

Telecommunications and Information Exchange Between Systems

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6

Document Number:	N13875
Date:	2009-02-27
Replaces:	
Document Type:	National Body Contribution
Document Title:	UK's Comments on 6N13785 Text for DCOR ballot, Draft Technical Corrigendum 1 to ISO/IEC 16512-2:2008 (X.603.1)
Document Source:	National Body of UK
Project Number:	
Document Status:	NB of UK expressed its vote on 6N13785 from approval to disapproval with comments. The UK comments are attached.
Action ID:	FYI
Due Date:	
No. of Pages:	4
ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 Secretariat Ms. Jooran Lee, KSA (on behalf of KATS) Korea Technology Center #701-7 Yeoksam-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-513, Republic of Korea ; Telephone: +82 2 6009 4808 ; Facsimile: +82 2 6009 4819 ; Email : jooran@kisi.or.kr	

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: February 2009	Document: N13875
----------------------------	-------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB 0	----		----	<u>UK vote of disapproval</u> The UK submits a vote of disapproval based on the absence of a code value for the TREE control in Table 2 ter (see comment GB 10). Addition of a suitable code will change the UK vote to one of approval.		
GB 1	DCor 1		ge	<u>Table numbering</u> The Draft Corrigendum contains two additional tables, numbered Table 2 bis and 2 ter. The original intention behind this numbering was that the table could be added without disturbing subsequent table numbers in the standard. The UK NB now notes that the use of the suffixes bis and ter unlikely to be acceptable and that the tables will require integer-only numbers. The UK NB also notes that the anticipated PDAM 2 to ISO/IEC 16512-2 contains additional changes to the table numbering that will also affect subsequent table numbers, including those in Amendment 1. The UK NB suggests that the table numbering for ISO/IEC 16512-2, its Draft Corrigendum and Draft Amendments 1 and 2 is considered at the ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6 meeting in Tokyo, June 2009 with a view to obtaining consistent numbering across all of these documents.		
GB 2	----		ge	The following comments refer to Tables 2 bis and 2 ter. These numbers should be retained until the overall table numbering is decided at the SC 6 meeting in June.		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: February 2009	Document: N13875
----------------------------	-------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB 3	8.3.1	Table 2 bis	ed	Change title to Table 2 bis	Table 2 bis – Node type code values for basic RMCP-2.	
GB 4	8.3.1	Table 2 bis	ed	Change heading to Column 2 of Table 2 bis	Code value (4-bits)	
GB 5	8.3.1	Table 2 bis	ed	Add text to 8.3.1 referencing Table 2 bis	<i>Add the following text in 8.3.1:</i> Table 2 bis lists the node types (NT) and their 4-bit code values for the basic RMCP-2 protocol.	
GB 6	8.3.1	Table 2 bis	ed	Add a note to Table 2 bis to explain why it is necessary to indicate why the title to the table refers specifically to basic RMCP-2	<i>Add the following note:</i> Note. The MA node type does not apply to secure RMCP-2 messages which use the additional node types DMA and RMA. The codes for RMCP-2 node types are listed in Table XX. <i>Table XX is currently listed in FPDAM 1 as Table 4. This will be modified by the revised table numbering.</i>	
GB 7	8.3.1	Table 2 ter	ed	Change title to Table 2 ter. 8-bit codes are normal for these control codes and it is not necessary to draw attention to this in the table title. The 8-bit size is contained in the text in comment GB 9.	Table 2 ter – Control data type code values for basic RMCP-2.	
GB 8	8.3.1	Table 2 ter	ed	Change heading to Column 2 of Table 2 ter	Code value	
GB 9	8.3.1	Table 2 ter	ed	Add text to 8.3.1 referencing Table 2 ter	<i>Add the following text in 8.3.1:</i> Table 2 ter lists the control data types and their 8-bit code values for the RMCP-2 protocol.	
GB 10	8.3.1	Table 2 ter	te	Table 2 ter does not include the code value for the TREE control data type	<i>Add a new row in Table 2 ter:</i> TREE 0x0C	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: **February 2009**

Document: **N13875**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB 11	8.3.1	Tables 2, 2 bis and 2 ter	ed	<u>Ordering of tables</u> When the allocation of Table numbers has been decided, the tables should be numbered in the following order: Table 2 bis Node types Table 2 Messages Table 2 ter Control types.		

¹ **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.