

EXTENDED TOPOLOGICAL QFT

A $(d+1)$ -dimensional TQFT is a rule which to each closed oriented manifold Σ^d associates a vector space $Z(\Sigma^d)$, and to each oriented $(d+1)$ -manifold M^{d+1} whose boundary is Σ^d , associates a vector in $Z(\Sigma^d)$.

M^{d+1} with boundary $Z(M^{d+1}) \rightsquigarrow$ A linear operator
 $Z(\partial_{in} M) \rightarrow Z(\partial_{out} M)$

(Quantum field theory takes as input spaces, spacetimes and associate them to state spaces and time evolution operators. In TQFT the space is modelled as a closed oriented d -manifold, while spacetime is an oriented $(d+1)$ -manifold. The theory is topological if it only depends on the topology of the spacetime).


Physics

The Partition functions:

$$Z(M^{(d+1)}) = \int_{\text{SPACETIME}} e^{iS(\phi)} D\phi \xrightarrow{\text{The Action } S(\phi) = \int L(\phi, \dot{\phi}, \dots)}$$

↓
 FIELDS IN M ,
 NOT ALWAYS
 WELL DEFINED!

For example, the manifold $M = M_1 \sqcup M_2 \Rightarrow F(M) = F(M_1) \times F(M_2)$
 $\therefore Z(M_1 \times M_2) = Z(M_1) Z(M_2)$



$$M = M_1 \sqcup_{\Sigma} M_2$$

so, for this manifold:

$$F(M) = \bigcup_{c \in F(Z)} f(M_{1,c}) \times f(M_{2,c})$$

$$Z(M) = \int_c Z(M_{1,c}) \cdot Z(M_{2,c}) \rightarrow \text{which in physics is } \langle \psi, \psi \rangle = \sum_i \psi_i \psi_i^*$$

\therefore you think $Z(M) \in \text{FUNCTIONS } F(Z) \in L^2(F(Z))$

(matrices not as numbers but as vectors in the space!)

CHERN-SIMONS THEORY (2+1)

The FIELDS ON THE MANIFOLD \rightarrow CONNECTIONS IN A PRINCIPAL G -BUNDLE ON M .

$$S(A) = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M tr (A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A)$$

\hookrightarrow very well-defined,
you construct the 3-forms.

You can write

$$Z(M) = \int_{\substack{\text{connections} \\ \text{on } M}} e^{i S_{CS}(A)} \quad d\mu$$

(You can glue together many manifolds by the boundaries \Rightarrow cut a manifold in different pieces)

LEVELS IN DIMENSIONS

- (closed) $(d+1)$ -MANIFOLD $\rightarrow Z(M) \in \mathbb{C}$ (NOT CLOSED LINEAR OPERATOR)
- (closed) (d) -MANIFOLD $\rightarrow Z(Z) \in$ VECTOR SPACE (FUNCTIONS BETWEEN CATEGORIES)
- (closed) $(d-1)$ -MANIFOLD $\rightarrow Z(\mathcal{C})$ (CATEGORY)

ANY FUNCTOR FROM Vect TO Vect looks AS

$$\text{Fun}: V \rightarrow W \otimes V$$

HOW ABOUT THE NEXT LEVEL?

- (closed) $(d-2)$ -MANIFOLD $\rightarrow Z$ -CATEGORY

EVERYTHING IS DEFINED BY KNOWING THE THEORY ON SIMPLEX, THEN
YOU RECOUP THE THEORY TO ANY MANIFOLD

Note: NOT every INVARIANT OF $(d+1)$ -MANIFOLDS COMES FROM
A TQFT, AND NOT EVERY TQFT CAN BE EXTENDED DOWN
TO AN EXTENDED-TQFT.

(How to go even down?)

GOALS OF THIS COURSE

(3)


- ① BASIC EXAMPLES: 2-DIM THEORIES (EXEREDO)
- ② GENERAL FORMALISM ON N-CATEGORIES AND EXTENDED TQFTs (WALKE, BAEZ, LURIE)
- ③ STATE-SUM THEORIES:
 - TURAEV-VIREO (2+1-d)
 - CRAMER - FETTER
 - RELATION WITH CS

$(d+1)$ -DIM TQFT: Basic Definitions

COBORDISM

- ⇒ MANIFOLD :
- C^∞ COMPACT ORIENTED MANIFOLD.
 - WITH BOUNDARIES (∂_M).
 - ORIENTATION OF THE MANIFOLD GIVES THE ORIENTATION OF THE BODY AND WE HAVE TWO CHOICES OF ORIENTATION: (IN, OUT)
 - IN : $\langle v_1, \dots, v_{m-1}, \vec{m} \rangle$ IS THE POSITIVE FRAME FOR M WHERE v_1, \dots, v_{m-1} IS POSITIVE FOR "IN" ORIENTATION OF ∂_M , \vec{m} THE INWARD NORMAL VECTOR.
 - OUT : \vec{m} OUT, OUTWARD NORMAL VECTOR.
 - we will usually write:
- $$\partial_M = \partial_{\text{in}} M \sqcup \partial_{\text{out}} M$$

⇒ COBORDISM:



Naive Definition: A COBORDISM M BETWEEN TWO d -DIM MANIFOLDS M_1, M_2 IS A $(d+1)$ -MANIFOLD WITH BOUNDARY

$$\partial_M = \partial_{\text{in}} M \sqcup \partial_{\text{out}} M$$

SUCH THAT

$$\partial_{\text{in}} M = M_1, \quad \partial_{\text{out}} M = M_2$$

(BUT WE NEVER REQUIRE 2 SETS TO BE THE SAME!!!)



EXAMPLE

$$S^1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$$

$$S^1 \times [0, 1] : S^1 \Rightarrow S^2 \quad \text{[Diagram: a horizontal line segment with endpoints]} \quad \square$$

$$\partial_{\text{in}} C = \{(x, y, 0) \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \Rightarrow \text{IT'S NOT THE SAME SET!!!}$$

CORRECT DEFINITION: A COBORDISM $M: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ IS A $(d+1)$ -MANIFOLD TOGETHER WITH DECOMPOSITION:

$$\partial M = \partial_{in} M \cup \partial_{out} M \quad \text{AND DIFFEAR:}$$

$$f_1: M_1 \longrightarrow \partial_{in} M$$

$$f_2: M_2 \longrightarrow \partial_{out} M$$

(ALL MAPS ARE CONSIDERED ORIENTED PRESERVED)




ORIENTATION:

① $N \times [0,1], N \rightarrow N$



② MANIFOLD 1-DIM:
(1-DIM COBORDISM)

$$+, -, \phi$$



(TWO ORIENTED 0-MANIFOLDS Σ_0, Σ_1 ARE COBORDANT IF AND ONLY IF THE 'SUM OF SIGNS OF Σ_0 ' IS EQUAL TO THE 'SUM OF SIGNS OF Σ_1 ')

③ $\partial M = \emptyset, M: \emptyset \rightarrow \emptyset$

Note: IN DIMENSIONS $d=1, 2, 3$ EVERY MANIFOLD IS COBORDANT TO \emptyset . THERE EXISTS M SUCH THAT $\partial M \cong M$.

ORIENTED REVERSE:

THE ORIENTED REVERSE OF THE MANIFOLD M IS \bar{M}

$$M: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$$

(SAME MANIFOLD BUT WITH
OPPOSITE ORIENTATION)

$$\bar{M}: \bar{M}_1 \rightarrow \bar{M}_2$$

$$\bar{M}: M_2 \rightarrow M_1$$

Definition of TQFT

(SHORT) A $(d+1)$ -DIMENSIONAL TQFT IS A FUNCTION:

$$Z : d\text{-Cob} \rightarrow \text{Vect} \quad \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} \text{category of vector spaces}$$

(LONG) • FOR EVERY d -DIMENSIONAL CLOSED MANIFOLD M , WE HAVE A VECTOR SPACE $Z(M)$.

- FOR EVERY COBORDISM $M : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$, WE HAVE A LINEAR OPERATOR

$$Z(M) : Z(N_1) \rightarrow Z(N_2)$$

WHICH ONLY DEPENDS ON EQUIVALENCE CLASS OF M .

- GLUING OF COBORDISM CORRESPONDS TO COMPOSITION OF LINEAR OPERATORS:

$$M_1 : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$$

$$M_2 : N_2 \rightarrow N_3$$

$$\therefore Z(M_2 \sqcup_{N_2} M_1) = Z(M_2) Z(M_1), Z(N_1) \rightarrow Z(N_3)$$

- Z OF CILINDER IS $Z(N \times [0,1]) = \text{id}$, $Z(N) \rightarrow Z(N)$

So we can write

A $(d+1)$ -DIMENSIONAL TQFT IS A FUNCTION WHICH SENDS \sqcup TO \otimes , i.e. WE HAVE ISOMORPHISMS

$$Z(N_1 \sqcup N_2) \cong Z(N_1) \otimes Z(N_2) \text{ WITH IDENTITY } Z(\emptyset) \cong \mathbb{Q}.$$

SO...


$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_1 \sqcup N_2 & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & Z(N_1) \otimes Z(N_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_2 \sqcup N_1 & \xrightarrow{\hspace{2cm}} & Z(N_2) \otimes Z(N_1) \end{array}$$

Example: $d=0$

$$Z(+)=V_+, \quad Z(-)=V_-$$

$$Z(\overset{+}{\underset{\text{out}}{\sqcup}}) = \phi : V_+ \rightarrow V_+$$

$$Z(\overset{-}{\underset{\text{out}}{\sqcup}}) = \text{id} : V_- \rightarrow V_- \quad (\text{IDENTITY})$$




COBORDISM AS CATEGORY

$d\text{-Cob}$ OBJECTS: d -DIMENSIONAL CLOSED MANIFOLDS MORPHISMS
 $M_{d\text{-Cob}}(N_1, N_2) = \text{COBORDISMS } N_1 \rightarrow N_2$

(COMPOSITION:

GLUING
COBORDISMS)



$M_1 \sqcup_{N_2} M_2$ IS THE COBORDISM $N_1 \rightarrow N_3$

(THIS DEFINITION IS MUCH MORE THAN A CATEGORY: THE PROPER LANGUAGE
IS "INFINITE CATEGORIES")

IN SMOOTH CATEGORIES THERE'S NO STANDARD WAY OF DEFINING A
SMOOTH STRUCTURE (THE GLUING IS NOT VERY WELL DEFINED IN THE
SMOOTH CASE).

How to fix that?


THE CORRECT WAY IS TO TAKE "SMOOTH CLASSES OF COBORDISMS".

DEFINE: $M_1 : N_1 \rightarrow N_2$ (SUPPOSE TWO COBORDISMS BETWEEN
 $M_2 : N_2 \rightarrow N_3$ SAME MANIFOLDS)

AN EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN $M_1 + M_2$ IS A DIFFEOMORPHISM

$\psi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ WHICH AGREES WITH $\partial M_1 \cong N_1$,

$N_1 \cong \partial M_2$ AND SIMILAR FOR N_2 .



DEFINITION: $d\text{-Cob}$ IS A CATEGORY OF OBJECTS d -DIM CLOSED MANIFOLDS
AND MORPHISMS EQUIVALENCE CLASSES OF COBORDISMS $N_1 \rightarrow N_2$

(GLUING CYLINDERS TO ANY COBORDISM: IDENTITY COBORDISM)


Recall: $d\text{Cob} \quad \mu_* \omega_M = \omega_{N_0} \wedge \omega_{N_1}$

TQFT: $Z: d\text{Cob} \rightarrow \text{Vect}$

$$N^d \rightarrow Z(N^d) - \text{vector space}$$

$$(M: N_0 \rightarrow N_L) \rightarrow Z(M), Z(N_0) \rightarrow Z(N_L)$$

The Joint Union: $W \rightarrow X$ with definition:
 $(M_1 \sqcup M_2 \cong M_2 \sqcup M_1) \xrightarrow{\cong} (V \otimes W \cong W \otimes V)$



Theorem: V_+ , V_- are finite dimensional and
 $e: V_+ \otimes V_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is perfect pairing.

Proof: $\ell(1) = \sum j_i \otimes w_i$ } sum vectors in V_+ , only
 (choose basis in V_+) finitely many non-zero.



SUPPOSING THIS COBORDISM
 $v \rightarrow \sum v_i \otimes w_i \otimes v_i \rightarrow \sum e(v_i, w_i) v_i = v$ $\forall v \in V$
 where $e(v_i, w_i)$ makes this $\in S_{ij}$

(Ex: what you get for a circle? $Z(0) = \mathbb{Z} e^{(\omega_0, \omega^2)} = \text{dim } V^{\perp}$)

Theorem: A $0+1$ -DIM TQFT \Leftrightarrow PAIR OF FINITE DIMENSIONAL
VECTOR SPACES, V_+ , V_- AND NON-DEGENERATED PAIRING
e. $V_+ \otimes V_- \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \Leftrightarrow$ FINITE-DIMENSIONAL SPACE $V = V_+ = V_-$ (t.).

(3)


GENERAL Properties of TQFT

① FUNCTORIALITY UNDER DIFFEOMORPHISM GIVES:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi: N_1 &\xrightarrow{\sim} N_2 \\ z(\psi): z(N_1) &\cong z(N_2)\end{aligned}$$

FOR THE CILINDER:

$$\begin{aligned}c_\varphi: N_2 \times [0,1] &\\ c_\varphi: N_1 &\dashrightarrow N_2\end{aligned}$$



$$\therefore z(c_\varphi): z(N_1) \rightarrow z(N_2)$$

(FOR EVERY COBORDISM WE DEFINE THIS MAP)

THEOREM: 1) IF WE HAVE $\varphi_1: N_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} N_1$
 $\varphi_2: N_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} N_2$

$$\text{THEN } z(\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1) = z(\varphi_2) z(\varphi_1): z(N_0) \rightarrow z(N_2)$$

2) $z(\varphi)$ ONLY DEPENDS ON HOMOTOPY CLASS OF φ .

PROOF: 1) $z(\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1) = z\left(\begin{array}{c} N_2 \times I \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} N_1 \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} N_0 \end{array}\right) \stackrel{?}{=} z\left(\begin{array}{c} N_2 \times I \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} N_1 \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} N_0 \end{array}\right) \cdot z\left(\begin{array}{c} N_2 \times I \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} N_1 \\ N_2 \end{array}\right)$

2) ASSUME φ_1, φ' ARE HOMOTOPIC:

$$\exists \varphi_0: N_0 \rightarrow N_1 \text{ SUCH THAT } \varphi_0 = \varphi_1; \varphi_0 = \varphi'$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned}z(\varphi) &= z\left(\begin{array}{c} N_1 \times I \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi} N_0 \\ N_1 \end{array}\right) \\ z(\varphi') &= z\left(\begin{array}{c} N_1 \times I \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi'} N_0 \\ N_1 \end{array}\right)\end{aligned} \right\} \text{WE NEED TO SHOW THAT THESE TWO COBORDISMS ARE EQUIVALENT}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\vdots & \quad \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \xrightarrow{\varphi'} \end{array} \quad \text{id} & \begin{aligned}\varphi_0 &= \varphi' \varphi^{-1} \\ \varphi_0 &= \varphi' \varphi' \\ \varphi_1 &= \text{id}\end{aligned}\end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY $z(\varphi) \rightarrow z(\varphi)$ IS INVERTIBLE BECAUSE $z(\varphi) \circ z(\varphi^{-1}) = \text{id}$

(2) FOR ANY CLOSED N^d WE GET AN ACTION OF MAPPING CLASS GROUP

$$\Gamma(N) = \text{Diff}^+(N)$$

(FOR DIMENSION 3 IT'S NOT TRIVIAL. EXAMPLE: TORUS $\Gamma(T^2) = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$)

THEOREM: IN ANY TQFT, $Z(N)$ IS FINITE-DIMENSIONAL AND WE HAVE CANONICAL IDENTIFICATION:

$$Z(\bar{N}) \cong Z(N)^*$$

PROOF: SAME AS $\text{DIM } \phi$ CASE, EXCEPT:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} + & \rightsquigarrow & N \\ - & \rightsquigarrow & \bar{N} \end{array}, N \times [0,1], N \sqcup \bar{N} \rightarrow \phi$$

COROLLARY: $Z(N \times S^1) = \dim Z(N)$

$$\Pi \mapsto \sum v_i \otimes v_i \rightarrow \sum \langle v_i, v_i \rangle = \dim Z(N)$$

THIS ALLOWS ONE TO REWRITE THE DEFINITION ON TQFT IN A DIFFERENT WAY. (LET'S CONSIDER ONLY MANIFOLDS WITH OUTGOING BOUNDARIES).

