

# Russo-Seymour-Welsh Theory

In this section, we discuss the scale invariant property of some connecting events when  $p = p_c$  on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lattice. In particular, we presents the invariant behaviour of the *horizontal crossing event in a rectangle of size  $[0, \rho n] \times [0, n]$* , which is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}(\rho n, n)$ .

**Theorem (Russo-Seymour-Welsh).** *Let  $\rho > 0$ . There exists  $c = c(\rho) > 0$  such that for all  $n \geq 1$ , we have*

$$c \leq \mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}[\mathcal{H}(\rho n, n)] \leq 1 - c,$$

where  $\mathcal{H}(\rho n, n)$  denotes the horizontal crossing event in a rectangle with size  $[0, \rho n] \times [0, n]$ .

They proved this theorem by proving a special case:

**Theorem.** *For all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}[\mathcal{H}(3n, 2n)] \geq \frac{1}{128}.$$

Once one get this result, i.e. when one find  $c(\rho)$  for some  $\rho > 1$  (e.g.  $c(3/2)$ ), then one can get  $c(\rho')$  for arbitrary  $\rho' > 1$  by construct the crossing events  $\mathcal{H}(\rho n, n)$  that assures  $\mathcal{H}(\rho' n, n)$  to occur, and hence we can prove the first theorem. For example, to get a lower bound for  $\mathbb{P}_{p_c}[\mathcal{H}(4n, n)]$ , we can place five  $(2n, n)$  boxes as follows: Let  $R_1 = [0, 2n] \times [0, n]$ ,  $R_2 = [n, 2n] \times [-n, n]$ ,  $R_3 = [n, 3n] \times [-n, 0]$ ,  $R_4 = [2n, 3n] \times [-n, n]$  and  $R_5 = [2n, 4n] \times [0, n]$ . Then we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{p_c}[\mathcal{H}(R_1) \cap \mathcal{V}(R_2) \cap \mathcal{H}(R_3) \cap \mathcal{V}(R_4) \cap \mathcal{H}(R_5)] \leq \mathbb{P}_{p_c}[\mathcal{H}([0, 4n] \times [0, n])].$$

Now by Harris-FKG inequality and translation invariant property on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  lattice, we immediately have

$$c(2)^5 \leq \mathbb{P}_{p_c}[\mathcal{H}(4n, n)].$$

With Russo-Seymour-Welsh's theory, we're able to give a scale invariant property for more general crossing events. Consider a simply connected domain with a smooth boundary  $\Omega$  with distinct boundary points  $a, b, c, d$ . For  $\delta > 0$ , we define a finite graph  $\Omega^\delta = \delta\mathbb{Z}^2 \cap \Omega$ . And let  $a^\delta, b^\delta, c^\delta, d^\delta \in \Omega^\delta$  to be the closest points to  $a, b, c, d \in \partial\Omega$ . Also define  $(a^\delta b^\delta), (c^\delta d^\delta)$  as the paths on  $\partial\Omega^\delta$  from  $a^\delta$  to  $b^\delta$ , from  $c^\delta$  to  $d^\delta$  counterclockwise.

**Theorem.** *There exists  $c = c(\Omega, a, b, c, d) > 0$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_{\frac{1}{2}}[(a^\delta b^\delta) \xleftrightarrow{\Omega^\delta} (c^\delta d^\delta)] \geq c.$$

