**Virtual Functions** 

#### Virtual Function Basics

- Polymorphism
  - Associating many meanings to one function
  - Ability of objects to have one type and appear as other
  - Virtual functions provide this capability
  - Fundamental principle of object-oriented programming!
- Virtual
  - Existing in "essence" though not in fact
- Virtual Function
  - Can be "used" before it's "defined"

# Figures Example

- Best explained by example:
- Classes for several kinds of figures:
  - Rectangles, circles, ovals, etc.
  - Each figure is an object of different class
    - Rectangle data: height, width, center point
    - Circle data: center point, radius
- All derive from one parent-class: Figure
- Require function: draw()
  - Different draw instructions for each figure

# Figures Example 2

- Each class needs a different draw function
- Can be called "draw" in each class, so:
   Rectangle r;
   Circle c;
   r.draw(); //Calls Rectangle class's draw
   c.draw(); //Calls Circle class's draw
- Nothing new here yet...

# Figures Example: center()

- Parent class Figure contains functions that apply to "all" figures;
- consider: center() moves a figure to center of screen
  - Erases 1<sup>st</sup>, then re-draws
  - So Figure::center() would use function draw() to re-draw
  - Complications!
    - Which draw() function?
    - From which class?

# Figures Example: New Figure

- Consider new kind of figure comes along:
   Triangle class derived from Figure class
- Function center() inherited from Figure
  - Will it work for triangles?
  - It uses draw(), which is different for each figure!
  - It will use Figure::draw() → won't work for triangles
- Want inherited function center() to use function Triangle::draw() NOT function Figure::draw()
  - But class Triangle wasn't even WRITTEN when Figure::center() was! Doesn't know "triangles"!

# Figures Example: Virtual!

- Virtual functions are the answer
- Tells compiler:
  - "Don't know how function is implemented"
  - "Wait until used in program"
  - "Then get implementation from object instance"
- Called late binding or dynamic binding
  - Virtual functions implement late binding

#### Virtual Functions: Another Example

- Bigger example best to demonstrate
- Record-keeping program for automotive parts store
  - Track sales
  - Don't know all sales yet
  - 1st only regular retail sales
  - Later: Discount sales, mail-order, etc.
    - Depend on other factors besides just price, tax

#### Virtual Functions: Auto Parts

- Program must:
  - Compute daily gross sales
  - Calculate largest/smallest sales of day
  - Perhaps average sale for day
- All come from individual bills
  - But many functions for computing bills will be added "later"!
    - When different types of sales added!
- So function for "computing a bill" will be virtual!

#### Class Sale Definition

```
class Sale
  public:
      Sale();
      Sale(double thePrice);
      double getPrice() const;
       virtual double bill() const;
      double savings(const Sale& other) const;
  private:
      double price;
```

#### Member Functions: savings and operator <

Notice BOTH use member function bill()!

#### Class Sale

- Represents sales of single item with no added discounts or charges.
- Notice reserved word "virtual" in declaration of member function bill
  - Impact: Later, derived classes of Sale can define THEIR versions of function bill
  - Other member functions of Sale will use version based on object of derived class!
  - They won't automatically use Sale's version!

#### Derived Class DiscountSale Defined

```
class DiscountSale: public Sale
 public:
      DiscountSale();
      DiscountSale( double thePrice,
                              double the Discount);
      double getDiscount() const;
      void setDiscount(double newDiscount);
      double bill() const;
 private:
      double discount;
```

#### DiscountSale's Implementation of bill()

```
double DiscountSale::bill() const
{
    double fraction = discount/100;
    return (1 - fraction)*getPrice();
}
```

- Qualifier "virtual" does not go in actual function definition
  - "Automatically" virtual in derived class
  - Declaration (in interface) not required to have "virtual" keyword either

#### DiscountSale's Implementation of bill()

- Virtual function in base class:
  - "Automatically" virtual in derived class
- Derived class declaration (in interface)
  - Not required to have "virtual" keyword
  - But typically included anyway, for readability

#### Derived Class DiscountSale

- DiscountSale's member function bill() implemented differently than Sale's
  - Particular to "discounts"
- Member functions savings and "<"</p>
  - Will use this definition of bill() for all objects of DiscountSale class!
  - Instead of "defaulting" to version defined in Sales class!

#### Virtual: Wow!

- Recall class Sale written before derived class DiscountSale
  - Members savings and "<" compiled before even had ideas of a DiscountSale class
- Yet in a call like:
   DiscountSale d1, d2;
   d1.savings(d2);
  - Call in savings() to function bill() knows to use definition of bill() from DiscountSale class
- Powerful!