A TQFT IS THE SAME AS:

- ASSIGNING TO EVERY N^d A FINITE-DIMENSIONAL VECTOR SPACE $Z(N^d)$, FUNCTORIALLY DEPENDING ON N^d .
- ASSIGNING TO EVERY A VECTOR $Z(M) \in Z(M)$ WITH OUR ORIENTATION
- ISOMORPHISM $Z(\bar{N}) \cong Z(N)^*$

+ SOME CONDITIONS?

SUCH THAT: $\cup \rightarrow \otimes$

- $Z(N \times [0,1]) = "id" \in Z(N \sqcup \bar{N}) = Z(N) \otimes Z(N)^*$
DISJOINT UNION GOES TO TENSOR PRODUCT

- $Z(M_{\text{glued}})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TO RECOVER } Z \text{ (COPROPSIM)} \\ \therefore \partial M = \partial M \sqcup \partial \bar{M} \\ = \bar{N}_1 \sqcup \bar{N}_2 : Z(N) \in Z(M) \otimes Z(\bar{M}) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \textcircled{1} \quad Z(N) \in Z(N) \otimes Z(N) \otimes Z(N) \\ \textcircled{2} \quad Z(M_{\text{glued}}) \in Z(M) \end{array} \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} Z(N) \otimes Z(N)^*$$

$$\text{or } Z(N) \otimes Z(N)^*$$

Category 2Cob

The category 2Cob is generated (as a monoidal category) by simple object oriented morphisms (1-5) and relations from last time.



(generators of 2Cob)

Recall Morse functions: A function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is Morse if it has:

- ONLY FINITELY MANY Critical Points
- ALL CRITICAL POINTS / VALUES ARE DISTINCT.
- AT EACH CRITICAL POINT, MATRIX $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$ IS NON-SINGULAR.

→ some of these conditions can be violated.

Theorem: $M, \partial M = \partial_{in} M \sqcup \partial_{out} M$

1) There exists more functions $f \in C^\infty(M)$ such that $f|_{\partial M} = 0$

AND $f|_{\partial M} = 1$ (almost any function is Morse).

(IN FACT THEY FORM OPEN DENSE SUBSET)
(THE NUMBER OF CRITICAL POINT DOESN'T CHANGE)

2) ANY TWO MORSE FUNCTIONS f_1, f_2 CAN BE CONNECTED BY

1-PARAMETER FAMILY f_t OF C^∞ FUNCTIONS SUCH THAT:

a) f_t IS MORSE FOR ALL BUT FINITELY MANY VALUES $t = t_i$.

b) At each t_i , f_t CAN BE:



OR → SATISFY ALL CONDITIONS OF MORSE FUNCTIONS EXCEPT
THAT EXACTLY 2 OF CRITICAL VALUES ARE EQUAL.

→ SATISFY ALL CONDITIONS EXCEPT THAT ONE OF CRITICAL
POINTS IS DEGENERATED.


THIS IMPLIES:

1) ANY 2-COBORDISM M IS EITHER A DISJOINT UNION OF CYLINDERS OR
CAN BE WRITTEN AS A COMPOSITION OF ELEMENTARY COBORDISMS, EACH OF
WHICH EXACTLY OF TYPE (1-4) (EXISTENCE OF MORSE FUNCTIONS
IMPLIES THIS).

2) ANY TWO SUCH PRESENTATIONS CAN BE CONNECTED BY A SEQUENCE OF OPERATIONS.



⇒



THIS KIND OF
DEGENERACY GIVES
THE
ASSOCIATIVITY
PROOF!

YOU CAN DEFORM THE MORSE FUNCTION:



COROLLARY: A 2d-TQFT IS THE SAME AS:

- A VECTOR SPACE $V = \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Q})$
- A LINEAR ~~operator~~ OPERATOR FOR EACH ($\perp - 5$) SATISFYING SOME RELATIONS FROM LAST TIME:

$$\begin{aligned} z(\textcircled{1}) : V &\xrightarrow{i} C \\ z(\textcircled{2}) : C &\xrightarrow{\epsilon} V \\ z(\textcircled{3}) : V \otimes V &\xrightarrow{m} V \\ z(\textcircled{4}) : V &\xrightarrow{\eta} V \otimes V \end{aligned}$$

(Δ, ϵ) DEFINES ON A STRUCTURE OF (CO) COM, (CO) ASSOCIATIVITY, (CO) ALGEBRA.

THE Relations:

$$\textcircled{1} = \textcircled{2}$$

$$m(i(\perp) \otimes) = V$$

$$\textcircled{3} = \textcircled{4}$$


$$m(a \otimes m(b \otimes c)) = m(m(a \otimes b) \otimes c)$$

$$\textcircled{2} = \textcircled{1}$$

$$m(a \otimes b) = m(b \otimes a)$$

(m, i) GIVES ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA STRUCTURE ON V .

Frobenius Axiom:



$$\Delta_m : V \otimes V \longrightarrow V \otimes V$$



$$(m \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)$$

(products are the same)

DEFINITION: A COMMUTATIVE FROBENIUS ALGEBRA IS A VECTOR SPACE ✓
TOGETHER WITH A STRUCTURE OF COM. ASSOC. ALGEBRA WITH UNIT, CO-COMM,
CO-ASSOC., CO-ALGEBRA WITH ASSOC. CO-MUL. SUCH THAT FROBENIUS AXIOM,

$$\Delta_m = (m \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \text{ IS SATISFIED.}$$

EXAMPLE: $\mathbb{C}(z)$ $V \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $a \otimes b \rightarrow \varepsilon(ab) \rightarrow$ NON-DEGENERATE.

THEOREM: A COMMUTATIVE FROBENIUS ALGEBRA \iff COM. ASSOC. ALGEBRA ✓
TOGETHER WITH $\varepsilon: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ SUCH THAT $\varepsilon(ab)$ IS A NON-DEG. BILINEAR
FORM ON V .

EXAMPLE: $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}e_i$, $e_i \cdot e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$ (ALGEBRA OF DIAGONAL MATRICES)
CONSIDERING THE FORM:
 $\varepsilon(e_i) = d_i$, $d_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $d \neq 0$ (IT SHOULD GIVE F.A.).

2Cob CATEGORY OF 2-COBORDISM

Today: Describing 2Cob by generators relations.

We will use the notion of EQUIVALENCE CATEGORIES AND THE FOLLOWING THEOREM:

THEOREM: LET $f: A \rightarrow B$ BE A FUNCTOR BETWEEN TWO CATEGORIES SUCH THAT:

(1) f IS ESSENTIALLY SURJECTIVE

(YOU REQUIRE THAT EVERY OBJECT $x \in \text{OBJ } B$ IS ISOMORPHIC TO $f(x)$ FOR SOME $x \in \text{OBJ } A$.)

(2) $f: \text{Mor}(x_1, x_2 \rightarrow \text{Mor}(f(x_1), f(x_2)))$ IS A BIJECTION.

THEN f IS AN EQUIVALENCE.

EXAMPLE: (1) Consider the category Vec_F OF FINITE DIM SPACES, THEN Vec_F IS EQUIVALENT TO THE CATEGORY WITH OBJECTS $[m]$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}([m], [n]) = \text{Mat}(m \times n)$$

$$A \rightarrow \text{Vec}_F$$

$$[m] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$$

(2) Consider the topological space M , PATH CONNECTED

$\pi_1(M)$, OBJ: POINTS IN M .

MORPHISMS: PATH UP TO HOMOTOPY.

THEN, FOR ANY POINT x WE HAVE AN EQUIVALENCE

$$\pi_1(M, x) \cong \pi_1(M)$$

Describing a category by generators and relations

We want to choose: A set of objects x_1, x_2, \dots

A set of morphisms $f_{i,j,z}: x_i \rightarrow x_j$

A set of relation $f \dots f = f \dots f$

So we want to construct some category A , and we want it to be EQUIVALENT: A \cong category WHICH HAS AS OBJECTS x_i , AND MORPHISMS PRODUCTS OF $f_{i,j,z}$ MODULO RELATIONS.

EXAMPLE: Set \mathcal{F} : CATEGORY OF FINITE SETS AND BIJECTIONS.

GENERATORS: $[m]$, $m = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ WITH m ELEMENTS.

$$\sigma_{i,m} : [m] \rightarrow [m], (i = 1, m-1)$$

RELATIONS:

$$\sigma_{i,m}^2 = \text{id}$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, |i-j| > 1$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_m \sigma_i = \sigma_m \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}$$

Note: THIS CATEGORY (Set \mathcal{F}) HAS AN EXTRA STRUCTURE NAMELY \sqcup (NOTION OF SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL CATEGORY).

WE CAN ALSO DESCRIBE SET GENERATED BY SINGLE OBJECT AND SINGLE MORPHISM GENERATOR AS A MONOIDAL CATEGORY.

THEOREM: THE CATEGORY \mathbf{ZGob} IS GENERATED (AS A MONOIDAL CATEGORY):

- SINGLE OBJECT: $s^1 \rightarrow [m] = \underbrace{\sqcup s^1}_{m \text{ times}}$

- THE FOLLOWING MORPHISMS:

$$\textcircled{1} : [1] \rightarrow [0]$$

$$\textcircled{0} : [0] \rightarrow [1]$$

$$\textcircled{2} : [2] \rightarrow [1]$$

$$\textcircled{3} : [2] \rightarrow [2]$$

$$\textcircled{4} : [1] \rightarrow [2]$$

RELATIONS:

1) UNIT / COUNT

$$\textcircled{3} = \textcircled{1}$$



AND SIMILAR

$$\textcircled{3}^{\text{up}} = \textcircled{1}$$

2) ASSOCIATIVITY

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} =$$

$$\begin{array}{c} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$$

3) COMMUTATIVITY4) Frobenius Relation5) Symmetric Group Relations

$$\sigma^2 = \text{id}$$

 $\sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1} = \text{id}$

Proof: Use Morse function.
(QUADRATIC FORM THAT REQUIRES THE MATRIX TO BE NOT DEGENERATED)


CLAIM: THERE EXISTS A MORSE FUNCTION f SUCH THAT:

$$\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad f \quad} \xrightarrow{\quad \nabla f \quad} \xrightarrow{\quad \nabla^2 f \quad} \\ \text{MORSE FUNCTION} \quad \text{GRADIENT FLOW} \quad \text{HESSENBERG MATRIX} \end{array}$$

$f|_{\partial M} = 0$ (Morse function forms open subset of space of smooth functions)
 $f|_{\text{int } M} = 1$

(LOCALLY, YOUR MANIFOLD
LOOKS LIKE ONE OF THEM)

CHOOSE: A MORSE FUNCTION f , THEN:



(WHATEVER YOU NAME IS TOPOLOGICALLY
SIMILAR, BUT IN THE CRITICAL POINTS
SOMETHING HAPPENS.)

Frobenius Algebra (Ben)

DEFINITION: A commutative Frobenius algebra is a commutative together with a linear map $\epsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $b: A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is non-degenerate.

$$b: \begin{cases} \textcircled{1} \\ \textcircled{2} \end{cases} \quad m: A \otimes A$$

$$\begin{cases} \textcircled{3} \\ \textcircled{4} \end{cases} \quad \epsilon: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$$



$$b: A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$b(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \epsilon(\alpha \beta)$$

b non-degenerate

$$\exists c: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A \otimes A \text{ satisfying}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Diagram} \\ \text{---} = \text{---} = \text{---} \end{array}$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes c)(b \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id} = (c \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes b)$$

EXAMPLES ① $A = M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$
 $\epsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (trace), $\epsilon(x) = \text{tr}(x)$

$$b: A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$\{E_{ij}\}$ is a basis for A

$$b(E_{ij} E_{kl}) = \text{tr}(E_{ij} E_{kl}) = \text{tr}(E_{il} E_{kj})$$

$$(A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C}))$$

(Wedderburn's theorem)

Example ② Let G be a finite group.

$\mathbb{C}[G]$ is the group algebra.

MASCHKE'S THEOREM: semi-simple algebra implies $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is semi-simple.

$$\epsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\epsilon(g) = \delta_{g,1}$$

(trace by left representation)
 By g in the regular mult.)

$$\therefore A = \mathbb{C}[G], \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$$

$$L_g: \mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow G[\mathbb{C}]$$

Frobenius Algebra \neq Bialgebra

↳ - Algebra commutes w/ comultiplication

A, m, Δ

$$A : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

$$\varepsilon : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

(ALGEBRA MORPHISMS)

SUCH THAT

$$m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

$$\Delta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$$

(COALGEBRA MORPHISM)

For $\mathbb{C}[G]$

BIALGEBRA

$$m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

(STANDARD MULTIPLICATION)

$$\Delta : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$$

FROB. ALGEBRA


$$m : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

: (?)

(02/23/11)

20

Frobenius Algebra II (Bou)



How to compute $(Z(\Sigma))$?


$$Z(\Sigma) : Z(\partial \Sigma_n) \rightarrow \sum (\partial \Sigma_{out})$$

IDEA

1) TRIANGULATE $\Sigma(\mathbb{Z}, \Delta)$.

2) ORIENT EDGES OF Δ .


3) PICK ALGEBRA Δ AND ASSIGN TO EACH EDGE AN ELEMENT OF A .



TRIVALENT: DUAL
TRIANGULATION

4) COOK UP SOME RECIPE TO GET A MAP OUT OF THIS.

5) FIX EVERYTHING SO THIS MAP DEFINES A TFT



(A - ALGEBRA)

$$\therefore \mu: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

$$\mu^*: A^* \rightarrow (A \otimes A)^*$$

$$\simeq A^* \otimes A^*$$

$$\phi: A \rightarrow A^*$$

How do we get this?

IF THE BOUNDARY HAS 3 CIRCLES, TAKE
TENSOR PRODUCT THE ALGEBRA ASSIGNED TO
ANY OF THEM

PICKING AN ISOMORPHISM $\phi: A \rightarrow A^*$ IS THE SAME AS

CHOOSING A NON-DEGENERATE FORM $\Delta: A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

(Boundary ex:)

POINTS CORRESPOND TO EDGES OF TRIANGLES
WE ASSIGN $A^{\otimes 6}$ BECAUSE THERE ARE 6 VERTICES
ON THE BOUNDARY.

We want our map:

$$Z(\Sigma) \cdot Z(\partial \Sigma_w) \rightarrow \Sigma(\partial \Sigma_{\text{out}})$$

to be independent of triangulation.

(2)

(21)

Then, giving ANY TRIANGULATION THERE IS A

$\alpha - 2$:



\leftrightarrow



USING THESE
TWO MAPS,
ONE CAN PAIR
ANY TWO
TRIANGULATIONS


Theorem (PACHNER): Given ANY two TRIANGULATION
IS A "NICE WAY" OF PAIRING
BETWEEN
THEM!

$1 - 3 : \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$

INVARIANCE UNDER $Z - Z$



\leftrightarrow



(associative!)

\leftrightarrow



$\Rightarrow L : V \rightarrow W$

IF THE BOUNDARY HAS 3 CIRCLES we TENSOR PRODUCT
THE ALGEBRA ASSIGNED TO ANY OF THEM.

(1)



$A \rightarrow A \otimes A \Rightarrow$ we have $m: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$.

THE DUAL MAP $m^*: A^* \rightarrow A^* \otimes A^*$ IS NOT QUITE
WHAT WE WANT. HOWEVER, IF WE PICK AN ISOMORPHISM
 $\varphi: A \rightarrow A^*$ IT IS THE SAME AS CHOOSING A NON-DEGENERATE
FORM $A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

we typically choose $g(a, b) = \text{tr}(La^*b)$.

IF THIS IS NON-DEGENERATE, THE ALGEBRA IS SEMISIMPLE!

INVARIANCE UNDER 1-3

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Diagram 1} & \leftrightarrow & \text{Diagram 2} \\
 \text{A} = C[\mathbf{g}] & & \\
 \Delta(\mathbf{g}) = \sum_{g_1, g_2 = g} g_1 \otimes g_2 & & \\
 \sum_{g_1, g_2} \text{Diagram 3} & \Rightarrow \text{Semisimple} &
 \end{array}$$

How do we get a unit?

$$g: A \otimes A \rightarrow C \quad \text{non-degenerate pairing}$$

$$g^{-1}: C \rightarrow A \otimes A \quad \text{Z} \quad \text{J}$$

$$\text{mog}^{-1}: C \rightarrow A$$

$$g: \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array}$$

$$g^{-1}: \quad \text{mog}^{-1} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Z} \\ \text{J} \end{array} \rightarrow$$

$$\text{Diagram 4} = \downarrow a$$

$$\parallel \quad \downarrow a$$


We have solved the problem of the internal triangulation. But we still have the triangulation on the boundary which we cannot solve by using 2-2 or 1-3.

How do we get a TQFT?

