

3

a) $\pi_{\text{Title, Author}}$ $\sigma_{\text{Order.BookID} = \text{Book.BookID}}$ $\sigma_{\text{Cust.CustID} = \text{order.CustID}}$ $\sigma_{\text{Author LIKE "Go Kondo"}}$ $\sigma_{\text{State} = "NC"}$ $\sigma_{\text{Shipdate} > : \text{today} - 60}$

Book

Cust

Order

$$b) \text{ Tuples / shipday} = \frac{|\text{Order}|}{|\pi_{\text{Shipdate Order}}|} = \frac{60,000}{1,000} = 60$$

60 days in range $> : \text{today} - 60$

$$60 \cdot 60 = 3,600 \text{ tuples}$$

c) Assuming preservation of value sets,

$$|\pi_{\text{CustID}}(\sigma_{\text{Shipdate} > : \text{today} - 60} \text{ Order})| = |\pi_{\text{CustID}} \text{ Order}| = 3,000$$

$$d) |\sigma_{\text{State} = "NC"}(\text{Customer})| = \frac{|\text{Cust}|}{|\pi_{\text{State}}(\text{Cust})|} = \frac{3000}{50} = 60$$

$$e) = \frac{|\sigma_{\text{State} = "NC"}(\text{Customer})| \cdot |\sigma_{\text{Shipdate} > : \text{today} - 60} \text{ Order}|}{|\pi_{\text{CustID}} \text{ Order}|}$$

$$= \frac{60 \cdot 3600}{3000}$$

$$= 72$$

f) The best execution plan is to Scan Order from the disk and then filter it by (shipdate = :today). You also want to ~~scan~~ scan Inventory from the disk as well and use a hash join on Book ID, since that uses the least memory.

The I/O cost of Scan(Order) is $\frac{|Order|}{10 \text{ rows/block}} = 6,000 \text{ blocks}$

The I/O cost of Scan(Inventory) is $\frac{|Inventory|}{10 \text{ rows/block}} = 4,000 \text{ blocks}$

Filtering by Order by shipdate results in 60 entries which is 6 blocks and can be stored in memory.

In the hash join we can use these 6 filtered blocks of Order and stream in the Inventory blocks we scanned to probe and join, which doesn't require any additional I/O's, so the total I/O cost is $6,000 + 4,000 = 10,000 \text{ I/O blocks}$.

g) The best plan now is to use an Index nested-loop join. We scan in Order and filter it still, but then we use the filtered blocks to probe the index on Inventory, Book ID to output pairs matching on Book ID.

The I/O cost of scanning Order is still 6,000 I/O blocks, producing 6 ~~blocks~~ filtered blocks with 60 rows.

The B⁺ tree index lookup cost for Inventory is ~~around~~ ^{at most} 6 ^{blocks} assuming no optimization since it isn't necessary since there are 40,000 rows which becomes a root node, ^{level} an intermediate node, and a leaf node with up to 4 blocks ~~to search through~~ to search through (for 40 rows).

Thus ~~just~~ performing an index lookup for the 60 filtered rows from Order produces at most $6 \cdot 60 = 360$ ~~for~~ I/O's for a total I/O cost of 6,360 I/O blocks.