

## 6.6 INTEGRATION AND DIFFERENTIATION

**Problem 6.6:1.** On  $[a, b]$ , let  $\alpha$  be a strictly increasing function and  $f$  a continuous function, and for  $x \in [a, b]$  define  $F(x) = \int_a^x f(t)d\alpha(t)$ . Show that for all  $x \in [a, b]$ ,  $\frac{dF(x)}{d\alpha(x)} = f(x)$ , where the left-hand side is defined as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{F(x) - F(t)}{\alpha(x) - \alpha(t)}$ , and the equality includes the assertion that the limit exists.

*Proof.* First, we note that because  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha)$  on  $[a, b]$

$$F(x) - F(t) = \int_a^x f(s)d\alpha(s) - \int_a^t f(s)d\alpha(s) = \int_t^x f(s)d\alpha(s)$$

For all partitions  $P$ ,

$$\int_t^x f(s)d\alpha(s) \leq \sum_{x_i \in P} M_i \Delta\alpha_i \leq \left( \sup_{s \in [x, t]} f(s) \right) \sum_{x_i \in P} \Delta\alpha_i = \left( \sup_{s \in [x, t]} f(s) \right) (\alpha(x) - \alpha(t))$$

Since  $\alpha(x)$  is strictly increasing,  $\alpha(x) - \alpha(t) > 0$  when  $x \neq t$ , so

$$\frac{F(x) - F(t)}{\alpha(x) - \alpha(t)} \leq \sup_{s \in [x, t]} f(s)$$

Taking the limit as  $t$  approaches  $x$  on both sides gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{F(x) - F(t)}{\alpha(x) - \alpha(t)} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow x} \sup_{s \in [x, t]} f(s)$$

**Lemma 0.0.0.1.**

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \sup_{s \in [x, t]} f(s) = f(x)$$

*Proof.* Let  $x_n$  be an arbitrary sequence such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n > x$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Since  $[x, x_n]$  is a closed, bounded interval on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $f$  is continuous, there exists a sequence of points  $p_n \in [x, x_n]$  such that  $f(p_n) = \sup_{s \in [x, x_n]} f(s)$ .  $x_n \rightarrow x$  implies  $p_n \rightarrow x$  by the Squeeze Theorem, and the continuity of  $f$  implies that  $f(p_n) \rightarrow f(x)$ .  $\square$

Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow x} \frac{F(x) - F(t)}{\alpha(x) - \alpha(t)} \leq f(x)$$

The analogous result for the lower integral and the Squeeze Theorem complete the proof.  $\square$

**Problem 6.6:2.**

(a). Show that if  $f$  is continuous, then

$$\int_{t=a}^b \left( \int_{s=a}^t f(s)ds \right) dt = \int_{t=a}^b (b-t)f(t)dt$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in [a, b]$ . Define  $P(x) = \int_{t=a}^x \left( \int_{s=a}^t f(s) ds \right) dt$  and  $Q(x) = \int_{t=a}^x (x - t) f(t) dt$ .

$f(t)$  being continuous on  $[a, b]$  implies that it is Riemann-integrable. This implies that  $f^*(t) = \int_{s=a}^t f(s) ds$  is continuous, and that  $P(x) = \int_a^x f^*(t) dt$  is continuous and differentiable. Similarly,  $(b-t)f(t)$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , so  $Q(x)$  is continuous and differentiable.

By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$P'(x) = \int_{s=a}^x f(s) ds$$

For  $Q(x)$ , since  $t$  and  $tf(t)$  are Riemann-integrable,

$$Q(x) = x \int_{t=a}^x f(t) dt - \int_{t=a}^x tf(t) dt$$

$x$  is trivially differentiable. Since  $t$  and  $tf(t)$  are continuous,

$$Q'(x) = \int_{t=a}^x f(t) dt + xf(x) - xf(x) = \int_{t=a}^x f(t) dt$$

Thus,  $P'(x) = Q'(x)$ . Integrating both sides from  $a$  to  $c$ , then setting  $c = b$ , produces the desired result.  $\square$

(c). Show that the result of Part (a) continues to hold if  $f$  is merely assumed Riemann-integrable, but not necessarily continuous.

*Proof.*  $P(x)$  has the same derivative as in Part (a), as the derivation only assumed that  $P(x)$  is Riemann-integrable. Similarly, for  $x_0 \in [a, b]$  where  $f(x_0)$  is continuous, the above derivations hold for  $Q(x)$ .

Let  $x_0$  be a point where  $f(x_0)$  is discontinuous. First, we will prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 0.0.0.2.** *If  $f(x)$  is bounded, then  $(x - x_0)f(x)$  is continuous at  $x_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M = \sup |f(x)|$ . Then  $(x - x_0)f(x) \leq |(x - x_0)f(x)| \leq (x - x_0)M$ , which can be made arbitrarily small.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.0.0.3.** *If  $f(x)$  is continuous, then  $(x - x_0)f(x)$  is differentiable at  $x_0$  with derivative  $f(x_0)$ .*

*Proof.* By the definition of differentiability,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{(x - x_0)f(x) - (x_0 - x_0)f(x_0)}{x - x_0} = \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = f(x_0)$$

by continuity.  $\square$

We can rewrite  $Q(x)$  as

$$Q(x) = \int_{t=a}^x ((x - x_0) + (x_0 - t))f(t) dt = (x - x_0) \int_{t=a}^x f(t) dt + \int_{t=a}^x (x_0 - t)f(t) dt$$

because the sub-functions are trivially Riemann-integrable.  $\int_{t=a}^x f(t)dt$  is a continuous function, so by Lemma 0.0.0.3  $(x - x_0) \int_{t=a}^x f(t)dt$  is differentiable at  $x = x_0$  with derivative  $\int_{t=a}^{x_0} f(t)dt$ . Similarly,  $f(t)$  is bounded because it is Riemann-integrable, so by Lemma 0.0.0.2  $(x_0 - t)f(t)$  is continuous at  $t = x_0$ . Therefore  $\int_{t=a}^x (x_0 - t)f(t)dt$  is differentiable at  $x = x_0$ , with derivative 0.

