

Programming Assignment 2

Double Trouble

Time due: 11:00 PM Tuesday, April 25

Homework 1 gave you extensive experience with the Set type using both arrays and dynamically-allocated arrays. In this project, you will re-write the implementation of the Set type to employ a doubly-linked list rather than an array. You must *not* use arrays. You will also implement a couple of algorithms that operate on sets.

Implement Set yet again

Consider the Set interface from problem 2 of Homework 1:

```
typedef TheTypeOfElementGoesHere ItemType;

class Set
{
    public:
        Set();
        bool empty() const;
        int size() const;
        bool insert(const ItemType& value);
        bool erase(const ItemType& value);
        bool contains(const ItemType& value) const;
        bool get(int pos, ItemType& value) const;
        void swap(Set& other);
};
```

In problem 3 of Homework 1, you implemented this interface using an array. For this project, implement this Set interface using a doubly-linked list. (You must not use the `listclass` template from the C++ library.)

For the array implementation of problem 3 of Homework 1, since you declared no destructor, copy constructor, or assignment operator, the compiler wrote them for you, and they did the right thing. For this linked list implementation, if you let the compiler write the destructor, copy constructor, and assignment operator, they will do the wrong thing, so you will have to declare and implement these public member functions as well:

Destructor

When a Set is destroyed, the nodes in the linked list must be deallocated.

Copy constructor

When a brand new Set is created as a copy of an existing Set, enough new nodes must be allocated to hold a duplicate of the original list.

Assignment operator

When an existing Set (the left-hand side) is assigned the value of another Set (the right-hand side), the result must be that the left-hand side object is a duplicate of the right-hand side object, with no memory leak of list nodes (i.e. no list node from the old value of the left-hand side should be still allocated yet inaccessible).

Notice that there is now no *a priori* limit on the maximum number of items in the Set. Also, as in Homework 1, if a Set has a size of n , then the values of the first parameter to `get` for which that function retrieves an item (that was previously inserted by a call to `insert`) and returns true are $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$; for other values, it returns false without setting its second parameter.

Another requirement is that as in Problem 5 of Homework 1, the number of statement executions when swapping two sets must be the same no matter how many items are in the sets.

Implement some set algorithms

Implement the following two functions. Notice that they are *non-member* functions: They are *not* members of Set or any other class, so they must *not* access *private* members of Set.

```
void unite(const Set& s1, const Set& s2, Set& result);
```

When this function returns, `result` must contain one copy of each of the values that appear in `s1` or `s2` or both, and must not contain any other values. (You must *not* assume `result` is empty when it is passed in to this function; it might not be.) Since `result` is a Set, it must, of course, not have any duplicates. For example, if `s1` were a set of `ints` into which the values

2 8 3 9 5

have been inserted, and `s2` had the values

```
6 3 8 5 10
```

inserted, then no matter what value it had before, `result` must end up as a set containing these values and no others (not necessarily in this order):

```
9 3 6 5 10 2 8
void subtract(const Set& s1, const Set& s2, Set& result);
```

When this function returns, `result` must contain one copy of each of the values that appear in `s1` but not `s2`, and must not contain any other values. (You must *not* assume `result` is empty when it is passed in to this function; it might not be.) For example, if `s1` and `s2` were as in the example above, `result` must end up as a set containing these values and no others (not necessarily in this order):

```
9 2
```

If English is not your native language, make extra sure you spell the name of this function correctly: it's `subtract`, not `substract`.

(Here's a use for `subtract`: Given a set of id numbers of students who are enrolled in this class, and a set of id numbers of students who turned in Homework 1, how would you get a set of enrolled students who didn't turn in the homework?)

Be sure these functions behave correctly in the face of *aliasing*: What if `s1` and `result` refer to the same `Set`, for example?

Other Requirements

Regardless of how much work you put into the assignment, your program will receive a zero for correctness if you violate these requirements:

- Your class definition, declarations for the two required non-member functions, and the implementations of any functions you choose to inline must be in a file named `Set.h`, which must have appropriate include guards. The implementations of the functions you declared in `Set.h` that you did not inline must be in a file named `Set.cpp`. Neither of those files may have a main routine (unless it's commented out). You may use a separate file for the main routine to test your `Set` class; you won't turn in that separate file.

- Except to add a destructor, copy constructor, assignment operator, and `dump` function (described below), you must not add functions to, delete functions from, or change the public interface of the `Set` class. You must not declare any additional struct/class outside the `Set` class, and you must not declare any *public* struct/class inside the `Set` class. You may add whatever private data members and private member functions you like, and you may declare *private* structs/classes inside the `Set` class if you like. The source files you submit for this project must not contain the word `friend` or the character `[` (open square bracket). You must not use any global variables whose values may be changed during execution.

If you wish, you may add a public member function with the signature `void dump() const`. The intent of this function is that for your own testing purposes, you can call it to print information about the set; we will never call it. You do not have to add this function if you don't want to, but if you do add it, it must not make any changes to the set; if we were to replace your implementation of this function with one that simply returned immediately, your code must still work correctly. The `dump` function must not write to `cout`, but it's allowed to write to `cerr`.

