1 Chapter 4

1.1 Moment Generating Function

The Moment-generating function of a random variable X is $M\left(t\right)=E\left[e^{tX}\right]$

L J	
Distribution	mfg
Bernouli	$pe^t + (1-p)$
Random	
Variable	
Binomial	$\left(pe^t + 1 - p\right)^n$
Distribution	
Geometric	$pe^{t} \frac{1}{1-e^{t}(1-p)}$ if
Distribution	$e^{t} \left(1 - p\right) < 1$ $\frac{p^{r}}{[1 - (1 - p)e^{t}]^{r}} \text{ if }$
Negative	$\frac{p^r}{[1-(1-r)e^t]^r}$ if
Geometric	t < 1
Distribution	$-\log\left(1-p\right)$ $e^{\lambda\left(e^t-1\right)}$
Poisson	$e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}$
distirbution	
Uniform	$\frac{e^{tb}-e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}$
Distribution	
Exponential	$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}$
Distribution	,, ,
Gamma	$\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}\right)^{\alpha}$
Distribution	
Normal	$e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2/2}$
Distribution	
2 15 11 5 4 11 011	

Note: $M^{(r)}(0) = E(X^r)$, E(x) = M'(0) and Var(x) = M''(0)

if X has mgf $M_X(t)$ and Y = a + bX, then Y has mgf $M_Y(t) = e^{at}M_X(at)$

if X has mgf $M_X(t)$ and Y has mgf $M_Y(t)$ and Z = X + Y, then Z has mgf $M_Z(t) = M_X(t) M_Y(t)$

1.2 4.6 approximation

We can approximate a function of a an unknown distribution as follows. We don't know X but we know g

- $\bullet \ Y = g(X) \approx g(\mu_X) + (X \mu_X)g'(\mu_X)$
- $\mu_Y \approx g(\mu_X)$
- $\sigma_V^2 \approx \sigma_X^2 \left[g'(\mu_X) \right]^2$
- $Y = g(X) \approx g(\mu_X) + (X \mu_X)g'(\mu_X) + \frac{1}{2}(X \mu_X)^2g''(\mu_X)$
- $\mu_Y \approx g\left(\mu_X\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_X^2 g''\left(\mu_X\right)$

2 Chap 5

If we sample n independent points $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$ from the same distribution as X. $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ as $n \to \infty$, $\frac{S_n}{r} \to E(X)$

Law of Large Numbers: Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be a sequence of independent random vairables with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2$ Let $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ then for any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) \to 0$ as $n \to 0$

 $E(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i) = \mu$ and due do independence $Var(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(X_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

 $\frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n Var(X_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ From Chebyshev's inequality $P\left(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon\right) \le \frac{var(\bar{X}_n)}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to 0$

Monte Carlo Integration if we want $E[f(X)] = \int_0^1 f(x) dx = I(f)$ But we cannot integrate, we can generate random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots from [0, 1] and compute $\hat{I}(f) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i)$ Essentially, sample a lot of points, will converge to true mean.

3 Chapter 6

If Z is a standard normal random variable, the distribution of $U=Z^2$ is called the chi-square distribution with 1 degree of freedom.

If U_1, U_2, \ldots are independent chisquare random variables with 1 degree of freedom, the distribution of V = $U_1 + U_2 + \cdots + U_n$ is called the chisquare distribution with n degrees of freedom and is denoted by χ_n^2

Chi-square distribution of n degrees of freedom is a gamma distribution with $\alpha=n/2$ and $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$ $f\left(v\right)=\frac{1}{2^{n/2}\Gamma(n/2)}v^{(n/2)-1}e^{-v/2},\,v\geq0$ With MGF $M\left(tt\right)=(1-2t)^{-n/2}$ with $E\left(V\right)=n$ and $Var\left(V\right)=2n$

If $U \chi_n^2$ and $V \chi_m^2$ then $U+V \chi_{m+n}^2$ If Z N(0,1) and $U \chi_n^2$ and Z and U are independent. The distribution of $Z/\sqrt{U/n}$ is called the t distribution with n degrees of freedom.

The density function of t distribution with n degrees of freedom is: $f\left(t\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left[(n+1)/2\right]}{\sqrt{n\pi}\Gamma(n/2)} \left(1 + \frac{t^2}{n}\right)^{-(n+1)/2}$

f(t) = f(-t) as the number of degree of freedom increases, it approaches the normal distribution.

Cauchy distribution: $f_y(y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{1+y^2} \right)$

Let U and V be independent chisquare random variables with m and ndegrees of freedom, respectively. The distribution of $W = \frac{U/m}{V/n}$ if called the F distribution with m and n degrees of freedom denoted by $F_{m,n}$

The density function of W is given by $f\left(w\right) = \frac{\Gamma\left[\left(m+n\right)/2\right]}{\Gamma\left(m/2\right)\Gamma\left(n/2\right)} \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{m/2} w^{m/2-1} \left(1 + \frac{m}{n}w\right)^{-1}$ if $w \geq 0$

3.1 Sample Mean and Sample Variance

If we sample from a normal distribution $X_1,\ldots X_n$ The sample mean $\bar{X}=\frac{1}{n}\Sigma_{i=1}^nX_i$. The sample variance $S^2=\frac{1}{n-1}\Sigma_{i=1}^n\left(X_i-\bar{X}\right)^2$. Because \bar{X} is a linear combination of independent normal ranodm variables, it is normally distributed with $E\left(\bar{X}\right)=\mu$ and $Var\left(\bar{X}\right)=\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$

The distribution of $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$ is the chi-square distribution with n-1 degrees of freedom.

