


0.2) First Model (Polymer Model)

- Regular lattices, e.g., \mathbb{Z}^d
- Self-avoiding walk (SAW) (a polymer)



Example A self-avoiding walk

- Consider $\Omega = \{ \text{all SAWs} \}$, define $H(\omega) = |\omega|$ where $\omega \in \Omega$
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\lambda_n = \# \text{ SAWs of length } n$.

Observe : Given $n, m \geq 1$

Any SAW of length $n + m$ can be decomposed into 2 SAWs of length n and m .

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{n+m} \leq \lambda_n \cdot \lambda_m.$$




Figure $\lambda_{19} \leq \lambda_5 \cdot \lambda_{14}$

Exercise 1 $\mu \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ exists and $\lambda_N \geq \mu^N$ for all $N \geq 1$.

SOL Note that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $(\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 0$, thus 0 is a lower bound of $\{(\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, therefore

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} (\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = K \in \mathbb{R}$$

Now, given $\varepsilon > 0$, 1° $\exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.

$$K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}}$$

2° By division algorithm, $\forall n \geq N_1$, $\exists m, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq N_1$ such that $n = mN_1 + \ell$, thus

$$\lambda_n = \lambda_{mN_1+\ell} \leq (\lambda_{N_1})^m \cdot \lambda_\ell$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} &\leq (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{m}{n}} \cdot (\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{1}{n}} = (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1} + \frac{\ell}{m}} \cdot (\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}} \cdot (\lambda_\ell)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &\leq (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}} \cdot (\lambda_1)^{\frac{\ell}{n}} \leq (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}} \cdot (\lambda_1)^{\frac{N_1}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

Because of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}} \cdot (\lambda_1)^{\frac{N_1}{n}} = (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}}$, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow$

$$(\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > (\lambda_{N_1})^{\frac{1}{N_1}} \cdot (\lambda_1)^{\frac{N_1}{n}} \geq (\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$


Therefore

$$K + \varepsilon = K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > \lambda_{N_1}^{\frac{1}{N_1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} > (\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq K.$$


Thus, $\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = K$ exists.

Next, for $N > 1$, we have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_{nN} \leq (\lambda_N)^n$, thus $(\lambda_{nN})^{\frac{1}{nN}} \leq (\lambda_N)^{\frac{1}{N}}$. Note that $\{(\lambda_{nN})^{\frac{1}{nN}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subsequence of $\{(\lambda_n)^{\frac{1}{n}}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, therefore $\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{nN})^{\frac{1}{nN}} \leq (\lambda_N)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ ■


- Exercise 2**
- (a) In \mathbb{Z}^2 , $\mu \in (2, 3)$
 - (b) In \mathbb{Z}^3 , $\mu > 3$
 - (c) In the triangular mesh, $\mu > 3$;
 - (d) In the hexagonal mesh, $\mu < 2$
 - (e) In $\mathbb{Z} \times \{0, 1\}$ (i.e. a ladder), $\mu = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.



The triangular mesh



The hexagonal mesh



$\mathbb{Z} \times \{0, 1\}$

sOL: We first show a fact :

Fact(ratio test and root test) : Let $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence that take value in $(0, \infty)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = K \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = K.$$

Proof. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} = K \in \mathbb{R}$, then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that

$$n \geq N_1 \Rightarrow \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} - K \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow K - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} < K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

Note that $a_n = a_1 \times \frac{a_2}{a_1} \times \frac{a_3}{a_2} \times \cdots \times \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} = a_1 \times \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} = a_1 \times \prod_{k=1}^{N_1-1} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \times \prod_{k=N_1}^{n-1} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}$.

Now define $\mathcal{Q} = a_1 \times \prod_{k=1}^{N_1-1} \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}$, then $\sqrt[n]{a_n} = \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \prod_{k=N_1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \left(K - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-N_1-1}{n}} &= \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \prod_{k=N_1}^{n-1} \left(K - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} < \sqrt[n]{a_n} = \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \prod_{k=N_1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &< \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \prod_{k=N_1}^{n-1} \left(K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \left(K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-N_1-1}{n}}. \end{aligned}$$


