t} \frac{f(t)-f(x)}{t-x} = 0$ at all t. The only functions with derivatives that are zero everywhere are constant functions. $\square$

Problem 2

A function $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies a Holder condition of order α if $\alpha > 0$, and for some constant H and all $u, x \in (a, b)$ se have

$$|f(u) - f(x)| \leq H|u - x|^\alpha$$

The function is said to be α-Holder, with α-Holder constant H.

Part a

Prove that the α-Holder function defined on (a, b) is uniformly continuous and infer that it extends uniquely to a continuous function defined on $[a, b]$. Is the extended function α-Holder?

Proof: Let $\epsilon > 0$ and define $\delta = (\frac{\epsilon}{H})^{1/\alpha}$. Then for all $u, x \in (a, b)$ such that $|u - x| < \delta$, we have

$$|f(u) - f(x)| \leq H|u - x|^\alpha < \epsilon$$

since $\alpha > 0$. $\square$

By Problem 54 in Chapter 2, a uniformly continuous function defined on a metric space S has a unique continuous extension on $\bar{S}$. Since $[a, b] = \overline{(a, b)}$, $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ being uniformly continuous implies that f extends uniquely to $g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$, where g is continuous. In fact, g is uniformly continuous because it is continuous on a compact.

We claim that g is α-Holder on $[a, b]$. Let $x, y \in [a, b]$. If $x, y \in (a, b)$, this just follows because g extends f.

Without loss of generality, let $x = a$ and let $y \in (a, b)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be fixed and arbitrary, and let $\delta > 0$ be the corresponding continuity condition. Then

$$|g(c) - g(a)| \leq |g(c) - g(a + \delta)| + |g(a) - g(a + \delta)|$$

by the Triangle inequality. For the first term, because c and $a + \delta$ are in the interval (a, b), the Holder condition from f extends to g, so

$$|g(c) - gf(a + \delta)| \leq H|c - a - \delta|^\alpha \leq H|c - a|^\alpha$$

because $\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$. For the second term, continuity of g means $|g(a) - g(a + \delta)| < \epsilon$. Thus

$$|g(c) - g(a)| \leq H|c - a|^\alpha + \epsilon$$

and ϵ can be made arbitrarily small. The case where $y = b$, and the case where $x = a$ and $y = b$ simultaneously, are essentially the same.

Part b

What does α-Holder continuity mean when $\alpha = 1$?

When $\alpha = 1$, α-Holder continuity simplifies to Lipschitz continuity.

Part c

Prove that α-Holder continuity when $\alpha > 1$ implies that f is constant.

Let x in the domain of f be arbitrary. Dividing both sides by $|u - x|$,

$$0 \leq \frac{|f(u) - f(x)|}{|u - x|} \leq H|u - x|^{\alpha - 1}$$

Let $u \to x$. Since $\alpha > 1$ the right side goes to 0, implying $\frac{|f(u)-f(x)|}{|u-x|} \to 0$ and that $f'(x) = 0$ for all x in f's domain. The only functions with this property are constant functions.

Problem 3

Assume that $f : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable.

Part a

If $f'(x) > 0$ for all x, prove that f is strictly monotone increasing.

Proof: Let $c, d \in (a, b), c < d$. Then because f is differentiable on its domain, the Mean Value Theorem indicates that there is a point $\theta \in (c, d)$ such that

$$f(c) - f(d) = f'(\theta)(d - c)$$

Since f' is always strictly positive and $c < d$, the right side is strictly positive. $\square$

Part b

If $f'(x) \geq 0$ for all x, what can you prove?

We can prove that f is weakly monotone increasing. The proof is the same, except that $f'(\theta)(d - c)$ can be zero.

Problem 4

Prove that $\sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Problem 29

Prove that the interval $[a, b]$ is not a zero set.

Part a

Explain why the following observation is not a solution to the problem: "Every open interval that contains $[a, b]$ has length $> b - a$."

This 'solution' does not consider the possibility that there is a union of open sets that cover $[a, b]$ such that their sum of their lengths can be made arbitrarily small.

Part b

Instead, suppose there is a "bad" covering of $[a, b]$ by open intervals $\{I_i\}$ whose total length is $< b - a$, and justify the following steps in the proof by contradiction.

I will define a good covering as a covering of $[a, b]$ by open intervals $\{J\}$ such that the total length of the intervals in $\{J\}$ is greater than or equal to $b - a$.

i

It is enough to deal with finite bad coverings.

Let $\{I\}$ be an infinite bad covering of $[a, b]$. Because $\{I\}$ is an open cover of compact $[a, b]$, it reduces to a finite subcovering $\{I_i\}$. Thus, either $\{I\}$ reduces to a finite bad covering, or it reduces to a good covering. If $\{I\}$ reduces to a good covering $\{J_i\}$, then $\{J_i\} \subset \{I\}$ and the sum of the intervals in $\{J_i\}$ being $\geq b - a$ implies that the sum of the intervals in $\{I\}$ is $\geq b - a$. Thus $\{I\}$ is an infinite good covering, which contradicts the assumption that $\{I\}$ is a bad covering.

Thus, if $\{I\}$ is an infinite bad covering, it reduces to a finite bad covering. Contrapositively, if there are no finite bad coverings, then there are no infinite bad coverings, and the theorem is proven.

ii

Let $\mathbb{B} = \{I_1, \dots I_n\}$ be a bad covering such that n is minimal among all bad coverings.

There is at least one finite bad covering, by assumption. $n = 1$ is a lower bound for the size of bad coverings. Then because $\mathbb{R}$ is complete, there exists a greatest lower bound for the sizes of the bad coverings, denoted c.

The must be a finite bad covering $\{C\}$ such that the size of $|\{C\}| = c$. Suppose not. Then all bad coverings have size $> c$, and size the sizes of the bad coverings must be integers, all bad coverings have size $\geq c + 1$. This contradicts the assumption that c is a greatest lower bound. This bad covering $\{C\}$ is the bad covering with minimal n among all bad coverings.

iii

Show that no bad covering has $n = 1$ so we have $n \geq 2$.

This follows from the observation in Part a.

iv

Show that it is no loss of generality to assume $a \in I_1$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 \neq \emptyset$.