

# **ISO/IEC JTC 1 N 10005**

2010-02-24

# ISO/IEC JTC 1 **Information Technology**

**Document Type: New Work Item Proposal** 

**NWIP - System and Software Engineering – Information Document Title:** 

**Technology – Digital Forensics Governance Framework** 

**Document Source:** SC 7 Secretariat

Reference:

This document is circulated to JTC 1 National Bodies for concurrent **Document Status:** 

review. If the JTC 1 Secretariat receives no objections to this proposal by

the due date indicated, we will so inform the SC 7 Secretariat

**Action ID:** Act

Due Date: 2010-05-24

No. of Pages:

Secretariat, ISO/IEC JTC 1, American National Standards Institute, 25 West 43rd Street, New York, NY 10036; Telephone: 1 212 642 4932;

Facsimile: 1 212 840 2298; Email: lrajchel@ansi.org



### ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 Software and Systems Engineering Secretariat: CANADA (SCC)

## ISO/IEC JTC1/SC7 N4578

2010-02-23

**Document Type NWIP** 

Title NWIP - System and Software Engineering – Information

**Technology – Digital Forensics Governance Framework** 

**Source** Standards New Zealand

**Project** 

**Status** 

**References** Resolution 1171

Action ID ACT

**Due Date** 2010-05-23

**Start Date** 2010-02-23

**Distribution** SC7 AG, JTC 1 Secretariat

**Medium** PDF

No. of Pages 6

Note Please vote using the ISO Electronic Balloting Facilities

(Resolution 937)

# New Work Item Proposal

#### PROPOSAL FOR A NEW WORK ITEM

Date of presentation of proposal: 2010-02-23	Proposer: Standards New Zealand
	ISO/IEC JTC 1 N XXXX
Standards Council of Canada (SCC)	ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 7 N 4578

A proposal for a new work item shall be submitted to the secretariat of the ISO/IEC joint technical committee concerned with a copy to the ISO Central Secretariat.

#### Presentation of the proposal

**Title** System and Software Engineering – Information Technology – Digital Forensics Governance Framework

**Scope:** The scope of this work is guidance on what constitutes good governance of digital forensic services and risk within the corporate enterprise system. The essential components are the processes, frameworks and metrics that demonstrate an organization is adopting best practices. It includes the relationship with Corporate Governance, boundaries of business conduct, relationship with other administrative and management functions, characteristics of proper governance, process definitions and metrics and key goal indicators.

**Purpose and justification**: The purpose of this standard is to help organisations meet Corporate requirements when Monitoring, Evaluating and Directing the Governance of Digital Forensics services within their respective enterprise system. The purpose is not to displace or to replace any other standard. The purpose is to provide the scope to meet Corporate Governance requirements of Digital Forensics and policy guidance for harmonisation with the current related and future development of related standards. At present there is no comprehensive framework for digital forensic governance.

Programme o	)Ť	W	О	rk	۱
-------------	----	---	---	----	---

If the proposed n	ew work item is approved,	which of the fo	ollowing document(s)	is (are) expected t	to be
developed?					
X_ a single Ir	nternational Standard				

\_\_\_\_ more than one International Standard (expected number: .......)
a multi-part International Standard consisting of ........ parts

an amendment or amendments to the following International Standard(s) .....

\_X\_\_\_ a technical report , type 2.....

And which standard development track is recommended for the approved new work item?

X\_\_\_a. Default Timeframe

- \_b. Accelerated Timeframe
- c. Extended Timeframe

#### Relevant documents to be considered

- ISO/IEC TR 12182:1998 Vocabulary
- ISO/IEC 15026:1998 Risk & Integrity
- ISO/IEC 16085:2006 Risk & Integrity
- ISO/IEC TR 24774:2007 System and software engineering Life Cycle Management Guidelines for process definition
- ISO/IEC 31000:2009 –Risk Management Principles and Guidelines (Under development)
- ISO/IEC 20000-1:2005, Information technology Service management (Under development)
- ISO/IEC 17021:2006 Conformity Assessment Audit
- ISO/IEC 17024:2003 Conformity Assessment Certification

ISO.IEC 38500:2008 The Corporate Governance of Information Technology

Co-operation	and liaison	1:
--------------	-------------	----

- Internal SC7 liaison with WG1a
- JTC1/SC27 liaison with WG4
- JTC1 liaison with WG6

### Preparatory work offered with target date(s)

The Study Group has prepared an outline of a draft Standard for the Corporate Governance of Digital Forensics. The Draft aligns the elements of Digital Forensic with ISO/IEC 38500. The elements of risk and service are aligned with the two standards currently under development 20000-1 and 31000 DIS.

Comments and recommendations of the JTC 1 or SC 7 Secretariat - attach a separate page as an annex, if necessary

### Comments with respect to the proposal in general, and recommendations thereon:

It is proposed to assign this new item to SC 7 and to be under the management and General Editorship of the existing Study Group project team. Support for this work item has been indicated by South Africa, Australia, United States, Singapore, Luxembourg, Korea, Spain, Ireland, Japan, Canada, and New Zealand.

