Telecommunications and Information Exchange Between Systems ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 6

Document Number:	N14109
Date:	2009-10-13
Replaces:	
Document Type:	Disposition of Comments
Document Title:	Disposition of Comments on ISO/IEC DIS 13157, Information
	technology Telecommunications and information exchange between
	systems NFC-SEC: NFCIP-1 Security Services and Protocol
Document Source:	Project Editor
Project Number:	
Document Status:	For your information.
Action ID:	FYI
Due Date:	
No. of Pages:	24

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC6 Secretariat Ms. Jooran Lee, KSA (on behalf of KATS)

Korea Technology Center #701-7 Yeoksam-dong, Gangnam-gu, Seoul, 135-513, Republic of Korea;

Telephone: +82 2 6009 4808; Facsimile: +82 2 6009 4819; Email: jooran@kisi.or.kr

Template for comments and secretariat observations	Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/IEC DIS 13157
--	------------------	---------------------------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
GB1	Annex B	Table B.1 and B.2	TE	Changes to ISO/IEC 18092 should not be specified in this standard.	Any changes to ISO/IEC 18092 should be carried out in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives and not specified in this NFC-SEC Fast Track.	Accepted by: Changing the annex B title and introduction of annex B
SG1				All references to ECMA 340 shall be changed to ISO 18092 or ISO 18092:2004 appropriately		Accepted, normally done before publication. Already fixed in the ISO/IEC formatted version
SG2				This document shall be named as ISO 13157-1		It is assumed that the name should be changed to ISO/IEC 13157-1.
						The editor will implement the direction of the ISO editor under guidance of ISO IT Task Force.
						Resolved by
						The editor will investigate with the general secretariat if a name change at such a late stage is still possible and if it should be performed on the request of one NB.
SG3				All reference to ECMA 386 shall be changed to ISO 13157-2 (i.e.		Accepted Already fixed in the ISO/IEC
				the second ballot document)		formatted version
SG4	11.2, page 19	PID		". "PID values are registers at <ecma url="">" shall be changed to "PID values are registered with a suitable subcommittee in JTC1".</ecma>		No subcommittee in JTC1 has volunteered to perform the registration effort up to today.
				In general, the subcommittee shall be tasked to resolve details such as (a) terms and conditions for registering a PID - can an		Resolved by
				organization register for a PID without publication of implementation details (to what level of detail); (b) allocation of PID for proprietary implementation if there is a demand.		Ecma International is willing to take the registration effort to allow implementations of ECMA-385 and also ISO/IEC13157 at this time. When a subcommittee, e.g. the NB of
						Singapore is willing to take over

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
						this effort, then Ecma is glad to support a smooth handover.
KR1			GE	It is highly recommended that the work seek comments from the 10892/14443 Harmonization Study Group in JTC 1/SC 6/WG 1 and a note on future harmonization be added if needed		The Harmonization effort of ISO/IEC 18092/21481 with ISO/IEC 14443 identified different use cases for NFC security in peer-to-peer mode and smart card security.
						Resolved by
						Inserting a note at the end of the scope:
						This standard does not address application specific security requirements (as typically needed for smart card related use cases and standardized in the ISO/IEC 7816 series). NFC-SEC may complement application specific security requirements of ISO/IEC 7816.
JP 1	1 Scope and 2 Conformance		ge	The security mechanism for the IC Cards is specified in the ISO/IEC 7816 series. And the ISO/IEC 14443 is the contact-less interface specification for the ISO/IEC 7616 (IC Cards) objects. Therefore, it is impossible to use DIS 13157 (ECMA-385) for the interface to the ISO/IEC 7816 (IC Cards) objects. The SCOPE of DIS 13157 states "This standard specifies the NFC-SEC secure channel and shared secret services for NFCIP-1 and the PDUs and protocol for those services." This text implicitly and undesirably indicates a possibility to apply DIS 13157 on the interface for the IC Cards. It should be avoided.	The SCOPE of DIS 13157 should be changed as follows: This standard specifies the NFC-SEC secure channel and shared secret services for NFCIP-1 and the PDUs and protocol for those services. The NFC-SEC is applied for the Data Exchange Protocol of the NFC.	Resolved by adding a note to the scope of DIS13157 Note: NFC-SEC is exclusively designed and optimized for the data exchange protocol of ISO/IEC 18092.

