

**ISO/IEC JTC 1
Information Technology**

Document Type: Summary of Voting/Table of Replies

Document Title: Summary of Voting on JTC 1 N 8993, Letter Ballot on Proposed Revised Clause 7.7.2 of the ISO/IEC JTC 1 Directives

Document Source: JTC 1 Secretariat

Reference: As per the results of this ballot, these changes have been approved. The SWG-Directives will consider the comments received at its July 2008 meeting in Mt. Tremblant, Canada.

Action ID: FYI

Due Date:

No. of Pages: 4

Result of voting

Ballot Information:

Ballot reference:	JTC001-N-8993
Ballot type:	CIB
Ballot title:	Letter Ballot on Proposed Revised Clause 7.7.2 of the ISO/IEC JTC 1 Directives
Opening date:	2008-03-20
Closing date:	2008-05-20
Note:	In accordance with Recommendation 1 from the March 2008 SWG-Directives meeting in London, this document is circulated to JTC 1 National Bodies for a sixty day letter ballot. Please return your vote via the online balloting system by the due date indicated.

Member responses:

Votes cast (26)	Australia (SA) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) Turkey (TSE) United Kingdom (BSI) Uruguay (UNIT) USA (ANSI)
------------------------	--

Comments submitted (1)	Ukraine (DSSU)
Votes not cast (14)	Algeria (IANOR) Belgium (NBN) Côte-d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Ecuador (INEN) Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI) Ireland (NSAI) Jamaica (BSJ) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Pakistan (PSQCA) Philippines (BPS) Saudi Arabia (SASO) Slovenia (SIST) Venezuela (FONDONORMA)

Questions:	
Q.1	"Does your National Body approve the changes to section 7.7.2 of the ISO/IEC JTC 1 Directives as contained in JTC 1 N 8993?"

Answers to Q.1: "Does your National Body approve the changes to section 7.7.2 of the ISO/IEC JTC 1 Directives as contained in JTC 1 N 8993?"		
16 x	Yes	Australia (SA) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) South Africa (SABS) Turkey (TSE) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
16 x	Yes	Australia (SA) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) South Africa (SABS)

		Turkey (TSE) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
9 x	Abstain	Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) India (BIS) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Malta (MSA) Singapore (SPRING SG) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) Uruguay (UNIT)
1 x	No	Canada (SCC)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Canada (SCC)	Comment	2008-04-28 13:49:58
<p>The last part of the sentence reads "....if a Chairman or Convener is prevented from attending, he shall delegate the Secretary to represent the Chairman or Convener." It is assumed that this refers to the "Secretary" of the effected SC or WG, but it could also be interpreted to mean the "Secretary of the next higher group. It would be helpful if it could be clarified exactly which Secretary is being referred to.</p> <p>Note: we support with commentshowever this option is not available.</p>		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Ukraine (DSSU)	Comment	2008-05-19 10:54:24
abstain		