The only problem is $\text{Z}_{\text{cylinder}} \neq \text{Id}$


$$\text{Diagram 5} \leftrightarrow \text{Diagram 6}$$

Fix a triangulation of S^1 , for now with m points




$T \neq \text{Id}$ but T is nonpoint,
i.e. $T^2 = T$ (It would be just
adding another cylinder)

Take $Z(S^1) = \text{Im } T$



Example



Claim:



: $A \rightarrow A$ is a projector
 $A \rightarrow Z(A)$

(21/28/11)

(22)

RECALL

CONSTRUCTION OF $(1+1)d$ TQFT FROM
SEMI-SIMPLE (SS) ALGEBRAS VIA STATE SUMS.

[
→ FIX ALGEBRA A
→ FIX $\varepsilon(x,y) = \text{te}_A(Lx, Ly)$ WHERE ε IDENTIFIES $A \cong A^*$]

(e.g. $\mathbb{C}[G]$)

- ALGEBRA: S.S. ASSOCIATIVE FINITE DIMENSIONAL

$$(x,y) = \varepsilon(x,y) = \text{te}_A(Lx, Ly)$$


$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon : A &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} & L_x : A &\rightarrow A \\ && y &\mapsto xy \end{aligned}$$

(FROM THIS WE CONSTRUCT THE INVARIANTS)

- STATE-SUM:



(ASSIGN TO ONE HALF OF AN EDGE AN ELEMENT OF A AND ANOTHER HALF ELEMENT OF A^* SO AN EDGE GIVES A NUMBER)
(NEXT PAGE)



$P = Z(\square)$ $A \rightarrow A$
IS A PROJECTION
ON CENTER OF A
(SEE NEXT PAGE)

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum_{\text{COLOR OF EDGES}} \prod_{\text{2-CELL}} Z(\square)$$

IN EACH EDGE YOU PUT A PAIR OF ELEMENT COLORING = YOU SUM OVER ALL POSSIBILITIES.

SO, FOR EVERY TRIANGLE, YOU ASSOCIATE A NUMBER.

THEN YOU HAVE $(u_i, u_j) = \delta_{ij}$

$$\therefore \square = m_{ijk} = (u_i, u_j, u_k)$$

$$\therefore Z(\square) = \varepsilon(a, b, c)$$

THIS GIVES A NUMERICAL INVARIANT!

Theorem

1) If Σ is closed, $Z(\Sigma)$ is independent of choice of triangulation.

2) Given Σ with boundary, Define then $Z(\Sigma)$ defines the linear operator:

$$\hat{Z}(\square_m \Sigma) = A^{\otimes m}$$

$$\hat{Z}(\square_{in} \Sigma) \rightarrow \hat{Z}(\square_{out} \Sigma)$$

which also depends on the triangulation (fixed) on $\partial\Sigma$.


3) $Z(\emptyset) = Z(\square) = \text{Im}(z(\square)) : \hat{Z}(\emptyset) \rightarrow \hat{Z}(\emptyset)$
(It's not an identity).

\therefore This defines a TQFT.

Theorem

Let's choose the triangulation to be:

$(P = Z(\square \dots \square) : A \rightarrow A)$ is a projector onto
 $Z(A) = \text{center of } A$.

GRAPHICAL LANGUAGE FOR OPERATORS IN - A :

$A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ - (multiplication)

$$\begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowright \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array} \quad A \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\square} \\ \square \end{array} \quad \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A - (\text{unit})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array} \quad C \rightarrow A \otimes A$$

(to $L \times L_y$)

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\square} \\ \square \end{array} \quad A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} - (\text{trace})$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} \square \\ \square \end{array}$$

EQ. *

Properties

$$\times = \times$$

$$\begin{array}{c} c \\ b \\ a \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} a \\ bc \end{array}$$

$$(abc) = (a, bc)$$


SNAKE RELATION:

$$S = Z = \text{---}, \quad P = C \quad \text{BUT} \quad \times \neq \times \quad (\text{NO COMMUTIVITY})$$

(NO MULTIPLICATION)

(SAME FORMULA AS BEFORE, CAN BE GENERALIZED. INSTEAD OF TRUNCULATION,
WE CAN USE ARBITRARY POLYGON DECOMPOSITION)


$\hookrightarrow m \geq 1$



$\Rightarrow \text{TRACE } E(q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5)$

AND DEFINITION OF $E(x, y)$

$$P = C$$




PROOF

(CHOICE OF DECOMPOSITION)



WHAT'S THE DUAL? $P = \text{---}$

(2 vertices + one edge
BY GLUING)



WHAT'S THE OPERATOR? $\Delta \rightarrow A$

$$\text{---} \times \rightarrow \sum v_i \otimes x \otimes v_i \Rightarrow \sum v^c_i \otimes v_i$$

L The Projector : we need to PROVE $P^2 = P$.


$$P^2 = \text{---} \otimes \text{---} \Rightarrow \text{---} \otimes \text{---} \xrightarrow{\text{ASSOCIATIVITY}} \text{---}$$

\Rightarrow


EQ *

(2) CLAIM OF THE IMAGE OF P IS IN THE CENTER:

$$(\text{Im } P \subset Z(A))$$



$$(3) Fm P = Z(A)$$



COROLLARY

$$Z(S^1) = Z(A) \quad \text{AND} \quad Z(S^2) \\ \downarrow \text{STATE-SUM} \qquad \downarrow \text{center} \quad \boxed{Z(H) \otimes Z(A) \rightarrow Z(A)}$$

ARE EXACTLY THE RESTRICTION OF THE MULTIPLICATION IN A .
 (THE CORRESPONDENT F. A. IS EXACTLY THE CENTER)

SUMMARY

Every semi-simple associative algebra defines
 A $(S+L)$ TQFT. The commutative f. A. is $Z(A)$.

The structure is $Z(A) \cong \bigoplus \mathbb{C}e_i$, $e_i e_j = e_i$, $e_i e_j = 0$, $i \neq j$.
 AND $e_i = \dim(V_i)$.
 }
 Reps of

Recall (1) IF YOU HAVE A SEMI-SIMPLE ALGEBRA / \mathbb{C} THEN EVERY
 REP IS A DIRECT SUM OF IRREPS. THERE ARE INFINITE
 IRREPS (UP TO ISOMORPHISMS) $\{V_i\}$.

$$(2) A \cong \bigoplus \text{End}(V_i) = \bigoplus_i V_i \otimes V_i^*$$

} AND THE CENTER IS A DIRECT SUM

$$\left. \begin{aligned} & \text{WHERE THE TRACE} \\ & \text{FORM IS} \\ & \text{tr } A(L) = \sum_i \text{dim } V_i \delta_{ii} \\ & e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} \\ & \text{tr } A(e_i) = \delta_{ii} \\ & (\delta = \dim V_i) \end{aligned} \right\}$$

R

ECALL: $(1+1)\text{d}$ TQFT \Leftrightarrow Frobenius ALGEBRA

SPECIAL CASE: ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRA \Leftrightarrow "LATTICE" CONSTRUCTION OF $(1+1)\text{d}$ TQFT
 $(Z(S^1) = Z(A))$

TODAY: FINITE GROUP CASE (DIJKGRAAF THEORY)

G -FINITE GROUP $\rightarrow (d+1)$ dim TQFT (for any d)

$Z(M^{d+1}) = \text{"NUMBER OF } G\text{-BUNDLES ON } M\text{"}$

P
 \downarrow
 G ACTION ON P ,
 $M/P \cong M$

(HOWEVER $C(M)$ = G -BUNDLE ON M IS NOT A SET BUT A CATEGORY)
 IN WHICH ALL MORPHISMS ARE INVERTIBLE.

? HAVE ME ∞ !

$\bar{C}(M)$ = SET OF ISOMOL. CLASSES OF G -BUNDLE

(YOU LOSE THE INFORMATION OF AUTOMORPHISMS)

WE COULD CONSIDER $|\bar{C}(M)|$, HOWEVER, THE BIGGER ANSWER IS:

$$\partial_x = \phi \quad Z(M) = \sum_{[P] \in \bar{C}(M)} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } P|}$$

(PATH INTEGRAL WITH A TRIVIAL ACTION)

\Rightarrow WHY IS THIS A BETTER ANSWER?

MOTIVATION

Given a ^{FINITE} SET X WITH ACTION OF A GROUP G , AND A G -INVARIANT FUNCTION f

$$\sum_{x \in X} f(x) = |G| \sum_{[x] \in X/G} \frac{f(x)}{|\text{STAB}_G(x)|}$$

EXERCISE: ASSUME M IS CONNECTED, CHOOSE A BASE p_0 , $x \in M$.
 CONSIDER PAIRS $\tilde{P} = (P, \text{TRIVIAL} \text{ AT } x, \varphi: p_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} G)$

$$\text{THEN } \sum_{[\tilde{P}]} = \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } \tilde{P}|} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{[P]} \downarrow \quad (\text{you just COUNT VECTOR BUNDLES})$$

(WHY DO THEY NEED TO BE CONNECTED?)

How to extend this to TQFT?

$$\begin{aligned} Z(N^d) &= \text{functions on } \bar{C}(M) \\ &= \text{functions on } C(M) \quad (\text{INVARIANT UNDER ISOMORPHISM } F(C(C(M))) \end{aligned}$$

$$Z(M) : Z(\partial_{in} M) \longrightarrow Z(\partial_{out} M)$$

Below with $\partial_{out}(M) = \emptyset$

$$Z(M) : F(C(C(M))) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \mapsto \sum_{[P]} \frac{f(p_{in})}{|\text{Aut } P|}, \quad p_{in} = P / \partial_{in} M$$

SUM ON ALL
ISOMORPHISM
CLASSES OF
G-BUNDLES.

EXAMPLES



$$M = N \times [0,1], \quad N \sqcup \bar{N}^\circ \rightarrow \emptyset$$

$$Z(M) : F(C(N) \times C(\bar{N})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

bottom of G-bundle does NOT have orientation,
so this is the same set!

(You need to take all possible G-bundles,
every G-bundle in the cylinder is \cong to M.)

$$f_1 \otimes f_2 \mapsto \sum_{[P]} \frac{f_1(p_1) f_2(p_2)}{|\text{Aut } p|} = \sum_{\substack{[P] \in \bar{C}(N) \\ \text{cylinders} \\ \text{top, equiv} \\ \text{to circle}}} \frac{f_1(p) f_2(p)}{|\text{Aut } p|}$$

(BILINEAR PRODUCT...)

IN THE CASE WE HAVE BOTH "IN" AND "OUT" BOUNDARIES, we Define:

$$Z(M) : F(\partial_{in} M) \longrightarrow F(\partial_{out} M) \quad \text{by} \quad (Z(N), f_g) =$$

$$\sum_{[P] \in \bar{C}(M)} \frac{f(p_{in}) g(p_{out})}{|\text{Aut } p|}$$

where $f \in F(\partial_{in} M)$
 $g \in F(\partial_{out} M)$

pairwise $F(N) \otimes F(\bar{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Example: Show $Z(M) f(Q) = \sum_{\substack{[P] \in \mathcal{C}(M) \\ \text{such that} \\ [P_{\text{flat}}] = [Q]}} \frac{f(P_{\text{flat}})}{|\text{Aut } P|} \cdot |\text{Aut } Q|$


(For a cylinder, it should be 1, the identity)

we can write: $Z(M) f(Q) = \sum_{[\hat{P}]} \frac{f(P_{\text{flat}})}{|\text{Aut } \hat{P}|} \quad \varphi: P_{\text{flat}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$

where $\hat{P} = \{P \in \mathcal{C}(M) \mid \varphi: P \xrightarrow{\sim} M \cong Q\}$

(it's better to write the bundle together with isomorphism)

Then: This defines a TFT in any dimension d.
It's the same than say that every G-bundle
is the sum of all G-bundles of giving manifolds,
you just need to keep of factors $(Z(M))^{f(g)}$)



WHAT'S THE INVARIANT OF CLOSED MANIFOLDS?

Note: If M is closed, then:

$$|Z(M)| = |\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)|$$

(you have a monodromy of a bundle)

$$|Z(M)| = \frac{|\text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)|}{|\text{Aut } G|}$$

(we choose base points and every G-bundle)

\therefore (instead of talking about equiv. classes
of G-bundles, we say equiv. of G or
G-bundles)

FOR NOW ON, $d=1$ \Rightarrow WHAT'S THE G-BUNDLES IN S^1 ?

$$C(S^1) = \frac{G}{\text{Ad } G}$$

where $\boxed{\text{Ad}_x x \cdot g = x g x^{-1}}$

Ad is the adjoint of G

$$|\text{Aut } P_g| = \{x \mid x g x^{-1} = g\} = C(g)$$

Bundles with or without trivialization:
2 bundles are equiv.
if the monodromies are equivalent.

WHAT'S THE HILBERT SPACE?

$Z(S^1) = F(G)^{\text{Ad} G} =$ CLASS FUNCTIONS ON G

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{S} \\ \text{F} \otimes \text{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

$$f_1 \otimes f_2 \rightarrow \sum_{[g]} \frac{f_1(g) f_2(g^{-1})}{|\mathbb{C}(g)|} \rightarrow \text{monodromy over the circle needs the orientation and that's why we have } g^{-1} \text{ (centralizer)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} f_1(g) f_2(g^{-1})$$

(ELEMENTS GIVE \neq BUNDLES THAT ARE EQUIVALENT)

WHAT'S THE MULTIPLICATION?

($F_A \rightarrow G(S^1)$ IS ANALOG)

?
2
3
(PAIR OF PAWS LIVES
PRODUCT IN THE PROB. ALG.)

$$\mathbb{C}(\otimes) = \{g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G \mid g_1 g_2 = g_3\}$$

$F(G)^{\text{Ad} G}$

(IF YOU WANT TO CHOOSE TRIVIALIZATION)


$$\therefore f_1, f_2 \in Z(S^1) = F(G)^{\text{Ad} G}$$

$$f_1, f_2(g) = \sum_{\substack{g_1, g_2 \in G \\ g_1 g_2 = g}} f_1(g_1) f_2(g_2)$$

CONVOLUTION : WELL KNOWN UP TO ALG. R.W.C. OF THE GROUP \Rightarrow NOT COMMUTATIVE.

(SO, FOR OUR PROBABILITIES ALGEBRA, IT'S AN ALGEBRA OF CLASS-FUNCTION ON G , VERT. CONVOLUTION PRODUCT.)

This TQFT CAN BE OBTAINED BY $A = \mathbb{C}[G]$ "LATTICE MODEL"



WHAT'S THE BASIS?

$$\text{GROUP ELEMENTS : } g$$
$$\text{TRACE FORM } (g_1, g_2) = \text{TR}_{\mathbb{C}[G]} (g_1, g_2) = \begin{cases} 0, g_1, g_2 \neq 1 \\ |G| \end{cases}$$

IF YOU WANT TO TAKE BASIS AND DUAL BASIS:

$$\sum v_i \otimes v^i = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g \otimes g^{-1}$$

OUR STATE SUM:

$$Z(M) = \sum_{\substack{\text{coloring} \\ \text{of edges} \\ \text{by groups} \\ \text{counts}}} \prod_{\substack{\text{2-cells} \\ \downarrow \\ \text{count}}} \epsilon(q_1 \dots q_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{|G|^{\#e}} \sum_{\substack{\text{colors} \\ \text{such that} \\ \text{monodromy} \\ \text{is } 1}} |G|^{\# \text{ faces}} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{Every face}} \begin{array}{l} \text{that color is} \\ \text{not } 1 \text{ gives the} \\ \text{even. of the group.} \end{array}$$

(LOOKS LIKE A FLAT CONNECTION)

$$= |G|^{\#e - \#v} * \left(\# \text{ G-bundles on } M \text{ together w/ trivialization} \right)$$

(How do you go from how sum over the ACTUALLY # of bundles?)

$$= |G|^{\#e + \#f + \#v} \cdot \sum_{\substack{\text{G-bundles} \\ \text{on } M \\ \in \mathcal{C}(M)}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut } P|}$$

$$= |G|^{\chi(M)} (\text{old } Z(M)) = Z(M)$$

\hookrightarrow CLEARLY IT DOES NOT DEPEND
ON THE TRIANGULATION.

(RELATE THE
GRAPH MODEL TO
DIKGRAPHS WITHIN)

Exercise

EXPLICITLY CONSTRUCT THE ISOM. OF HOMOLOGY OF THIS
ALGEBRA TO THE ALGEBRA OF THE COHOMOLOGY.