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
JP 2	9.4	2 nd sentence	te	When a NFCIP-1 device was set on a cradle and multiple transaction is ongoing, in this use-case is not covered. In this use-case, the SSE and SCH instances are still active even after the deactivation of NFC-SEC, if the NFCIP-1 level of connection is still alive. This use-case is usually happen when NFCIP-1 is used with cradle.	The sentence should be changed as follows: After Release or Deselect of NFCIP-1, after finish of NFCIP-1 transaction or when the NFCIP-1 device is powered off, SSE and SCH instances shall be terminated and the associated shared secret and the link key shall be destroyed.	Rejected The term NFCIP-1 transaction is not well defined and might lead to ambiguities. Also it is rather the application layer and not the transport layer that can decide if a transaction is completed.
JP 3	Annex B		ge	The annex B of this DIS is a technical changing request to the ISO/IEC 18092.	The annex B of this DIS should be removed from this DIS, and it should be proposed to the SC6 as the technical changes to ISO/IEC 18092 instead of the annex of this DIS 13157 (NFC-SEC).	See GB1
JP 4	B.4	Figure B.1	te	The byte PPi of bit 7 is newly specified as SECi. This is technical change of ISO/IEC 18092.	The annex B of this DIS should be removed from this DIS, and it should be proposed to the SC6 as the technical changes to ISO/IEC 18092 instead of the annex of this DIS 13157 (NFC-SEC).	See GB1

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
JP 5	B.4	Table B.1	te	The specification of length reduction value (LRi) is different from the ISO/IEC 18092. It is technical changing request. The implementation, indicates the payload length by LEN and its valid length by LRi, is conform to the ISO/IEC 18092 as of today. If this specification was changed by this DIS 13157, then this implementation becomes nonconformity.	The annex B of this DIS should be removed from this DIS, and it should be proposed to the SC6 as the technical changes to ISO/IEC 18092 instead of the annex of this DIS 13157 (NFC-SEC).	See GB1
JP 6	B.4	Figure B.2	te	The specification of length reduction value (LRt) is different from the ISO/IEC 18092. It is technical changing request. The implementation, indicates the payload length by LEN and its valid length by LRt, is conform to the ISO/IEC 18092 as of today. If this specification was changed by this DIS 13157, then this implementation becomes nonconformity.	The annex B of this DIS should be removed from this DIS, and it should be proposed to the SC6 as the technical changes to ISO/IEC 18092 instead of the annex of this DIS 13157 (NFC-SEC).	See GB1
JP 7	B.4	Figure B.2	ed	Typo. bit 6: RFU. The Initiator shall set it to ZERO. The Target shall ignore it.	Typo correction: bit 6: RFU. The Target shall set it to ZERO. The Initiator shall ignore it.	Accepted
JP 8	B.4	Table B.3	te	A new type of PFB is introduced for the ISO/IEC 18092. This is a technical change request to ISO/IEC 18092.	The annex B of this DIS should be removed from this DIS, and it should be proposed to the SC6 as the technical changes to ISO/IEC 18092 instead of the annex of this DIS 13157 (NFC-SEC).	See GB1
DE 1	Whole document		GE, TE	Germany disapproves the DIS 13157 (ECMA-385) and DIS 13158 (ECMA 386) for the reasons below. Germany will change its vote to approval, if at least DE 2 below will be satisfactorily resolved.		Acknowledged

