

24.12.31 Index Check Benchmark

Xun Zhang Wuyun Siqin Bingsheng Zhang
Zhejiang University, CHN
22221024@zju.edu.cn 3210101763@zju.edu.cn bingsheng@zju.edu.cn

December 31 2024

1 Relations and Circuit

The index check circuit is designed to prove the following relation:

- $\forall i : \text{index}_i \leq m$ and $\forall i \neq j : \text{index}_i \neq \text{index}_j$.

Where m is the total number of lottery. Each signer's lottery index should be less than total number, and there can not be two same lottery numbers of different signers. This index will be used in the eligibility check(as a input of mapping/hash function).

Our circuit implementation includes a compare gate for index_i and m , and also many gates for inequality check between every index_i .

The code is like bellowing:

```
let less: AssignedValue<F> = big_less_than::assign(
  base_chip.range(),
  ctx,
  a: index.clone(),
  b: m.clone(),
  base_chip.limb_bits,
  limb_base: base_chip.limb_bases[1],
);

let equal: AssignedValue<F> = big_is_equal::assign(
  base_chip.gate(),
  ctx,
  a: index,
  b: m.clone(),
);

let result: AssignedValue<F> = base_chip.gate().or(ctx, a: less, b: equal);
```

This two gates is same as eligibility check gate, compare the index with m .

```

for i: usize in 0..len {
  for j: usize in i+1..len{
    let eq: AssignedValue<F> = base_chip.gate().is_equal(ctx, a: indexes_assigned[i], b: indexes_assigned[j]);
    let is_valid: AssignedValue<F> = base_chip.gate().is_zero(ctx, a: eq);
    is_valids.push(is_valid);
  }
}

```

This code compares each index pairwise, and constraint it to unequal.

2 Benchmark

The benchmark setting is $num_limbs = 3$, and $limb_bits = 90$.

Here is our index check benchmark result:

Degree	Advice	Number	Proof Time	Proof Size	Verify Time
18	1	128	5.2626s	960	6.8613ms
18	1	256	8.1488s	1920	8.3849ms
18	1	512	15.9302s	4576	8.1831ms
18	48	1024	44.1102s	14944	12.5294ms
20	48	2048	182.2723s	14944	13.2670ms

Table 1: Index Check Benchmark

Due to our circuit implementation, the proving cost of index check is $O(n^2)$, where n is the number of indexes.

3 Parameters

We can find the parameters in the Mithril paper:

$\frac{k}{m}$	Adversarial Stake							
	40%				33%			
	k	m	L-Abs	L-Par	k	m	L-Abs	L-Par
$\phi(.55)$	2422	20973	99.999 %	≈ 1	856	7407	$1 - 2^{-30}$	≈ 1
$\phi(.60)$	1445	11531	49.24 %	≈ 1	605	4824	99.667 %	≈ 1
$\phi(.67)$	857	6172	LL	≈ 1	414	2980	48.31 %	$1 - 2 \cdot 10^{-18}$
$\phi(.75)$	554	3597	LL	$1 - 7 \cdot 10^{-13}$	296	1921	LL	$1 - 2 \cdot 10^{-7}$
$\phi(.80)$	445	2728	LL	$1 - 5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	250	1523	LL	99.98%

Table 2. Required values of k, n so that an adversarial quorum is formed with $P \leq 2^{-128}$. L-Abs and L-Par represent probability to form quorum (before retries) when the adversarial stake abstains or participates respectively. LL describes probabilities $< 1\%$. The parameters can be meaningfully used in conjunction with an incentive scheme or as an auxiliary opportunistic parametrization where a less aggressive parametrization is used as a fallback. Values of ≈ 1 indicate a chance of failure $< 10^{-30}$.

The recommend parameters is around hundreds, so this part is not so expensive, although it is a super-linear proving task.