

**ISO/IEC JTC 1
Information Technology**

Document Type: National Body Contribution

Document Title: National Body of the United States Comments on JTC 1 N 9439, Draft JTC 1 Supplement to the ISO/IEC Directives

Document Source: National Body of the United States

Reference: This is document is circulated to National Bodies for review and consideration. It will be discussed at the February 2009 JTC 1 SWG-D meeting in Delft, Netherlands under agenda item 6.

Action ID: ACT

Due Date:

No. of Pages: 7

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
US	Forward	Second major paragraph	te	Insert note that JTC 1 also has a Supplement now.	SWG-D might propose this item to ITTF.	
US	1.12		te	This appears to apply only to IEC, and thus it should be in that IEC Supplement. If the material remains, it might be good to put "(IEC Only)" in the heading to make this clear; the same comment will apply to other JTC 1 Supplement material which consists of whole additional sections which apply only to JTC 1.	SWG-D might propose this item to ITTF.	
US	1.6.1		te	The JTC 1 addition in the second paragraph shows that only a [simple] majority decision is needed to establish or dissolve a SC, apparently replacing the first part of the first sentence in 1.6.1; what is not clear is whether the second part of the first sentence, "TMB ratification", is also needed. This may be just a question of how to interpret the Supplement addition in the context of 1.6.1.	Either clarify that the JTC 1 text replaces just the first part of the first sentence in 1.6.1, or make the JTC 1 text complete.	
US	1.6.4		te	The JTC 1 Supplement material seems to replace the text in 1.6.4 – this is an example of where it would be useful to see exactly what is meant by the use of the Supplement text. A similar example is in 1.8.1, where parallelism is needed between the ISO Supplement and the JTC 1 Supplement.		
US	1.10	Second paragraph	te	The guidance for experts with English and French mother tongue is not practiced in JTC 1 – so it should be noted or corrected.	Delete the guidance.	
US	1.11.4		te	When the revision to Annex H as a Standing Document is completed, it should be cited as an additional reference for distribution of documents within JTC 1.		
US	1.17.3.1		te	It would be useful to add "and Category D is not used in JTC 1" to the footnote.		
US	1.17.3.5		te	The addition of JTC 1 material under this section "Rights and obligations" seems awkward – the first part discusses the general application process (which relates to 1.17.1);		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				the distinction between technical and formal liaisons doesn't appear to apply to this section (there is some mention of "formal liaison" in B.4.1, between ISO and IEC TCs, but it is not clear how this applies to JTC 1); the material on Category C might be better positioned immediately after 1.17.3; and the final material on ITU T liaisons ought to be in a separately numbered section.		
US	2.1.3.3	Second paragraph	te	It would be simpler to say that JTC 1 also uses the ISO Supplement Annex SI.		
US	2.1.6		te	JTC 1 definitions of Default/Accelerated/Extended Timeframes should be in a table (and remove the editor's note about ANSI slides – or use a way to distinguish such editor's notes).		
US	2.4.5.1		ed	The JTC 1 Supplement uses "Stage 3" instead of "Committee Stage", and the base ISO/IEC text doesn't use numbered stages. It would be more readable to follow the ISO/IEC style. (and elsewhere for all "Stage n" references)		
US	2.9		te	All of this section needs close review: i. The title is "Maintenance of Standards" yet Fast Track and PAS-originated IS are not discussed. Casual readers will get confused about "SC responsible for the development of a document", as this is not exactly true in JTC 1 PAS submissions, for example. ii. If whole new sections are added, such as 2.9.1, it would help to put "(JTC 1 Only)" as part of the header. iii. Confirm terminology: "Maintenance" seems to include Revision, Withdrawal, Periodic Review and Stabilization – and does not include Technical Corrigenda or Amendment (judging from the title of 2.10). That may not be obvious to many readers. iv. 2.10.6 (JTC 1 Only) discussed Amendments, yet 2.10.3 in the base document discusses Amendments. Possibly the JTC 1 material is in the		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>wrong place.</p> <p>v. Need to correlate 2.10.5 (JTC 1 Only – Correction of Defects) with 2.9.1 (Revision) and 2.10.3 (Amendment).</p> <p>vi. The JTC 1 Directives includes the concept of a “Maintenance Group” when discussing the maintenance of Fast Track and PAS submitted standards – this is not discussed anywhere in N 9439. It may be appropriate to expand the “SC is responsible for maintenance” statements to include this concept.</p> <p>vii. The appropriate Annexes for Fast Track and PAS should be referenced for maintenance of standards arising through these processes.</p>		
US	2.10.6		te	Reference is made to JTC 1 Forms; how these are resolved with the use of ISO/IEC Forms (on the ISO/IEC website) needs attention. (also a general comment on the document)		
US	3.2		te	Does not clearly say that the JTC 1 PAS Transposition Process is very different than the ISO/IEC PAS document distribution process.	In place of the added Supplement text in this section, use: “This section 3.2 does not apply to JTC 1; JTC 1 has a separate process to transpose PAS submissions into ISO/IEC International Standards as described in Annex F.3.”	
US	3.3.2	End of section	ed	The Editor’s note about a comparison table needs resolution.		
US	4.3			The JTC 1 material on Languages inserted following 4.4 should be coordinated with section 4.3 (and Annex E).		
US	4.4		te	JTC 1 material inserted here obviously deals with more than “Cancellation of Meetings”, so appropriate headings and numbers are needed (as done earlier for the Maintenance material), or these items distributed to the relevant section of the ISO/IEC Base Document.	Reorganize with appropriate headings and numbering.	
US	A, B			Some material is in regular color font (not yellow), indicating it is JTC 1-specific material... when in fact it is	Correct the font colors.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				not JTC 1-specific but ISO/IEC base material.		
US	F.1		te	As JTC 1 Fast-Track will now generally follow the ISO/IEC Fast-Track process stages, this chart becomes more useful – and the JTC 1 “PAS” process needs to be recognized.	Replace the ISO/IEC “PAS” column on the right with one which represents the JTC 1 PAS Transposition Process.	
US	F.2.1.1	Second paragraph	te	It would be good to have a cross reference to the “PAS explanatory report” (presumably in the Standing Document covering the PAS Transposition Process).		
US	F.2.4	Second paragraph	te	The additional JTC 1 material should replace the first sentence of F.2.4 (in that the approved enquiry draft does not move immediately to the approval stage, but there is potentially a BRM sub-stage). Likewise, at the end of the JTC 1 material here, there should be an instruction that following distribution of the BRM Comments and the Revised Enquiry Draft, processing then moves to the Approval Stage (or Publication Stage, if there were no negative votes received during the Enquiry Stage voting).	Provide clarity on how the BRM activities begin and end with respect to the base ISO/IEC Fast Track processes.	
US	F.2.4	fourth item in the bulleted list	te	Does not indicate that NBs and the Submitter should be notified of the BRM	Modify to say “Notify the SC NBs, JTC 1 NBs and the Fast Track Submitter of the Ballot Resolution Meeting...” to be consistent with later material.	
US	F.2.4	second to last paragraph	te	It would be useful to note, for JTC 1 purposes, whether the submitting liaison organization or NB has any role to play in TC/SC decisions on a failed Fast Track (make this apply to the DIS failure as well, as the current text suggests there is no role for the Submitter in this case).		
US	F.3 (new)		te	Material covering the JTC 1 PAS Transposition Process was omitted from this draft.	Attached is a draft Annex F.3, which includes the material found today in JTC 1 Directives Section 14. Further changes will be needed to make this material consistent with the new Supplement.	
US	AA		ed	Duplicate copies of Annex AA are in this draft. Annex AA not referenced anywhere in the base document (in JTC 1 additions).	Annex AA could be linked into Section 4. Remove extra copies of Annex AA.	
US	AB		ed	Annex AB not referenced anywhere in the base document	Annex AB could be linked into Section 2.	