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

1	2	(3)	4	5		(6)		(7)	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph Figure/Table Note (e.g. Table 1	e/ of com-	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed change	e by the MB	Secretariat observation on each comment subm	
DE 2	Whole document		GE, TE	The usage of ECMA-385 is closely bound to ECM 18092). So does ECMA-386 when applying it with The passive mode communication of ECMA-340 i between NFC devices and contactless chipcards. Security features of chipcards, however, being in a ISO/IEC 7816, are implemented according to one ISO/IEC 7816, regardless they are contact or contactless chipcar ECMA-385 may be undesirably interpreted to be unterface between NFC devices and chipcards. The avoided.	ECMA-385. s also used accordance with or more parts of ds. Therefore ised also for the his should be	Germany requests an additional e.g. in the scope text of the two should not be applicable for the because the security features for chipcards are specified in the security features for the security features features for the security features features features features for the security features featur	DIS texts, that ECMA-385 interface to chipcards, or the interface to	Resolved by KR1	
DE 3			GE, TE	It is highly recommended for SC6 to hold both the DIS after the ballot end, as it can be foreseen that changes will be done for ECMA-340 in due time because of the harmonization process of NFC and ISO/IEC 14443. As both the DIS are related to ECMA-340, modifications to those are much probable as a consequence of the harminzation process.				Resolved by Some modifications of 180 have been anticipated by AB. Since NFC-SEC is not targeted for smart card use cases, as requested by DE further harmonization work smart card standards (144-not affect NFC-SEC	Annex se E2, the
11	Introduction	Whole T	e	"The Standard specifies common NFC-SEC services and a protocol. This standard is a part of the NFC-SEC series of standards. The NFC-SEC cryptography standards of the series complement and use the services and protocol specified in this standard" The wording is unclear: What's such a thing as a "common NFC-SEC services"? Common to what? Which type of protocol is it referred to? Protocol to do what? What's that "NFC-SEC series of standards"?	every time that corresponding	tely the introduction. It looks like a new PID is allocated the "cryptography se standardized as a new ISO	The interpretation of France Resolved by The PID is a normative re in 13157. If a new PID is obviously it must be linke requirements in a new sta	quirement s specified standardized , then d with normative	

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Ten	plate for co	mments a	ind s	ecretari	iat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	EC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)		4	5		(6)		(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragra Figure/Ta Note (e.g. Tab	able/	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed chang	ge by the MB	Secretariat observatior on each comment submitt
	1 Scope	First sentence	Те		The Scope defines this standard as the secure channel for NFCIP-1. However section 2 "Conformance" points out that " Conformant Implementations that use the NFCIP-1 protocol shall also conform to the requirements in Annex B" Which seems to mean that: 1. other protocols than NFCIP-1 might also support ISO/IEC 13157. 2. when ISO/IEC 13157 is implemented over NFCIP-1 then the NFCIP-1 compliant devices require additional requirements (Annex B) And therefore that this ISO/IEC 13157 layer is not independent from the underlying layers , failing to comply with OSI model	establish a sec that communica To establish the specifies accesservices, called protocol to be ended with the con- ISO/IEC 18092 comply with the B"	ure channel between two device ate using a contactless interface is secure channel this standar ass points to invoke securiful d NFC-SEC services, and	The scope of the stand changed after it has been d 2 additional notes (see clarify the scope .	approved.
13	2	First paragraph	Те		PID is not the most suitable mechanism to provide a flexible framework to specify security		ed by the selected PIDs"	Rejected Because the PID is a nece DIS13157	essary requirement of
24	3	First Reference but applicable to the whole document			The document must refer to ISO standards when available	Replace ECM/by ISO/IEC 18092 Idem for NFICF		Accepted Already fixed in the ISO/II	EC formatted version
1 5	7	First	Ed		The expression "follows concepts" is not	Replaces "follo	ws concepts" by "shall comp	Rejected y Figure 1 in the general cla	ause is an illustration

with"

acceptable in an ISO standard

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

General

which should facilitate the reading of standard

users. There is no need for a hard requirement

in this sentence.

sentence

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for co	mments a	nd s	secretar	cretariat observations Date: 2009-11-12 Document: DoC ISO/IEC DIS 13157				IEC DIS 13157	
	T		1				T		T	
1	2	(3)		4	5		(6)		(7)	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	lause No./ Figure/Table/ Annex Note		Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by t	he MB	Proposed char	ge by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted	
5	8	First sentence	Те		What happens if the SSE service is invoked a second time, prior to any SCH? Is the former shared secret replaced by the last calculated one? Are there now two	follows: " before o another methorstandard" In section 8.2 re " establish a left	f afterwards, using the SSE dology out of the scope of t eplace link key"	If the SSE is invoked a or shared secret is generate	second time, a new ed. The requirement is secret shall be elated to the previous the NFC-SEC-USER, OCATION SDU, The est he shared secret his discretion. about shared secrets ed SCH service. link key" by "session ed by this standard in Secret key securing	
7	-	First sentence	Ed			services bello uncorrelated fro beforehand or a "This chapter d SCH, that the NFC-SEC Use enables the transmission of	ow shall be cryptographical or any shared secrets establish afterwards" by: describes two services, SSE at NFC-SEC layer provides to the tr. When invoked, these services cryptographic protect of NFC-SEC User messager entities by means of a protographic protect.	Accepted By adding the explanation By adding	rom shared secrets.	

