STANDARDS BOARD

DATA AND CODE DEFINITION GROUP (DCG)

Brussels, 9 March 2006

Report

1   The 2006.1 DCG meeting was held in Brussels on 9 March 2006. The list of participants is provided in Annex 1. The main conclusions of the meeting are listed below. An action point list is added at the end of this report showing all the points on which action by a particular party is expected.

I.   Opening of meeting and adoption of agenda
       POC SB DCG 2006.1–Doc 1.Rev 2

2   The agenda was adopted with the following items added under "any other business":

–   Location codes in CARDIT message(IB)

–   Mail category D (IPC)

–   Business definition of Event E and item Customs retention code (IPC)

–   Item-level prefix determination (IPC)

–   Restricted use of codes in UPU code lists(SE)

–   CEN Statement of Mailing Submission (CEN)

–   These items are covered in section XII

II.   Report of last meeting
       POC SB DCG 2005.4–Report

3   The IB presented the report of the last meeting. Except for outstanding action points, all action points were either completed or placed in the 2006.2 agenda.

III. Standards Board 2006.1 report
       POC SB 2006.1–Report

4   The IB presented the report of SB 2006.1 held in Sydney on 8 and 9th February 2006. The IB covered the key points of the report relevant to the DCG. The Standards Board report is available on the UPU website.

5   On point IV(c), paragraph 45, concerning Mail Stream segregation code, the IB clarified that the requirement to survey existing IMPCs would be incorporated into the regular IMPC information update questionnaire that is scheduled to take place in the second half of 2006.

6   The next meeting of the Standards Board will take place in Berne, 29 March 2006.

IV.   **IATA/UPU Group on EDI Issues report**

7   The proposed UPU- IATA seminar in Shanghai was postponed after it was decided jointly between the participating posts, UPU, IATA and IPC that organisationally and logistically it would not be possible to hold the event. Consequently the UPU/IATA EDI Working Group scheduled for the following day was also cancelled.   There is an IATA/UPU meeting scheduled for 30 March 2006.

V.   **Customs Data Interchange Group report**
       CDIG 2006.1–Report

8   Details of the last CDIG meeting are contained in the report. The next meeting of the CDIG was originally scheduled for 31 March 2006 but has been postponed so as to follow the Standards Board 2006.3 meeting, in July.

VI.   **CAM Group report**
       POC SB DCG 2006.1–Doc 6

9   The Code Allocation and Maintenance (CAM) Group report consists of a summary of the Group's code allocations and decisions since the last DCG meeting.

10   There was discussion on the S31 issuer codes, and the fact that may codes have over time been attributed to organisations that are not actually using the assigned issuer codes, but merely have them reserved for a possible future usage. It was generally agreed that no specific action was required at this time but that this was an issue that may need attention in future.

11   POC SB DCG 2006.1–Doc 6 Add 1 concerns a request by New Zealand to have 4 new IMPCs . The request had been referred to the DCG by IPC. The IB presented highlighted the key issues, as follows:

       - Multiple IMPCs in the same location by the same operator is operationally undesirable as it causes a proliferation of operational and accounting transactions.
       -The standard discourages the practice but does not prohibit it. The standard has a threshold (of 6 codes) beyond which the DCG must approve.
       -The situation normally arises because of issues in operator's systems.
       -There are many precedents.
       -The New Zealand requested date was 01.03.2006. Their plan is/was to print related material "this week".

12   After discussion, the DCG agreed to allocate the codes requested but to write to New Zealand so as to explain the issues surrounding such codes and to suggest/request that New Zealand address the root cause of the request. The IB will prepare a draft of the letter for the DCG chair and co-chair, with a copy to IPC.(AP:IB).

13   On a secondary point, GB pointed out that the IMPC codes allocated should be GBTWC based upon the the address information provided by New Zealand Post.. The IB will investigate this with New Zealand as a separate issue (AP:IB).

VII.   IMPC defined mail sub-class codes
      POC SB DCG 2006.1–Doc 7

14   IPC, on behalf of USPS, presented a proposal to allow mail sub-class codes other than those published by the UPU, for domestic or bilateral use on military mail. This is in recognition that airlines use the receptacle barcode and also that receptacles can be misrouted.

      This would be done by providing additional notes to code lists 116 and 117. Note that the codes would contain numeric values, making them distinguishable from standard UPU codes.

