



ISO/TC 247
ISO/TC 247 - Fraud countermeasures and controls
Email of secretary: mikeo@naspo.info
Secretariat: ANSI (USA)

N 56 NWIP ISO PWI 16125

Document type: NP ballot

Date of document: 2011-07-06

Expected action: VOTE

Action due date: 2011-09-30

Background:

Committee URL: <http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink/open/tc247>



NEW WORK ITEM PROPOSAL		
Date of presentation 2011-06-29	Reference number (to be given by the Secretariat)	
Proposer US TAG to TC 247	ISO/TC 247 / SC WG1	N 56
Secretariat ANSI		

A proposal for a new work item within the scope of an existing committee shall be submitted to the secretariat of that committee with a copy to the Central Secretariat and, in the case of a subcommittee, a copy to the secretariat of the parent technical committee. Proposals not within the scope of an existing committee shall be submitted to the secretariat of the ISO Technical Management Board.

The proposer of a new work item may be a member body of ISO, the secretariat itself, another technical committee or subcommittee, or organization in liaison, the Technical Management Board or one of the advisory groups, or the Secretary-General.

The proposal will be circulated to the P-members of the technical committee or subcommittee for voting, and to the O-members for information.

See overleaf for guidance on when to use this form.

IMPORTANT NOTE: Proposals without adequate justification risk rejection or referral to originator.

Guidelines for proposing and justifying a new work item are given overleaf.

Proposal (to be completed by the proposer)

Title of proposal (in the case of an amendment, revision or a new part of an existing document, show the reference number and current title) English title Security Management System - Fraud countermeasures and controls French title (if available)	
Scope of proposed project To create a generic, internationally valid, comprehensive security assurance standard based upon an integration and harmonization of the existing American national standard, ANSI/NASPO-SA-2008 Security Assurance Standards with other well established national, international and industry specific security assurance standards. Included in the scope is the formulation of policies, methods and procedures for specification of publicly available security requirements. These requirements will deter fraudulent acts but do not invite or offer any form of assistance to the planning and execution of those acts that could undermine the security of an organization. Included, as well, within the scope of this project, is the need to :- a) formulate a structure for specification that will enable the flexibility of requirements to meet the security risk of the organization as well any regional, national or cultural issues. b) specify methods to be used for verification of compliance (or assessment of conformity) with each requirement of the standard together with their accept/reject criteria.	
Concerns known patented items (see ISO/IEC Directives Part 1 for important guidance) <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If "Yes", provide full information as annex	
Envisaged publication type (indicate one of the following, if possible) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International Standard <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Specification <input type="checkbox"/> Publicly Available Specification <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Report	

<p>Purpose and justification (attach a separate page as annex, if necessary)</p> <p>The specific aim of this project is to create an internationally valid security assurance standard by drawing together international experts to integrate and harmonize a number of existing ANSI, ISO, ISO/IEC, CEN and other national and industry standards.</p> <p>The United States Technical Advisory Group to TC 247 is proposing this project based upon an increasing demand for security compliance with internationally recognized security practices and procedures throughout the world. To create such a standard requires a recognition of the varied cultural and legal issues that currently exist between nations. This must be balanced with the increasing need of both public and private sector organizations to protect their citizens and consumers from fraudulent acts. Private sector organizations have the additional burden of protecting their intellectual property from economic degradation and fraudulent use.</p> <p>This project provides TC 247 with the opportunity to address the critical issues of :-</p> <p>a) formulating methods of requirement specification that yield the benefits of creating international security assurance standards without motivating or in any way helping the perpetrators of fraud or crime.</p> <p>b) formulating and communicating official interpretations that are necessary for verification of compliance in a manner that supports clear and unambiguous communication of the the security requirements of the standard.</p> <p>The aspects of standardization to be covered by this project include :-</p> <p>i.) a thorough review and critique of the requirements specified in existing standards.</p> <p>ii.) identification of existing international security assurance requirement specifications that can be used, by reference. These may include elements of information and supply chain security as exemplified by the ISO/IEC 27000 and ISO/IEC 28000 series of management system standards.</p> <p>iii.) as outlined above, formulation of policy by TC 247 concerning methods of specification that yield the benefit of standardization without assisting acts of fraud or crime,</p> <p>iv.) as outlined above, formulation of policy by TC 247 concerning methods of specifying and communicating criteria that enable verification of compliance without assisting acts of fraud or crime. Continued in Annex "A".</p>
<p>Target date for availability (date by which publication is considered to be necessary) December 2012</p>
<p>Proposed development track <input type="checkbox"/> 1 (24 months) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 (36 months - default) <input type="checkbox"/> 3 (48 months)</p>
<p>Relevant documents to be considered</p> <p>a) ANSI/NASPO-SA-2008 Security Assurance Standards & Auditor Interpretations</p> <p>b) The ISO/IEC 27000 series - Information Technology Security</p> <p>c) The ISO 28000 series - Supply Chain Security</p> <p>d) ISO 3100- Risk Management</p> <p>e) Other ISO standards that specify methods of risk assessment and/or policy related to secure specification and conformity assessment</p> <p>f) CEN-CWA 14641:(2003) Security Management System for the Printing Industry</p> <p>g) CEN-CWA 15374 Security Management System for Suppliers to the Printing Industry</p> <p>h) If available, the Intergraf Risk Inventory and Implementation Guidelines</p> <p>i) Any other industry or national standards that are applicable to security assurance</p>
<p>Relationship of project to activities of other international bodies</p> <p>To be determined</p>

