

STATISTICAL PROPERTIES OF LOG SOS-CONCAVE DENSITY ESTIMATOR

MAX CYTRYNBAUM, WEI HU

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we will describe an assortment of results that we think could eventually lead to a nice analysis of the statistical properties of our estimator. The structure of this note is as follows:

- (i) We show that sos-convex polynomials are dense in continuous convex functions in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, K}$, where K is a fixed compact set.
- (ii) Let f_n denote the Cule estimator for n data points (i.e. the max-likelihood log-concave estimator). We show that there exists a sequence of log sos-concave densities p_n^m on \mathbb{R}^p (of potentially increasing associated degree) that converge to f_n in distribution on \mathbb{R}^p .
- (iii) We show that this implies convergence of our objective function value to the likelihood value obtained by Cule's estimator f_n . We think that these facts will help us show that the estimator *returned by our algorithm* converges to Cule's estimator in distribution. If this is true, then the density returned by our algorithm converges in distribution to the min KL-divergence estimator as we let $n, d \rightarrow \infty$ i.e. it is asymptotically optimal.

2. DENSITY OF SOS-CONVEX FUNCTIONS IN CONTINUOUS CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Fix a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ star-shaped with respect to $x_0 \in K$. All density results in this section are with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, K}$. We begin by showing that sos-convex polynomials (SOSX) are dense in smooth, strictly convex functions on K (SMSX).

Fix $f \in \text{SMSX}$. Let $H_f(x)$ denote this function's Hessian. For $x \in K$ we have $H_f(x) \succ 0$. Therefore, we can take a Cholesky factorization

$$H_f(x) = L_f(x)L_f(x)^T$$

Note that since the component functions of H_f are smooth, recursively all of the component functions of L_f are in particular continuous (computing $L_{ij}(x)$ just involves adding, multiplying, and potentially taking the square root of other continuous functions).

By Stone-Weierstrass, $\mathbb{R}[x]_p$ is dense in $C(K)$, therefore we can find polynomials $L_{ij}^m(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]_p$ with the property $\|L_{ij}^m(x) - L_{ij}(x)\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ (in particular we can get simultaneous uniform convergence of the $L_{ij}^m \rightarrow L_{ij}$). Define a matrix

$$H_f^m(x) = L_f^m(x)L_f^m(x)^T$$

Then by the above work we have that

$$\|H_f^m - H_f\|_{\infty, K} \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$$

Note that for each m , $H_f^m(x)$ is a polynomial matrix over \mathbb{R}^p that factors as $H_f^m(x) = L_f^m(x)L_f^m(x)^T$, where the Cholesky factors *are also polynomial matrices*. Suppose that we can construct a polynomial p_m that has H_f^m as its Hessian i.e. $H_{p_m} = H_f^m$. Then by construction p_m is sos-convex.

By the above argument, we can find a sequence of polynomial matrices $H_f^m(x)$ such that

$$\|H_f^m(x) - H_f(x)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$$

Build a sequence of polynomials $p_m(x)$ (of arbitrary degree) as follows. For $x_0 \in K$ (with respect to which K is star-shaped), define polynomials $p_m(x)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & p_m(x_0) = f(x_0) \\ (2) \quad & \nabla p_m(x_0) = \nabla f(x_0) \\ (3) \quad & H_{p_m}(x) = H_f^m(x) \quad \forall x \in K \end{aligned}$$

For completeness, one way to do this is as follows. Suppose we want a function h such that $\nabla h = g$ and $h(x_0) = c$. Then we can set $h(x) = c + \int_0^1 g(\gamma_x(t)) \cdot \gamma'_x(t) dt$ for $x \in K$, where γ_x is the line segment $[x_0, x]$. This type of construction allows us to build a polynomial of the form required above.