(03/07/11)

32


EXTENDED THEORIES

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{3D} \\ M^{d+1} \\ N^d \\ L^{d-1} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{COBORDISM} \\ d\text{Cob} \end{array} \right.$$

(category of cobordism
 $d\text{Cob}$)

TQFT : $Z : d\text{Cob} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ (TQFT is this functor)

Extended TQFT : allows higher ~~comp~~ dimension manifolds, e.g.



$$\begin{array}{c} M^{d+1} \\ N^d \\ L^{d-1} \end{array}$$

dimension

(need \square in our theory
to identify manifolds of different dimensions)


TWO APPROACHES

- IN DIM 2, OPEN-CLOSED STRINGS
- GENERAL EXTENDED TQFT (A LA LURIE)

Open-Closed Theories (1+1) dim TQFT

Z_{Cob}^{∞} : category: oriented compact 1d manifolds
(possibly w/ boundaries)

Morphisms : Ex of comp. of cobordism



(your boundary has two faces)



Morphisms : oriented 2-manifolds with boundaries
 $\square, \square_{in} M \sqcup \square_{out} M \sqcup \square_{\partial M}$

Def. An open-closed 2d TQFT is a functor



$$Z: \mathcal{Z}^{\text{Cob}^{\text{oc}}} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$$

such that $Z(L) = \mathbb{X}$

(Reference: Pfeiffer, OPEN-CLOSED STRING, 2008)

Generator AND Rel. for $\mathcal{Z}^{\text{Cob}^{\text{oc}}}$

Generators are the same as before:




(where identities are and

Relations (partial list)

- CLOSER STRINGS: ASSOC, COASSOC, UNIT, COUNT, FROBENIUS
- OPEN STRINGS


OPEN ASSOC

OPEN
ASSOC.



CO-ASSOC.

(UNIT, COUNTER)




34


OBS:



BUT



CARDY CONDITIONS (OPEN-CLOSED)



Theorem: An open-closed 2d-TQFT IS EQUIVALENT TO:

- ① • A SYMMETRIC FROB. ALGEBRA $A \Rightarrow A = \mathbb{Z}(-) \xrightarrow{\text{INTERVAL}}$ ex: ALGEBRA OF INTERVALS
- ② • A COMM. FROB. ALGEBRA $B \Rightarrow B = \mathbb{Z}(S^1)$
- ③ • A MORPHISM $i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(A) \xrightarrow{\text{center}}$

SUCH THAT

$$i \circ i^* = (A^* \rightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{open}} \rightarrow A \otimes A^{\text{closed}} \rightarrow A)$$

$$i : \square$$

$$i^* : \square$$

Proof:

- ① Take $A = \text{s.s. ALGEBRA}/\mathbb{C}$
 $(a, b) = \text{TRA}(L_A, L_B)$ (OPEN PART)

$$B = \mathbb{Z}(A), i = \mathbb{Z}(A) \quad (\text{CLOSED PART})$$

OPERATOR i^* IS THE SAME OPERATOR AS TO THE CENTER.

- ② THERE ARE NON-TRIVIAL EXAMPLES WITH $B \neq \mathbb{Z}(A)$

DRAWBACKS
OF THIS
THEORY

- SIDE BOUNDARIES
- IT SEEMS TO BE STUPID TO NOT ALLOW INTERVALS
IF WE ALLOWING THEM ($\longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \rightarrow \longrightarrow$)

ALTERNATIVE APPROACH (BAEZ) \Rightarrow GENERAL EXTENDED TQFT

(35)


Consider manifold with corners of any dimensions
Gluing of manifolds along common boundary.



\rightarrow

Eg. 2 dim. theory (NOT A CATEGORY ANYMORE)

\therefore WHAT IS THE ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE?



(03/09/11)

26

Recall: Extended 2d TQFT

- 0 - MANIFOLD
- 1 - MANIFOLD w/ BOUNDARY \rightarrow COB BETWEEN 0-MANIF.
- 2 - MANIFOLD

Proper Alg. 2-CAT

Recall A CATEGORY

- set Obj. A or Obj.
- For any $x, y \in \text{Obj. A}$ a set $\text{Mor}(x, y)$.
- $\text{Mor}(x, y) \times \text{Mor}(y, z) = \text{Mor}(x, z)$.
- UNIT for any $x \in \text{Obj. A}$, $1_x \in \text{Mor}(x, x)$



Def: A strict 2-category A

- set $A^0 = \text{Obj}$
- For A^1 , $x, y \in \text{Obj. A}$
(A set $\text{Mor}^1(x, y)$)
- Given $x, i \in \text{Obj. A}$
 $f, g \in \text{Mor}^1(x, y)$ we have the set $\text{Mor}^2(f)$
- cond. of 1-MORPHISM:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \\ x & \xrightarrow{g} & z \\ & \downarrow & \\ \text{mor}^1(x, y) \times \text{mor}^1(y, z) & \rightarrow & \text{mor}^1(x, z) \\ & + \text{UNIT for 1-MORP.} & \end{array}$$

- cond. of 2-MORPHISM:
 $\text{mor}^2(f, g) \times \text{mor}^2(g, h) \rightarrow \text{mor}(f, h)$


AXIOMS:

- ① ASSOC. UNIT FOR 1-MORPH.
- ② ASSOC. UNIT FOR VERTICAL COMP. OF MORPHISM.

2-MORPH



COMP:



COHERENCE THEOREM

for a given M , consider the graph with

- VERTICES: all ways of putting pairs in $x_1 \dots x_m$
(composition of binary products)

and

- E DGES: ASSOC.-MORPHISMS, i.e.
 $(ab)c \rightarrow a(bc)$, each of a, b, c AND
some SUB-PRODUCT.

THEN ① IS COUNT (BUT THERE IS ANOTHER ONE)
② ANY POWERS IN THIS GRAPH (WITH SAME END POWERS)
CAN BE TRANSFORM. BETWEEN EACH OTHER USING
ROTUNGLATION (# STRUCTURAL)

NOTE: VERTICES OF ASSO. HIERARCHY \Rightarrow BINARY TREES.

Coherence condition requires an infinite # of equalities among
compositions of structure maps^{*} (SWANS)

Recall: 2-Categories

STRICT 2-CAT: Objects
 1-MORPHISMS
 2-MORPHISMS

EXAMPLES: OBJ = CATEGORIES
 1-MOR = FUNCTORS
 2-MOR = FUNCTORIAL MORPHISM.

Def: A weak 2-category \mathcal{B} :

- A set \mathcal{B}^0 of objects
- For any $x, y \in \mathcal{B}^0$, a set $\text{Mor}^1(x, y)$
- For any $f, g \in \text{Mor}^1(x, y)$ a set $\text{Mor}^2(f, g)$
- $\text{Mor}^1(x, y)$ is a category (i.e. we have vertical composition $*$ of 2-morphism, associative, unit)
- We have a "horizontal" composition $*$
 $\text{Mor}^1(x, y) \times \text{Mor}^1(y, z) \rightarrow \text{Mor}^1(x, z)$ which is functorial.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_1 & & g_1 \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ x & y & z \end{array} \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{c} x \\ \circ \\ z \end{array}$$

- We have a "unit" $1_x \in \text{Mor}^1(x, x)$.
- weak associativity.

We have a functional isomorphism

$$(h \cdot g) f \xrightarrow{\sim} h \cdot (g \cdot f)$$

VERIFYING THE PENNON AxiOM: $((f_1 \cdot f_2) \cdot f_3) f_4 \xrightarrow{\text{LHS}} f_1 \cdot (f_2 \cdot (f_3 \cdot f_4))$

- $1_g \cdot f \xrightarrow{\sim} f$, $f \cdot 1_x \xrightarrow{\sim} f$
 SATISFYING UNIT AXIOM

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1_f) \cdot 1 & \xrightarrow{\sim} & 1 \cdot (f \cdot 1) \\ \downarrow f \cdot 1 & & \downarrow 1 \cdot f \\ f & & f \end{array}$$

Examples(1) $\pi_2(X)$:

- OBJ = POINTS OF X
- 1-MOR = PATHS IN X

 X : top. space

$$\text{MOR}^1(x, y) = \{g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid g(0) = x, g(1) = y\}$$

- 2-MOR = HOMOTOPIES

$$\text{MOR}^2(g, g') = \{h : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X \mid h(0, t) = g(t), h(1, t) = g'(t), \\ h(*, 0) = g, h(*, 1) = g'\}$$


(Note: we've taken that up to homotopy equivalence)

Homtop equiv.

(2) BIMOD:

- OBJ = UNIT OF ASSOC. F. d. ALGEBRAS
- MOR¹(A, B) = AB BIMODULES
- MOR²(M, N) = BIMODULE MORPHISMS.

If $f : M \rightarrow N$ | f commutes with action of A and $B\}$



- HORIZONTAL COMPOSITION = \otimes

$${}_A M_B \cdot {}_B K_C = {}_A M_B \otimes_B {}_B K_C$$

THE TENSOR PRODUCT OVER B IS DEFINED AS

$$M \otimes K = M \otimes K / (mb \otimes k - m \otimes bk)$$

\curvearrowright DOES NOT MATTER WHETHER
MULTIPLY ON THE LEFT OR
THE RIGHT

Example: ~~example~~



CONSIDER TWO VECTOR BUNDLES E, F
OVER A MANIFOLD M

$\Gamma(E)$ IS A MODULE OVER $C^\infty(M)$

$$\Gamma(E) \otimes \Gamma(F) \xrightarrow{C^\infty(M)} \Gamma(E \otimes F)$$

③ 2 Cob ext:

- OBJECTS: 1-MANIFOLDS
- 1-MOR: 1-COB.
- 2-MOR: 2-COB WITH COVERS



④ Weak 2-category: WITH ONLY ONE OBJECT \oplus

1-MOR: $A = \text{Mor}^1(\oplus, \oplus)$ WHICH IS A CATEGORY ITSELF
GIVEN $a, b \in A$ WE HAVE

$$\text{Mor}^2(a, b)$$



HORIZONTAL COMPOSITION: $\bullet: A \times A \rightarrow A$

WHICH IS WEAKLY ASSOCIATIVE: $(a.b).c \xrightarrow{\text{weak}} a.(b.c)$

MONOIDAL CATEGORY:

e.g. Rep(G)

★ PROPERTIES OF WEAK 2-CATEGORIES:

① GIVEN A COMPOSITE CHAIN OF 1-MORP f_1, \dots, f_m
THERE IS A CANONICAL ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN MY TWO EXPRESSIONS
 $(f_1, \perp) ((f_2, f_3) \cdot f_4) \cdot \perp \cdot \perp \dots$

$$(f_1, f_2) (\perp, f_3) f_4 \cdot \perp \cdot \perp$$

$\downarrow ?$

OTHER UNIT INSERTIONS.

② ANY WEAK 2-CATEGORY IS EQUIVALENT TO A STRICT 2-CATEGORY
? see below

DEFINITION: If A, B ARE WEAK 2-CATEGORIES, A WEAK 2-FUNCTOR
BETWEEN THEM IS:

$$F: \begin{cases} \text{obj } A \rightarrow \text{obj } B \\ \text{Mor}^1(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Mor}^1(F_x, F_y) \\ \text{Mor}^2(f, g) \rightarrow \text{Mor}^2(F_f, F_g) \end{cases}$$

SO THAT IT PRESERVES (VERTICAL) COMPOSITION OF 2-MORPHISM.
 $J: F(f, g) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(f) \cdot F(g)$

WHICH ITSELF SATISFIES REASONABLE COMPATIBILITY CONDITIONS

Cobordism Conjecture

Classification of Extended TQFs

$$\mathbb{Z}, m \text{ Cob} \rightarrow e$$

\downarrow

Symmetric monoidal category

(Precise Definition for $n=1,2$)

Def: An object x is a n -category if it's fully evaluable. It can be included in a subcategory without loss of n -ness.
 (For objects, $\mathbb{1}$ -morphisms)

$m=1 \rightarrow$ HAS DUAL
 $m=2 \rightarrow X$ HAS DUAL, X^U, e^U, c_{e^U}
 e_U, c_{e^U} MUST HAVE LEFT DUAL, RIGHT DUAL.

Example: Bimode

$A \in \text{obj. } \mathcal{B}(\text{MOD}_R)$, i.e. AN ASSOC. AR_R -C.

WHAT'S THE BUNODE? A HAS DUAL $\Leftrightarrow \exists A^\vee =_{\text{coev}} : (A \otimes A^\vee, C) - \text{BIMODULE}$
 $=_{\text{coev}} : (C : A \otimes A)^\vee - \text{BIMODULE}$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{A_A}{\sqrt{A_A}} \rightarrow A_A \times \frac{A_A}{\sqrt{A_A}}$$

Ex : what does it mean to require A is fully determinable?

THIS SHOULD BE FINITE \Rightarrow A FINITE DIMENSION
AND SEMI-SIMPL

(We start with its rotation of smooth manifold)

FLAVORS OF TQFTS

- UNDIRECTIONED: NO EXTRA STRUCTURE
 - ORIENTED
 - FRAMED: M-FRAMED OF A MANIFOLD OF DM $\overset{S^m}{\sim}$
IS AN ISOMORPHISM $TM \oplus R^{n-k} \overset{\cong}{\sim} R^n$
TRIVIAL BUNDLE OVER
EVERY SPACE...)

Example 5:

(1) m -FRAMES OF m -MANIFOLD AS SUM OF TRIVIAL OF TM .

(2) m -FRAMING OF A SUBMANIFOLD $M^k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$
e.g. 3-FRAMING OF 1-MANIFOLD ON \mathbb{R}^3

$$TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^3 = (\tau \mathbb{R}^3)_N = TM \oplus NM$$

$$\uparrow \\ (\tau \mathbb{R}^3)_N = TM \oplus NM$$

(3) 2-FRAMING OF A POINT $\Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\begin{cases} G \in GL(2, \mathbb{R}) \\ \text{HOMOTOPIC EQUIVALENT} \end{cases}$$

Theorem

Let $Z : m\text{-Cob}_T^{\text{ext}} \xrightarrow{\cong} e$ be an extended TQFT. Then:

(1) $Z(\cdot)$ in e IS FULLY DUALIZABLE

(2) Z IS UNIQUELY RECOVERED FROM X (UP TO ISOMORPHISM)

(3) CONVERSELY, every FULLY DUALIZABLE X GIVES RISE TO AN EXT.-TQFT.

Reformulation

(1) ALL EXTENDED TQFTS THEMSELVES FORM AN N -CATEGORY IN WHICH ALL MORPHISMS ARE INVERTIBLE.

(2) THE m -CATEGORY OF ALL EXTENDED FORMED TQFTS WITH VALUES IN e IS ~~NOT~~ (e^{fd}) INVERTIBLE.

Recall: Classification of Ext. 2d TQFT

$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\text{Cob}} \rightarrow \mathbf{BIMOD}$
 \uparrow
 Obj: Algebras/ \mathbb{C}
 1-Morph: A Mod id $_A = A$ A_A
 2-Morph: Morphisms of \mathbf{BIMOD} .

Note: A, B isomorphic in \mathbf{BIMOD}

$$\Downarrow \\ \mathbf{Mod}-A \cong \mathbf{Mod}-B$$

ISOMORPHISM IN \mathbf{BIMOD} .

$$\begin{array}{c} A \times_B, B \times_A \\ A \times_B \otimes_B B \times_A \xrightarrow{\sim} A_A \\ B \times_A \otimes_A A \times_B \xrightarrow{\sim} B_B \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{e.g. } A &= \mathbb{C} \\ B &= \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{C}) \\ (X, Y) &\cong (\mathbb{C}^n) \\ \text{END}(V) & \\ Y &\cong V \\ X &\cong V^* \\ Y_A \otimes X &\cong V^* \otimes V \cong B \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1


An extended 2D TQFT is equiv. to the following DATA:

- ALGEBRAS $A = \mathcal{Z}(+), A^\vee = \mathcal{Z}(-)$
- BIMODULES $A \otimes A^\vee = \mathcal{Z}(\pm)$
- ISOMORPHISMS

$$V \otimes_{A \otimes A^\vee} A \otimes A^\vee \times \cong_{A^\vee} A^\vee$$

- $\epsilon: \mathbf{Hom}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- $\eta: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(A)$

Θ Q



$$A_A \otimes_{A^\vee} A^\vee \xrightarrow{\epsilon} A \otimes_A A^\vee \otimes_{A \otimes A^\vee} Y$$

THIS WAS THE DATA. NOW THEY NEED TO RESPECT SOME (FOLLOWING) RELATIONS.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x \simeq A \otimes A^* \otimes x \\
 & \downarrow e \otimes id \\
 & x \otimes y \otimes x \\
 & \downarrow id \otimes e \\
 & \therefore (x \xrightarrow{e \otimes id} x \otimes y \otimes x \xrightarrow{id \otimes e} x) = id
 \end{aligned}$$

THIS COMPOSITION
SHOULD BE EQUAL
TO THE IDENTITY

Another Example

$$x \xrightarrow{id \otimes \eta} x \otimes y \otimes x \xrightarrow{\tilde{e} \otimes id} x$$

- HOW DO YOU WRITE ALL THIS DATA ...
- WHAT'S $\tilde{e}(e)$? $\longrightarrow \tilde{e}(e) \in \text{ITEM BMOO } (C, C)$
(IN OTHER WORDS, A VECTOR SPACE)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \tilde{e}(e) &= y \otimes x = \left(\underbrace{(y \otimes x)}_{A \otimes A^*} \right) \\
 &\quad \swarrow \text{COLUMN} \quad \searrow (a_2 - z_1) \\
 &= \begin{array}{c|c} A & \\ \hline A & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c|c} & \\ \hline c_2 - z_1 & \end{array} \\
 &\quad \quad \quad z \in A = A / [A, A]
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2

LET THE DATA $(A, A^*, \epsilon, \tilde{e}, \eta)$
SATISFY ALL RELATIONS OF THM 1.