15   This was agreed by the DCG with the exception that the proposed notes would not include any current codes as this would be, in effect, another code list to maintain and it has no value-add. IPC will prepare the revised document for the next DCG (AP:IPC).

16   As a separate point the proposal also had a name change from empty bags to empty receptacles in both code lists. This was agreed. (AP:IB)

17   The proposal also introduced a new code of "TX" for "Container of Empty receptacles". The DCG required more explanation as to why such a code was required. Subsequent to the meeting, the IB was informed by IPC that this request (for code TX) has been withdrawn by USPS. Thus no further action is needed for this.

VIII.   Operational and accounting procedure review –discussion on Congress Resolution 33

18   IB introduced the topic of Congress resolution 33 outlining the background and the current status. The IB then presented the original proposal to Congress that resulted in Congress resolution 33. The proposal was discussed in detail as it has bearing on current and proposed standards. The IB indicated that a small group – a "group of advisors" is being established. The IB will send the documents to the DCG members (AP:IB)

IX.   Publication of UPU code lists as XML – Progress report

19   As GB/CSC was not able to attend the meeting, a progress report for this activity was not provided It will be carried to the agenda for DCG 2006.2.

X.   Standards status report update
      POC SB DCG 2006.1–Doc 10

20   This document was a follow-up to a review of the current standards and P work items under DCG responsibility. The modifications or comments made to the document by the DCG were as follows:

–   P6 (Classification of mail) is to be placed on the next DCG agenda (AP:IB).

–   P25 (Document identification and bar coding) is for September 2006.

      The revised Standards Status Report is attached as Annex 3.

XI.   DCG work plan
      POC SB DCG 2006.1–Doc 11

21   The work plan, attached as Annex 2, was reviewed, amended and approved by the DCG.

XII. Other business

22   Location codes in CARDIT message. The IB explained that an airline representative had suggested that the location codes in CARDIT be IATA airport codes rather than leaving it open to be airport or city codes. Following discussion it was agreed that this would be referred to the IATA/UPU meeting on EDI issues planned for 30 March 2006.(AP:GB/IB)

23   Mail category D This was raised by IPC. The DCG was reminded of the background of mail category D in that it was intended for REIMS purposes. However it is now used elsewhere as well. The consensus was that, although the code is problematic, since the code already exists and is in use, it is not appropriate to modify the code list to make the code restrictive in a mandatory manner. It was agreed that IPC would further review the issue and, if necessary, bring a specific proposal to the DCG.

24   Business definition of Event E and associated codes. Initial investigation has shown that event E has various business interpretations depending on country and product. As well, the usage of the Item Customs retention code (code list 111) also varies considerably
.
In order to determine the variations, it was agreed to canvas the DCG members as well as the EMS Task Force members and EPG members to determine the various usages that currently exist. Based on this, it may be useful to then canvas all members but it was determined that a smaller sample of users would be helpful.

A questionnaire will be developed jointly by the IB and IPC and distributed (AP:IB/IPC).

25   Item Prefixes IPC noted that there were also variations in service codes used in item identifiers. So as to estimate the extent of the variability that may exist, the DCG members were asked to provide IPC with the service codes by product that currently exist for both international and domestic items (AP:All).

26   Restricted Codes Sweden had pointed out that there can be new codes appearing on code lists that do not seem to be expected. An example is code C of code list 120 – Format of Contents. Sweden advised that this was problematic and asked for explanation as to how these codes come into being . The IB will investigate (AP:IB).

Sweden also pointed out that some message specifications refer to specific codes rather than to the code list. Sweden will conduct a review of message specifications to identify these cases (AP:SE).

27   CEN Statement of Mailing Submission This was omitted from the 2006.1 agenda. It will be added to the 2006.2 agenda.(AP:IB)

XIII. Date and place of next meetings

28   The dates and venues of the next meetings were set in consideration of the PEG group, the EXG group and the Standards Board as well as requests to coordinate with the EMS Task Force meetings and CAPE Steering Committee meetings. Dates and venues were thus set as follows:

–   DCG 2006.2   Konstanz, 8 and 9 June 2006(CEN /Siemens host)

–   DCG 2006.3   United States of America, 13 September PM, 14 September and 15 September AM 2006

