

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7**  
**Working Group on Sensor Networks**

|                         |                                                |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Document Number:</b> | N027                                           |
| <b>Date:</b>            | 2010-03-17                                     |
| <b>Replace:</b>         |                                                |
| <b>Document Type:</b>   | Outgoing Liaison Statement                     |
| <b>Document Title:</b>  | Liaison statement from JTC 1/WG 7 to IEC/TC 65 |
| <b>Document Source:</b> | JTC 1/WG 7 London meeting                      |
| <b>Document Status:</b> | For your information.                          |
| <b>Action ID:</b>       | FYI                                            |
| <b>Due Date:</b>        |                                                |
| <b>No. of Pages:</b>    | 3                                              |

ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7 Convenor:

Dr. Yongjin Kim, Modacom Co., Ltd (Email: cap@modacom.co.kr)

ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7 Secretariat:

Ms. Jooran Lee, Korean Standards Association (Email: jooran@kisi.or.kr)

## **ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7 liaison response to IEC/TC 65**

JTC1 WG7 wants to express its thanks to TC65 for their efforts to assist with our work and recognises their level of commitment by sending a delegation of four members to the meeting of WG7 in London and the several written and verbal contributions.

Appropriate definitions of Sensor Networks and Control Networks are seen as a critical activity for both WG7 and TC65.

The discussions during the meeting were very good but did not reach a specific conclusion.

It was agreed by the meeting that this important topic requires more discussion within WG7 to provide a formal response to TC65. This work would be undertaken by an ad-hoc group with an objective of preparing a response to TC65 for discussion by WG7 at its next meeting (August 2010 in US).

The ad-hoc group within WG7 has been formed to further discuss this topic and reached the following initial conclusions during the London meeting.

- 1) We welcomed the input from TC65 as it helps us to clarify our scope of work and the differences between Control Networks and Sensor Networks
- 2) The original proposal that this differentiation should be made by removing mention of actuators from Sensor Network standards is not suitable
- 3) More time is required to clarify the differences but we note from the previous discussion:
  - Control networks :
    - Focus on safety/time critical systems
    - Are closed systems - configured to achieve a defined objective
    - Perform pre-defined functions
  - Sensor networks can be:
    - Can be open and provide flexible architectures
    - Supply data/information for multiple applications
    - Are designed to data from multiple sources and support data aggregation and data fusion

### **Specific responses to TC65 request for JTC1 WG7 to agree the following actions:**

- A. To maintain consistency with long established usage and avoid confusion in the industrial marketplace, please define the term "Sensor networks" to mean networks that contain sensors only.

**Response:** WG7 does not consider this to be an appropriate distinction between Sensor Networks and Control Networks as such a separation is not required in many implementations.

- B. Where appropriate, please maintain a clear distinction between “Sensor networks” and other networks such as “Control networks”, “Automation networks”, “Fieldbus networks”, and “SCADA networks”, all of which typically include actuators.’

**Response:** We wish to continue to work on this area but do not accept that the removal of the term actuator from the definitions of Sensor Networks will provide the required solution.

- C. As mentioned above, if a Sensor network wishes to interact with a Control network this can be done through an appropriate high level, interface. IEC TC65 will be interested to contribute to work by WG7 on the services and functions that a Control network should support as part of an external interface to a Sensor network.

**Response:** As part of agreeing the distinctions between a Sensor Network and a Control Network it is appropriate to define the linkage between the two systems. We would like to continue work with TC65 in this area.