described in chapter 9"

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for co	mments a	and s	secretar	iat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	EC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)		4	5		(6)		(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragra	able/	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed chang	ge by the MB	Secretariat observation each comment sub-
8	8.1	Whole section	Те		It's not evident the interest of the SSE service "establish a shared secret between two peer NFC-SEC users, which they can use at their discretion" The generation of a shared secret makes sense as a first stage needed for the subsequent creation of a secure channel using a set of session keys derived from this shared secret. When reading the DIS ISO/IEC 13158 the SSE is just the first step for SCH so SSE is not as such an independent service but the mere execution of NFC-SEC protocol 9.1 and 9.2 steps. When looking at section , the only difference is that ,meaning that the shared secret for the SCH is kept by the NFC-SEC layer, whereas the shared secret for the SSE is moved up to the NFC-SEC User Layer (but that's not explicitly described in Annex B)	A sound Use Ca		Resolved Use cases are describe white paper and should standards text	
9	8.1	End of the paragraph	Ed		Refer to FR6	bellow shall be	paragraph: ets established with the service e cryptographically uncorrelate d secrets established beforehan	d	to SSE as well as

Or an alternative sentence as a result of FR5

resolution

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

	Template for comments and secretariat observations	Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/IEC DIS 13157
--	--	------------------	---------------------------------

	1	2	(3)	4	5 (6)			(7)		
	MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No Annex (e.g. 3.1)		ible/ of com-	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB Proposed change		by the MB Secretariat observon each comment s		
FR10		8.1	End of the second paragraph	Те	The NFC SEC cryptography scheme should be not necessarily a standard. Any security scheme may be indexed in using a UR different as the current PID definition.	y " according standard identifing by " according to the standard identification of the	to the NFC-SEC cryptography ied by the PID" to the cryptographic mechanisms in the peer entities"			
FR11		8.2	First sentence	Ed	The service provided by the SCH is to be bette described. It's not just about the creation of a secure channel, but rather on the protected transmission of NFC-SEC User PDUs	by The SCH proved cryptographical	vides a service of transmission of ly protected NFC-SEC User		NBs which accepted	
FR12		8.2	Second Paragraph	Те	A more precise wording is needed and the reference to PID removed, see FR8		rrent text by: the SCH shall establish a <i>session</i>	Rejected The term session key is n	not used	

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

	1				iat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	
1	2	(3)		4	5		(6)		(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragra / Figure/Ta Note (e.g. Tab	able/	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed chan	ge by the MB	Secretariat observatio on each comment submi
13	9	Whole	Gener	ral	Sections 9 to 12 mix concepts and the text should be more precise A protocol is not made up of mechanisms but consists of an exchange of Protocol Data Units (PDUs) that makes possible the instances of the communicating entities executing the protocol to go through a predefined machine state. Each protocol stage is then finished when as a result of the transmission /reception of one or more PDUs each entity comes to an unambiguous state. That's more rigorous description has been done in Annex A and should be referred to here. Otherwise there is no link between Annex A SDL schemes and the protocol stages defined in this chapter.	following: "Upon invocation NFC-SEC entitic the execution of The execution of of four stages a Associated to ea entities transit according to cha the protocol bot and the Receive Examples add th of each section. 9.1 "At the end NFC-SEC Send and the NFC-I Established Rec 9.2 "At the end the NFC-SI	n of a NFC-SEC service, the peres shall create instances to state the NFC-SEC protocol. If the NFC-SEC protocol consists as described in the next section and the states as described in the next section and the states and the secution of these stages the NFC-SE between the machine states apter 10. To start the execution the NFC-SEC entities, the Sender shall be in the Idle state. In the following sentences at the error of the Key Agreement stage, the secution of the Key Agreement stage, the secution in the secution state. If of the Key Verification stage, sec Sender entity and the Receiver are both in the	misunderstood by other the current wording ts s. n	
14	9.1	First sentence	Те		The NFC SEC cryptographic schemes to be used to provide the NFC-SEC schemes should not necessarily be identified with a PID. Any security scheme may be referred to by using a URI different as the current PID definition. Refer to FR comment on section 11.2	" During this in established by	nitial stage, a shared secret the exchange of the ACT_RE PDUs resulting in the execution	Q	

Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. NOTE

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general te = technical ed = editorial

Tem	plate for cor	nments a	nd sec	retaria	at observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	IEC DIS 13157	
1	2	(3)		4	5		(6)		(7)	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	oclause No./ Figure/Tabl Annex Note		ype of om- ent ²	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed change	e by the MB	Secretariat observation each comment subn	
15	9.2	Title	Ed	·	The Term Key "Confirmation" is misleading. In the OSI model confirmation is the SDU send by layer N-1 to layer N when a Service Request SDU was received on the SAP offered by layer N-1. But in the text "Confirmation" is a NFC-SEC protocol stage, not a SDU.	"Key Verificatio		rejected Key confirmation is the s term (see ISO/IEC 11770		
16		Only sentence	Те		Avoid the reference to the PID. According to Table 2, the VFY_REQ and VFY-RES PDUs don't convey any PID information. This protocol stage should be linked with the corresponding instances machine states (refer to FR14)	" The peer NF agreed shared VFY_RES PDU At the end of NFC-SEC Ser	FC-SEC entities shall verify their secret using the VFY_REQ and Is. the Key Verification stage, the nder entity and the NFC-SEC pe both in the Confirmed state, as	The reference to the mentioned in clause 10 S	state machine is	
17	9.3	Title	Editorial		The Title of the section PDU security is not very informative. There is no such a thing as PDU security , the PDU ENC conveys a cryptographically protected message passed by the NEC USER layor using the SDU Send	"Encrypted PDI	rrent title by: J Exchange (EPE)"	Rejected This substantial editoria misunderstood by other the current wording. The term PDU security is decument, it addresses	NBs which accepted used frequently in the	

Ďata .

cryptographically protected message passed by the NFC-USER layer using the SDU Send

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory. document. It addresses mechanisms applied

to PDUs, in contrast to the key agreement and

confirmation mechanisms.

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general te = technical ed = editorial

Tem	plate for co	comments and secretariat ob		secretar	riat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC	ISO/IEC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)		4	5			(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragra / Figure/T Note (e.g. Tab	able/	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed ch	ange by the MB	Secretariat observatio on each comment submi
9.3		Second Sentence	Те		The wording lacks of precision. ENC doesn't protect anything. It is a special PDU that conveys in the Payload Data protected by cryptography The NFC SEC cryptography scheme should be not necessarily a standard. Any security scheme may be indexed in using a URI different as the current PID definition. The Invocation of SSE and SCH services give rise to the execution of different processes with different flow diagrams.	"The peer NFC exchange using agreed cryptogod Redesign Figur flows: One for the SCH services."	C-SEC entities shall protect g ENC, according to a mut raphic scheme.	neral Rejected	
20	9.4	Whole	Те		The current paragraph is mixing events from different protocol layers. Termination PDU (TMN PDU) only applies to NFC-SEC layer and is different from the Release or Deselect of NFCIP-1. TMN PDU means that both NFC-SEC instances are in the Idle State, according to A.4.4, ready for another Service Invocation, not necessary that the associated shared keys are destroyed. This means that if the NFCIP-1 layer is selected or the NFC device is powered off the NFC-SEC instances, if any, are not "Terminated"	Add to the end "Both instances ready for the service" Replace the ser "After Release the NFCIP-1 de instances shall shared secret a by a NOTE: "After R when the NFCII generated as	of the first sentence, the follows shall then enter the Idle so invocation of a new NFC-intence or Deselect of NFCIP-1, or vice is powered off, SSE and be terminated and the associated the link key shall be destroyed.	when SCH iated byed"	nsition to the IDLE state"

NFC-SEC service shall be destroyed"