By the fact that

$A(n) = \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \left(K - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-N_1-1}{n}} \rightarrow K - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, $B(n) = \sqrt[n]{\mathcal{Q}} \times \left(K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right)^{\frac{n-N_1-1}{n}} \rightarrow K + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there is $N > N_1$ such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow A(n) > K - \varepsilon$ and $B(n) < K + \varepsilon$, thus

$$K - \varepsilon < A(n) < \sqrt[n]{a_n} < B(n) < K + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{a_n} = K$. □

(a) We first show that $\mu(\mathbb{Z}^2) > 2$:



If we only consider the 3 direction $\uparrow, \rightarrow, \downarrow$ to choice for next step, ex : red line and blue line, we define $S(n) = \#$ SAWs with length n that under this restriction, $n \geq 1$ and $S(0) = 1$. Now considering the samples SAWs are of length n , then

$$\begin{aligned}
S(n) &= \# \text{ SAWs passing } O \text{ with } \rightarrow \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^n \# \text{ SAWs passing } A_i \text{ with } \rightarrow + \sum_{k=1}^n \# \text{ SAWs passing } A_{-i} \text{ with } \rightarrow \\
&+ \# \text{ SAWs lying in } y\text{-axis} \\
&= S(n-1) + 2S(n-2) + \cdots + 2S(1) + 2S(0) + 2 \\
&= S(n-1) + 2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} S(k), \quad n \geq 2
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $S(n+1) = S(n) + 2 + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S(k)$, by subtraction of the two equation, we get a recursive formula :

$$S(n+1) = 2S(n) + S(n-1), \quad n \geq 1, \dots (*)$$

We get $\{S(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} : 1, 3, 7, 17, 31, \dots$, we use matrix representation of $(*)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} S(n+1) \\ S(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S(n) \\ S(n-1) \end{bmatrix}.$$


And note that by calculating eigenvalue and eigenvector, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &= P \begin{bmatrix} 1+\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1-\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1+\sqrt{2} & 1+\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n = P \begin{bmatrix} (1+\sqrt{2})^n & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\sqrt{2})^n \end{bmatrix} P^{-1} \\
&\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} S(n+1) \\ S(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} S(1) \\ S(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} P \begin{bmatrix} (1+\sqrt{2})^n & 0 \\ 0 & (1-\sqrt{2})^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4+3\sqrt{2} \\ 4-3\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1+\sqrt{2} & 1+\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} (1+\sqrt{2})^n(4+3\sqrt{2}) \\ (1-\sqrt{2})^n(4-3\sqrt{2}) \end{bmatrix} \\
&\Rightarrow S(n+1) = \frac{1}{2} \left((1+\sqrt{2})^n(4+3\sqrt{2}) - (1-\sqrt{2})^n(4-3\sqrt{2}) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mu(\mathbb{Z}^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n+1]{\lambda_{n+1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n+1]{S(n+1)} = 1 + \sqrt{2} > 2$.

Next, we show that $\mu < 3$:

First, we calculate the number of SAWs of length 4 with the first move is “ \rightarrow ”



We got that number is 25 (blue points), this figure concentrate about the direction of next move of SAWs instead of the distance between two connected points (it is always 1). we get $\lambda_4 = 4 \times 25 = 100$ Now we replace blue point by red point, and then we got $\lambda_7 \leq \lambda_4 \times 25 = 2500$, continue this process, we got $\lambda_{1+3n} \leq 4 \times 25^n$. Thus

$$\mu(\mathbb{Z}^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_{3n+1})^{\frac{1}{3n+1}} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{\frac{1}{3n+1}} \times 25^{\frac{n}{3n+1}} = \sqrt[3]{25} < 3.$$

- (b) Because of $\mathbb{Z}^3 = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}$, thus we define β_n be the number of SAWs of length n in \mathbb{Z}^2 , by (a), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\beta_n} = \mu(\mathbb{Z}^2) \in (2, 3)$. Note that $\lambda_{n+1} \geq \beta_n \times 2^{n+1}$, therefore

$$\mu(\mathbb{Z}^3) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n+1]{\lambda_{n+1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n+1]{\beta_n} \times 2^{\frac{n+1}{n+1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\beta_{n+1}}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \times 2 > 2 \times 2 > 3$$

- (c) If we look more seriously, we can find that there's a hidden \mathbb{Z}^2 in the triangular mesh :