Given a polynomial p_m that satisfies (1) – (3) as above, it is simple to bound $\|f - p_m\|_\infty$. We include this calculation for the sake of completeness:

Convergence of functions from Hessian $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ convergence - Choose m such that we have $\|H_f^m - H_f\|_{\infty, K} < \epsilon$. Fix a path $\gamma(t)$ from $x_0 \rightarrow x$, which we will take to be the line segment $[x_0, x]$. Define a function $\phi(t) = (f - p_m)(\gamma(t))$. Then by Taylor's Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &= \phi(0) + \phi'(0) + \frac{1}{2}\phi''(c) \quad c \in (0, 1) \iff \\ (f - p_m)(x) &= (f - p_m)(x_0) + \nabla(f - p_m)(x_0)^T(x - x_0) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_0)^T H_{f-p_m}(z)(x - x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Where $z \in [x_0, x]$. By construction of our polynomials, the first two terms are identically 0. Moreover, the component functions $\gamma(x)$ of the Hessian $H_{f-p_m}(x)$ have $\|\gamma\|_\infty < \epsilon$. Then by Gershgorin's Theorem, we have an eigenvalue bound

$$\lambda(x) \leq \epsilon + \sum_{i \neq j} |\gamma_{ij}(x)| \leq p \cdot \epsilon$$

Since the Hessian is in particular Hermitian at each point, this gives a 2-norm bound on the matrix H_{f-p_m} . Using Cauchy-Schwarz, we have that

$$2|(f - p_m)(x)| \leq \|x - x_0\|_2 \|H_{f-p_m}(x)(x - x_0)\|_2 \leq \|x - x_0\|_2^2 \cdot p\epsilon \leq \text{Diam}(K)^2 \cdot p\epsilon$$

Then we have show that $\|H_f^m - H_f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\|p_m - f(x)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. The p_m are all sos-convex, so we have shown that $\overline{SOS} \supset SMX$.

Approximation of Smooth Convex Functions - We want to show that smooth strictly convex functions are dense in smooth convex functions i.e. $\overline{SMX} \supset SMX$. This is easy. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Let $f \in SMX$. Then $f_\epsilon(x) := f(x) + \epsilon\|x\|_2^2$ is strictly convex and

$$|f_\epsilon(x) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon\|x\|_2^2 \leq \epsilon \cdot \text{Diam}(K)^2$$

for $x \in K$. Then $\|f_\epsilon - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, so we have the density result.

Approximation of Continuous Convex Functions - Note that convolution with a positive function preserves convexity. This is easily seen from the definition. Let f convex and $\phi \geq 0$, then

$$(\phi * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \phi(t) f(x - t) dt$$

Each $f_t(x) := f(x - t)$ is convex, therefore the convolution above is convex.

Let ϕ be (for instance) the pdf of a Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$ (not actually necessary, we just need the function we are convolving against to be smooth and integrate to 1). For $\epsilon > 0$, define a class of functions $\phi_\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \phi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Fix f a continuous convex function. If f is not bounded, redefine $f = 0$ on K^c . Define a class of functions $f_\epsilon := (\phi_\epsilon * f)$.

The standard arguments show that (i) f_ϵ is C^∞ for each ϵ (ii) $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ uniformly on any compact set and (iii) f_ϵ is convex for all ϵ . Then we have shown that f is a limit point of SMX , therefore $\overline{SMX} \supset CTSX$.

Sketch of proof - I sketch the standard arguments for the sake of completeness. (iii) was shown above and basically follows from the definition of convexity.

For (i), note that

$$(\phi * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \phi(t) f(x - t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \phi(x - t) f(t) dt$$

so that whenever we need to take a derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\alpha}(\phi * f)(x)$, we just pass the differentiation operator through the integral (formal justification for this can be provided) and differentiate ϕ , which is C^∞ .

