MiniML Language Interpreter

Austin Hwang

May 2, 2018

1 Introduction

The MiniML language is a Turing-complete or computationally universal language, meaning that it can be used to simulate any Turing machine. This interpreter can interpret expressions written in OCaml; thus, it is called a metacircular interpreter. It supports basic computation and recursive functions, but does not have type inference, custom data types, classes and objects, or functors. However, there are three types of semantics that were implemented in the MiniML language: the subtitution model, dynamically scoped environmental model, and lexically scoped environmental model.

2 Extensions

2.1 Abstraction

To make the code more efficient, reduce code redundancies, and generally write cleaner code, I abstracted away a lot of the similar functionality between different functions.

2.1.1 Free Vars

For the free_vars function, I noticed that a lot of the expressions were returning SS.empty since they were not considered free variables. Thus, I put them all under the same match case.

| Num _ | Float _ | Bool _ | Raise | Unassigned -> SS.empty

2.1.2 Substitution

For the subst function, the Let and Letrec match cases had very similar functinality in how they substituted in variables. The only difference was in the case in which the variable v from the expression was equal to var_name. Thus, I abstracted into two helper functions. The first of which returned either a Let or Letrec expression based on a boolean flag isrec.

```
let substhelper (v : varid) (e1 : expr) (e2: expr) = \mathbf{if} isrec then Letrec (v, e1, e2) \mathbf{else} Let (v, e1, e2)
```

The second helper funtion just took the original functionality and substituted either Let or Letrec based on the given experession, using the previous helper function.

2.1.3 Expression to Concrete and Abstract String

In the exp_to_concrete_string and exp_to_abstract_string both had very similar functionality with binop and unop expressions and since I was going to add other atomic types and other basic operators, it was much easier to abstract it into two helper functions: unophelper

```
let unophelper (u : unop) (isconcrete : bool) : string =
    match u with
    | Negate -> if isconcrete then "~-" else "Negate"
    | Negatef -> if isconcrete then "~-." else "Negatef"
    | Not -> if isconcrete then "not" else "Not" ;;

and binophelper.
let binophelper (b : binop) (isconcrete : bool) : string =
    match b with
    | And -> if isconcrete then "&&" else "And"
    | Or -> if isconcrete then "||" else "Or"
    | Plus -> if isconcrete then "+" else "Plus"
    | Minus -> if isconcrete then "-" else "Minus"
    | Times -> if isconcrete then "*" else "Times"
```

. . .

Again, using a boolean flag isconcrete, I could determine whether it should return concrete or abstract syntax, making the code cleaner and more easy to add on extra operators later.

2.1.4 Eval Substitution, Dynamical, and Lexical

With all three evaluation methods, I also abstracted away unop and binop expressions by similar logic to the previous section into unophelper

```
let evalunop (u : unop) (e : expr) : expr =
    match u, e with
    | Negate, Num x -> Num (~-x)
    | Negatef, Float x -> Float (~-.x)
    | Not, Bool x -> Bool (not x)
    | -, - -> raise (EvalError "Invalid Unop") ;;

and binophelper

let evalbinop (b : binop) (e1 : expr) (e2 : expr) : expr =
    match b, e1, e2 with
    | Exponent, Float x, Float y -> Float (x ** y)
    | Plus, Num x, Num y -> Num (x + y)
    | Minus, Num x, Num y -> Num (x - y)
    | Times, Num x, Num y -> Num (x * y)
    | Divide, Num x, Num y -> Num (x / y)
    ...
```

For eval_s, I used a helper function eval so that I could wrap the result at the end with Env.Val to prevent having to wrap the end of every match case with Env.Val. I also abstracted away both eval_d and eval_l into one helper function to reduce repetitive code since every match case between the two evaluation methods are the same except Fun and App where in eval_l you need to wrap the expressions in closures. Thus, I created the eval_all helper function with a boolean flag isdynam to determine when to use eval_d and eval_l. The main reason for this difference is that for eval_l we need to extend the environment in order keep track of the scope of certain functions.

```
eval e (extend env v (ref (eval e2 env)))
else raise EvalException
| Closure (Fun (v, e), en) ->
  if not isdynam then eval e (extend en v (ref (eval e2 env)))
  else raise EvalException
| -> raise (EvalError "Cannot apply non functions")
```

2.2 Atomic Types and Operators

2.2.1 Floats

Besides implementing eval_1 as an extension, I also implemented floats into the miniml interpreter and its respective operators. To do so, I first implemented an expression type:

I also needed to add extra functionality into miniml_parse.mly and miniml_lex.mll in order to make the interpreter compatible with floats using the following code:

Furthermore, I also added functionality for adding, subtracting, multiplying, dividing, and exponentiating floats.

```
type binop =
...
| Plusf
| Minusf
| Timesf
| Dividef
| Exponent
```

2.2.2 Additional Operators

Additionally, I added operators that made the miniml language more complete, including greater than >, less than or equal to \leq , greater than or equal to \geq , not equals <>, logical and &&, logical or ||, and not for negating boolean expressions.

3 Testing

For testing, I utilized the test_lite testing suite file provided in lecture to throroughly test each of the match cases. One of the big parts of testing was creating test cases where the dynamical environment model and lexical environment model would evaluate the same expression differently. One test case for let expressions was:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{let} & x = 1 \text{ in} \\ \text{let} & f = \text{fun y} \rightarrow x + y \text{ in} \\ \text{let} & x = 2 \text{ in} \\ \text{f} & 1 \end{array}
```

In this case, the dynamical environment model evaluates the expression to 3 because it determines the scope of variables at runtime and reassigns x to 2 and calculates it as 2+1=3. However, the lexical environment model evaluates the expression to 2 because it considers the local lexical environment at compile time, causing x to remain as 1 and calculates it as 1+1=2. Several other similar test cases were written for Fun, Let, and Letrec.

4 Conclusion and Next Steps

If I had more time to work on the project, I would include a way to determine which type of evaluator to use (eval_s, eval_d, eval_l) through the terminal since it was inconvenient to manually change the evaluator each time in

evaluation.ml. It would also be interesting to include more extensions such as lists and records to further extend the miniml interpreter.