Figure 1 The triangular mesh

But, we are not going to use this fact. Instead, we'll prove $\mu(\Delta) > 3$ by using the similar way to prove $\mu(\mathbb{Z}^2) > 2$. Define $S(n)$ be # of SAWs that every move only choose the four blue directions (**Figure 1**), if we define $S(0) = 1$, it is easy to see that

$$S(n) = 2S(n-1) + 4S(n-2) + \cdots + 4S(0) + 2 = 2 + 2S(n-1) + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} S(k)$$

$$S(n+1) = 2S(n) + 4S(n-1) + \cdots + 4S(0) + 2 = 2 + 2S(n) + 4 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S(k) \quad n \geq 2.$$

Thus, $S(n+1) - S(n) = 2S(n) + 2S(n-1) \Rightarrow S(n+1) = 3S(n) + 2S(n-1)$, $n \geq 2$, $S(0) = 1$, $S(1) = 4$, $S(2) = 14$, thus

$$\begin{bmatrix} S(n+1) \\ S(n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} S(n) \\ S(n-1) \end{bmatrix}$$

We note that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = P \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3-\sqrt{17}}{2} \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}, \quad \text{where } P = \begin{bmatrix} 3+\sqrt{17} & 3-\sqrt{17} \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And

$$P^{-1} = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{17}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3+\sqrt{17} \\ -2 & 3+\sqrt{17} \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} S(n+1) \\ S(n) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n \begin{bmatrix} S(1) \\ S(0) \end{bmatrix} = P \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{3-\sqrt{17}}{2} \end{bmatrix}^n P^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{17}} P \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^n & 0 \\ 0 & \left(\frac{3-\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5+\sqrt{17} \\ -5+\sqrt{17} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{17}} \begin{bmatrix} 3+\sqrt{17} & 3-\sqrt{17} \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^n (5+\sqrt{17}) \\ \left(\frac{3-\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^n (-5+\sqrt{17}) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus implies that

$$S(n) = \frac{2}{4\sqrt{17}} \left(\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^n (5+\sqrt{17}) + \left(\frac{3-\sqrt{17}}{2}\right)^n (-5+\sqrt{17}) \right)$$

And therefore

$$\mu(\triangle) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{\lambda_n} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{S(n)} = \frac{3+\sqrt{17}}{2} > 3.$$

Remark. (a) Highly non-trivial to compute μ .

(b) On the hexagonal lattice, it is shown that $\mu = \sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}$ (2010, Copin et al.)

(c) By computer simulation,

Conjecture : $Z_\beta = (\beta - \beta_c)^{-\gamma+o(1)}$ for $\beta \rightarrow \beta_c^+$, where γ only depends on that dimension of the lattice. In 2D, $\gamma = \frac{43}{32}$ (conjectured)



(d) If we have $\lambda_N \sim \mu^N N^\alpha$, then Z_β can be computed to satisfy $Z_\beta \sim (\beta - \beta_c)^{-1+\alpha}$, $\alpha = \frac{11}{32}$ in 2D (conjectured)

Exercise 3 How to sample SAWs with computer.

0.3) Bernoulli Percolation

We first consider \mathbb{Z}^d lattices,

- **Bond percolation** Give $p \in [0, 1]$, consider $\omega = (\omega(e))_{e \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ such that $\{\omega(e)\}_{e \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ is i.i.d with $\omega(e) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$.
If $\omega(e) = 1$, we say that e is open. If $\omega(e) = 0$, we say that e is closed.
Remark We got a model of random subgraph. By above of notation, we may also write ω for the random subgraph (consisting of that open edges).
- **Site percolation** Same thing with i.i.d $\text{Bernoulli}(p)$,
open means that the node can pass, closed means that the node can not pass.
- Notation :
 \mathbb{P}_p = The Bernoulli percolation of parameter p .
 ω_p a sample of \mathbb{P}_p



Remark The terminology “Bernoulli percolation” stands for **i.i.d**, on the other hand, without independence, we simply say that we have a “percolation model”, e.g. random cluster model.

For the following classes we use “percolation” to refer to Bernoulli percolation.

Exercise 1 Show that a bond percolation is equivalent to a site percolation. How about the other way? Construct an example.

Question : What are the interesting behavior when p varies? e.g. # component, size of component etc.

$p = 0$ is an empty graph, $p = 1$ is a full graph.