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for cor	nments	and s	ecretar	iat observations	Date: 2009-11-12		Document: DoC ISO/IEC DIS 13157				
1	2	(3)		4	5			(6)		(7)	(7)	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	ise No./ Figure/Table/ of Note com-		oposed change	9,		iat observations omment submitted					
1	11	Whole	Te		The NFC SEC is defined to run only over the NFCIP-1 layer. This restriction prevents the use of the NFC SEC over any logical layer exposing equivalent features.	Define the "adja		as the NFCIP-1				
22	11	Table 2	Те		The table appears inconsistent with regards the purposes of the different PDUs. For instance by its own nature the PDUs VFY_REQ and VFY_RES should convey a field ("payload") with the data to be confirmed. The same applies to ENC whose purpose is to transmit a cryptographically protected message. The payload conveys this message. The term "prohibited" is unusual in standards. The term "absent" (A) is preferable for not required field.	NFC SEC PDU ACT_REQ ACT_RES VFY REQ		NFC SEC Payload C C M M M A	Rejected To remain consistent wit	h 11.3		

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for con	nments	and s	ecretari	iat observations	Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	IEC DIS 13157
1	2	(3))	4	5	(6)		(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragra Figure/T Note (e.g. Tal	Γable/ :e	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB		Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
	11.2	Whole	Те	•	The notion of Protocol Identifier is disputable. Replace Protoc	col Identifier (PID) by Securit	Rejected	

	The notion of Protocol Identifier is disputable. Actually according to section 9 there is only one protocol in this standard, the NFC-SEC, whose execution is required to render both the SSE and the SCH services. What actually changes during the instantiation of the NFC-SEC entities is the set of crypto-algorithms to render SSE and SCH services. However the possibility to execute the same protocol with different algorithms is not new.
	When more than one algorithm is involved terms such as "Security Context " or "Security Environment" are usual. Because Security Environment is standardized by ISO/IEC 7816 for this purpose and to avoid any ambiguity, we suggest the use of Security Context Identifier (SCID) as more appropriate that the somehow misleading PID. For SCID encoding refer to next comment

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for con	nments and s	secretar	riat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/I	IEC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)	4	5		(6)		(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the N			e by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
2.4	11.2	Tech	nnical	As currently defined the PID is a URI (Unique Resource Identifier) indexing a NFC SEC cryptography scheme. This PID asks for a registration where a principle without registration will be more convenient, flexible and faster. In removing the registration, we remove dependencies between the ECMA and other (private or public) organizations willing to reuse this standard. As an alternative the following encoding for the Security Context Identifier (SCID) is suggested. This approach makes unnecessary the mess to launch a new part of the standard every time a new PID is recognized.	C-SEC crylue is comp The NFC- specificat according specificat URL of th specificat A MD5 is to the RF- The SCID operation e SCID field t absent in	ptography specification. SCIE uted as follow: -SEC cryptography ion is identified by a URI to the RFC3936 ion. The URI shall contain the e organization maintening the ion. applied on the URI according C1321 specification. b is the result of the MD5 . d is present in the ACT_REQ	A PID is not just an alg NFC-SEC cryptograp required for any new P	ohy standard is

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for cor	nments a	and s	secreta	riat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	IEC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)		4	5		(6)		(7)
MB ¹ Clause No Subclause N Annex (e.g. 3.1)		Paragra Figure/Ta Note (e.g. Tab	able/	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB		Proposed chan	ge by the MB	Secretariat observ on each comment su
5	11.3	Whole	Те		There is not much content on this paragraph. "The TMN PDU shall contain no NFC-SEC payload" (already specified in Table 2 and in 11.4) "The NFC-SEC payload field shall contain an integer number of octets" (is padding required? if yes how to identify it?) "Its use is the ERROR PDU is specified in the Error sub-clause" (redundant) "Its use in all other PDUs depends on the PID" For the purpose of the interoperability of NFC-SEC implementations it's useless. It could be argued that the exact structure of the PDU Payload depends on the PID (SCID). However when looking at DIS ISO/IEC 13158, even if the structure of , eg the ENC field, is provided, the encoding for the portioning of the different data elements (eg, making up the PDU ENC Payload) is missing.	descri of the ISO for	iption of the structure and the 7 PDUs (using eg ASN.1 ar standards 8824 and 8825) interoperability of NFC-SE	id is	requirements that are
6	11.4	Whole	Ed		The information there is redundant with the content of Table 2. In addition ,by removing it the content of the section becomes more consistent. Indeed the current text mixes the description of the data fields of NEC SEC RDI le with the structure and	ection	11.4	Rejected 11.4 specifies that the T the SEP field only	MN PDU consists of

fields of NFC-SEC PDUs with the structure and encoding of two of these PDUs (11.4 for TMN and 11.5 for ERROR)