THEN: ① (A, ϵ) IS SYMMETRIC FROB. ALGEBRA
WHICH IS S.S. F.D.

AND A^* IS MARTHA EQUIV. TO A^{op} (Ex: $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$)

② CONVERSELY, every S.S. F.D SYMMETRIC
F.A. DEFINES AN EXTENDED TFT.

How does it work?

(F.A.: YOU HAVE
A TOGETHER WITH
TRACE)

GIVEN A FROB. ALGEBRA A'

$\varepsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, HOW DO WE CONSTRUCT
2d TQFT?

- $\varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(ba) \rightarrow \varepsilon([a, b]) = 0$ → DEF. OF SEMI-SIMPL.
- (AND YOU GET $\varepsilon: H_0(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$)

- γ UNIF: $C \rightarrow A \rightarrow A / [A, A]$

(IF YOU KNOW
THE MAP γ IS HOW,
YOU KNOW THE REST)

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\circ} &= A^{\text{op}}, & X_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}} &= A \\ Y_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}} &= A \end{aligned}$$

$$A \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ A}}{\otimes} A^{\text{op}} \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ A^{\text{op}}}}{\otimes} A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow A \otimes A \quad Y_{A \otimes A^{\text{op}}} = A$$

$$\begin{aligned} c: 1 \otimes 1 &\rightarrow \sum x_i \otimes x_i \\ \varepsilon(x_i x_j) &= \delta_{ij} \\ (x \rightarrow x \otimes y \otimes x \rightarrow x) &= \text{id} \\ \text{O } \text{O} &= \text{O } \text{O} \end{aligned}$$

THE CORRESP. (NON-EXTENDED)

2d TQFT IS THE ONE ASSOCIATED TO
COMMUTATIVE F.A.

$$Z(\emptyset) = A / [A, A]$$

$$\simeq Z(A)_{\text{coassoc}}$$

THIS COASSOC IS
THE SAME THAT
(Both id FOR
SEMI-SIMPL.
ALGEBRAS)

(FOR SEMI-SIMPL. ALGEBRA, THIS MAP IS AN ISOMORPHISM)

WHAT'S THE RELATION

CLAIM: THE STATE-SUM CONSTRUCTION WE DISCUSSED EARLIER
ACTUALLY GIVES AN EXTENDED TQFT.



IT HAPPENS IN THE GENERAL CASE:
EVERY EXTENDED TQFT CAN BE OBTAINED THIS WAY.

(03/28/11)

47

Recall : Extended 2d TFT

$Z: \text{2Cob}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \text{Bimod}$

$A = Z(\pm) \iff A \text{ f.d. semi symm. f.A}$
 $\iff A \text{ f.d. s.s. Algebra}$
 $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ such that}$
 $\varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(ba)$

$Z(\otimes) = \frac{A}{[A, A]}$

$\varepsilon = Z(\otimes)$

$Z(\square) : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$
 $A \otimes A \mapsto \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} x$

Now consider $Z: \text{2Cob}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ same weak 2-CAT

$Z(\mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{1} \text{ (unit object)}$

$Z(\tau) = X \in \text{obj } \mathcal{C}$

$Z(\bar{\tau}) = X^* \in \text{obj } \mathcal{C}$

$Z(G_+) = \text{coev}: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$

A string diagram showing the coevolution morphism $\text{coev}: \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^*$. It consists of two parallel vertical strands labeled '+' at the bottom. The left strand has a self-loop labeled 'r'. The right strand has a self-loop labeled 'l'. They meet at a point where they cross. From this crossing, two strands emerge: one going up-right labeled 'r' and one going down-right labeled 'l'. These strands then meet at another crossing point, from which two strands emerge: one going up-left labeled 'l' and one going down-left labeled 'r'. These final strands converge at a single point.

$$\Rightarrow \text{casm} = (\text{coev} \otimes \mathbb{1})(\mathbb{1} \otimes \text{coev}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{id}$$

Also, from: + signs \rightarrow - signs

$$x^* \xrightarrow{\text{id}} x^* \otimes X \otimes X^* \xrightarrow{\text{id}} x^*$$

So x^* is dual part

Lemur X^v is determined by X uniquely up to canonical isomorphism if \tilde{X}^v , ev, coev satisfy \star

78

\therefore then \exists un. isom. $X^v \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{X}^v$

$$\therefore X^v \xrightarrow{\text{isom}} X^v \otimes X \otimes \tilde{X}^v \xrightarrow{\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}} X^v$$

Summary so far

: 1d TQFT with values in 1-CAT
 \Leftrightarrow A dualizable object $X \in \mathcal{C}$
 (i.e. an object for which there exists X^v , ev, coev satisfying \star)

2d part: we need:



$$\text{ev. coev} : 1 \rightarrow 1$$

$\downarrow v$

id 1

$$D \xrightarrow{\text{id}} D$$


ev. coev

$$\text{Z} \left(+ \begin{array}{c} + \\ \diagup \\ \text{cylinder} \\ \diagdown \\ - \end{array} \right) = X \otimes X^v \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X \otimes X^v$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{ev}} 1 \xrightarrow{\text{coev}}$

$$\text{Z} (\text{OTHER SADDLE}) = X \otimes X^v \xrightarrow{\text{coev. ev}} X \otimes X^v$$

$\xrightarrow{\text{id}} 1$



$$\omega \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{id}} \text{ev. coev. ev} \xrightarrow{\text{ev} \otimes \text{id}} \omega$$

$= \text{id}\omega$

$$\text{coev} \rightarrow \text{coev} \cdot \omega \cdot \text{coev} \rightarrow \text{coev} = \text{id}_{\text{coev}}$$

$\Gamma X, X^v$, and \rightarrow implemented by colw, ew

(8)

w, coew, ext dWAL \rightarrow

Dof: C weak 2 cat: $f \in \text{Mor}^\perp(x, y)$
 $g \in \text{Mor}^\perp(g \circ x)$

w say that f is left adjoint of g
 g is right adjoint of f

If we are given A PAIR OF 2-MORPHISMS

$$u: id_x \rightarrow g \cdot f$$

$$v: f \cdot g \rightarrow id_y$$

$$x \xrightarrow{id} x$$

$$y \xrightarrow{W_0} y$$

SUCH THAT $f \xrightarrow{u \otimes v} f \cdot g \cdot f \rightarrow u \otimes v$ $f = id \circ f$
 $g \longrightarrow g \cdot \perp f \longrightarrow g = id \circ g$

Exercise: Let $C = \text{CAT}$
 $f \in \text{Fun}(x, y)$
 $g \in \text{Fun}(y, x)$

(03130)

Recall 2 Cob ext

Weak 2-category with
wrt disjoint union)

(symmetric MONOIDAL

OBJ: POINTS

1-Hop: 1-MNFS WITH BOUNDARY

2-Hop: 2-MNFS WITH COVERS

Definition An EXTENDED 2D TQFT IS A WEAK 2-FUNCTOR

2 Cob ext \xrightarrow{e}
where e IS A WEAK 2-CATEGORY

WHAT ABOUT TARGET e ?
WE DEMAND IT TO BE SYMMETRIC MONOIDAL

LET'S TALK ABOUT POSSIBLE TARGETS e :

① Ab { objects: ABELIAN CATEGORIES
1-MOR: ADDITIVE FUNCTORS
2-MOR: PUNCT. MORPHISMS } $\left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{1} = \text{Vec} \\ \text{Mor}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) = \text{Vec} \end{array} \right)$ { $\text{Hom}(x, y) :$
Homom.
from x to y

ABELIAN CATEGORY / \mathcal{C} : A CATEGORY SUCH THAT
• $\text{Hom}(x, y)$ IS A VECTOR SPACE
• THERE ARE NOTIONS OF \oplus , 0 OBJECTS,
Ker, Im, SATISFYING OBVIOUS AXIOMS.

Example $A\text{-Mod}$, $\text{Rep}(G)$, Vec
(A : ALGEBRA, G : GROUP)

Ab: 2-CATEGORY OF ALL ABELIAN CATEGORIES.

Example: $\text{Mor}_{A \otimes B}(\text{Vec}, \text{Vec}) = \text{Vec}$

$$\Psi \\ F_v : X \rightarrow V \otimes X$$

ANALOGY: $\text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}(C, C) = C$

A_b IS A MONOIDAL CATEGORY w.r.t $A \otimes B = ?$

$$A \otimes B = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{objects: } \bigoplus A_i \otimes B_i, A_i \in \text{Obj } A, B_i \in \text{Obj } B \\ \text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus A_i \otimes B_i, \bigoplus A'_j \otimes B'_k \right) = \bigoplus \text{Hom}(A_i, A'_j) \\ \quad \otimes \text{Hom}(B_i, B'_k) \end{array} \right.$$

EXAMPLE: G_1, G_2 ARE FINITE GROUPS, then $\text{Rep}(G_1) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(G_2)$
 $= \text{Rep}(G_1 \times G_2)$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad \underline{\text{BIMOD}} : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OBJ: ALGEBRAS/C} \\ \text{1-MON: } A\text{-H}_B\text{-BIMODULES} \\ \text{2-MOR: BIMODULE MORPHISMS} \end{array} \right. \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \mathbb{U} = C \\ \text{Mor}(C, C) = \text{Vec} \end{array} \right)$$

MONOIDAL STRUCTURE : \otimes



GOAL: CLASSIFY EXTENDED 2d COFTS
IDEA: DESCRIBE 2COBEXT BY GENERATORS AND RELATIONS

Generators

0. Γ^+

1. $\Gamma \supset C^+$

2.  + OTHER WAY AROUND 




THIS OBJECT TOGETHER WITH

A AND B



GENERATES THE PAIR OF PANTS WHICH ISN'T AN ELEMENTARY OBJECT HERE

Relations



Now, what are possible extended QFT's?

$$Z: 2\text{-CobExt} \rightarrow \text{Bimod}$$

$$Z(+)=A \quad , \quad Z(-)=B$$

$$Z(\pm) = {}_{A \otimes B} X_c \quad , \quad Z(\overset{+}{\pm}) = {}_A A_A$$

$$Z(C^\pm) = {}_c Y_{A \otimes B}$$

$$Z(\overset{+}{\pm}) = ({}_A A_A \otimes_c Y_{A \otimes B}) \underset{A \otimes B}{\otimes} X \otimes_c {}_A A_A$$

$$= Y_{A \otimes B} \otimes_B {}_{A \otimes B} X$$

$$= {}_A \tilde{X}_B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{B^{\text{op}}} {}_{B^{\text{op}}} \tilde{Y}_A$$

$$z(\text{cosp}) \in \text{Mor}(_A A, {}_A \tilde{X}_{B^{\text{op}}} \otimes_{B^{\text{op}}} {}_{B^{\text{op}}} \tilde{Y}_A)$$

ISOMORPHISM OF MODULES

AND ALSO

$$z(\text{cusp}) \in \text{Mor}({}_{B^{\text{op}}} B^{\text{op}}, {}_{B^{\text{op}}} Y_A \otimes_A {}_A \tilde{X}_{B^{\text{op}}})$$

Example: Let $A = C$
 $B^{\text{op}} = M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{C})$ ($m \times m$) matrices

$$\text{Then } X = \mathbb{C}^m, Y = (\mathbb{C}^m)^*$$

$$\text{Then } X \otimes Y \sim B$$

$$X \otimes B \sim A$$

$$Y \otimes B \sim A$$

THUS: $A \simeq B^{\text{op}}$ IN CATEGORY BIMOD (THIS IS
 ALSO CALLED MATRIX EQUIVALENCE AND IS
 WEAKER THAN REQUIRING THAT

$$A \simeq B^{\text{op}} \text{ AS ALGEBRAS}$$

(03/30)

50



Relations

O. : :



1. DCI

2 + OTHER WAY AROUND



Possible Targets e

① $\text{Ab} = \underline{\text{Objects}}$

ABELIAN CATEGORIES

1-MORPH: ADDITIVE FUNCTOR

2-MORPH: FUNC. MORPHISM.

ABELIAN CATEGORY

: a category such that

①

• $\text{Hom}_A(x, y)$ is a vector space

• THERE ARE MORPHISMS OF \oplus \ominus OBJECT

THEN, Im , ~~is~~ SATISFYING OBVIOUS THINGS.

Example : A-MOD
A - AN ABELIAN

$\text{Rep}(G)$ VEC
G-GROUP

$\text{Ab} = 2\text{-CATEGORY OF ALL ABELIAN CATEGORIES}$

Example : $\text{Mor}_{\text{Ab}}^A(\text{Vec}, \text{Vec}) = \text{Vec}$

Ab IS A MONOIDAL CATEGORY WRT

$A \boxtimes B = \text{OBJECTS } \oplus A_i \boxtimes B_i, A_i \in \text{OBJ}^A$

$\text{Hom}(\oplus A_i \boxtimes B_i \oplus A_j \boxtimes B_j)$

$= \oplus \text{Hom}(A_i, A_j) \otimes \text{Hom}(B_i, B_j)$

$(A_1 \oplus A_2) \boxtimes B \simeq A_1 \boxtimes B \oplus A_2 \boxtimes B$

} THIS DEFINES
OF UPS ITS
ISOMORPHISM

Example : If G_1, G_2 are finite group then $\text{Rep}(G_1) \boxtimes \text{Rep}(G_2)$
 $= \text{Rep}(\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$

(2) BIMOD : Objects : ALGEBRAS / C

1-MAP : A, M_B - BIMODULES

$\mathcal{U} = F$

2-MAP : BIMODULE MORPHISM

MONOIDAL STRUCTURE \otimes

$\text{Mor}(C, \mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{M}$

(RECOVER THE PREVIOUS STRUCTURE
BUT IT'S DIFFERENT STRUCTURE)

GOAL : CLASSIFY EXTENDED 2d TQFTs

IDEA : DESCRIBE 2Cob BY GENERALIZED POL.

NOW : WHAT ARE POSSIBLE EXTENDED 2d TQFTs

$Z : 2\text{Cob}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \text{BIMOD}$

$$Z(\pm) = A$$

$$Z(+) = {}_A A_A$$

$$Z(-) = B$$

$$Z(\pm \circ) = X_C \xrightarrow{\text{ACTING ON THE RIGHT}} {}_A \tilde{X}_B^{\text{op}}$$

$$Z(CI) = Y_{A \otimes B} \xrightarrow{\quad} = {}_C Y_{A \otimes B} \xrightarrow{\quad} {}_B Y_A$$

LET'S SEE WHAT THE CASPER GIVE TO YOU :

$$Z(\text{Cusp}) \in ({}_{A \otimes B} \tilde{X}_B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{B^{\text{op}}} {}_A X_A)$$

$$Z_A(\text{Dagger}) = \dots = Y_{A \otimes B} \otimes_B A \otimes_B X = A \tilde{X}_B^{\text{op}} \otimes_{B^{\text{op}}} {}_B \tilde{X}_A$$

(ISOMORPHISM OF BIMODULES CASPER + "ANTI-CASPER" \Rightarrow IDENTITY)

$$Z(\text{WSP}) \in \text{Mor} ({}_{B^{\text{op}}} \tilde{Y}_A, {}_{B^{\text{op}}} Y_A \otimes_A {}_A \tilde{X}_B)$$

NOT ISOMORPHIC

$$\text{Let } A_{\text{op}} = C \\ B = \text{HATM}(C)$$

$$\text{TAKE } A = \mathbb{C}^n \\ B = \mathbb{C}^m$$

THIS $A \cong B^{\text{op}}$ IN 2C
BIMOD (MORITA EQUIVALENCE)


G - STRUCTURES

V - Vector BUNDLE, $\dim V = m$
 $G \subset GL(m, \mathbb{R})$

INFORMAL DEFINITION: A G-STRUCTURE ON V IS A WAY
OF REDUCING THE STRUCTURE GROUP TO G .