–   DCG 2006.4   Berne, 7 and 8 December 2006

–   DCG 2007.1   Venue TBD, 1 and 2 March 2007

–   DCG 2007.2   Venue TBD, 31 May and 1 June 2007

–   DCG 2007.3   Venue TBD, 13 and 14 September 2007

Nick Glynn/Himesh Patel

Co-Chairmen of the DCG

Annexes:
1   List of participants
2   Revised DCG work plan
3   Revised Standards Status Report

Action Point List

Meeting No Action Point No (para)	Action	Action by
2005.1–3	Prepare and submit a proposal for an EDIFACT code usage register for the next DCG meeting	IPC
2005.1–9	Prepare and update to S27 to take account of changes to ISO/IEC 15434	IPC
2005.3–13	Correct errors in M84 highlighted by IPC	IB
2005.3–14	Update M5, i.e. incorporate UPU EDI Messaging Standards M30–M37	IPC
2005.4–1	Follow up on the issue brought to the CAPE Steering Committee's attention concerning the main sources of the incorrect data used in TDT segments including origin of message and type of message.	IPC
2005.4–2)	Draft a document to be presented to the EMS Task Force indicating possible code combinations which might be of use to them in the future and follow up with the EMS Task Force.	IPC
2005.4–4	Prepare a document for the next IATA/UPU Group on EDI Issues asking them what importance is attached to the consignment identifier	GB
2005.4–8	Provide revised XML specifications (GB) and Access Schema (IPC) to the PTC for their input and comments and report back to the next meeting (GB)	GB/IPC/ PTC
2006.1-12	Draft the letter from the DCG co-chairmen to New Zealand concerning the new IMPC codes.	IB
2006.1-13	Investigate, with New Zealand, the possibility of using a UNlocode other than GBLAL	IB
2006.1-15	Update document on IMPC defined mail sub-class codes for DCG 2006.2	IPC
2006.1-16	Change the definition of empty bags to empty receptacles in code lists 116 and 117, and advise the users.	IB
2006.1-18	Send documents on Congress resolution 33 to DCG members	IB
2006.1-20	Put P6 (Classification of mail) on next DCG agenda	IB
2006.1-20	Put P25 (Document identification and bar coding) on agenda for 2006.3 (September 2006	IB
2006.1-22	Refer the issue of the location codes in CARDIT messages to the IATA/UPU meeting on EDI issues planned for 30 March 2006	GB/IB
2006.1-24	Develop a questionnaire on the use of event E and item Customs retention code in EMSEVT messages for completion by DCG and EMS Task Force members.	IB/IPC
2006.1-24	Complete the questionnaire prepared by IB on the interpretation of the EMSEVT E event.	All
2006.1-24	Arrange for EMS Task Force and EPG members to complete the above-noted questionnaire.	IPC
2006.1-25	Provide IPC with the service codes, by product, that currently exist for both international and domestic items	All

Meeting No Action Point No (para)	Action	Action by
2006.1-26	Investigate how code C in format of contents code list 120, and any other such codes, was approved.	IB
2006.1-26	Conduct a review of message specification to determine cases of reference to specific codes rather than to code lists.	SE
2006.1-27	Further to DCG 2005.4-24, put the CEN Statement of Mailing Submission on the agenda for 2006.2.	IB

Data and Code Definition Group, 9 March– List of attendance

Organisation	Name of participant	e-mail address
US-USPS (chair)	Himesh Patel	himesh.a.patel@usps.gov
FI-Finland Post	Harri Simonen	harri.simonen@posti.fi
GB-Royal Mail (co-chair)	Nick Glynn	nick.glynn@royalmail.com
PT-Portugal	Jose Pessoa	jose.m.pessoa@ctt.pt
SE Posten AB	Lars-Erik Torstenson	lars-erik.torstenson@posten.se
IPC	John Wells	john.wells@ipc.be
IPC	Monique Herssens	monique.herssens@ipc.be
CEN / Pitney Bowes	Leon Pintsov	leon.pintsov@pb.com
CEN / Siemens	Jürgen Schad	juergen.schad@siemens.com
UPU/IB	Jelto Stant	jelto.stant@upu.int
UPU/IB	Brian Gaudette	brian.gaudette@upu.int

