Literate Doctesting

Marius Gedminas marius@pov.lt

Programmers of Vilnius http://pov.lt/



EuroPython 2006



doctests



imagine an interactive Python session



- >>> 2 + 2
- 4
- >>> if 2 * 2 == 4:
- ... **print** 'My Python is sane today' My Python is sane today



copy & paste



run and verify



doctests serve two purposes



documentation and tests



documentation



you develop a Python package



you create a README.txt file



documentation for users of this package



documentation should have examples



(I'm a user. I love examples.)



if you put doctests into the README, then you have real, working examples



(and they get tested)



example



moneylaundry

This is a package that helps you launder money.

- >>> from moneylaundry import launder
- >>> money = '\$1,000,000.00'
- >>> launder(money)
 Decimal("1000000.00")



some advice



focus on documentation



tell a story



do not put complicated setup code into the README



do not put comprehensive tests for all imaginable corner cases into the README



hooking things up



unittest is not a bad framework

```
# tests.py
```

```
import unittest
import doctest
```

```
if ___name__ == '__main__':
    unittest.main(defaultTest='test_suite')
```



DocFileSuite is for plain text files

(there's also DocTestSuite that I'll mention later)



Complicated setup code?



```
def setUp(test):
def tearDown(test):
def test_suite():
  return doctest.DocFileSuite(
                'README.txt',
                setUp=setUp,
                tearDown=tearDown)
```



you can put things into test.globs



do not abuse



make the README easy to understand



useful technique for API design:



write the README first



then implement it



you can do this in many iterative steps, large or small



documentation (again)



short examples in docstrings



def blend(color1, color2, alpha=0.5): """Blend two colors together.

```
>>> blend('#ff0000', '#ffffee', 0.5)
'#ff776f'
>>> blend('#ff0000', '#ffffee', 0.75)
'#ffbbaa'
```

11 11 11



short



testing these



```
# tests.py
import unittest
import doctest
def test_suite():
  return unittest.TestSuite([
        doctest.DocFileSuite('README.txt'),
        doctest.DocTestSuite('colors'),
if ___name__ == '__main___':
  unittest.main(defaultTest='test suite')
```



DocFileSuite is for plain text files

DocTestSuite is for docstrings in Python modules



enough about documentation



testing



you can use traditional unittest TestCases



or you can use doctests



or even both



doctests have some advantages



doctests invite English descriptions



plus there are some nice doctest features to make life easier



general pattern of doctests



short paragraph that explains what the next chunk is all about

- >>> short chunk
- >>> of Python code

another

>>> another

etc.



You can process such text files with a ReStructuredText processor



Alternative: put doctests into Python modules in a subpackage



```
# tests.py
import unittest
import doctest
def doctest_this():
  """Test this
  If X then Y
    >>> this(X)
```

11 11 11



```
# tests.py, continued
def doctest_that():
   11 11 11
   If Z then Q
     >>> this(Z)
   11 11 11
```



tests.py, continued

```
def test_suite():
  return unittest.TestSuite([
        doctest.DocFileSuite('README.txt'),
        doctest.DocTestSuite('colors'),
        doctest.DocTestSuite(),
           # no module name = this file
if ___name__ == '__main___':
  unittest.main(defaultTest='test suite')
```



which is better? it's up to you



just don't mix long tests and code in the same module



about those nice features



wildcards



-- real life --

```
Python 2.4.3 (#2, Apr 27 2006, 14:43:58) >>> object() <object object at 0xb7d88448>
```

-- doctest --

Call a class to make an object

```
>>> object() # doctest: +ELLIPSIS <object object at ...>
```



diffs



```
>>> print '\n'.join(sorted(some_dict))
... # doctest: +REPORT_NDIFF
alpha
beta
gama
```



```
Failed example:
  print '\n'.join(sorted(some_dict))
     # doctest: +REPORT NDIFF
Differences (ndiff with -expected +actual):
    alpha
  - beta
  + delta
  - gama
   gamma
```



sadly diffs + ellipses do not mix well



there's also whitespace normalization



doctest: +NORMALIZE_WHITESPACE



useful when you compare, say, generated HTML



makes diffs even harder to read .(



flags can be specified globally





real-life example



functional test of a web app with zope.testbrowser



When we look at the front page, we see a welcome message

```
>>> browser = Browser()
>>> browser.open('http://localhost/')
>>> 'Welcome' in browser.contents
True
```

There's a login link

>>> browser.getLink('Log in').click()



We can now type the username and password, and log in

```
>>> browser.getControl(
... 'User Name').value = 'marius'
>>> browser.getControl(
... 'Password').value = 'sekrit'
>>> browser.getControl('Log in').click()
```



And now my home page shows that I've got mail

```
>>> print browser.contents
<BLANKLINE>
<h1>Hello, Marius</h1>
<div class="info">
 You have mail!
</div>
```



testbrowser works with Zope 3, and with real HTTP servers



I'm sure you could hook it up with WSGI and other things



so, do doctests always win?



there are some downsides



you cannot step through a doctest with pdb



(nothing else comes into mind)



summary



documentation (README.txt,
docstrings)
tests (unit, functional)
keep them separate



acknowledgements



Jim Fulton's PyCon 2004 talk

Literate Testing: Automated Testing with doctest

http://www.python.org/pycon/dc2004/papers/4/PyCon2004DocTestUnit.pdf



Phillip J. Eby's weblog Stream of Conciousness Testing

http://dirtsimple.org/2004/11/stream-of-consciousness-testing.html



that's it question time

... is there any time left?