

**Telecommunications and Information Exchange Between Systems**

**ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6**

<b>Document Number:</b>	N13970
<b>Date:</b>	2009-05-22
<b>Replaces:</b>	
<b>Document Type:</b>	National Body Contribution
<b>Document Title:</b>	NB of China's Comments on 6N13938 Liaison statement from IEEE 802 LMSC to JTC 1/SC 6/WG 1 on the response to Liaison Letter 6N13770
<b>Document Source:</b>	National Body of China
<b>Project Number:</b>	
<b>Document Status:</b>	For consideration at the SC 6/WG 1 Tokyo meeting.
<b>Action ID:</b>	FYI
<b>Due Date:</b>	
<b>No. of Pages:</b>	2
ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 Secretariat Ms. Jooran Lee, KSA (on behalf of KATS) Korea Technology Center #701-7 Yeoksam-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-513, Republic of Korea ; Telephone: +82 2 6009 4808 ; Facsimile: +82 2 6009 4819 ; Email : <a href="mailto:jooran@kisi.or.kr">jooran@kisi.or.kr</a>	

# **Comments on IEEE's Reply PSDO-FDIS and A-Liaison Matters**

China National Body  
May 8, 2009

After review of the liaison statement from IEEE 802 LMSC to ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 contained in document 6N13938, China National Body would like to provide these comments:

1. We regret to see that IEEE has rejected SC6's request to use the standard DIS fast track processing of IEEE standards in SC6. IEEE insisted on using the FDIS procedure on the ground that it has been provided by the PSDO agreement and that both IEEE 802 and SC6 have obligations to follow the agreement made by parental organizations.
2. However, the SC6 national bodies have indicated that there are legitimate concerns regarding the FDIS clause in the PSDO agreement. This is one of the reasons that the PSDO is not mature and operable to be used in SC6 field. Therefore, we do not believe that SC6 should enforce the PSDO agreement in its current form.
3. China National Body will provide an example to illustrate why the FDIS fast track should not be used or enforced.
4. If these and other concerns are not resolved by the time of revision of PSDO (which may start in the second half of 2009), we urge SC6 to opt out of the future PSDO agreement and pursue normal procedures such as the A-liaison or PAS agreement in similar format such as ECMA.
5. We firmly believe that the technical comments in DIS fast track have significant values and should not be replaced by comments in other forms or in other stages.
6. China would oppose any agreement which breaches ISO/IEC directives and deprives national body's rights to make technical comments during the standardization process.