Apologies

GB/CSC	Suzanne Dougherty	sdougherty@csc.com

9.3.2006

DCG Work Plan

Item Number	Task	Activities	Outputs	Lead	Completion Date
1	Finalise mail classification standard (most recent version: DCG 2001.2-Doc 6) (P6)	Resolution of mail sub-class at aggregate level, dispatch codes	Update Final proposal	IPC	pending testing of PREDES V3 TBD
3	Develop a standard approach for the use of UPU member-defined codes in electronic messages	Define and document how the UPU member-defined codes should be used	Document standard approach to the use of UPU member-defined codes	IPC	June 06
5	Identify codes in UPU messages that are potential EDIFACT code requests	Scan all UPU EDI messages	List of codes that need to be raised with the EDIFACT Board (7065 and 8053 completed)	IPC	June ~~Mar~~ 06
6	Determine how data identifiers can be included in EDI messages other than PREDES (complementary to 3)	Discuss requirements for updating other messages	Report	IPC	~~Mar~~ Oct 06
		Document proposal for handling DIs	Agreement on how data identifiers are handled in UPU EDI messages	IPC	~~Mar~~ Oct 06
		Seek ISO 15418 Maintenance Committee Agreement	Agreement	IPC	
		Apply for required 3055 EDIFACT codes	EDIFACT codes	IPC	

~~Ph~~Gau
9.3.2006

Item Number	Task	Activities	Outputs	Lead	Completion Date
~~8~~	~~Implement recommendations (1) and (2) in SB 2001.1 Doc 10 concerning items to be documented from Statement of User Requirements~~	~~Create new section from recommendation 1~~		~~IB~~	~~Dec 05~~
		~~Recommendation 2: Compare and identify which data flows not in MDG~~		~~IB~~	~~Dec 05~~
		~~Review by DCG~~		~~DCG~~	~~Mar 06~~
10	Issuer codes (P8)	Develop proposal for SB into several parts	Proposed updates of relevant standards	PEG/ EXG	Oct~~June~~ 06
		Editorial clarification/Mods	Proposed updates of relevant standards	IPC	Oct~~June~~ 06
		Resolve country of destination questions	Proposed updates of relevant standards	IPC	Oct~~June~~ 06
		Resolve S32 consignment identifier	Proposed update of S32	IPC	June~~Dec Mar~~ 06~~5~~
12	Receptacle Asset Numbering	~~Request 7065 package codes IL and GU from EDIFACT Board~~		~~IB~~	~~Done~~
		Check M84 terminology in respect of EDIFACT 7065 and 8053		IPC	June~~Dec Mar~~ 05
17	EDIFACT code usage register		Proposed register	IPC	Dec~~June~~ 06
2005.2-1	Review the possible methods of publication for code lists as XML files			GB	Sep~~Dec 05Mar~~ 06

UPU Technical Standards

Standard number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WG	Priority	Target date
S1	Bar code symbology for postal receptacles	2	18.10.2005	PEG	Supersede when S47 reaches status 1	-	-
S2	Bar code symbology for postal items	S	03.02.2004	PEG	Move to withdrawn	3	2006
S3	Airports	2	15.08.1999	DCG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
S4	Air carriers	2	15.08.1999	DCG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
S5	Countries	2	15.08.1999	DCG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
S6	Offices of Exchange	W	01.02.2000	DCG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
S7	Postal Consignments	W	23.10.2001	DCG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
S8	Postal Despatches	2	15.08.1999	DCG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
S9	Postal Receptacles	2	06.07.2004	DCG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
S10	Postal items	2	03.02.2004	DCG	S10 was split into parts and each part is dealt with separately	-	-
S10a	Identification of postal items Part A: Identifier structures and encoding principles	0	19.04.2005	DCG/PEG	Move to status 1	2	2006
S10b	Part B: 13 character identifier for EMS items	2	19.04.2005	DCG/PEG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
S10c	Part C: 13 character identifier for special letter products	1	19.04.2005	DCG/PEG	Merge with part d and e when e is ready for status 1	2	2006

