

ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7
Working Group on Sensor Networks

Document Number:	N038
Date:	2010-03-31
Replace:	
Document Type:	Summary of Voting
Document Title:	Summary of Voting on JTC 1N9940, Proposal for a New Work Item on Specification of Data Value Domain Services and Interfaces Supporting Collaborative Information Processing in Intelligent Sensor Networks (JTC 1 N10047)
Document Source:	JTC 1/WG 7
Document Status:	This document has received the required number of votes for approval and it is assigned to JTC 1/WG 7 for development as project JTC1.20005. WG 7 is instructed to take into account the National Body comments received and to prepare a disposition of comments document.
Action ID:	FYI
Due Date:	
No. of Pages:	12

ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7 Convenor:

Dr. Yongjin Kim, Modacom Co., Ltd (Email: cap@modacom.co.kr)

ISO/IEC JTC 1/WG 7 Secretariat:

Ms. Jooran Lee, Korean Standards Association (Email: jooran@kisa.or.kr)

ISO/IEC JTC 1
Information Technology

Document Type:	summary of voting
Document Title:	Summary of Voting on JTC 1N9940, Proposal for a New Work Item on Specification of Data Value Domain Services and Interfaces Supporting Collaborative Information Processing in Intelligent Sensor Networks
Source:	JTC 1 Secretariat
Document Status:	This document has received the required number of votes for approval and it is assigned to JTC 1/WG 7 for development as project JTC1.20005. WG 7 is instructed to take into account the National Body comments received and to prepare a disposition of comments document.
Action ID:	ACT
Due Date:	
No. of Pages:	9

Result of voting

Ballot Information:

Ballot reference:	JTC001-N-9940
Ballot type:	NWIP
Ballot title:	Proposal for a New Work Item on Specification of Data Value Domain Services and Interfaces Supporting Collaborative Information Processing in Intelligent Sensor Networks
Opening date:	2009-12-23
Closing date:	2010-03-23
Note:	

Member responses:

Votes cast (31)	Armenia (SARM) Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV)
------------------------	--

	United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
Comments submitted (2)	Portugal (IPQ) Ukraine (DSSU)
Votes not cast (5)	Ghana (GSB) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Pakistan (PSQCA) United Arab Emirates (ESMA)

Questions:

Q.1	"Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"
Q.2	"Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"
Q.3	"Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"
Q.4	"Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project?"
Q.5	"Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?"
Q.6	"Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?"
Q.7	"Which standard development track is proposed?"

Answers to Q.1: "Do you accept the proposal in the attached NWI Proposal document as a sufficient definition of the new work item?"

17 x	Yes	Armenia (SARM) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
1 x	No	Germany (DIN)
13 x	Abstain	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN)

	Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malta (MSA) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)
--	---

Answers to Q.2: "Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?"

15 x	Yes	China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
0 x	No	
16 x	Abstain	Armenia (SARM) Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Malta (MSA) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.3: "Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?"

6 x	Yes	China (SAC) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) Korea, Republic of (KATS) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
13 x	No	Armenia (SARM) Canada (SCC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Switzerland (SNV)
12 x	Abstain	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) Ireland (NSAI) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malta (MSA) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.4: "Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project?"

2 x	Yes	China (SAC) USA (ANSI)
15 x	No	Armenia (SARM) Canada (SCC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Russian Federation (GOST R)

		Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI)
14 x	Abstain	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malta (MSA) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.5: "Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?"

0 x	Yes	
18 x	No	Armenia (SARM) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Russian Federation (GOST R) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
13 x	Abstain	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Ireland (NSAI) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malta (MSA) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS)

Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.6: "Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?"

0 x	Yes	
17 x	No	Armenia (SARM) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Finland (SFS) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Norway (SN) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
14 x	Abstain	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) France (AFNOR) Ireland (NSAI) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Malta (MSA) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS)

Answers to Q.7: "Which standard development track is proposed?"

27 x	Default Timeframe	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (UNMZ) Côte d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Denmark (DS) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN)
-------------	--------------------------	---

		India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Jamaica (BSJ) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Lebanon (LIBNOR) Luxembourg (ILNAS) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Russian Federation (GOST R) Singapore (SPRING SG) Spain (AENOR) Sweden (SIS) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI) USA (ANSI)
1 x	Accelerated Timeframe	Malta (MSA)
3 x	Extended Timeframe	Armenia (SARM) Norway (SN) South Africa (SABS)

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Australia (SA)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-01-27 02:58:27
Australia is not in the position to participate on this project.		
China (SAC)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-22 08:21:07
Mr. Liu Haitao		
Germany (DIN)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-16 14:13:39
See table of comments attached.		
Germany (DIN)	<i>Comment File</i>	2010-03-16 14:13:39
J1N10047-1		
Korea, Republic of (KATS)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-18 08:36:33
We'll nominate experts later.		
USA (ANSI)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-08 20:44:59

Howard Choe, choe@raytheon.com		
USA (ANSI)	<i>Comment File</i>	2010-03-08 20:44:59
J1N10047-2		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Portugal (IPQ)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-23 16:28:30
Abstention		
Ukraine (DSSU)	<i>Comment</i>	2010-03-23 09:25:33
abstain		

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹ / # (e.g. GB/1)	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g.3, 3.1, Annex A, A.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Proposed Editors Disposition
DE			ge	At least parts of the proposal seem to be covered by other committees and standards	Avoid overlaps and duplication of work	
DE			ge	Scope too wide	Efforts shall be focused on wireless communications	

¹ **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = minor general **Ge** = major general **te** = minor technical **Te** = major technical **ed** = minor editorial **Ed** = major editorial. (Take care of Word changing **te** to **Te** etc.)

NOTE 1 Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 are compulsory. If column 4 is **ge**, use **ge** in column two also (and leave column 3 blank) if it applies to the whole document.

NOTE 2 NBs should not change the width of columns, in order to make merging easier.

Note 3 **Please submit this document in .doc format and NOT .pdf**

JTC 1 N 9940 - Proposal for a New Work Item on Specification of Data Value Domain Services and Interfaces Supporting Collaborative Information Processing in Intelligent Sensor Networks

A. Vote		YES	NO	Comments
Q.1	Do you accept the proposal in document JTC 1 N 9940 as a sufficient definition of the new work item? (If you have responded "NO" to the above question, you are required to comment.)	x		"The scope of this document should be amended to exclude already existing related work such as that being conducted in JTC 1/SC 31."
Q.2	Do you support the addition of the new work item to the programme of work of the joint technical committee?	x		
B. Participation				
Q.3	Do you commit yourself to participate in the development of this new work item?	x		
Q.4	Are you able to offer a project editor who will dedicate his/her efforts to the advancement and maintenance of this project? (If "YES," please identify)	x		Howard Choe, choe@raytheon.com
C. Documentation				
Q.5	Do you have a major contribution or a reference document ready for submittal?		x	
Q.6	Will you have such a contribution in ninety days?		x	
Q.7	Which standard development track is recommended			<u> x </u> Default <u> </u> Accelerated <u> </u> Extended