We can trivialize V locally to give, we'll need transition
FUNCTIONS $g_{\alpha\beta} \in GL(m, \mathbb{R})$.

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta^{-1}: \\ \mathbb{R}^m \times U_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m \times U_{\alpha\beta} \end{array} \right)$$




$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\alpha: V|_{U_\alpha} &\simeq \mathbb{R}^m \times U_\alpha \\ \varphi_\beta: V|_{U_\beta} &\simeq \mathbb{R}^m \times U_\beta \end{aligned}$$

Reducing to G : Requires

$g_{\alpha\beta} \in G \Rightarrow$ FORMAL DEFINITION:

→ A G-STRUCTURE ON V IS

- A PRINCIPAL G -BUNDLE 
- AN ISOMORPHISM OF VECTOR $P \times_G \mathbb{R}^m \simeq V$

EXAMPLES

- ① $G = GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ NO EXTRA STRUCTURE.
(positive determinant)
- ② $G = GL^+(m, \mathbb{R})$ (ORIENTATION)
- ③ $G = O(m, \mathbb{R})$ (INNER PRODUCT)
(IN V)
- ④ $G = SO(m, \mathbb{R})$ (INNER PRODUCT + ORIENTATION)

Exercise: $G = \text{UPPER TRIANG. MATRICES} \Rightarrow$ WHAT KIND OF STRUCTURE?

⑤ $G = \{I\} \Leftrightarrow$ TRIVIALIZATION FRAME $V \simeq \mathbb{R}^m \times M \rightsquigarrow$ You IDENTIFY YOUR vector BUNDLE WITH THIS.

G	$\{I\}$	C	$SO(m, \mathbb{R})$	$\subset O(m, \mathbb{R})$
G-STRUCTURE	FUNDINGS	→	(INNER PROD. ORIENTATION)	→ (INNER PRODUCT)

(THE SMALLER THE GROUP THE LARGER THE SET OF G-STRUCTURES)

CLAIM

(LOCALLY)

LOOK TO THE SET OF ALL POSSIBLE G-STRUCTURES

 $\{G\text{-STRUCTURES}\} = \{\text{FRAMINGS}\}_{\overset{G}{\sim}}$ → BY THE ACTION OF G
 (SET OF ALL FRAMINGS THAT PRESERVE G)

WHAT DOES IT HAVE TO DO WITH TQFTs?

APPLICATIONS TO TQFT

Every $G \subset GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ defines a "FLAVOR" OF N-DIMENSIONAL TQFT.
 Namely we can consider theory in which all manifolds have
 G-STRUCTURE:

$$TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^{m-k}, \dim M = k$$

so you ADD A TRIVIAL
 VECTOR BUNDLE \mathbb{R}^k
 AND ASK TO HAVE
 PREVIOUS STRUCTURE

EXAMPLE(CONTRACTIVE
SET OF
CHOICES)

- ⑥ $G = GL(m, \mathbb{R})$
 - ← ① $G = O(m, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow$ INNER PRODUCT ON TM
 - ② $G = SO(m, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow$ INNER PRODUCT, ORIENTATION ON TM
 - ③ $G = \{1\} \rightarrow$ FRAMED MANIFOLD
- ↳ IF YOU HAVE THIS STRUCTURE YOU SHOULD BE
 ABLE TO CONSTRUCT

THIS TWO PUNES
 GIVE THE
 SAME TQFT
 (CONTRACTIVE)

- ①, ② REQUIRES ORIENTATION
 ③ REQUIRES ALSO TRIVIALIZATION

Now we can formulate the ...

The Cobordism Conjecture

(EXT. FRAMED TQFTS
 $Z: {}_m \text{Cob}^{\text{FE}}_{\text{ext}} \rightarrow e$)

(FRAMED VERSION)

$$(e^{\text{FO}})^{\sim}$$

"FULLY
 DUALIZABLE"
 ISOMORPHISM
 CLASS

THIS IS A
 CATEGORY, YOU
 CAN DEFINE ISOMORPHISM
 YOU HAVE OBJECT
 TQFT

(54)

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{GENERAL Version: } \\ \text{EXTENDED } G + \text{TQFTs} \\ Z: m \text{ Cob } G \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\quad} ((e^{F_0})^n)^G \xrightarrow{\quad} \text{INTERCHANGE}$$

(You TALK THE SAME THING ON G)
 YOU HAVE $TM \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \cong \mathbb{R}^m$ ↪ YOU HAVE TWO ACTION ON $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$

SO YOU HAVE TWO ACTION OF $GL(m, \mathbb{R})$ ON TMs

EXAMPLE

① $m=2$
 IN THIS CASE $(Z_{\mathbb{R}} - \text{Cob} \rightarrow e)$

$G = \{1\}$ AND PRO
 HOMOTOPY
 $G = \{GL(1, \mathbb{R})\}$

FRAMED (THICK)
 ↓
 EXACTLY THE SAME = $\{X \text{ IS DUALIZABLE}\}$

\leftrightarrow oriented $\leftrightarrow (e^{F_0})^n$

You have DUALIZABLE OBJECTS IS SEMI-PERIODIC

i.e. $\exists X^v, ev : X \otimes X^v \rightarrow 1, coev = 1 \rightarrow X \otimes X$
 • $X = Z(\pm)$ SUCH THAT $Z = -$
 • $X^v = Z(\mp)$

~~QUESTION~~

② ANOTHER EXAMPLE: ORIENTED

$$G = \{B\mathbb{Z}\}$$

↓
 $G = GL(m, \mathbb{R})$

IF G IS A GROUP ACTION IN A
 CATEGORY, HOW DO YOU DEFINE IT?

$$: ((e^{F_0})^n)^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

NOT ONLY SET, THEY
 ARE CATEGORIES.

Aside: IF G IS A GROUP ACTION ON CATEGORIAL M , WHAT IS π_G ??

(NAIVE DEF: INVARIANCE DOES NOT PRESERVE / GIVES 2 DIFF
 ANSWER TWO DIFF GROUPS)

Example: $\pi = \pi_1(M)$, G ACTS ON M

WHAT IS THE CORRECT DEFINITION OF $\pi_1(M)^G$? → G -INVARIANCE

Naively: we would take M^G ,
 BUT THAT IS NOT HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENT)

(Naively we would write
 $\tilde{\pi}_1(M)^G = \tilde{\pi}_1(M^G)$)

HOMOLOGICAL ALGEBRA?

Bad Definition

$$M^G = \text{MAP}_G(\text{pt}, M)$$



Good

$$M^{hG} = \text{MAP}_G(EG, M)$$

EG = COUNTABLE SPACE ON WHICH G ACTS FREELY

$K; H, with$
~~with~~
 G ACTION,
 $\text{MAP}_G(K, M)$
 \downarrow
 $\text{Map}_G(K, M)$

IN HOMOTOPY THEORY CONTINUOUS SPACE \cong POINTS

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_1(M)^G &= \\ \tilde{\pi}_1(M^{hG}) & \end{aligned}$$


Example:

$$G = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, \sigma\}$$

$$M^{hG} = \text{MAP}_G(EG, M)$$

$$\begin{aligned} EG &= S^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \\ (EG/G &\cong \mathbb{R} P^\infty) \end{aligned}$$

ALTERNATIVELY:



HOW ANY GROUP WOULD ACT?
 $SU(2) \rightarrow$ STIL NOT COUNTABLY

SUPPOSING NOW YOU HAVE A GRUPOID AND THEN THE ACTION OF THE GRUPOID

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \mathcal{G} \text{ is } \mathbb{Z}_2 : x &\rightarrow \sigma(x) \\ (\ell : x \rightarrow x) &\rightarrow \sigma(\ell) : \sigma(x) \rightarrow \sigma(y) \end{aligned}$$



$$M^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x, x' \in M \text{ such that } \sigma(x) = x' \\ (\text{IMAGE OF OBJECTS AND } \gamma_1: x \rightarrow x' \text{ SUCH THAT} \\ \sigma(\gamma_1) = \gamma_2, \gamma_2: x' \rightarrow x \\ (\text{TO EACH CELLS: 2 HOMOTOPIES } \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \sim \text{id}) \end{array} \right.$$

FINAL ANSWERGiven \mathcal{R} WITH ACTION OF \mathbb{Z}_2

$$\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OBJECTS } (x, x', \gamma_1, \gamma_2) \\ x, x' \in \text{OBJ } \mathcal{R} \\ \gamma_1 \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{R}}(x, x') \\ \gamma_2 \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{R}}(x', x) \end{array} \right\} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}$$

SUCH THAT $x' = \sigma(x)$, $\gamma_2 = \sigma(\gamma_1)$
 $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 = \text{id}$, $\gamma_2 \circ \gamma_1 = \text{id}$

THE COND. CAN BE REPLACE: $\sigma(\gamma_1) = \gamma_1^{-1}$

Homework: WHAT'S THE ANSWER FOR ORIENTED
 THEORY? YOU KNOW THE SET OF ORIENTED
 THEORIES $\rightarrow ?$

Recall

→ DIFF: "FLAVORS" OF TQF'S

- UNORIENTED $\rightarrow G = GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \cong O(m, \mathbb{R})$
- ORIENTED $\rightarrow G = GL^+(m, \mathbb{R}) \cong SO(m, \mathbb{R})$
- FRAMED $\rightarrow G = \{\mathbf{1}\}$

$G \subset GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G\text{-STRUCTURES}$

→ Cobs corr.

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \text{EXT N-DIM} \\ \text{FRAMED} \end{smallmatrix} \wedge \text{TQFs} \right\} \cong (e^{Fd})^\sim$$

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \text{EXT N-DIM} \\ \text{G-STRUCTURE} \end{smallmatrix} \wedge \text{TQFs} \right\} \cong ((e^{Fd})^\sim)^G$$

EXAMPLES $m=1, e = \text{vect}$

$$\cdot \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \text{FRAMED} \\ \text{TQFs} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} \stackrel{\text{genered}}{=} (\text{Vect outdiffeo})^\sim \\ = (\text{Vect finite-dim})^\sim$$

$$\nabla, V^*, \text{ev} : V \otimes V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$$

$$\text{coev} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V$$

$$\cdot \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \text{ORIENTED} \\ \text{TQFs} \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = (\text{Vect finite})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \gamma \\ Fd \end{array}, \begin{array}{l} \text{SYN NON-DEP.} \\ \text{BILINEAR FORM ON } V \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathbb{M}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{ \text{Objects} : \left(\begin{array}{c} x \in \text{OB, } \mathbb{M} \text{ such that } \sigma(x) : \sigma(x) \rightarrow x \\ \gamma : x \end{array} \right) \text{ IS UNIVRS OF } x \}$$

$$(Vec)^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = (V, \gamma : V \xrightarrow{\sim} V^*) = (V, \text{NON DEGENERATED SYMM. BILINEAR FORM ON } V)$$

$$\gamma(V) = V^*, \quad \gamma^* = \gamma \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(ADJOINT OF } \gamma) \\ \text{IS } \gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

$$f : V^* \rightarrow W$$

$$\sigma(f) = (f^*)^{-1} : V^* \xrightarrow{\sim} W^*$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{MORPHISM} \\ \text{MOR}(X/Y), \\ (f, f^*) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f : x \rightarrow f \circ \gamma \\ f^* = \gamma \circ f \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right\}$$

* Example $N=2$ $e = \text{BIMOD}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{FRAMED TQFS} \\ \text{ORIENTED TQFS} \end{array} \right\} = (e^{\text{fd}})^{\sim} = \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{semi simple field to dimensional} \\ \text{matrix equivalent.} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Oriented TQFS} \\ \downarrow \\ G = \text{SO}(2) \cong S^1 \end{array} \right\} = \begin{array}{l} f: A \simeq B \\ A \not\simeq B, \exists_B 8_A \\ A \not\simeq B \otimes_B 8_A \simeq A_A \end{array}$$

$$\left((e^{\text{fd}})^{\sim} \right)^{S^1} \simeq \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{ss. ANISO F.O.} \\ \text{ALGEBRA & WITH} \\ \text{"DIAO FORM"} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \varepsilon_A \rightarrow C \\ \text{such that} \\ \varepsilon(ab) = \varepsilon(ba) \end{array}$$

$\therefore (ab) = \varepsilon(ab)$ IS now degenerated

$$\begin{array}{l} : A \rightarrow \text{End}(V) \cong \text{Mat}_A(\mathbb{C}) \\ B = C \\ f = V \\ g = V^\perp \end{array}$$

Every rep is trivial,
there is one one rep.

* $\boxed{N=3}$

$e = ?$ (some 3-category)

Let's describe the 3-degeneracies by mon

objects: MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

(cat. which have tensor products (\otimes, η))

(we don't require trace comonad or any isomorphism)

1-Mon $A \rightarrow B$: $A \text{-} B$ ^{you use} BIMODULE
CATEGORIES BUT THIS WOULD MEAN i.e. a \otimes a category in

* $M_A: A \times N \rightarrow N$

(you want to have a factor of multiplication)

$\overset{b}{A}, N \rightarrow A \otimes N$

(together with consistency condition)

SHOULD BE A MAP

$M_B: M \times B \rightarrow M$

Module Category

A category M^A with a functor

$$M: A \times M \rightarrow M$$

such that $\text{Id}_M \otimes M \cong M$ (isomorphism)

} we need
this body

MODULE OVER AN ALGEBRA

A vector space M^A with a linear map

$$M: A \times M \rightarrow M$$

$a \times m \mapsto a.m \rightarrow$ usual notation for algebra

such that

$$1.m = m$$

$$(a.b)m = a(b.m)$$

$$\rightarrow (A \otimes B).M \rightarrow A.(B.M)$$

$M \in \text{obj}, M$
 $A, B \in \text{obj}, A$

such that they satisfy
some conditions. \rightarrow compact

(instead of several
objects on A you
have several obj.
on M)

then

Example ①

Suppose you have a group G of subgroup of H

$(G \subset H)$

(on 5, deriving the category of rep of H)

Rep H is a module category over Rep G .

which is
itself monoidal
cat.

IT MEANS THAT THERE'S A STANDARD WAY OF TAKING REP OF H .

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} M \in \text{Rep } H \\ A \in \text{Rep } G \end{array} \right\} \boxed{A \otimes M}$$

(has a canonical
structure of Rep H)
(you can cook up a
rep of a smaller group)

Example ②

consider the situation you have Z^4 MANIFOLDS

X supposed this is a fiber bundle closely related

$$\begin{matrix} X & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ \downarrow & & \\ Y & \text{C}^\infty_{\text{locally}} \text{ manifolds} & \end{matrix}$$

THEN, CONSIDER 2 CATEGORIES $\text{Vec}(X)$, $\text{Vec}(Y)$
WHICH OF THEM MONOIDAL CATEGORY (BUT NOT ABELIAN
CATEGORY)

YOU CLAIM THAT $\text{Vec}(X)$ IS A MODULE OVER $\text{Vec}(Y)$
THAT $\text{Vec}(X)$ IS A MODULE OVER $\text{Vec}(Y)$

(AXIS NOTION
OF TENSOR
PROD)

SUCH THAT FOR $M \in \text{Vec}(X)$ $A \in \text{Vec}(Y)$ $\Rightarrow A.M = Y^*(A) \otimes M \rightsquigarrow$

YOU PULLBACK
AND THEN
TAKE THE
USUAL TENSOR
PRODUCT.

$\text{V}(X), \text{V}(Y)$ THEMSELVES HAVE TENSOR PRODUCT.
(NOT NECESSARILY IN GENERAL)

NOTION OF MONOIDAL CATEGORY?

A - B -BIMODULE = MODULE OVER $A \otimes B^{\text{op}}$

\curvearrowleft WE DEFINE THE BIC CATEGORY BY CHANGING
THE DEFINITION OF TENSOR PRODUCT.

$$B_1 \otimes B_2 = B_2 \otimes B_1$$

\curvearrowleft CATEGORY WHOSE OBJECTS ARE FORMAL COMBINATIONS

$$\sum A_i \otimes B_i, \quad A_i \in A \\ B_i \in B^{\text{op}}$$

(You can also write in terms of the previous algebra)

... WE WANT 3-TQFTS --- WHAT ARE THE 2-MORPHISMS?

2-MORPHISMS

$$A^M_B \rightarrow A^N_B$$

(WHICH COMMUTE WITH
ACTION OF A, B)


(WHICH OF THIS IS A CATEGORY W
WITH EXTRA STRUCTURES)

For ALGEBRAS WE WRITE
HERE, 3D MAPS BECAUSE
FUNCTIONS


2-CATEGORIES:

obj, 2-morphism.

