

## **ISO/IEC JTC 1 N9185**

2008-07-18

**Replaces:** 

## ISO/IEC JTC 1 Information Technology

**Document Type:** National Body contribution

**Document Title:** German comments on JTC 1 N 9040/SC 25 N 1472: Request from

ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 to JTC 1 for clarification on consistency of standards versus

competing specifications

**Document Source:** National Body of Germany

**Project Number:** 

**Document Status:** This document is circulated to JTC 1 National Bodies for review and consideration at the

November 2008 JTC 1 Plenary meeting in Nara.

Action ID: ACT

**Due Date:** 

No. of Pages: 2

Secretariat, ISO/IEC JTC 1, American National Standards Institute, 25 West 43rd Street, New York, NY 10036; Telephone: 1 212 642 4932; Facsimile: 1 212 840 2298; Email: lrajchel@ansi.org

## DIN Deutsches Institut für Normung e. V.



German comments on JTC 1 N 9040/SC 25 N 1472: Request from ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 25 to JTC 1 for clarification on consistency of standards versus competing specifications

The national body of Germany provides the following answers:

Question 1: Is it permissible to provide multiple international standards for the same application and function?

Answer: From principle there shall be only one standard. Exceptions from this principle may not be avoidable but shall be kept to a minimum and well reasoned. Such reasons may easier be found in commercial areas than in homes.

Question 2: In case Question 1 is answered with yes, shall the competing solutions apply different technologies, e. g. not just differ in coding but use different technical principles?

Answer: Multiple standards for the same technologies are not acceptable.

Question 3: In case Question 1 is answered with yes, what kind of relationship is required for entities meeting the competing specifications?

In case they belong to the same group of applications (HES Class 1, HES Class 2 or Class 3 only) the following is required as a minimum:

Interchangebility Interworking Interoperability Co-existence

Answer: For HES Class 1 interworking is required, interchangeability is desirable. For a HES Class 2 and Class 3 interoperability is required, interworking is desirable unless the products are clearly intended to be marketed in different parts of the world.

In case they belong to different groups of applications (a mix of HES Class 1, HES Class 2 and Class 3) the following is required as a minimum:

Interchangebility Interworking Interoperability Co-existence

Answer: Interoperability is required, interworking is desirable.

2008-07-18