- `Set.cpp` must not contain the word `string`. (`Set.h` may contain it only in the typedef statement, and must contain `#include <string>` if the typedef statement contains the word `string`.)
- Your code must build successfully (under both g32 and either Visual C++ or clang++) if linked with a file that contains a main routine.
- You must have an implementation for every member function of `Set`, as well as the non-member functions `unite` and `subtract`. Even if you can't get a function implemented correctly, it must have an implementation that at least builds successfully. For example, if you don't have time to correctly implement `Set::erase` or `subtract`, say, here are implementations that meet this requirement in that they at least build successfully:

- ```
bool Set::erase(const ItemType& value)
{
 return false; // not correct, but at least this
compiles
}
```
- ```
void subtract(const Set& s1, const Set& s2, Set& result)
{
    // does nothing; not correct, but at least this
compiles
}
```

- }

You've probably met this requirement if the following file compiles and links with your code. (This uses magic beyond the scope of CS 32.)

```
#include "Set.h"
#include <type_traits>

#define CHECKTYPE(f, t) { auto p = (t)(f); (void)p; }

static_assert(std::is_default_constructible<Set>::value,
    "Set must be default-constructible.");
static_assert(std::is_copy_constructible<Set>::value,
    "Set must be copy-constructible.");

void thisFunctionWillNeverBeCalled()
{
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::operator=, Set& (Set::*)(const
ItemType&));
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::empty,      bool (Set::*)() const);
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::size,      int (Set::*)() const);
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::insert,    bool (Set::*)(const
ItemType&));
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::erase,     bool (Set::*)(const
ItemType&));
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::contains,  bool (Set::*)(const
ItemType&) const);
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::get,       bool (Set::*)(int,
ItemType&) const);
    CHECKTYPE(&Set::swap,      void (Set::*)(Set&));
    CHECKTYPE(unite,          void (*)(const Set&, const Set&,
Set&));
    CHECKTYPE(subtract,       void (*)(const Set&, const Set&,
Set&));
}

int main()
{}
```

- If you add `#include <string>` to `Set.h`, have `Set`'s typedef specify `ItemType` as `std::string`, and link your code to a file containing

- `#include "Set.h"`
- `#include <iostream>`
- `#include <cassert>`
- `using namespace std;`
-
- `void test()`
- `{`
- `Set ss;`
- `assert(ss.insert("roti"));`
- `assert(ss.insert("pita"));`
- `assert(ss.size() == 2);`
- `assert(ss.contains("pita"));`

```

•         ItemType x = "bing";
•         assert(ss.get(0, x)  &&  x == "pita");
•         assert(ss.get(1, x)  &&  x == "roti");
•     }
•
•     int main()
•     {
•         test();
•         cout << "Passed all tests" << endl;
•     }

```

the linking must succeed. When the resulting executable is run, it must write `Passed all tests` to `cout` and nothing else to `cout`.

- If we successfully do the above, then make no changes to `Set.h` other than to change the typedef for `Set` so that `ItemType` specifies `unsigned long`, recompile `Set.cpp`, and link it to a file containing

```

•     #include "Set.h"
•     #include <iostream>
•     #include <cassert>
•     using namespace std;
•
•     void test()
•     {
•         Set uls;
•         assert(uls.insert(10));
•         assert(uls.insert(20));
•         assert(uls.size() == 2);
•         assert(uls.contains(20));
•         ItemType x = 30;
•         assert(uls.get(0, x)  &&  x == 10);
•         assert(uls.get(1, x)  &&  x == 20);
•     }
•
•     int main()
•     {
•         test();
•         cout << "Passed all tests" << endl;
•     }

```

the linking must succeed. When the resulting executable is run, it must write `Passed all tests` to `cout` and nothing else to `cout`.

- During execution, if a client performs actions whose behavior is defined by this spec, your program must not perform any undefined actions, such as dereferencing a null or uninitialized pointer.

- Your code in `Set.h` and `Set.cpp` must not read anything from `cin` and must not write anything whatsoever to `cout`. If you want to print things out for debugging purposes, write to `cerr` instead of `cout`. `cerr` is the standard error destination; items written to it by default go to the screen. When we test your program, we will cause everything written to `cerr` to be discarded instead — we will never see that output, so you may leave those debugging output statements in your program if you wish.

Turn it in

By Monday, April 24, there will be a link on the class webpage that will enable you to turn in your source files and report. You will turn in a zip file containing these three files:

- `Set.h`. When you turn in this file, the typedef must specify `std::string` as the `ItemType`.
- `Set.cpp`. Function implementations should be appropriately commented to guide a reader of the code.
- `report.doc` or `report.docx` (in Microsoft Word format) or `report.txt` (an ordinary text file) that contains:
 - a description of the design of your doubly-linked list implementation. (A couple of sentences will probably suffice, perhaps with a picture of a typical Set and an empty Set. Is the list circular? Does it have a dummy node? What's in your list nodes? Are they in any particular order?)
 - [pseudocode](#) for non-trivial algorithms (e.g., `subtract`).
 - a list of test cases that would thoroughly test the functions. Be sure to indicate the purpose of the tests. For example, here's the beginning of a presentation in the form of code:

The tests were performed on a set of strings (i.e., the `ItemType` typedef specified `std::string`).

```
// default constructor
Set ss;
// For an empty set:
assert(ss.size() == 0);           // test size
assert(ss.empty());              // test empty
assert(!ss.erase("roti"));       // nothing to remove
```

Even if you do not correctly implement all the functions, you must still list test cases that would test them. Don't lose points by

thinking "Well, I didn't implement this function, so I won't bother saying how I would have tested it if I *had* implemented it."