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Ten	plate for cor	nments a	nd secreta	riat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	IEC DIS 13157	
1	2	(3)	4	5		(6)	(7)	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragrap Figure/Ta Note (e.g. Table	ble/ of com-	Comment (justification for change) by the	ne MB	Proposed change by the MB		Secretariat observations on each comment submitte	
27	11.5	Whole	Te	The content of this section is inconsistent with Table 2. Indeed Table 2 states that the ERROR PDU only conditionally contains a payload whereas section 5 indicates that the payload for ERROR "shall contain a zero-terminated byte string". On the other hand, the generation and reception of the PDU ERROR automatically puts the state of both NFC-SEC entities in "IDLE". This means that the NFC-SEC protocol doesn't support any ERROR Recovery procedure. Therefore the interest for a Payload in the PDU ERROR is questionable. Finally, apart from the zero-terminated byte string requirement, the very limited amount of information here is redundant with Table 2	Remove 11.5		Resolved By adding clarifying word 11.4 specifies that the ER the SEP field and zero-terminated byte str Payload field.	ROR PDU starts with shall contain a	
28	12	Whole	General Te	The sentence "This clauses specifies rules for the NFC-SEC protocol" is to be avoided	for the NFC-SE " This clause s the NFC-SEC p this standard representation	nce "This clauses specifies rul C protocol" by pecifies the rules for processi protocol that an implementation shall comply with. An SI of the protocol machi provided in Annex A"	Clause 12 specifies rule protocol of DL	es for the NFC-SEC	
29	12.1	Fifth Bullet	Te	"When a NFC-SEC entity receives an SDU in a state where it is not allowed or with invalid contents, it shall respond with an ERROR SDU and leave the state unchanged" That's a consistent requirement. Notice however that SDUs are not part of the NFC-SEC protocol which only deals with the	(2) put th differe	ove this bullet or his text as a NOTA warning of the ence between ERROR SDU an DR PDU.			

exchange of PDUs.

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for co	mments an	d secretari	iat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	IEC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)	4	5		(6)		(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph	e/ of com-	Comment (justification for change) by the	е МВ	Proposed chang	ge by the MB	Secretariat observation on each comment submitte
0	12.2	First Bullet T	е	If this is the case a mechanism to indicate the upper bounds acceptable for a PDU length should be included in the protocol and prior to the transmission of any PDU (indeed the Payload of the ACT-REQ/ACT_RES might already exceed the Recipient/Sender buffering capability). If such a discovery mechanism is not provided the bullet is useless.		the protocol specifying mechanism for the maximur eptable for a PDU or remove thi	implementation-specific common phenomenon. A must take them into according to the common of the comm	Application designers ount and assure that ems are properly the NFC-SEC layer the NFC-SEC-USER

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for con	nments ar	nd secreta	riat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/	IEC DIS 13157		
1	2	(3)	4	5		(6)		(7)		
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragrap	ole/ of com-	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed chang	e by the MB	Secretariat observati	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted	
1	12.4	Whole	Te	Unclear and incomplete paragraph. Basic issues addressing security concerns aren't addressed What happens if during the SCH services after sending an ENC PDU a PDU ERROR is received? Are the shared secret key and the derived session keys still available? On the other hand the standard fails to precise how the different fields that make up a cryptographically protected Payload in the ENC PDU are identified. That's essential for the parsing and correct processing by the peer NFC-SEC entity. (as an example refer to ISO/IEC 7816-4 mechanism for Secure Messaging)	"Prior to the trasender process passed on using process uses at the outcome of the NFC-SEC proceeds to	a cryptographic agreed scheme f this process is then mapped into Payload of the ENC PDU". In of the ENC PDU, the Recipien he cryptographic process of the agreed scheme. If no error is DU Data Available shall be moved SEC Layer"	a NFC-SEC entities shall to SCH using TMN. After Roof NFCIP-1, or when the powered off, SSE and SC terminated. Upon transit associated shared secret be destroyed. The parsing of the payloas NFC-SEC cryptography sid the PID.	erminate SSE and elease or Deselect NFCIP-1 device is CH instances shall be ion to the IDLE state t and the link key shall d is specified in the		