Gau
13.3.2006

Standard number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WG	Priority	Target date
S10d	Part D: 13 character identifier for parcels	1	19.04.2005	DCG/PEG	Merge with part c and e when e is ready for status 1	2	2006
S10e	Part E: Domestic/bilateral use of 13 character identifiers	0	19.04.2005	DCG/PEG	Move to status 1 and merge with parts c and d	2	2006
S11	Item tracking events	2 (EMSEVT) 1 (TRAKIT)	15.08.1999	DCG	No action required and the SB is advised that it will be superseded by EVTRPT sometime in the future		
S12	Format of message exchange	2	09.03.2000		Move to Superseded once M5 is updated	4	2006
S13	Standard messages for consignments	W	15.08.1999	EXG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
S14	Standard messages for despatches	W	15.08.1999	EXG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
S15	Standard messages for items	W	15.08.1999	EXG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
S16	Standard messages for transport	W	15.08.1999	EXG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
S17	Word processing document exchange	2	15.08.1999	EXG	No action required	-	-
S18a	ID-Tagging of letter mail items Part A: ID-Tag structure, message and binary representation	1	06.07.2004	PEG	Move to status 2	3	2006
S18b	ID-Tagging of letter mail items Part B: BNB-78 Encoding specification	1	16.04.2002	PEG	Move to status 2	3	2006
S18c	ID-Tagging of letter mail items Part C: BNB-62 Encoding specification	S	16.04.2002	PEG	No action required – Superseded	-	-
S18d	ID-Tagging of letter mail items Part D: 4-state encoding Specification for flats	1	18.10.2005	PEG	Move to status 2	4	2007
S18e	ID-Tagging of letter mail items Part D: 4-state encoding flats Specification for small letters	0	18.10.2005	PEG	Status 1 application in 2007	2	2007
S19	Encoding on envelopes – placement area definitions	0/1	18.10.2005	PEG	Add remaining definitions and split into parts (2006). Move to status 1	3	2007
S20	RFID – Reference architecture and	0	15.08.1999	PEG	Resources are needed before any	4	2006

Standard number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WG	Priority	Target date
	terminology				further progress can be made		
S21	Data presentation in ASN.1	0	15.08.1999	PEG	Resources are needed before any further progress can be made	4	2006
S22	RFID – System requirements and test procedures	0	15.08.1999	PEG	Move to Status 1	4	2006
S23a	RFID and RDC – Air interfaces: Communications and interfaces – Part A: Definitions of parameters to be Standardized	0	16.08.1999	PEG	Resources are needed before any further progress can be made	4	2006
S23b	Part B: Parameters for 5.8 GHz	0	09.03.2000	PEG	Resources are needed before any further progress can be made	4	2006
S23c	Part C: Parameters for 2.45 GHz narrow band	0	09.03.2000	PEG	Resources are needed before any further progress can be made	4	2006
S23g	Part G: Parameters for 13.56 MHz	0	09.03.2000	PEG	Resources are needed before any further progress can be made	4	2006
S24	Representation of postal information using data identifiers	0	23.10.2001	DCG	Move to status 1	3	2006
S25	Data constructs for the communication of information on postal items, batches and receptacles	0	18.10.2005	DCG	Move to status 1	4	2007
S26	Licence plates for parcels	0	19.04.2005	PEG	Move to Status 1 once data on live operational experience using licence plates is available	2	2006
S27	Framework for communication of information about postal items, batches and receptacles	0	15.08.1999	DCG	Revised in 2006 and move to status 1	3	2007
S28	Communication of postal information using two-dimensional symbols	0	19.04.2005	PEG	Move to status 1	2	End 2006
S29	Bar coded receptacle labels	0	18.10.2005	PEG	Move to status S once S47 reaches status 1	2	2006
S30	International postage meter approval	1	17.10.2000	PMG			