Let \bar{X} and S^2 be an given at the beginning of this section. Then $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1}$

4 Chapter 8

Method of moments: Let $\mu_k = E(X^k)$ be the k-th moment. The estimate of the k-th moment $\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^k$

Suppose we want to estimate parameters θ , that has expression $\theta = f(\mu_1)$, a function of the mean. We can estimate using sample mean $\hat{\theta} = f(\hat{\mu_1})$

MLE = Maximum likelyhood estimate. we find the probability that the data actually happends, given our estimate. $lik(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(X_i|\theta)$ It is easier to calculate the $\log, l(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log [f(X_i|\theta)]$ To minimize mle, $l'(\theta) = 0$

Distribution	mle
Poisson	$\hat{\lambda} = \bar{X}$
Normal	$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ and
Distribution	$\hat{\sigma} =$
	$ \left \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(X_i - \bar{X} \right)^2} \\ \text{or } \sigma = S \end{array} \right ^2 $
	or $\sigma = S$
Gamma	$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{n\hat{\alpha}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i} =$
Distribution	$\frac{\hat{lpha}}{ar{X}}$

Theorem Invariance Properto of MLE: Let $\hat{\theta} = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots \hat{\theta}_k)$ be a mle of $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$ in the density of $f(x|\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k)$. If $T(\theta) = (T_1(\theta)), \dots, T_r(\theta)$ where $1 \leq r \leq k$ is a transformation of the parameter space θ then a mle of $T(\theta)$ is $T(\hat{\theta}) = (\hat{\theta}_1, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k)$

 $(T_1(\hat{\theta}), \dots, T_r(\hat{\theta}))$ $I(\theta) = E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta)\right]^2$ Under appropriate smoothness conditions on

 $f, I(\theta) = -E\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(X|\theta)\right]$

The large sample distribution of a maximum likelihood estimate is approximately normal with mean θ_0 and variance $1/[nI(\theta_0)]$

 $\binom{\text{variance}}{(m_n)}$ Under smoothness conditions on f, the probability distributions of

 $\sqrt{nI\left(\theta_{0}\right)}\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta_{0}\right)$ tends to a standard normal distribution.

Bayesian Approach to Parameter Estimation. $f_{X,\Theta}(x,\theta) = f_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta)$ And the marginal distribution of X is $f_{X}(x) = \int f_{X,\Theta}(x,\theta) d\theta = \int f_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta) d\theta$ bayesian rule: $f_{\Theta|X}(\theta|x) = \frac{f_{X,\Theta}(x,\theta)}{f_{X}(x)} = \frac{f_{X,\Theta}(x|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta)}{\int f_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta) f_{\Theta}(\theta) d\theta}$

posterios density = likelihood \times prior density

 $f_{\Theta|X}(\theta|x) = f_{X|\Theta}(x|\theta) \times f_{\Theta}(\theta)$ Mean Square Error $MSE(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0)^2 = Var(\hat{\theta}) + (E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta_0)^2$

Given 2 estimates $\hat{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ the efficiency of $\hat{\theta}$ over $\tilde{\theta}$ is $eff\left(\hat{\theta}, \tilde{\theta}\right) = \frac{Var(\tilde{\theta})}{Var(\hat{\theta})}$

Cramer-Rao Inequality: Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be i.i.d. with density function of $f(x|\theta)$ Let $T = t(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be an unbiased estimate of θ Then under smoothness assumption of $f(x|\theta)$ $Var(T) \geq \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$

A statistic $T(X_1, ..., X_n)$ is said to be sufficient for θ if the conditional distribution of $X_1, ..., X_n$ given T = t Sufficient means does not depend on θ for any value of t.

A necessary and sufficient condition for $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ to be sufficient for a parameter θ , is that the joint probability function factors in the form $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n | \theta) = g[T(x_1, \ldots, x_n), \theta] h(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$

if T is sufficient for θ , the maximum likelihood estimate is a function of T

Rao-Blackwell Theorem: Let $\hat{\theta}$ be an estimator of θ with $E\left(\hat{\theta}^2\right)<\infty$ for all θ . Suppose that T is sufficient for θ and let $\tilde{\theta}=E\left(\hat{\theta}|T\right)$. Then, for all θ $E\left(\tilde{\theta}-\theta\right)^2\leq E\left(\hat{\theta}-\theta\right)^2$. unless $\hat{\theta}-\tilde{\theta}$

5 Chapter 6

- Rejecting H₀, null hypothesis, when it is true is called a type I error
- The probability of a type I error is called the **significance level** of the test and is denoted by α
- Accepting the null hypothesis when it is false is called a **type II**

error and its probability is usually denoetd β

- The probability that the null hypothesis is rejected when it is false is called the **power** of the test, and equals to 1β
- We have seen in this example how rejecting H_0 when the likelihood ratio is less than a constant c is equivalent to rejecting when the number of heads is greater than some value x_0 . The likelihood ratio, or equivalently, the number of heads is called the **test statistics**.
- The set of values of the test statistics taht leads to rejection of the null hypoithesis is called the **rejection region**, and the set of values that leads to acceptance is called the **acceptance region**
- The probability distribution of the test statistic when the null hypothesis is true is called the null distribution

Simple hypothesis is when the null and alternative hypothesis each completely specify the probability distribution.

Neyman-Pearson Lemma: Suppose that H_0 and H_1 are simple hypotheses and that the test that rejects H_0 whenever the likelihood ratio is less that c has significance level α . Then any other test for which the significance level is less than or equal to α has power less than or equal to that of the likelihood ratio test.

Generalized likelihood ratio: $A^* = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \omega_0} lik(\theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \omega_1} lik(\theta)}$

Variance stabilizing transformation. propose a function Y = f(X)that makes the variance a constant. $Var(Y) \approx \sigma^2(mu) [f'(\mu)]^2$