

24.11.05 Merkle Tree with Selector

Xun Zhang Wuyun Siqin Bingsheng Zhang
Zhejiang University, CHN
22221024@zju.edu.cn 3210101763@zju.edu.cn bingsheng@zju.edu.cn

November 5 2024

1 Merkle Tree with Selector

In our previous implementation, there is no selector in the circuit. Which means that we "swap" the inputs fo Poseidon hash function manually.

In this version, the index of each public key has not been imported as a witness.

So we add the this part of constraint into the circuit:

- $\text{left} = \text{gate.select}(\text{hash}, \text{path}_i, \text{index}_i)$
- $\text{right} = \text{gate.select}(\text{path}_i, \text{hash}, \text{index}_i)$
- $\text{inputs} = [\text{left}, \text{right}]$
- $\text{hash} = \text{poseidon.hash}(\text{inputs})$

And we need the index value to do the later implementation.

2 Benchmark

We also benchmark the new version of merkle tree.

Here is the benchmark results:

| degree | num_aggregation | num_origin | proof_time | proof_size | verify_time |
|--------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| 19 | 16 | 32 | 5.6890s | 704 | 6.5756ms |
| 19 | 32 | 64 | 7.6071s | 1056 | 4.4714ms |
| 19 | 64 | 128 | 13.4401s | 2112 | 7.6402ms |
| 19 | 128 | 256 | 23.2960s | 3872 | 8.1395ms |
| 19 | 256 | 512 | 48.6304s | 8448 | 11.6570ms |
| 19 | 512 | 1024 | 100.0534s | 17600 | 13.6171ms |
| 19 | 1024 | 2048 | 213.2567s | 38016 | 20.3142ms |

Table 1: Merkle Tree Path Benchmark with stake

The benchmark results shows that the merkle path with selector takes about 5% to 8% longer proving time than previous version.

3 Relations of Index

There is another relation that we have not discussed before, that is the unequal relationship between indexes.

- $\forall i : \text{index}_i \leq m \text{ and } \forall i \neq j : \text{index}_i \neq \text{index}_j.$

It maybe be difficult to prove, because we need the boolean value of every path's indexes, but in the mithril, the index is a integer. We plan to prove it in following circuit:

- $\text{index_bigint} = \text{gate.bit_composition}(\text{index}[])$
- $0 = \text{gate.equal}(\text{index_bigint}_i, \text{index_bigint}_j)$

Or to prove it as:

- $\text{index}[] = \text{gate.bit_decomposition}(\text{index_bigint})$
- $0 = \text{gate.equal}(\text{index_bigint}_i, \text{index_bigint}_j)$

We will adjust the proving logic according to the engineering practice.

4 Discussion About $\phi(\text{stake})$ In the Merkle Tree

We mentioned that we can optimize the merkle tree by replacing the `stake` by $\phi(\text{stake})$.

However, ev is a natural in $[0, 2^{512}]$, while ϕ is a floating point in $[0, 1]$. It is hard to represent a float number in circuit. How to address this problem ?

This is the original code implementation in Mithril:

- $w = \text{stake}_i / \text{total_stake}$
- $p < 1 - (1 - \phi_f)^w, \text{ with } p = ev / 2^{512}.$
- let $q = 1 / (1 - p)$ and $c = \ln(1 - \phi_f)$
- $q < \exp(-w * c)$

And they use Taylor expansion to compare the two values, iterate 1000 times. Note that q and $\exp(-w * c)$ are all float numbers.

We try to modify the comparison process into a integer version, still need discussion and investigation. Or we can just use a simple comparison:

- For $i \in \{1 \dots k\}$: $ev_i \leq \phi(\text{stake}_i).$

But it seems different from the original code implementation.