- **Connected component (cluster)**
Let a, b be two vertex of \mathbb{Z}^d , we say that $a \sim b$ if exists an path in ω_p from a to b . It is clearly that \sim is an equivalence relation.
A **connected component**(cluster) is an element in equivalence classes of \sim
- **Infinite cluster**
A infinite cluster is a cluster of ω_p that has infinite edges and infinite vertex.
Let $[O \leftrightarrow \infty]$ be the event in \mathbb{P}_p that O belongs to a infinite cluster.
 $\theta(p) = \mathbb{P}_p[O \leftrightarrow \infty]$.

1. Basic Properties of the Bernoulli Percolation

Consider $G = \mathbb{Z}^d$ or some “nice” graph.

1.1) Coupling (耦合)

- Given $p \leq p'$, how to compute $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, $X' \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p')$?
Consider $\mathcal{U} \sim \text{Uniform}([0, 1])$, define $Y = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{U} \leq p}$, $Y' = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{U} \leq p'}$, we get

$$X \stackrel{(id)}{=} Y, \quad X' \stackrel{(id)}{=} Y', \quad Y \leq Y'. \quad a.s. (almost sure)$$

This is called a coupling.

Remark. In coupling, usually we do not want independence, so that we can compute values between random variables.

Exercise 1 Construct a coupling between $\omega \sim \mathbb{P}_p$, $\omega' \sim \mathbb{P}_{p'}$ with $p \leq p'$, so that values between edges can be computed.

Wanted : $p \leq p' \Rightarrow \omega_p \leq \omega_{p'} (\Leftrightarrow \omega_p(e) \leq \omega_{p'}(e), \forall e \in E)$

sOL: Let $\omega = (\omega(e))_{e \in G}$ such that $\{\omega(e)\}_{e \in G}$ is i.i.d. and $\omega(e) \sim \text{Uniform}([0, 1])$.
Define $\omega_p \sim \mathbb{P}_p$, $\omega_{p'} \sim \mathbb{P}_{p'}$ as $\forall e \in E$, $\omega_p(e) = \mathbf{1}_{\omega(e) \leq p}$, $\omega_{p'}(e) = \mathbf{1}_{\omega(e) \leq p'}$, thus, $p \leq p' \Rightarrow \forall e \in E$, $\omega_p(e) \leq \omega_{p'}(e)$.

Exercise 2 Given $O \in V(G)$, define $\theta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (percolation function).
 $p \mapsto \mathbb{P}_p([O \leftrightarrow \infty])$

Show that θ is increasing. In more general case, at most how many different θ function can be obtain?

sOL: If $p \leq p'$, let $\omega_1 \sim \mathbb{P}_p$, $\omega_2 \sim \mathbb{P}_{p'}$, we use the definition of **Exercise 1**, we have
 $\omega_1 \stackrel{(id)}{=} \omega_p$, $\omega_2 \stackrel{(id)}{=} \omega_{p'}$, thus $\mathbb{P}_p([O \leftrightarrow \infty]) = \mathbb{P}_p([O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_1) = \mathbb{P}_p([O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_p)$,

similarly, $\mathbb{P}_{p'}([O \leftrightarrow \infty]) = \mathbb{P}_{p'}([O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_1) = \mathbb{P}_{p'}([O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_{p'})$. We note that ω_p is always a subgraph of $\omega_{p'}$ (by **Exercise 1**), thus $\{[O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_p\} \subseteq \{[O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_{p'}\}$, we have $\mathbb{P}_p([O \leftrightarrow \infty]) = \mathbb{P}_p([O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_p) \leq \mathbb{P}_{p'}([O \leftrightarrow \infty] \text{ in } \omega_{p'}) = \mathbb{P}_{p'}([O \leftrightarrow \infty])$.

And, because of $\omega_1 \stackrel{(id)}{=} \omega_p$, where ω_1 is a arbitrary random variable with $\omega_1 \sim \mathbb{P}_p$ thus there are only one choice of θ . i.e., θ is well-defined. ■

- Define $p_c = \sup\{p \in [0, 1] \mid \theta(p) = 0\}$

Exercise 3 Check the following properties :

- The function $p \mapsto \theta(p)$ is right-continuous on $[0, 1]$.
- The function $p \mapsto \theta(p)$ is left-continuous on $(p_c, 1)$.
- Show that $p \mapsto \theta(p)$ is strictly increasing in $(p_c, 1]$.

I add a line.