**Voting on the proposal** - Each P-member of the ISO/IEC joint technical committee has an obligation to vote within the time limits laid down (normally three months after the date of circulation).

Date of circulation:	Closing date for voting:	Signature of Secretary:
2010-02-23	2010-05-23	W. Suryn

NEW WORK ITEM PROPOSAL - PROJECT ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA		
Criterion	Validity	Explanation
A. Business Requirement		

A.1 Market Requirement	EssentialX _ Desirable Supportive	The Corporate Governance of Information Technology and associated issues have been reported as a top 10 CEO management problem area in the Gartner EXP annual CEO survey for at least the past five years.
		Litigation involving evidence stored by or related to Information Technology has disproportionally increased as more business has been conducted with the use of Information Technology. Consequently the requirement for capability to monitor, evaluate and direct Digital Forensic risk and services has also disproportionally increased. Ultimately, at the highest level
		<ul> <li>the goal of good governance of Digital Forensics is:</li> <li>Oversight of management</li> <li>The Right People</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Doing the Right Things</li> <li>For the Right Reasons</li> <li>In the Right Way</li> </ul>
		To get the Right Results.  With contributions drawn from numerous resources, this proposal offers both basic guidance on what constitutes good governance for Digital Forensics and essential components of the Governance processes, frameworks and metrics that demonstrate that an organization is subject to it.

A.2 Regulatory Context	Essential Desirable Supportive _X Not Relevant	Differing regulations exist in each country, that in particular relate to the legal status of evidence and the admissibility of digital evidence in courts. The supporting elements concern guidelines for best practice in the Governance of Digital Forensic risk and services from the perspective of the Corporate Board. It is the system that enables good Corporate Governance that is of concern.
B. Related Work		
B.1 Completion/Maintenance of current standards	Yes No_X	
B.2 Commitment to other organisation	Yes No_ X	
B.3 Other Source of standards	Yes No_X	
C. Technical Status		
C.1 Mature Technology	Yes No_X	Press statements are calling for standardisation in the various domains of Digital Forensic practice. The variation in services and risk assessment are considered too great.
C.2 Prospective Technology	Yes _X No	Corporates have invested heavily in protecting information assets (security) but are less prepared for digital occurrence that are of an evidential nature. A demand has been created for Governance knowledge of best practice and the standardisation of risk and service supply.
C.3 Models/Tools	Yes No_ X	Out of scope.

D. Conformity Assessment and Interoperability		
D.1 Conformity Assessment	Yes No X _	
D.2 Interoperability	Yes _ X No	See list of "relevant documents to be considered" in the NWI and the proposed guidelines for harmonisation with other standards.
E. Cultural and Linguistic Adaptability	Yes No X	
F. Other Justification		

#### **Notes to Proforma**

- **A. Business Relevance.** That which identifies market place relevance in terms of what problem is being solved and or need being addressed.
- A.1 Market Requirement. When submitting a NP, the proposer shall identify the nature of the Market Requirement, assessing the extent to which it is essential, desirable or merely supportive of some other project.
- A.2 Technical Regulation. If a Regulatory requirement is deemed to exist e.g. for an area of public concern e.g. Information Security, Data protection, potentially leading to regulatory/public interest action based on the use of this voluntary international standard the proposer shall identify this here.
- **B. Related Work.** Aspects of the relationship of this NP to other areas of standardisation work shall be identified in this section.
- B.1 Competition/Maintenance. If this NP is concerned with completing or maintaining existing standards, those concerned shall be identified here.
- B.2 External Commitment. Groups, bodies, or fora external to JTC 1 to which a commitment has been made by JTC for Co-operation and or collaboration on this NP shall be identified here.
- B.3 External Std/Specification. If other activities creating standards or specifications in this topic area are known to exist or be planned, and which might be available to JTC 1 as PAS, they shall be identified here.
- **C. Technical Status.** The proposer shall indicate here an assessment of the extent to which the proposed standard is supported by current technology.
- C.1 Mature Technology. Indicate here the extent to which the technology is reasonably stable and ripe for standardisation.
- C.2 Prospective Technology. If the NP is anticipatory in nature based on expected or forecasted need, this shall be indicated here.
- C.3 Models/Tools. If the NP relates to the creation of supportive reference models or tools, this shall be indicated here.

### D. Conformity Assessment and Interoperability

- D.1 Indicate here if Conformity Assessment is relevant to your project. If so, indicate how it is addressed in your project plan.
- D.2 Indicate here if Interoperability is relevant to your project. If so, indicate how it is addressed in your project plan
- **E. Cultural and Linguistic Adaptability** Indicate here if cultural and linguistic adaptability is applicable to your project. If so, indicate how it is addressed in your project plan.
- **F. Other Justification** Any other aspects of background information justifying this NP shall be indicated here