Therefore,  $Q(x)$  is differentiable at  $x = x_0$ , and  $Q'(x_0) = \int_{t=a}^{x_0} f(t)dt$ . The proof then follows using the same logic as in Part (a).  $\square$

**Problem 6.6:4.** Let  $f$  be a function on  $[a, b]$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  monotonically increasing nonnegative functions on  $[a, b]$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\beta)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}(\beta)$ , and  $\beta \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha)$ . Prove that

$$\int f d(\alpha\beta) = \int f \alpha d(\beta) + \int f \beta d(\alpha)$$

*Proof.* Note that from previous results in Problem 6.2.1,  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha) \cap \mathfrak{R}(\beta)$  implies  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(\alpha\beta)$ . Since all of the above Riemann integrals are well-defined, for arbitrary  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $P_1, P_2$ , and  $P_3$  be partitions of  $[a, b]$  such that

- (1)  $U(P_1, f, \alpha\beta) - L(P_1, f, \alpha\beta) < \epsilon$
- (2)  $U(P_2, f\alpha, \beta) - L(P_2, f\alpha, \beta) < \epsilon$
- (3)  $U(P_3, f\beta, \alpha) - L(P_3, f\beta, \alpha) < \epsilon$

Let  $P$  be their common partition. Let  $x_0 < x_1 \dots < x_n$  denote the points of  $P$ . For all  $i$  in  $(1, 2 \dots n)$ , let  $t_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)$  be arbitrary, fixed points, and let  $P^* = (x_0, t_1, x_1 \dots x_{i-1}, t_i, x_i \dots t_n, x_n)$ . Trivially,  $P^*$  partitions  $[a, b]$  and is a refinement of  $P$ .

Consider  $\int f d(\alpha\beta)$  and its associated Riemann-Stieltjes sum over  $P^*$ . Since  $P^*$  is a refinement of  $P_1$ , for arbitrary points  $u_i \in [x_{i-1}, t_i]$  and  $v_i \in [t_i, x_i]$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f(u_i)(\alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_i} - \alpha_{x_{i-1}}\beta_{x_{i-1}}) + f(v_i)(\alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_i} - \alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_i})] - \int f d(\alpha\beta) \right| < \epsilon$$

Letting  $u_i = x_{i-1}$  and  $v_i = x_i$  for all  $i$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f_{x_{i-1}}(\alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_i} - \alpha_{x_{i-1}}\beta_{x_{i-1}}) + f_{x_i}(\alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_i} - \alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_i})] - \int f d(\alpha\beta) \right| < \epsilon$$

Now consider  $\int f \alpha d(\beta)$  and its associated Riemann-Stieltjes sum over  $P$ . Letting  $u_i = x_i$  for all  $i$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f_{x_i}\alpha_{x_i}(\beta_{x_i} - \beta_{x_{i-1}})] - \int f \alpha d(\beta) \right| < \epsilon$$

Similarly, considering  $\int f \beta d(\alpha)$  over  $P$  and letting  $u_i = x_{i-1}$  for all  $i$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [f_{x_{i-1}}\beta_{x_{i-1}}(\alpha_{x_i} - \alpha_{x_{i-1}})] - \int f \beta d(\alpha) \right| < \epsilon$$

Adding the inequalities and using the Triangle Inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int f d(\alpha\beta) - \int f \alpha d(\beta) - \int f \beta d(\alpha) \right. \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ - [f_{x_{i-1}}(\alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_i} - \alpha_{x_{i-1}}\beta_{x_{i-1}}) + f_{x_i}(\alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_i} - \alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_i})] \right. \\
& \left. \left. + f_{x_i}\alpha_{x_i}(\beta_{x_i} - \beta_{x_{i-1}}) + f_{x_{i-1}}\beta_{x_{i-1}}(\alpha_{x_i} - \alpha_{x_{i-1}}) \right] \right| < 3\epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

Simplifying,

$$\left| \int f d(\alpha\beta) - \int f \alpha d(\beta) - \int f \beta d(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^n [(f_{x_i} - f_{x_{i-1}})(\alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_{i-1}} - \alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_{i-1}})] \right| < 3\epsilon$$

To analyze the sum on the right, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{i=1}^n [(f_{x_i} - f_{x_{i-1}})(\alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_{i-1}} - \alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_{i-1}})] \right| \leq \\
& \sum_{i=1}^n |f_{x_i} - f_{x_{i-1}}| |\alpha_{t_i}\beta_{t_{i-1}} - \alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_{i-1}}| \leq \\
& \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sup_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) - \inf_{x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]} f(x) \right) (\alpha_{x_i}\beta_{x_i} - \alpha_{x_{i-1}}\beta_{x_{i-1}}) < \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality comes from the fact  $t_i$  is in  $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ , and the third inequality comes from  $P$  being a refinement of  $P_1$ . Thus

$$\left| \int f d(\alpha\beta) - \int f \alpha d(\beta) - \int f \beta d(\alpha) \right| < 4\epsilon$$

Which can be made arbitrarily close to 0.

□