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for co	mments a	and se	cretar	iat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC IS	SO/IEC DIS 13157
1	2	(3)		4	5			(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No. Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragra Figure/Ta Note (e.g. Tab	able/	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by	the MB	Proposed (change by the MB	Secretariat observation on each comment submit
33	Annex A.3	First sentence	Те	<u>'</u>	The first sentence "The NFC-SEC protocol machine in this Annex specifies the sequence of PDUs to establish the SSE and to establish, use and Terminate the SCH" First there is no reason why one of the services is completely described whereas the other isn't. Meaning that Informative Annex A is incomplete. Second , that 's not accurate. In section A.4.4 "Confirmed State" the Terminate SDU and the TMN PDU apply to both SSE and SCH. When the Recipient receives the PDU ACT_REQ and answers with a PDU ACT_RES , an SDU should be sent to the NFC-SEC USER to report that a NFC-SEC Service has been requested. This information informs the USER layer that the NFC-SEC entity has moved to the "Established Recipient" State and is no longer able to receive the SDU of the Idle State (refer to FR comment This SDU should include the type of the service and the Security Context Identifier	2. Repla NFC-Anne to est use a sayin "Th descrease The The The The The The The The The Th	is Annex consists of ar iption of the NFC-SEC pange when rendering NF is a confirm SDU to the list d	by phrasing "establish a shis f PDUs blish, simply an SDL rotocol C-SEC Rejected The NFC-USER is inf ESTABLISHED SDU estgablishment phase SDU indications.	ormed by the
34	Annex A.4	A.4.1	Те		In the IDLE state no PDU conveying a ERROR is expected to be received. The IDLE state is either the initial state or the state acquired after a PDU ERROR is sent or received		Idle	Rejected. Due to timing, an ERI received immediately state. See also 12.1.	ROR PDU may be after transit to the IDLE

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Template for comments and sec			secretai	ariat observations		Date: 2009-11-12	[Document: DoC ISO/I	IEC DIS 13157	
1	2	(3)	4	5		(6)			(7)	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)			the MB	Proposed change by the MB		Secretariat observations on each comment submitted			
35	Annex A.4	A.4.1 Te		Upon transmission of ACT_RES by the recipient, the NFC-USER Layer should be aware that an Invocation of Service has been received by the NFC entity, so that the NFC-SEC Layer state has been moved from "Idle" to "Established Recipient". This SDU should indicate the type of Requested Service, SSE or SCH. This SDU is to be included in Annex A.3	"Service Invoke	a diagram follows by insed" SDU: ACT_RES BERVICE NVOCED Lished Recipient	serting the	Rejected. The NFC-USER is informe ESTABLISHED SDU. Col establishment phase are in SDU indications.	llisions during the	

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	plate for con	nments and s	secreta	riat observations	Date: 2009-11-12				
1	2	(3)	4	5		6)	(7)		
MB ¹	MB ¹ Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex Note (e.g. 3.1) Paragraph Figure/Tabl Note		Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB		Secretariat observations on each comment submitte		
36	Annex A	A.4.4 Te		Secret is available and the NFC-USER is invited to retrieve the shared secret. That means that in diagram A.4.4 a case has been missed. That case makes use of the SDU's "Retrieve Secret" and "Return Secret" defined in A.2 and A.3 The machine state of the NFC-SEC peers remain anyway at the "Confirmed" State.	RETURN SECRET Confirmed_SSE	Accepted. The diagram is updated	accordingly		

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

Tem	emplate for comments and secretariat observations				Date: 2009-11-12	Document: DoC ISO/IEC DIS 13157			
1	2	(3)	4	Comment (justification for change) by the MB		(6) Proposed change by the MB		(7) Secretariat observations on each comment submitted	
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²						
37	Annex B	Clause B.4 Te	,		this standard		See GB1		

¹ MB = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment: ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial