

ISO/TC122 N **038**

Date: 2009-06-15

ISO/CD 11156

ISO/TC 122

Secretariat: JISC

## Packaging — Accessible Design — General

### Warning

This document is not an ISO International Standard. It is distributed for review and comment. It is subject to change without notice and may not be referred to as an International Standard.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Document type: International standard

Document subtype: if applicable

Document stage: (20) Preparatory

Document language: E

### Copyright notice

This ISO document is a working draft or committee draft and is copyright-protected by ISO. While the reproduction of working drafts or committee drafts in any form for use by participants in the ISO standards development process is permitted without prior permission from ISO, neither this document nor any extract from it may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form for any other purpose without prior written permission from ISO.

Requests for permission to reproduce this document for the purpose of selling it should be addressed as shown below or to ISO's member body in the country of the requester:

*Japanese Industrial Standards Committee (JISC):  
c/o Technical Regulation, Standards and Conformity Assessment Policy Unit  
Ministry of International Trade and Industry  
1-3-1, Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku Tokyo 100  
TEL: + 81 3 35 01 94 71  
FAX: + 81 3 35 80 86 37  
E-mail: [isojisc@meti.go.jp](mailto:isojisc@meti.go.jp)*

Reproduction for sales purposes may be subject to royalty payments or a licensing agreement.

Violators may be prosecuted.

# Contents

Page

Foreword .....	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope .....	1
2 Normative references .....	1
3 Terms and definitions .....	1
4 Main aspects for accessible design for packaging .....	2
4.1 Information and marking .....	2
4.1.1 Contents .....	2
4.1.2 Identification .....	2
4.1.3 Openings .....	3
4.2 Handling and Manipulation .....	3
4.2.1 Portability .....	3
4.2.2 Ease of opening and re-closing .....	3
4.2.3 Taking out the contents .....	4
4.2.4 Storage and stability .....	4
4.2.5 Separation and disposal .....	4
4.3 Evaluation of accessible design for packaging .....	5
4.3.1 General approach .....	5
5 Packaging of harmful contents.....	6
5.1 Markings.....	6
5.1.1 Accidental misuse .....	6
5.1.2 Potentially harmful contents .....	6
5.2 Design of containers to avoid danger and damage.....	6
Annex A (informative) Examples of accessible packaging design .....	7
A.1 Examples of content identification.....	7
A.2 Examples of clear indication of opening position .....	8
A.3 Example of ease of handling.....	9
A.4 Example of ease of opening.....	9
A.5 Example of ease of measuring and taking out.....	10
A.6 Example of ease of separation and disposal .....	10
A.7 Examples of Danger and harm marking.....	11
Bibliography.....	13

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 11156 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 122, Packaging.

## Introduction

The accessible design of packages is a worldwide matter of concern because it allows everybody to use them safely, comfortably, and with satisfaction, irrespective of age, perceptual and cognitive ability, level of physical functioning, language, and culture. The present standard is designed to serve as a guideline for increasing accessibility in designing packages and packaged products.

Our aging population goes beyond specific countries to be a global trend. This aging leads to a relative increase in those with reduced ability and function using packages. As a result, around the globe packaged products will be used by increasingly frail individuals. Building a social infrastructure to provide rights to the disabled, which is adopted by the United Nations, is a worldwide issue [1].

Economic globalization results in the circulation of packages across borders, causing problems due to differences in language and culture.

The present standard complies with the ISO/IEC Guide 71, *Guidelines for standards developers to address the needs of older persons and persons with disabilities*, and ISO/TR 22411, *Ergonomics data and guidelines for the application of ISO/IEC Guide 71 to products and services to address the needs of older persons and persons with disabilities*. [2] Following ISO/IEC Guide 71, *Guidelines for standards developers to address the needs of older persons and persons with disabilities*, this standard is designed to serve as a series of considerations to enhance accessibility of packaged products.



# Packaging — Accessible design — General

## 1 Scope

The present standard provides framework for designing and evaluating packages so that more people, including persons from different cultural and linguistic backgrounds, older persons and persons whose sensory, physical, and cognitive functions are weakened or have allergies, can appropriately identify and use the contents. It considers varying aspects of the packaged product lifecycle from identification of the product and purchase and use of the product to the separation and disposal of the package.

The present standard does not state the dimensions, materials, manufacturing methods, or evaluation methods of individual packages. They are specified in separate individual standards.

## 2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC Guide 71, *Guidelines for standards developers to address the needs of older persons and persons with disabilities*

ISO/IEC 19762 (all parts), *Information technology — Automatic identification and data capture (AIDC) techniques — Harmonized vocabulary*

ISO 21067, *Packaging — Vocabulary*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions of ISO 21067 and ISO/IEC 19762 apply in addition to the following.

### 3.1

#### **accessible design**

design focussed on principles of extending standard design to people with some type of performance limitation to maximize the number of potential customers who can readily use a product, building or service

### 3.2

#### **alternative format**

different presentation which may make products and services accessible by the use of another mobility or sensory ability

## 4 Main aspects for accessible design for packaging

### 4.1 Information and marking

#### 4.1.1 Contents

The following factors shall be considered in making the content information and markings accessible.

##### 4.1.1.1 Characters

Characters shall be legible considering appropriate combinations of size, font, contrast, and colour among other aspects for good visibility.

NOTE Important information written in large characters with good contrast increases accessibility for those with limited vision.

##### 4.1.1.2 Braille and tactile cues

Providing information in Braille or with tactile cues shall be perceptible and understandable to make the packaged product more accessible for all people including persons with visual disabilities.

EXAMPLE The use of Braille for pharmaceutical products (Directive 2004/27/EC) [3]

NOTE 1 There are many non-Braille readers with visual disabilities.

NOTE 2 For the tactile cues to be effective, the appropriate information should take into account of the use of symbol/pictogram, as well as the form of language to be used (i.e. size, proportion etc.). Social and cultural background should also be taken into account to make this standard acceptable and used internationally and to benefit the elderly and disabled, as well as to apply for all types of packaging.

##### 4.1.1.3 Providing information through alternative formats

When there is information printed on the package but not accessible, it is recommended that the information be delivered by way of alternative formats.

EXAMPLE Use ICT (Information and Communication Technology) based formats to provide information on ingredients and/or substances that might cause allergic reactions. [4]

##### 4.1.1.4 Position to indicate information

The essential information for safe and effective use of a product shall be indicated in a conspicuous place that will not be destroyed when the package or container is opened.

EXAMPLE Ingredients, instructions for use, and expiration dates and warnings, etc.

NOTE Indicated the essential information on each portion package when a product is packed in separate portions.

### 4.1.2 Identification

#### 4.1.2.1 By colour

Identification by colour is useful for distinguishing packages of the same shape. Selecting colours discernible by those who may have some colour defects shall be considered.



#### 4.1.2.2 By Braille and other tactile cues

Braille and other tactile cues including raised characters, symbols, and notches shall be considered, as these are effective for identifying packages with the same shape.

NOTE A cut indicating the opening of a package or container helps locate the opening.

#### 4.1.2.3 Unique shape of packages

A package with a unique shape shall be considered as this can be identified both by touch and sight.

#### 4.1.2.4 For easily misidentified products

Concise identification is crucial for safe and effective use of the packaged products. Where misidentification risks exist, packages shall have conspicuous markings identifying its contents.

### 4.1.3 Openings

#### 4.1.3.1 Opening position

The position of the opening shall be conspicuous and have a shape and other characteristics that can be identified instinctively.

EXAMPLE 1 The opening position which has a different colour or contrast from the surrounding area

EXAMPLE 2 A cut-out to indicate the opening position

#### 4.1.3.2 Opening methods

The opening method / mechanism shall be clearly marked either written or as a graphic illustration or combination thereof.

## 4.2 Handling and Manipulation

### 4.2.1 Portability

Packaged products should be easy to carry, taking into account appropriate size, shape, weight, frictional properties, and stability (center of gravity, balance, and stiffness).

### 4.2.2 Ease of opening and re-closing

#### 4.2.2.1 Ease of opening

Packages shall be designed so that they can be opened smoothly, irrespective of the size or power of the hands.

EXAMPLE Packages with finger grips or slip stoppers or be made of a material that can be easily cut straight.

#### 4.2.2.2 Ease of re-closing

Re-closable packages shall have a structure firm enough to ensure reliable re-closing to prevent leaking.

EXAMPLE A re-closable package having a touch or auditory (e.g. click) mechanism to confirm that the opening has been resealed normally.

### **4.2.3 Taking out the contents**

#### **4.2.3.1 Ease of taking out the appropriate quantity**

Packages shall have a mechanism to weigh out or take out an appropriate controlled quantity of the contents to prevent too much of the contents from leaving the package or container.

#### **4.2.3.2 Ease of content removal**

Packages shall be designed to prevent splashing or spilling in use; users have access to all of the contents.

### **4.2.4 Storage and stability**

#### **4.2.4.1 Efficiency**

Packages shall be designed for easy and efficient storage and be stable during storage.

#### **4.2.4.2 Visibility**

Packages shall be designed so that product names, expiration dates, and essential information are easily recognized during storage.

#### **4.2.4.3 Quality assurance**

Packages shall be designed so that the quality of the contents will be maintained in both use and storage environments.

### **4.2.5 Separation and disposal**

#### **4.2.5.1 Ease of separating**

Packages should be designed and marked with the appropriate material type and allow easy separation, by the consumer, for disposal.

#### **4.2.5.2 Ease of disposal**

Packages shall be designed in such a way that users perceive, understand, and are capable of disposing of the empty package easily and safely facilitating a variety of end of life scenarios.

**EXAMPLE** Paper boxes that can be easily folded, tubes that can be easily collapsed, or plastic bottles that can be easily crushed.

#### **4.2.5.3 Safety**

Packages shall be designed to protect safety and prevent danger during and after separation and disposal.

## 4.3 Evaluation of accessible design for packaging

### 4.3.1 General approach


In order to provide the richest information for those designing packaging and the best solutions for people of all abilities, it is crucial that those evaluating packages understand the complex nuances of the interface between users and packages. A common model of information processing (Rousseau *et al.*, 1998 ; Rogers *et al.*, 2000) explains the steps that consumers must negotiate when using a packaged product.[5] This model comprises four stages:

1. Notice: the user's attention is directed to a package feature so that information is brought in through the five perceptual systems (vision, hearing, touch, smell, and taste).
2. Encode: the external information is transformed into an internal representation.
3. Comprehend: the user must understand the meaning of the encoded information.
4. Comply: the user acts in an appropriate fashion and the design enables success.

Success or failure in navigating each of the aforementioned stages is influenced by four factors (adapted from Norris *et al.*, 1999) [6]:

1. The user: their perceptual, cognitive, physical and psychological characteristics.
2. The package: the graphic and structural characteristics of the packaged product.
3. The task: the nature of the activity and the user's goals (e.g. this needs to be moved, stored, used, disposed of, etc.).
4. The context of use: the physical and social conditions under which the package is being used (e.g. a store, a home during the middle of the night, etc.) .

As mentioned, success or failure of the steps is determined by the combined effect of these four factors (see Figure 1).



**Figure 1**

As such, evaluations of package accessibility should consider that failures can occur on a perceptual, cognitive, or physical level, and that a variety of factors will ultimately influence successes or failures in use. Evaluations should carefully consider the factors so that they deliver results that are reproducible, repeatable and realistic.

By understanding the mode of failure, a more effective strategy for corrective action on poor designs can be taken. Consider, for instance, a package with a novel opening feature that cannot be opened by a large number of consumers. The failure could be the result of consumers not noticing the opening instructions. It could also be the result of failing to notice the opening feature. Perhaps the consumer noticed instructions, but could not decipher them because of insufficient contrast or text size. However, it might be that the consumers was able to decipher the instructions but failed to understand the mechanism for opening even after they had seen them. Beyond that, it could be that they were physically unable to perform the task. The design solution for each failure will likely be different so it is important to understand where in the four steps the design is failing. [7]

## 5 Packaging of harmful contents

### 5.1 Markings

#### 5.1.1 Accidental misuse

Packages of products that may pose a danger because of potential misuse or accidental ingestion of contents shall have danger markings in the most conspicuous places. Such danger markings should also be identifiable by alternative formats.

EXAMPLE 1 A package containing a chlorine cleaning agent indicating that mixing its content with an acid cleaning agent will produce a dangerous chlorine gas, also warning not to mix the two agents.

EXAMPLE 2 Tactile discrimination marking methods for packages are specified in ISO 11683, *Packaging--Tactile warnings of danger--Requirements* [8].

#### 5.1.2 Potentially harmful contents

Packages of products that may cause harm shall conspicuously identify the harmful substance(s). Such information on harmful substances should also be provided by alternative formats.

EXAMPLE 1 Marking of an alcoholic beverage

EXAMPLE 2 Marking of containing-an allergen

EXAMPLE 3 Tactile discrimination marking methods for packages are specified in ISO 11683, *Packaging--Tactile warnings of danger--Requirements* [8].

### 5.2 Design of containers to avoid danger and damage


Package structure shall be designed to prevent danger and damage to minimize operational error.

EXAMPLE A container of an aerosol product designed so that the direction of use is intuitive to a variety of users.

## Annex A (informative)


### Examples of accessible packaging design

#### A.1 Examples of content identification



**NOTE** With or without a notch will help consumers differentiate milk from juice (or some other products) packed in packages of the same/similar shape and stored close to each other.

**Figure A.1 – Addition of a notch on the top**



**NOTE** Tactile cues applied on the top and the side will help consumers differentiate shampoo from conditioner (or some other products) packed in packages of the same/similar shape and stored close to each other.


**Figure A.2 – Addition of a tactile cues on the bottle**




NOTE Those who cannot read Braille can identify the content.

**Figure A.3 – Raised characters**

## A.2 Examples of clear indication of opening position



**Figure A.4 – U-shaped notch to indicate opening position**



**Figure A.5 – Clear identification for opening position**

### A.3 Example of ease of handling





Figure A.6 – Pinched waist plastic bottle with dent(s)

### A.4 Example of ease of opening



NOTE Pushing the top once makes the lid open.

Figure A.7 – Easy-to-open plastic container

## A.5 Example of ease of measuring and taking out




Figure A.8 – Cap with a measuring spout

## A.6 Example of ease of separation and disposal




Figure A.9 – Easy-to-peel label




## A.7 Examples of Danger and harm marking



NOTE Braille for Alcohol indicates that this is alcoholic drink.

**Figure A.10 – Tactile cue or symbol**



**Figure A.11 – Clear indication of the content (Liquor)**



NOTE "DO NOT MIX" message will inform consumer of danger caused by chlorine-based detergent with acidic detergent.

**Figure A.12 – Clear indication of danger**

## Bibliography

- [1] Declaration on the Rights of Disabled Persons
- [2] ISO/TR 22411, *Ergonomics data and guidelines for the application of ISO/IEC Guide 71 to products and services to address the needs of older persons and persons with disabilities*
- [3] Directive 2004/27/EC of the European parliament and of the council of 31 March 2004 amending Directive 2001/83/EC on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use
- [4] ISO 17366, *Supply chain applications of RFID – Product packaging* and ISO 17367, *Supply chain applications of RFID – Product tagging*
- [5] Rogers WA, Lamson N, and Rousseau GK (2000). "Warning research: An integrative perspective." *Human Factors* 42(1): 102-139.
- [6] Norris BJ, and Wilson JR (1999). "Ergonomics and safety in consumer product design" in "Human factors in product design: current practice and future trends". W.S. Green and P.W. Jordan. London, United Kingdom, Taylor & Francis: 73-84.
- [7] Norris BJ, and Wilson JR (1997). "Designing safety into products: making ergonomics evaluation a part of the design process". London, United Kingdom, Department of Trade and Industry.
- [8] ISO 11683, *Packaging - Tactile warnings of danger - Requirements*