#### Virtual: How?

- To write C++ programs:
  - Assume it happens by "magic"!
- But explanation involves late binding
  - Virtual functions implement late binding
  - Tells compiler to "wait" until function is used in program
  - Decide which definition to use based on calling object
- Very important OOP principle!

# Overriding

- Virtual function definition changed in a derived class
  - We say it's been "overidden"
- Similar to redefined
  - Recall: for standard functions
- So:
  - Virtual functions changed: overridden
  - Non-virtual functions changed: redefined

# Virtual Functions: Why Not All?

- Clear advantages to virtual functions as we've seen
- One major disadvantage: overhead!
  - Uses more storage
  - Late binding is "on the fly", so programs run slower
- So if virtual functions not needed, should not be used

#### **Pure Virtual Functions**

- Base class might not have "meaningful" definition for some of it's members!
  - It's purpose solely for others to derive from
- Recall class Figure
  - All figures are objects of derived classes
    - Rectangles, circles, triangles, etc.
  - Class Figure has no idea how to draw!
- Make it a pure virtual function: virtual void draw() = 0;

#### **Abstract Base Classes**

- Pure virtual functions require no definition
  - Forces all derived classes to define "their own" version
- Class with one or more pure virtual functions is: abstract base class
  - Can only be used as base class
  - No objects can ever be created from it
    - Since it doesn't have complete "definitions" of all it's members!
- If derived class fails to define all pure's:
- lt's an abstract base class too

# **Extended Type Compatibility**

- Given:
  - Derived is derived class of Base
    - Derived objects can be assigned to objects of type Base
    - But NOT the other way!
- Consider previous example:
  - A DiscountSale "is a" Sale, but reverse not true

#### Extended Type Compatibility Example

```
class Pet
public:
     string name;
     virtual void print() const;
class Dog: public Pet
public:
     string breed;
     virtual void print() const;
```

# Classes Pet and Dog

Now given declarations: Dog vdog;

Pet vpet;

- Notice member variables name and breed are public!
  - For example purposes only! Not typical!

# Using Classes Pet and Dog

- Anything that "is a" dog "is a" pet:
  - vdog.name = "Tiny"; vdog.breed = "Great Dane"; vpet = vdog;
  - These are allowable
- Can assign values to parent-types, but not reverse
  - A pet "is not a" dog (not necessarily)

# Slicing Problem

- Notice value assigned to vpet "loses" it's breed field!
  - cout << vpet.breed;</p>
    - Produces ERROR msg!
  - Called slicing problem
- Might seem appropriate
  - Dog was moved to Pet variable, so it should be treated like a Pet
    - And therefore not have "dog" properties

# Slicing Problem Fix

- In C++, slicing problem is nuisance
  - It still "is a" Great Dane named Tiny
  - We'd like to refer to it's breed even if it's been treated as a Pet
- Can do so with pointers to dynamic variables

# Slicing Problem Example

```
Pet *ppet;
Dog *pdog;
pdog = new Dog;
pdog->name = "Tiny";
pdog->breed = "Great Dane";
ppet = pdog;
```

 Cannot access breed field of object pointed to by ppet: cout << ppet->breed; //ILLEGAL!

# Slicing Problem Example

- Must use virtual member function: ppet->print();
  - Calls print member function in Dog class!
    - Because it's virtual
  - C++ "waits" to see what object pointer ppet is actually pointing to before "binding" call

#### Virtual Destructors

- Recall: destructors needed to de-allocate dynamically allocated data
- Consider:Base \*pBase = new Derived;...delete pBase;
  - Would call base class destructor even though pointing to Derived class object!
  - Making destructor virtual fixes this!
- Good policy for all destructors to be virtual

# Casting

Consider:Pet vpet;Dog vdog;...vdog = static\_cast<Dog>(vpet); //ILLEGAL!

- Can't cast a pet to be a dog, but: vpet = vdog; // Legal! vpet = static\_cast<Pet>(vdog); //Also legal!
- Upcasting is OK
  - From descendant type to ancestor type

#### Inner Workings of Virtual Functions

- Virtual function table
  - Compiler creates it
  - Has pointers for each virtual member function
  - Points to location of correct code for that function
- Objects of such classes also have pointer
  - Points to virtual function table

# Summary 1

- Late binding delays decision of which member function is called until runtime
  - In C++, virtual functions use late binding
- Pure virtual functions have no definition
  - Classes with at least one are abstract
  - No objects can be created from abstract class
  - Used strictly as base for others to derive

# Summary 2

- Derived class objects can be assigned to base class objects
  - Derived class members are lost; slicing problem
- Pointer assignments and dynamic objects
  - Allow "fix" to slicing problem
- Make all destructors virtual
  - Good programming practice
  - Ensures memory correctly de-allocated