¹ **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				(in JTC 1 additions).		
US	AB	Document Distribution	ed	It should be coordinated with the JTC 1 Standing Document which is replacing Annex H, as there is significant overlap of material		
US	AC		ed	Annex AC not referenced anywhere in the base document (in JTC 1 additions).	Annex AC could be linked into Section 2.	
US	Annex M (previous)		te	The PAS Management Guide material previously found in JTC 1 Directives Annex M is not in this document.	Attached is a draft Standing Document containing this Annex M material for further discussion. Further changes will be needed to make this material consistent with the new Supplement.	
US	All		ed	Supplement Format. In many places in N9439, it is not clear whether the JTC 1 Directives text is being *added* to the section, or is meant to *replace* words/phrases in the section. It will be quite important for NB voting to understand how the separate documents are integrated.		
US	All		ed	Cross references to section numbers in today's JTC 1 Directives exist in N9439, and in many cases it is not clear how they will be resolved to appropriate sections in either ISO/IEC Directives Part 1 or the JTC 1 Supplement. The numbering of subsections, treatment of groups, restarting of list numbers, etc. all need attention. The same applies to references to Annex numbers.		
US	All		ed	Adding line numbers to the draft text (with PDF format distribution) would greatly enhance reviewing/commenting.		
US	All		ed	Displaying the base ISO/IEC text in yellow makes it difficult to read on B&W printers and some displays; a scheme which might have put boxed borders around the JTC 1 additions or some other more manifest distinction would have helped. For clarity at this stage of the draft document, it would be useful to insert clear integration instructions with each item in the supplement, such as "This section 3.2 is replaced by the following text" or "The		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				first sentence in the preceding paragraph is amended to read:".		
US	All		ed	Make consistent use of "P-Member" vs. "P Member".		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.