Liaison organizations a) ISO/IEC JTC1-SC27/SC31 b) ISO TC8 SC on Supply Chain Security c) ISO TC68 Banking e) ISO TC34 Food Safety f) CEN g) ASIS h) Other public or private sector organizations that work within the area of security assurance.	Need for coordination with: <input type="checkbox"/> IEC <input type="checkbox"/> CEN <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify)	
Preparatory work (at a minimum an outline should be included with the proposal) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A draft is attached <input type="checkbox"/> An outline is attached. It is possible to supply a draft by The proposer or the proposer's organization is prepared to undertake the preparatory work required <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Proposed Project Leader (name and address) Michael O'Neil 204 E Street NE, Washington, DC 20002	Name and signature of the Proposer (include contact information) John Spink, Chairman US TAG to TC 247	
Comments of the TC or SC Secretariat Supplementary information relating to the proposal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This proposal relates to a new ISO document; <input type="checkbox"/> This proposal relates to the amendment/revision of an existing ISO document; <input type="checkbox"/> This proposal relates to the adoption as an active project of an item currently registered as a Preliminary Work Item; <input type="checkbox"/> This proposal relates to the re-establishment of a cancelled project as an active project. Other:		
Voting information The ballot associated with this proposal comprises a vote on: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adoption of the proposal as a new project <input type="checkbox"/> Adoption of the associated draft as a committee draft (CD) <input type="checkbox"/> Adoption of the associated draft for submission for the enquiry vote (DIS or equivalent) Other:		
Annex(es) are included with this proposal (give details) <input type="checkbox"/>		
Date of circulation 2011-06-29	Closing date for voting 2011-09-30	Signature of the TC or SC Secretary Michael O'Neil, TC Secretary

Use this form to propose:

- a) a new ISO document (including a new part to an existing document), or the amendment/revision of an existing ISO document;
b) the establishment as an active project of a preliminary work item, or the re-establishment of a cancelled project;
c) the change in the type of an existing document, e.g. conversion of a Technical Specification into an International Standard.

This form is not intended for use to propose an action following a systematic review - use ISO Form 21 for that purpose.

Proposals for correction (i.e. proposals for a Technical Corrigendum) should be submitted in writing directly to the secretariat concerned.

Guidelines on the completion of a proposal for a new work item

(see also the ISO/IEC Directives Part 1)

a) Title: Indicate the subject of the proposed new work item.

b) Scope: Give a clear indication of the coverage of the proposed new work item. Indicate, for example, if this is a proposal for a new document, or a proposed change (amendment/revision). It is often helpful to indicate what is not covered (exclusions).

c) Envisaged publication type: Details of the types of ISO deliverable available are given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1 and/or the associated ISO Supplement.

d) Purpose and justification: Give details based on a critical study of the following elements wherever practicable. *Wherever possible reference should be made to information contained in the related TC Business Plan.*

- 1) The specific aims and reason for the standardization activity, with particular emphasis on the aspects of standardization to be covered, the problems it is expected to solve or the difficulties it is intended to overcome.
- 2) The main interests that might benefit from or be affected by the activity, such as industry, consumers, trade, governments, distributors.
- 3) Feasibility of the activity: Are there factors that could hinder the successful establishment or global application of the standard?
- 4) Timeliness of the standard to be produced: Is the technology reasonably stabilized? If not, how much time is likely to be available before advances in technology may render the proposed standard outdated? Is the proposed standard required as a basis for the future development of the technology in question?
- 5) Urgency of the activity, considering the needs of other fields or organizations. Indicate target date and, when a series of standards is proposed, suggest priorities.
- 6) The benefits to be gained by the implementation of the proposed standard; alternatively, the loss or disadvantage(s) if no standard is established within a reasonable time. Data such as product volume or value of trade should be included and quantified.
- 7) If the standardization activity is, or is likely to be, the subject of regulations or to require the harmonization of existing regulations, this should be indicated.

If a series of new work items is proposed having a common purpose and justification, a common proposal may be drafted including all elements to be clarified and enumerating the titles and scopes of each individual item.

e) Relevant documents and their effects on global relevancy: List any known relevant documents (such as standards and regulations), regardless of their source. When the proposer considers that an existing well-established document may be acceptable as a standard (with or without amendment), indicate this with appropriate justification and attach a copy to the proposal.

f) Cooperation and liaison: List relevant organizations or bodies with which cooperation and liaison should exist.