3 - MORPHISMS



ψ : FUNCTORIAL MORPHISM



How do you compose BIMODULES:

HORIZONTAL COMPOSITION OF BIMODULES

$$\text{OLD STORY} \Rightarrow \text{ALGEBRA} \Rightarrow A M_B \otimes_B N_C = \frac{(M \otimes_C N)}{m_b \otimes m_n - m_m \otimes b_m}$$

(tensor product over ALGEBRA)
(IF you want to describe)

CATEGORIES

$$A M_B \boxtimes_B B N_C = ?$$

$$(\text{we want to take this tensor product}) = M \otimes N \quad (\text{just a category with some rules})$$

How do you define two cochain of two categories?

$$? M \boxtimes N / (M_B) \boxtimes N = M \otimes (B N) ^*$$

BUT THIS DOESN'T MAKE SENSE \rightarrow we don't have the notion of COCHAIN \rightarrow we can define:

$$m_b \otimes n - m \otimes b_n$$

(there's no such thing as this difference)

EXERCISES: Come up with some ideas of how this defines COCHAIN!!!!

RECALL $m = 2$
 $Z : 2 \text{ Cob}_\text{ext} \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} \text{BIMOD ALGEBRAS} \\ \text{BIMODULES MATH OF MODULES} \end{matrix}$
 $Z(\cdot) = A - \text{alg} \text{ ss Alg with } \epsilon : A \rightarrow f$
 (conversely every such Alg defines an ext. TQFT)
 $m = 3$
 $Z : 3 \text{ Cob}_\text{ext} \longrightarrow \begin{matrix} \text{OBJ. MONOIDAL CATEGORIES} \\ \text{B MODULE CATEGORIES} \\ \vdots \end{matrix}$
 $Z(\cdot) = \text{a SPHERICAL CATEGORY}$

(conversely every spherical category defines an external TQFT)

Fusion and Spherical Categories $A \Rightarrow -\text{ABELIAN/C}$ (i.e. Hom(x,y) is a vector space)- $\underset{\oplus}{\otimes}$, associative bimodules) monoidal

- Hom(x,y) are F.d.

- A is semisimple with finitely many simple objects

$$x \cong \bigoplus_i x_i \xrightarrow{\text{smash}}$$

ANALYSIS

$$(x \otimes y) \otimes z \xrightarrow{\sim} x \otimes (y \otimes z)$$

$$\text{Hom}(x,y) \times \text{Hom}(x_z, y_z)$$

$$\text{Hom}(x \otimes x_z y, \otimes f_z)$$

must be linear

(semi-simple: every object can be written as sum)

EXAMPLES

(1) Vec_{Fd} - FINITE DIM vector spaces
 $\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{C}$ - the only simple obj.

(2) G- Finito group
Rep G - Fd rep of G/\mathbb{C}
 $\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{C}$, simple objects - irrep

(3) Reps ($U_{q,g}$), $g = e^{\pi i / q}$ \longrightarrow central def. of Lie algebra.

More structure: DUAL OBJECTS

Def: Let V be obj A

A LEFT DUAL OF V IS AN OBJECT
 V^* together with 2-morphisms
 $\rho_V : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$
 $\omega_{V^*} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$

SUCH THAT

$$(V \rightarrow V \otimes V^* \otimes V \rightarrow V) = \text{id}_V$$

$$(V^* \rightarrow V^* \otimes V \otimes V^* \rightarrow V^*) = \text{id}_{V^*}$$

A RIGHT DUAL $*V$:
 $\rho_V : V \otimes *V \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$
 $\omega_{*V} : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow *V \otimes V$

Lemma IF exists, THE DUAL IS UNIQUE UP TO A UNIQUE
ISO-MORPHISM IF V^* , $*V$ ARE LEFT
E UNIQUES ISOM. BETWEEN THEM

 PROOF $V^* \longrightarrow V^* \otimes V \otimes *V \rightarrow V^*$

DEFINITION


A SPHERICAL CATEGORY IS A FUSION CATEGORY IN WHICH EVERY OBJECT HAS LEFT AND RIGHT DUALS AND WE HAVE A COLLECTION OF ISOMORPHISMS $V \cong V^*$ SATISFYING CERTAIN CONDITIONS TO BE REFWED LATER.

SOME PROP

- ① $(V \otimes W)^* = W^* \otimes V^*$ $V \rightarrow V$ IS RIGHT DUAL OF V^*
- ② V^* LEFT DUAL OF V^*
- ③ V -RIGHT DUAL OF V^*
 $V^{**} =$ LEFT DUAL OF V^*

THUS, HAVING ISOMORPHISMS $V \cong V^{**}$
IS EQUIV. TO HAVING ISOMORPHISMS $*w \cong w^*$
(BECAUSE $w^* = (*w)^{**}$)

④ WE HAVE CANONICAL ISOMORPHISMS
 $\text{Hom}(A \otimes B, C) \cong \text{Hom}(A, C \otimes B^*)$



CANONICALITY =
MAPS OBJ FROM INPUT TO OUTPUT

$$\mathbb{1} \rightarrow B \otimes R^*$$

$$A \rightarrow A \otimes B \otimes B^* \longrightarrow C \otimes B^*$$

⑤ $\text{Hom}(A, B) \cong \text{Hom}(B^*, A^*)$
CONDITION

$$\delta_V : V \rightarrow V^*$$


⑥ δ_V IS MORPHISMS OF FUNCTORS

$$v_1 \xrightarrow{\delta} v_2$$

$$\delta_1$$

$$v_1^{**} \xrightarrow{\delta} v_2^{**}$$

⑦ δ AGREES WITH $\mathbb{1} \otimes$

$$(V \otimes W)^{**} \cong V^{**} \otimes W^{**}$$


(3) FOR ANY $f: V \rightarrow U$
LEFT AND RIGHT INACOS AGREE

$$\begin{aligned} U &\xrightarrow{\text{coev}} *V \otimes V \xrightarrow{\text{act}} *V \otimes V \rightarrow V^* \otimes V \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} U \\ U &\rightarrow \sum v_i \otimes u_i \rightarrow \sum v_i \otimes f(v_i) \rightarrow \sum \langle v_i, f(v_i) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(4) Rep G , G -FINITE

(5) Let G be A FINITE GROUP

$\sigma \in G$ - A central element such that $\sigma^2 = 1$

Let Rep σ_G = Rep G but with

$$V \cong V^{**} \text{ given by } \sigma$$

(3) G -Vec = G - GENERATED F.D. VECTOR SPACES

$$V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V_g$$

$$(V \oplus W)_g = \bigoplus V_{g_1} \otimes W_{g_2} \\ b_1 b_2 = g$$

(SIMPLE OBJECTS: C_g)

(4) H - A ss fd HOPF ALGEBRA / \mathbb{C}
HOM

$$\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$$

$$\varepsilon: H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$S: H \rightarrow H$$

$$E_g: H = \mathbb{C}[G]$$

$$\Delta_g = g \otimes g, \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1 \\ S(g) = g^{-1}$$

$$\text{Rep } H = \text{Rep } G$$

(5) $H = F(G)$ - FUNCTIONS ON G

Direct sum of
many copies
of F

$$\text{Rep } H = G\text{-Vec}$$

(09/23/11)

(67)


Recall

$$\begin{array}{c} n=2 \quad \text{T QFT} \\ A - \text{ss. f.d. algebra} \\ \varepsilon: A \rightarrow C \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} m=3 \\ h: \text{Abelian} \\ \text{s.s. FINITELY MANY SIMPLE} \\ \text{OBJ} \\ \otimes, \mathbb{1} \\ *, s_V: V \cong V^* \end{array}$$

Spherical
Fusion
cat.

GRAPHICAL CALCULUS



$$F(T): V_1 \otimes V_2^* \otimes V_5 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes \underbrace{V_3 \otimes V_4}_{\text{Twice}} \otimes \underbrace{V_2^* \otimes V_5^*}_{\text{Twice}}$$

"IT MEANS
TO GO TO
THE WRONG WAY"
YOU PUT A STAR

$$\phi \in \text{Hom}(V_2, V_2 \otimes V_3^*)$$

OBS: WE DONT KNOW ANY INTERSECTION / WE DONT ASSUME OUR TENSOR PRODUCT IS ASSOCIATIVE.

THEOREM

There exist an unique way to assign to every ~~UNLABELED~~ COLORED GRAPH $T \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ A MORPHISM:

$$F(T): F(\text{TOP OF } T) \rightarrow F(\text{BOTTOM OF } T)$$

such that the follow properties:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad F(\boxed{T_1} \boxed{T_2}) = F(T_1) \otimes F(T_2)$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad F(\boxed{\boxed{T_1}}) = F(T_1) F(T_2)$$

(DEFINED BY GENERATORS)

③

$$\textcircled{a} \quad F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{id}_V$$

$$F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix}^* \right) = \text{id}_{V^*}$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix} \otimes V^* \right) = \text{id}_V \quad V \otimes V^* = \mathbb{I}$$

$$F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix} \otimes V \right) = \text{id}_V \quad V^* \otimes V = \mathbb{I}$$

We can replace $V \rightarrow V^*$
 so it doesn't matter
 if you take about the same --.
 $= e_{V,V} + (\delta \otimes \text{id})$
 $= e_{V,V}$

$$\textcircled{c} \quad F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{coev}$$

$$\cancel{\text{MORPHISMS}} \quad \mathbb{I} \rightarrow V^* \otimes V$$

$$F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix} \right) = \text{coev}$$

$$\mathbb{I} \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$$

(which kinds of morph. are associate to \mathbb{I} ?)

$$\textcircled{d} \quad F \left(\begin{pmatrix} V \\ V \end{pmatrix} \right) = \cancel{\psi} \quad (F \text{ IS ISOZOPHY INVARIANT})$$

For example I write my graphic

 $T =$

(for every)


F IS AN ISOZOPHY INVARIANT

(MORPHISMS are graph between the connections)

(The entire relatives between those connections:

$$\psi = \text{I} = \text{N} \quad)$$

There's one more relation

 \Rightarrow

SAME
INPUT/
OUTPUT
AS



We can check (homework)

THAT S IS functor morphism


$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{f} & V^* \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{g} & W^* \end{array}$$

(back to the fact that the rule is
 $?.$)


(Whence theorem for pivotal category)

VARIATION

$$\text{Hom}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m, W_1 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n) \cong \text{Hom}(W_1^* \otimes V_1 \otimes V_m, W_2 \otimes \dots \otimes W_n)$$



(consider a box with ONLY OUTPUTS)



$$\rho_E \text{Hom}(V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m) = \langle V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m \rangle \cong \langle V_m, V_{m-1}, V_{m-2}, \dots, V_1 \rangle$$

(THERE IS A CANONICAL ISOMORPHISM)

(SO INSTEAD OF HAVING MANY INPUTS AND OUTPUTS WE CAN HAVE BOX WITH ONLY OUTPUTS)

(AND WE REPRESENT THEM AS ROUND DISKS)

WE DONT DISTINGUISH INP FROM OUTP AT ALL

SPECIAL CASE IF T IS SUCH THAT
TOP OF T = BOTTOM OF T = \emptyset

$$f(T) \in \text{Hom}(I, I) = C$$

Since Remark: $\text{Hom}(V, V) = C$ if V is simple \longrightarrow (GENERAL CRITERION)

(Exercise: why does it work so nicely...)

EXAMPLE

V = ~~vector space~~ e.v. coev: $I \rightarrow V$
 $\hookrightarrow \dim V$

WHAT'S THE? COEV: $C \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$
 $C \cong \sum_i C_i$

e.v.: $V \otimes V^* \rightarrow C$
 $\otimes L \rightarrow \langle \otimes L \rangle$

coev-coev: $I \rightarrow \sum_i \langle \otimes i \rangle = \sum_i I_i = \dim V$

IF x_1, \dots, x_m ARE SIMPLE OBJECT OF A, THEN WE DENOTE $d_i = \dim V_i$
CHIN: $d_i \neq 0$, $\sum d_i^2 = D^2 \neq 0$

Exercise

Derive from the theorem that

$$\dim(V \otimes W) = \dim V \cdot \dim W$$

PROOF: $\dim(V \otimes W) = \dim(\text{Hom}(W^*, V))$

$$\dim V = \dim V^*$$

$$\dim(V \otimes W) = (\dim V)(\dim W)$$

Constructive 3d TFTs from Spherical Categories

(70)

Turaev-Viro Theory

$$Z(\Sigma) : \text{functor } Z(\phi^{\perp}) \rightarrow Z(\phi^{\perp})$$

↪ closed 2d surface

2 Cob from $\phi \rightarrow \phi$ (that's why it's closed)

$Z : 3\text{-Cob} \rightarrow \text{Hn}$

obj. cat

L-MorP: BIMODULS CAT

Z-MorP: Functors

WHAT'S THE CATEGORY? →

$$Z(\Sigma) : Z(\phi^{\perp}) \rightarrow Z(\phi^{\perp})$$

Vec → Vec

The only functor we can → V → X ⊗ V
↓ take

∴ i.e. it's a vector space.

What are these vector spaces?




$$m = z$$

$Z(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{number } a$

$$Z(\Sigma) = \sum \prod \epsilon(x_1 \dots x_n)$$

columns
of edges

zeroless



TRIANGULATE

PUT IN EVERY EDGE
AN ELEMENT OF YOUR ALGEBRA



How to assign
number for this?

$x_i \in A$
 x^i DUAL TO x_i
 $(ab) = \epsilon(a,b)$

We want not a number but a vector space, how we do to our 3d TFT?

$$\star \quad n=3$$

$$Z(\Sigma, \Delta) \xrightarrow{\text{vec space}}$$

$$= \bigoplus_{\text{cobord edges}} \bigotimes_{\text{2-cells}} \text{Hom}(1, X_1 \otimes \dots \otimes X_m)$$


INSTEAD OF SUM, we take direct sum → \bigoplus

Product

Tensor Product
of vec. sp

$$\rightarrow \bigotimes$$

Let's color an edge by a surface object



surface
object
in the category A

Example

$$\underline{A = G - \text{Vect}}$$

Simple objects $\rightarrow X_g * g \quad g \in G$


$$X_g^* = X_{g^{-1}} \quad (\text{DUAL})$$

$$X_g * X_h = X_{gh} \quad (\text{HUSON product})$$

$$= \oplus \quad \otimes$$

2-cells

composing
goes
by elements
of G



Item either 0 or the same

$$X_g \otimes X_h = X_{gh}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & g_1 \dots g_m = 1 \\ 1, & g_1 \dots g_m \neq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \oplus \quad \mathbb{C}$$

COLOR
such that $g_i \cdot g_j = 1$

$g_1 \dots g_m = 1$
over BOUND.
OF every 2-cell

EXTENDED 3D TQFT

A - SPHERICAL FUSION CATEGORY

$$\text{S.S.}, \otimes, \amalg, V = \sqrt{F}$$

$Z_{\text{TV}}^A : 3\text{-Cob}_\text{ext}$


$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OBJECTS: MONOIDAL CAT} \\ \text{1-MORP: BIMODULE CAT} \\ \text{2-MOR: FUNCTORS} \\ \text{3-MOR: FUNCTIONAL MORPHISMS} \end{array} \right.$

- $Z_{\text{TV}}^A(\cdot) = A$
- $Z_{\text{TV}}^A(\rightarrow) = A$
- $Z(\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{COLOR} \\ \text{SURFACE}}} (\bigotimes_{\substack{\text{Z-COLORS}}} H_{\text{OM}}(\amalg, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m))$

First you colour all edges:



WHEN YOU HAVE IT FOR EVERY EDGE,
YOU DEFINE A VECTOR SPACE FOR EVERY EDGE.



$H(c, e) \xrightarrow{\downarrow \text{cell}}$ choice of color of edge

EXAMPLE:

$A = \text{Vec}^G \rightarrow G\text{-GRADED VECTOR SPACE}$

FOR THIS PARTICULAR vector:

$$\tilde{Z}(\Sigma) = \langle \{\text{oriented edge}\} \rangle \rightarrow G \mid \prod_{e_i} g_i = 1 \}$$

(ASSIGN A GROUP ELEMENT FOR EVERY ORIENTED EDGE SUCH
THAT THE PROD. OF ELEMENTS = 1)

(~NOTION OF FLAT CONNECTION)

=

ALL G-BUNDLES OVER Σ TOGETHER
WITH TRIVIALIZATION OF every vector
OF (ALL DECOMPOSITION).