Standard number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WG	Priority	Target date
	requirements						
S31	UPU issuing agency – Assignment of issuer codes	1	18.10.2005	DCG	Move to status 2	4	2007
S32	Postal consignments	2	23.10.2001	DCG	Status 2 (revision planned see workplan)	-	-
S33	Postal Public Key Infrastructures	0	01.02.2000	PTC/EXG	Belongs with S39 (EPM) – Refer to the PTC for comments		
S34	Registration of international mail processing centres	0	06.07.2004	DCG	Move to status 1	2	2006
S35	UPU issuing agency: Assignment and use of organization identifiers	1	18.10.2005	DCG	Move to status 2	4	2007
S36	Digital Postage Marks: Applications, Security and Design	0	06.10.2004	PEG	Move to status 1	2	End 2006
S37	Receptacle Asset Numbering	0	03.02.2004	PEG	Move to status 1	3	2006
S39	Trusted Time Stamp	1	08.04.2003	PTC	Move to status 2 (SB)	3	
S40	Algorithm for the generation and checking of an error detection code	0	19.04.2005	PEG	Move to status 1	3	2006
S41	Identification and publication of UPU-maintained code lists	1	19.01.2005	DCG	Move to status 2 (SB)	3	2006
S42	International postal address components and templates	1	06.07.2004	Addressing Group	Move to status 2 (Addressing Group)		
S43	Electronic PostMark (EPM)	1	19.04.2005	PTC	Move to status 2	3	2007
S44	Colour and Durability Attributes	0	08.04.2003	PEG	Move to status 1	3	2006
S45	OCR and Human readable representation of data on postal items, labels and forms	0	19.04.2005	PEG/DCG	Move to status 1	2	2007
S46	Linear bar coded representation of data on postal items, labels and forms	0	19.04.2005	PEG/DCG	Move to status 1	1	2006
S47	Postal receptacle labels	0	18.10.2005	PEG	Move to status 1	2	2007

UPU EDI Messaging Standards

Message number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WGs	Priority	Target date
M10	PRECON V1.1	2	23.10.2001	EXG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
M11	PREDES V1.1	S	01.11.2000	EXG	Move to withdraw	1	2006
M12	RESCON V1.1	2	01.11.2000	EXG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
M13	RESDES V1.1	2	01.11.2000	EXG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
M14	PREDES V2.0	1	18.10.2005	EXG	Move to superseded when M36 is Status 1	4	2007
M15	TRAKIT V1.0	S	01.10.1999	EXG	Move to withdraw	3	2006
M16	EMSEVT V0	S	30.01.2001	EXG	Move to withdraw	1	2006
M17	EMSEVT V1	2	30.01.2001	EXG	No action required – Status 2	-	-
M18	CARDIT V1.1	1	01.11.2000	EXG	Move to Status 2	3	2007
M19	TRAKIT V2.0 (Status P: 16.7.96)	1	18.10.2005	EXG	Move to withdraw	3	2007
M20	CARDIT V2.0	0	01.10.1999	EXG		3	2006
M21	EXCEPT V1.0 (Status P: 25.02.97)	0	01.10.1999	EXG	Move to status withdrawn	2	2006
M22	RESDIT V1.0 (Status P: 30.4.97)	1	01.11.2000	EXG		3	2007
M23	MONORD V1.0 (Status P: 29.4.98)	S	19.01.2005	EXG/PTC	Move to withdraw / awaiting feedback from PTC	3	
M24	RESORD V1.0 (Status P: 29.4.98)	S	19.01.2005	EXG/PTC	Move to withdraw / awaiting feedback from PTC	3	
M25	TRAKIT V3.0	W	08.07.2003	EXG	No action required – Withdrawn	-	-
M26	CAPREQ (Status P: 26.11.99)	0	08.04.2003	EXG/IATA	To be raised with the IATA/UPU group on EDI – usage not known		
M27	CAPOFF (Status P: 26.11.99)	0	28.01.2003	EXG/IATA	To be raised with the IATA/UPU group on EDI – usage not known		
M28	UPIMEX V 1.0 (Status P 26.11.99)	0	28.01.2003	CDIG/EXG	Specification needs revision	1	2006
M29	UPIRES V 1.0 (Status P: 26.11.99)	0	28.01.2003	CDIG/EXG	Specification needs revision	1	2006

Message number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WGs	Priority	Target date
M30a	Electronic Data Interchange between postal handling organisations – Part A: General concepts and interchange and message attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	06.07.2004	EXG	Move to status 1	2	2006
M30b	Part B: EDIFACT Messaging based on EDIFACT Directory 00A (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	06.07.2004	EXG	Move to status 1	2	2006
M31	Postal addresses and their electronic communication – EDIFACT directory 00A representation of postal addresses (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	08.07.2003	EXG	Move to status 1	2	2006
M32a	Communication of mail item content piece information between postal handling organisations – Part A: General concepts and attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	28.01.2003	EXG	Move to status 1 (linked to M28)	1	2006
M32b	Part B: EDIFACT directory 00A representation of content piece information (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	03.02.2004	EXG	Move to status 1 (linked to M28)	1	2006
M33a	Postal item attributes and the communication of item information – Part A: General concepts and attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	03.02.2004	EXG	To move to Status 1 (along with M30 and M31)	2	2006
M33b	Part B: EDIFACT Directory 00A representation of item attributes (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	19.04.2005	EXG	To move to Status 1 (along with M30 and M31)	2	2006
M33c	Part C: Item attributes message – EDIFACT implementation ITMATT Version 1.0 (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	19.04.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1	3	2007