For (ii), rewrite the integral above as

$$\begin{aligned} f_\epsilon &= (\phi_\epsilon * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} \phi_\epsilon(t) f(x - t) dt \\ &= \int_{B_\epsilon} \phi_\epsilon(t) f(x - t) dt + \int_{B_\epsilon^c} \phi_\epsilon(t) f(x - t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Where we choose B_ϵ a very small ball containing 0 of radius $h(\epsilon)$ such that (i) $\int_{B_\epsilon} \phi \approx 1$ and (ii) $\phi \approx 0$ on B_ϵ^c as well as (iii) $f(x - t) \approx f(x)$ for $t \in B_\epsilon$ (this is where we use continuity on the class $CTSX$). Then roughly speaking the above decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{B_\epsilon} \phi_\epsilon(t) f(x - t) dt + \int_{B_\epsilon^c} \phi_\epsilon(t) f(x - t) dt \\ &\approx f(x) \int_{B_\epsilon} \phi_\epsilon(t) dt + \int_{B_\epsilon^c} 0 \cdot f(x - t) dt \\ &\approx f(x) \cdot 1 + 0 = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

I claim that this gives uniform convergence $\|(\phi_\epsilon * f) - f\|_{\infty, K} \rightarrow 0$. Note that continuity of f on K implies uniform continuity, so the the approximation of the first integral in the above decomposition can be made uniform. f is uniformly bounded on \mathbb{R}^p , so the 2nd integral above goes to 0 uniformly. This sketch can be made rigorous but the arguments are relatively standard.

Then we have shown that

$$SOSX \longrightarrow SMSX \longrightarrow SMX \longrightarrow CTSX$$

where the arrow denotes density (in the sense of $\|\cdot\|_{K,\infty}$ for a fixed K compact and star-shaped). In particular, we have shown that sos-convex polynomials are dense in continuous convex functions on a compact, convex set K .

3. CONVERGENCE IN DISTRIBUTION

Consider the shape-constrained maximum-likelihood estimator f_n from the Cule paper. In this section, we show that there exists a sequence of log sos-concave densities p_n^m that converge in distribution to the Cule estimator f_n .

We also show that, as $d \rightarrow \infty$, the objective value, i.e. the achieved likelihood, of our program converges to the max-likelihood among log-concave estimators (the objective value of Cule's program).

Our approach will be as follows. Given the convex hull of the data C_n , we will construct a set $K = C_n^\epsilon$ such that $\mathcal{L}(C_n^\epsilon \setminus C_n) = \epsilon$, where \mathcal{L} denotes Lebesgue measure.

We will then extend the concave function s_n defining the Cule estimator ($f_n = \exp(s_n)$) to K in such a way that (i) our extension is convex and (ii) our extension is increasingly negative on ∂K . Using our work above, let p_m be a sequence of sos concave functions such that converge to our extension in $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. We will then argue that for any bounded function G on \mathbb{R}^p , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} G(x) \exp(p_m(x)) = \int_{K^c} G(x) \exp(p_m(x)) + \int_{K \setminus C_n} G(x) \exp(p_m(x)) + \int_{C_n} G(x) \exp(p_m(x))$$

We will show that (1) the first integral is small because loosely $p_m(x) \approx -\infty$ on K^c . (2) The second integral is small because $\mathcal{L}(C_n^\epsilon \setminus C_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Finally, (3) the last integral converges to $\int_{C_n} G(x) f_n(x)$ because $\|p_m - s_n\|_{\infty, C_n} \rightarrow 0$. A rigorous formulation of this argument will show that for any bounded function G we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} G(x) \exp(p_m(x)) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^p} G(x) f_n(x) dx \quad (m \rightarrow \infty)$$

i.e. p_m converges to f_n in distribution.

Definition of K - Consider the tent function s_n from Cule's paper. $s_n = -\infty$ outside of C_n . Note that as a convex hull, we have $C_n = \{Ax \leq b\}$ for some matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the set $C_n^\epsilon = \{Ax \leq b + \epsilon 1\}$. Note that C_n^ϵ is of finite Lebesgue measure since C_n is [HOW?]. Then we can apply elementary measure theory to show that

$$\mathcal{L}(C_n) = \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} C_n^\epsilon\right) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{L}(C_n^\epsilon)$$

Therefore, for instance, we can make $\mathcal{L}(C_n^\epsilon \setminus C_n)$ arbitrarily small by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $K_1 \supset C_n$ a polytope of the above form such that $\mathcal{L}(K_1 \setminus C_n) < \epsilon$. From Cule, there exists a triangulation of C_n such that s_n is affine on each simplex in the triangulation.