EXTENDED 3D TQFT

A - SPHERICAL FUSION CATEGORY


$$\text{S.S.}, \otimes, \amalg, V = \sqrt{F}$$

$Z_{\text{TV}}^A : 3\text{-Cob}_\Sigma$


$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{OBJECTS: MONOIDAL CAT} \\ \text{1-MORP: BIMODULE CAT} \\ \text{2-MOR: FUNCTORS} \\ \text{3-MOR: FUNCTIONAL MORPHISMS} \end{array} \right.$

- $Z_{\text{TV}}^A(\cdot) = A$
- $Z_{\text{TV}}^A(\rightarrow) = A$
- $Z(\Sigma) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\text{COLOR} \\ \downarrow \text{SURFACE}}} (\bigotimes_{\text{Z-COLORS}} \text{HOM}(\amalg, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m))$

First you colour all edges:



WITH YOU HAVE IT FOR EVERY EDGE,
YOU DEFINE A VECTOR SPACE FOR EVERY EDGE.



$H(c, e) \xrightarrow{\downarrow \text{can}} \text{choice of color of edge}$

EXAMPLE:

$A = \text{Vec}^G \rightarrow G\text{-GRADED VECTOR SPACE}$

FOR THIS PARTICULAR vector:

$$\tilde{Z}(\Sigma) = \langle \{\text{ORIENTED EDGE}\} \rangle \rightarrow G \mid \prod_{e_i} g_i = 1 \}$$

(ASSIGN A GROUP ELEMENT FOR EVERY ORIENTED EDGE SUCH
THAT THE PROD. OF ELEMENTS = 1)

(~NOTION OF FLAT CONNECTION)

=

ALL G-BUNDLES OVER Σ TOGETHER
WITH TRIVIALIZATION OF every vector
OF (ALL DECOMPOSITION).

(they are still not invariant, they depend on cell-decomposition,
How to make it invariant?)

73

$Z(\mathcal{M}^3) =$ ① Choose the cell composition (e.g. triangulation) of \mathcal{M}^3 .

- ② Choose color of every edge.

$f: \{\text{oriented edges}\} \rightarrow \text{assign surface objects in } f$

$$\ell(\bar{e}) = \ell(e)^*$$

- ③ Choose for every 2-cells $e \in A$ a color.

$$\rho(c) \in H(c, \ell)$$

(color of 2-cell would be a vector in that space).

- #### ④ Define

$$Z(N^3) = \sum_{\text{color}} \sum_{\text{color}} \prod_{\text{3-colors}} Z(k, l, \phi)$$

→ THE MEANING OF THIS SUM:

CLOSED MANIFOLD \rightarrow every
 2-CELLS APPEAR TWICE, SO
 IN THE SUM, YOU NEED TO
 CHOOSE A COLOR:

$$\rho \in H(e, l) = Hom(\mathbb{1}, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)$$

$$\rho \in H(\bar{e}, l) = H_{\text{OM}}(1, v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_l)$$

How do you get the number
for 3d-cells ???

Plus some normalization factor depending on the choice of edges.

Example: Supposing the 3-cell $Z(k, l, \phi)$. We've chosen a color for every edge.



∴ 6 EDGES → 6 SIMPLE OBJECTS AND THEIR COMBOS
THE MAPPINGS


the ways to describe

$$\text{Hom}(V_i, V_i \otimes V_k \otimes V_l)$$

→ ~~between~~
~~All the~~
Morphing

Now we cook up a number. How ???

M
AKE A GRAPH SHOWING IT: CHOOSE THE DUAL GRAPH BY PUTTING THE DUAL IN EVERY FACE.



(GRAPH W/ 4 VERTICES, EACH VERTEX FROM A NUMBER, GRAPH ON THE SURFACE BOUNDARY OF THE 3-COLLS)



How do you cook up a number?

LET'S PUNCTURE THE SPHERE AT SOME POINT, GETTING:



IN EVERY VERTEX YOU HAVE A MORPHISM,

$$= \text{Hom} (V_i^*, V_i \otimes V_k)$$

THEN YOU TAKE THE DECOMPOSITION OF THIS PICTURE ...



GIVING YOU A NUMBER WHICH DOESN'T DEPEND ON HOW YOU'VE PUNCTURED IT.

(WHY DO THEY GIVE THE SAME ANSWER/NUMBERS?)

THE PICTURE CHANGES LIKE THIS:



(few RETRACTION \rightarrow 6j SYMBOL)

IF YOU COOK IN THE BOTTOM:



IF YOU COOK UP IN THE TOP



giving the ways to describe

$$\text{Hom} (V_i, V_i \otimes V_k \otimes V_l)$$

\rightarrow CERTAIN ALL 7-UP NUMBERS


Theorem

(TV - Burlet - Westbury)


$Z(M^3)$ IS AN INVARIANT OF CLOSED 3-MANIFOLDS
(IT DOESN'T DEPEND ON CELL DECOMPOSITION)

PROOF: Use PACHNER MOVES!

FROM TRIANGULATION ...





ANALOG IN 3d ...



Another Proof:

Use more general cells and the following moves:



(YOU WANT 2-CELL →
YOU CREATE THE EDGE)



FOR 3-MANIFOLD W/ BOUNDARY,
THE SAME AS BEFORE ALVES

$$M^3 : \partial M^3 = \partial_{in} M \sqcup \partial_{out} M$$

Theorem

Given cell decomposition of ∂M , the map

$$z(M) : \tilde{Z}(\partial_{in} M) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(\partial_{out} M)$$

doesn't depend on how we extend the cell decomposition to the interior.

Putting all together, in particular, given a surface Σ with a cell decomposition A , we have:



$$A = z(\Sigma \times I) : \tilde{Z}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(\Sigma)$$

IT IS NOT NECESSARY AN IDENTITY BUT IT'S A PROJECTION
($A^2 = A$)

$$A = z(\Sigma \times I) : \tilde{Z}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(\Sigma)$$

Theorem: Let $Z(\Sigma) = \text{Im}(A : \tilde{Z}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(\Sigma))$

Take the image of this projection then $Z(\Sigma)$ is independent of choice of cell decomposition and defines a TQFT.



After killing the kernel you apply the result of a killmer.

→ gives you a map (T.V. TQFT)

$$\tilde{Z}(\Sigma, A) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(\Sigma, \tilde{A})$$

(CLASSE OF G-BUNDLES)

DEFINITION: A 3-2-1 TQF IS A FUNCTOR

$$Z: \text{3-2-1 CUF} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

↑
Some symmetric
MONOIDAL weak 2-CAT.

THEOREM: Let A be a spherical fusion category, then Z_A^A can be naturally extended to a 3-2-1 TQFT.

For such extension, $Z_A^A(\emptyset) = Z(A) \xrightarrow{\text{DRINFELD}} \text{center of } A$

DRINFELD Center

MOTIVATION: center of an algebra

$$Z(A) = \{x \in A \mid xy = yx, \forall y \in A\}$$

Now: center of a category ("naive")

$$Z(A) = \{x \in \text{Obj } A \mid x \otimes y = y \otimes x, \forall y \in \text{Obj } A\}$$

⇒ You can't have EQUIVALENCE OF OBJECTS!

IT'S NOT INVARIANT over EQUALITIES!

correct DEFINITION: requires ISOMORPHISM (too big: you refine/FIX THE ISOMORPHISM)

$$Z(A) = \{(x, \{p_y\}) \mid p_y: x \otimes y \cong y \otimes x \quad \forall y \in \text{Obj } A\}$$

\downarrow
 $y \in \text{Obj } A$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x \otimes y_1 \otimes y_2 & \longrightarrow & y_1 \otimes y_2 \otimes x \\ & \searrow p_{y_1} & \nearrow p_{y_2} \\ & y_1 \otimes x \otimes y_2 & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x \otimes 1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & x \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_4 \\ & & x \otimes x \end{array}$$

SUCH THAT THE DIAGRAMS (1), (2) ARE COMMUTATIVE (AND SHOULD BE CATEGORICALLY)

Turaev-Viro TQFT


\mathcal{A} - SPHERICAL FUSION CATEGORY

$Z_{TV}^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathbf{3}\text{-Cob} \rightarrow \mathbf{3}$ category of all monoidal category

corner \hookrightarrow	CODIM	
0	$Z_{TV}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{M}^3) \in \mathbb{C}$	(last two)
1	$Z_{TV}^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{N}^2) \in \text{Vec}$	
2	$Z(\oplus) = \mathbb{A}_k, Z(\otimes)$	$Z(M : N_1 \rightarrow N_2) \in \text{Hom}(Z(N_1), Z(N_2))$
3		

Today: we define 3-2-1 theory (\mathbf{Cob})

Category: Obj = closed 1-fold $\overset{\circ}{\circ}$
 Morph = 2-dim cobord between closed



M : a 3d manifold with corners (i.e. locally looks like
 $\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$) \longrightarrow but not $(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^3$
 And such that $\partial M = (N_1 \sqcup N_2) \cup (X_1 \times I) \cup (X_2 \times I)$

Claim: This is a symmetric monoidal weak 2-cat wrt \sqcup .

Examples:

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A = \underline{\text{Vec } \mathbb{R}^D}$$

$$Z(A) = \{ (x, \varphi : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X) \}$$

(you have more "data" than vector space: you have v.s + isomorphism)

CLAIM: φ must be the obvious conmut. isomorphism $\varphi(x \otimes y) = y \otimes x$

sketch of proof: Any $y \in \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}$

so φ_y is complemently set by $\varphi_x : X \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \otimes X$
(no choice again)

But φ_x is given by

$$X \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong X \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes X$$

\therefore center is itself a vector space: $Z(A) = \underline{\text{Vec } \mathbb{R}^D}$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad A = \underline{\text{Vec } G} \quad - \text{ G-graded vector space with a finite group}$$

Simple objects: $x_g, g \in G$

$$x_g \otimes x_h = x_{gh}$$

$$X = \bigoplus_{n \in G} X_n$$

$$X \otimes x_g \cong X \cong x_g \otimes 1$$

(not the most general example)

$$X, \varphi_g : X \otimes x_g \xrightarrow{\sim} x_g \otimes X$$

$$X = \bigoplus X_n \otimes x_n$$

What do I need now?

$$X \otimes x_g = \bigoplus x_n \otimes x_{hg} = \bigoplus x_{h^{-1}} \otimes x_g$$

$$x_g \otimes X = \bigoplus x_n \otimes x_{gh} = \bigoplus x_{g^{-1}h} \otimes x_h$$

Final Answer: $Z(\text{Vec}(G)) = \{ V \text{-vector space with}$

a) G-grading, $V = \bigoplus_{n \in G} V_n$

Properties

- ① IT'S ITSELF ABELIAN CATEGORY S.S.
 $\therefore Z(A)$ IS S.S. ABELIAN CATE.

- ② IT'S ITSELF SPHERICAL FUSION CATEGORY

$$(x, \varphi) \otimes (x', \varphi') = (x \otimes x', \varphi \cdot \varphi' : x \otimes x' \otimes y \rightarrow y \otimes x \otimes x')$$

(SO IT HAS TENSOR PRODUCT, ASSOCIATIVITY, UNIVERSE OBJECT)

- ③ $Z(A)$ IS BRAIDED: IT HAS ISOMORPHISM

$$(x, \varphi) \otimes (x', \varphi') \cong (x', \varphi') \otimes (x, \varphi)$$

(TENSOR PROD. COMMUTATIVE!)

ANALOG: IF YOU WANT A CENTER, THE CENTER IS COMMUTATIVE.

\therefore CENTER OF CATEGORY IS COMMUT. IN THE PROPER SENSE (ISOM. LIE ALG.)

How to construct isomorphisms?

(05/02/11)

(81)

Turaev - Viro TQFT

RECALL: 3d extended theory (TV)

\mathcal{A} : SPHERICAL CATEGORIES

$$\text{Obj} = (X \otimes X \otimes \dots \xrightarrow{\sim} - \otimes X)$$

$$Z(\mathcal{A}) \text{ ITSELF FROM } \otimes, \quad X \otimes Y \cong Y \otimes X$$

Theorem: TV theory EXTENDS TO 3-2-1 theory, i.e. A FUNCTOR
 $Z: 3\text{-}2\text{-}1 \text{ Cob} \rightarrow \text{ABELIAN CATEGORIES} \rightsquigarrow \text{ZCAT}$

\rightsquigarrow

OBJ: ABELIAN CATEGORIES

1-MON: FUNCTORS

2-MON: MONP OF FUNCTORS

(THIS IS PART OF FULLY EXTENDED 3-2-1-O THEORY. THE
 EXTN. THEORY SATISFIES $Z(S^4) = Z(A)$)

Example: $A = G$ -GRADED VECTOR SPACES


$$Z(S^4) = Z(A) = \text{Rep}(D(G))$$

= CATEGORY OF VECTOR SPACES TOGETHER WITH
 ESTRUCTURES: G-GRADING AND ACTION OF G.

key step of proof:

$$\text{OLD} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Z(\Sigma) = \tilde{Z}(\Sigma) \\ = \text{some Rep}^{G\text{-grad}} \text{ in } (A, \tilde{Z}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \tilde{Z}(\Sigma)) \\ A = Z(\Sigma \times I) \\ \tilde{Z}(\Sigma, \Delta) = \bigoplus_{\text{cores}} \bigoplus_{\text{cores}} \text{Hom}(\Sigma, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n) \end{array} \right. \xrightarrow{\text{some Rep}^{G\text{-grad}} \text{ of triangulation}}$$

New



$\tilde{Z}(\Sigma, \Delta, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$
with boundary

(82)
we want something
 $Z(\Sigma) = Z(\alpha) \otimes Z(\beta) \dots$



How to construct
such ν, c ?
First we minimize

$$\therefore \tilde{Z}(\Sigma, \Delta, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) = \bigoplus_{\text{cells of } \Sigma} \otimes_{\Sigma-\text{cpts}} \text{Ham}(\Pi, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)$$

We need to add 1 more action: 

$$\otimes \text{Ham}(\gamma_1, V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_m)$$

Some Consequences

Recall: 2d TQFT \Leftrightarrow Comm. Frob. Alg.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{2d} \\ \longleftrightarrow \text{alg.} \end{array}$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad A \rightarrow C$$

IN 3-2-1 theories: we have a category $\mathcal{C} = Z(\mathbb{A})$

$$\text{2d}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$\textcircled{2}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$$

Claim: ANY 3-2-1 theory defines some structure on the category $\mathcal{C} = Z(\mathbb{A})$

$$\text{2d}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$\textcircled{1}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$$

$$\textcircled{3}$$

$$\text{Vec} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}$$

$$C \mapsto R = \bigoplus X_i \otimes Y_i$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$$

NAMELY, IT'S THE STRUCTURE OF MODULAR CATEGORIES. NEW INFORMATION? (83)

- \otimes IS ASSOCIATIVE, HAS UNIT, (i.e. \mathcal{C} HAS A MONOIDAL STRUCTURE)
- $\sigma: X \otimes Y \simeq Y \otimes X$ (ISOMORPHISM)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \otimes & Y \\ \diagdown & \text{twist} & \diagup \\ Y & = & X \otimes Y \\ \therefore \sigma^2 = 1 & & (\text{Y.B. eq.}) \end{array}$$

- \mathcal{C} HAS DUALITY FOR ANY $V \in \text{obj}$, $V^* \in \text{obj}$
- \mathcal{C} IS SEMI-SIMPLE, WITH FINITE NUMBER OF OBJECTS.

CONVERSELY, given S.S. ABELIAN CATEGORY \mathcal{C} WITH \otimes , BRAIDING AND DUALITY AND CERTAIN NON-DEGENERACY CONDITION, WE CAN CONSTRUCT 3-2-1 TQFT WITH $Z(\mathcal{C}^L) = \mathcal{C}$ (ROSTKIRCHEN-ZURSEK)

IN THE SPECIAL CASE OF T.V. THEORY, WE SEE THAT

$$P = Z(\mathcal{C}) \text{ HAC } \otimes \text{ BRAIDING} \dots$$

CLAIM THE \otimes REFINED BY IS THE USUAL
 $\otimes: (X, Y) \otimes (Y, Z) = (X \otimes Y \dots)$

AND THE BRAIDING IS GIVEN BY
 $X \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\sim} Y \otimes X$ IS GIVEN BY $\varphi: X \otimes - \simeq - \otimes X$

THUS, 3-2-1 T.V. THEORY ~~IS~~ REFINED USING SPHERICAL CATEGORY TO
 IS THE SAME AS WHAT WE GET BY USING ROSTKIRCHEN-ZURSEK
 THEOREM, APPLIED TO $\mathcal{C} = Z(\mathcal{A})$.

NOTE: THERE ARE 3-2-1 THEORIES WHERE $\mathcal{C} = Z(\mathcal{C}^L)$ IS NOT A
 BRUNFELD CENTER OF ANY SPH. CAT. \mathcal{A} .