Message number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WGs	Priority	Target date
M34a	Mail aggregate attributes and the communication of aggregate information – Part A: General concepts and attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	19.04.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M34b	Part B: EDIFACT Directory 00A representation of aggregate attributes (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	19.04.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M34c	Part C: Aggregate attributes message – EDIFACT implementation AGGATT Version 1.0 (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	06.07.2004	EXG	No action at this stage	3	2007
M35a	Communication of mail transport information between postal handling organisations – Part A: General concepts and attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	28.01.2003	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M35b	Part B: EDIFACT directory 00A representation of transport information (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	03.02.2004	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M36a	Despatch attributes and the communication of despatch information – Part A: General concepts and attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	19.04.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M36b	Part B: Despatch pre-advice message – EDIFACT implementation PREDES Version 3.0 (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	06.07.2004	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M37a	Postal processing events and event reporting – Part A: General concepts and attribute definitions (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	18.10.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M37b	Part B: EDIFACT Directory 00A representation of event information (Status P: 29.01.02)	0	18.10.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1	2	2006
M37c	Part C: Event reporting message –	0	18.10.2005	EXG	Move to Status 1 / RES functionality	2	2006

Message number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WGs	Priority	Target date
	EDIFACT implementation EVTRPT Version 1.0 (Status P: 29.01.02				needs to be documented.		
M38	International Money Orders - XML-MONORD 001 and XML-RESORD 001 messages	1	18.10.2005	EXG/PTC	Move to Status 2		
M80	Entity Relationship Diagram	W	18.10.2005	EXG			
M81	Entity Definitions	W	18.10.2005	EXG			
M82	Attribute Definitions	W	18.10.2005	EXG			
M83	Relationship Definitions	W	18.10.2005	EXG			
M84	UPU Logical Data Model	0	06.10.2004	DCG	Update to incorporate messages M30–M37	3	2006

UPU Status P (New work item proposal) standards

Project number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WG	Priority	Target date
P2	Statements of mailing	P	16.07.1996	CEN/EXG	Adopt CEN item at status 0	3	2006
P3	EDI messages for the inter-administration account settlement process	P	27.01.1998	EXG	Waiting from requirements from accounting group		
P4	Carrier Exception Handling	P	27.01.1998	IATA/UPU Group	This functionality will be incorporated into CARDIT/RESDIT based on recommendations by the IATA/UPU Group on EDI		
P5	Electronic communication of mail piece images and related item attributes	P	16.07.1998	EXG	Move to status 0	2	2006
P6	Classification of mail	P	15.08.1999	DCG	DCG to review original item at next meeting		
P8	Modification of existing standards to support the use of issuer codes	P	1.02.2000	DCG	Complete work item	1	2006
P9	Facing identification marks	P	24.07.2000	PEG	Move to status 0	4	2006
P10	Process management and tracking of mail aggregates	P	24.07.2000	DCG	Move to status 0	1	2006
P11	Address Block Locators	P	17.10.2000	PEG	Move to status 0	4	2006
P14	EDI Message for addressing information	P	30.01.2001	EXG/ Addressing Group	DCG to review original item at next meeting	1	
P17	Customer-applied item identifier	P	16.04.2002	PEG	Move to status 0	1	2006
P18	Item identification standards	P		PEG			
P19	Claims and Inquiries and Verification Notes	P	06.07.2004	EXG	Move to status 0	3	2006
P20	Standardized parcel labels	P	04.11.2002	PEG	Move to status 0	3	2006
P22	Money order identifiers	P		PEG			
P23	Open standard interface from machine control to bar code printers	P	08.04.2003	CEN/PEG	Move to status 0	3	2006

Project number	Name	Status	Date last published	Authority	Action Planned by the WG	Priority	Target date
P24	Open standard interface between image handler and OCRs or video coding places (VCPs)	P	08.04.2003	CEN/EXG	Move to status 0	3	2006
P25	Document identification and bar coding	P	12.07.2005	DCG/PEG	Move to status 0	2	2006