Extend this triangulation to a triangulation of the polytope K_1 and extend s_n to $\overline{s_n}$ on K_1 by defining piecewise affine functions such that $\overline{s_n} = 0$ on ∂K_1 . Since $\text{Vol}(K_1 \setminus C_n)$ is arbitrarily small and $\overline{s_n}$ is bounded on K_1 , this extension does not affect the value of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} G(x) \exp(s_n)$$

asymptotically as $\epsilon = \text{Vol}(K_1 \setminus C_n) \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, wlog, we will assume from now on that s_n vanishes on ∂C_n .

Extension of s_n to K - Define $\widehat{s_n}$ such that $\widehat{s_n}(x) = s_n(x)$ for $x \in C_n$ and $\widehat{s_n}(x) = 0$ on C_n^c . Then s_n is continuous but is in general no longer concave. Define a function on K by

$$g(x) = \widehat{s_n}(x) - M \cdot d(x, C_n)$$

Claim - There exists an N such that $M \geq N$ implies that g is concave on K . Note that the set distance term is identically 0 on C_n and $\widehat{s_n}$ is identically 0 on C_n^c . Moreover, the set distance term is concave, since $x \rightarrow d(x, S)$ is a convex function whenever S is a convex set.

By concavity of the original Cule function s_n on C_n , for each point $x \in C_n$, the subgradient set $\partial s_n(x)$ is non-empty. In fact, from Cule's work we know that s_n has the form

$$\begin{aligned} s_n(x) &= \sum_k (a_k^T x + b_k) I(C_n^k) \quad (x \in C_n) \\ &= -\infty \quad \text{else} \end{aligned}$$

Where C_n^k is a triangulation of the convex hull C_n^k . We can show that

Lemma - For a function of the form above, $x \in C_n^k \implies a_k \in \partial s_n(x)$. The only non-trivial part of the argument is dealing with points $x \in \partial C_n^k$. Proof omitted.

Subgradient argument - To show that our extension $g(x)$ is concave, it suffices to show that $\partial g(x) \neq \emptyset$ for each $x \in K$. Then by concavity of the disjointly supported pieces of $g(x)$, it suffices to show that the subgradient condition is satisfied for each $x \in C_n$, $y \in K \setminus C_n$ and conversely.

Consider $x \in C_n$ and $y \in K \setminus C_n$. Let p_{y^*} denote the projection of y onto C_n . In our construction of $g(x)$, choose

$$M \geq N = \max_k \|a_k\|_2$$

i.e. over all vectors defining the piecewise affine function s_n . Then we can calculate as follows

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) - g(x) &= g(y) - g(p_{y^*}) + g(p_{y^*}) - g(x) = -M\|y - p_{y^*}\| + g(p_{y^*}) - g(x) \\ &\leq -M\|y - p_{y^*}\| + \partial g(x)^T(p_{y^*} - x) = -M\|y - p_{y^*}\| + \partial g(x)^T(p_{y^*} - y + y - x) \\ &\leq 0 + \partial g(x)^T(y - x) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the 1st inequality follows by concavity of g on C_n , while the 2nd inequality follows from Cauchy-Schwarz and our choice of M . A similar argument can be used to show that subgradients of g exist for $x \in K \setminus C_n$. Then g is concave on K .

By construction, for $M \geq N$ we have that g is a concave function. Note that $x \in \partial K \implies d(x, K) \geq \epsilon$. Choose $K = C_n^\epsilon$ as previously defined, where $M_\epsilon \cdot \epsilon = N_\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Things that I had trouble proving / omitted

** Need to show that $\mathcal{L}(C_n) < \infty \implies \mathcal{L}(C_n^1) < \infty$. Note that this immediately implies that $\epsilon \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C_n^\epsilon \setminus C_n)$ is a continuous function by standard measure theory arguments - we just need the measure space to be finite.

**Argumentation for existence of subgradients on $K \setminus C_n$ omitted.