

ISO/IEC JTC 1 N 9278
ISO/IEC JTC 1
Information Technology

2008-09-29

Document Type: Summary of Voting

Document Title: Summary of Voting on JTC 1 N 9154 – ISO/IEC DTR 15446, "Information technology - Security techniques – Guide for the production of Protection Profiles and Security Targets"

Document Source: JTC 1 Secretariat

Reference:

Document Status: As per the results of this ballot, the DTR has passed. SC 27 is instructed to review the comments received, prepare a disposition of comments, and if necessary, a revised text for submission to ITTF for publication.

Action ID: Information

Due Date:

No. of Pages: 9

Result of voting

Ballot Information:

Ballot reference:	JTC001-N-9154
Ballot type:	CIB
Ballot title:	ISO/IEC DTR 15446, "Information technology - Security techniques - Guide for the production of Protection Profiles and Security Targets"
Opening date:	2008-06-26
Closing date:	2008-09-26
Note:	This document is circulated to JTC 1 National Bodies for DTR ballot. National Bodies are asked to vote and submit their comments via the on-line balloting system by the due date indicated.

Member responses:

Votes cast (26)	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Ecuador (INEN) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) Philippines (BPS) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI)
------------------------	---

Comments submitted (2)	Sweden (SIS) Ukraine (DSSU)
Votes not cast (14)	Algeria (IANOR) Azerbaijan (AZSTAND) Côte-d'Ivoire (CODINORM) Iran, Islamic Republic of (ISIRI) Jamaica (BSJ) Kazakhstan (KAZMEMST) Kenya (KEBS) Malaysia (DSM) Pakistan (PSQCA) Saudi Arabia (SASO) Slovenia (SIST) Uruguay (UNIT) USA (ANSI) Venezuela (FONDONORMA)

Questions:	
Q.1	"Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"
Q.2	"If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"
Q.3	"If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

Answers to Q.1: "Does your National Body approve the attached DTR to go forward to publication?"		
16 x	Approval as presented	Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) Philippines (BPS) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR)
9 x	Abstention	Australia (SA) Denmark (DS) Ecuador (INEN) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR)

		Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) Singapore (SPRING SG) Switzerland (SNV)
1 x	Approval with comments	United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	Disapproval of the draft	

Answers to Q.2: "If you approve the DTR Text with comments, would you please indicate which type ? (General, Technical or Editorial)"

25 x	Ignore	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC) Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Ecuador (INEN) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) Philippines (BPS) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV)
1 x	Editorial	United Kingdom (BSI)
0 x	All	
0 x	General	
0 x	Technical	

Answers to Q.3: "If you Disapprove the Draft, would you please indicate if you accept to change your vote to Approval if the reasons and appropriate changes will be accepted?"

26 x	Ignore	Australia (SA) Belgium (NBN) Canada (SCC) China (SAC)
------	--------	--

Czech Republic (CNI) Denmark (DS) Ecuador (INEN) Finland (SFS) France (AFNOR) Germany (DIN) India (BIS) Ireland (NSAI) Italy (UNI) Japan (JISC) Korea, Republic of (KATS) Malta (MSA) Netherlands (NEN) New Zealand (SNZ) Nigeria (SON) Norway (SN) Philippines (BPS) Singapore (SPRING SG) South Africa (SABS) Spain (AENOR) Switzerland (SNV) United Kingdom (BSI)	
0 x	No
0 x	Yes

Comments from Voters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
United Kingdom (BSI)	<i>Comment File</i>	2008-09-22 11:20:50
CommentFiles/UnitedKingdom(BSI).doc		

Comments from Commenters		
Member:	Comment:	Date:
Sweden (SIS)	<i>Comment</i>	2008-09-17 13:31:17
Please find attached SE comments in document " SE_comments_on_DTR_15446_(J1N9154)"		
Sweden (SIS)	<i>Comment File</i>	2008-09-17 13:31:17
CommentFiles/Sweden(SIS).doc		
Ukraine (DSSU)	<i>Comment</i>	2008-09-26 09:28:19
approval as presented		

UK comments on ISO/IEC DTR 15446

Date: 2008-09-18

Document: **SC27 N6645**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
NB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the NB	Proposed change by the NB	Resolution on each comment
UK 1	12.4.1	5	Ed	The word “be is missing after “The selection of the SARs will”.	Insert “be”.	

¹ **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 080917	Document: N6645
--------------	-----------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
SE1	1	1, line 4	Ed	15408 addresses IT Security; rather than “information security”	Replace “information security” with “IT security”.	
SE2	1	5, line 2	Ed	It seems appropriate to include a reference to [3].	Replace “registration” with “registration [3]”.	
SE3	2	2	Ed	Due to the current status of 15408-1, it cannot be assured that this part will be published in 2008.	Add footnote.	
SE4	4		Ed	Missing abbreviations used in the document: LDAP, PKI.	Add LDAP (Lightweight Directory Access Protocol) and PKI.	
SE5	5	2, line 3	Ed	For improved clarity, modify text.	Replace “to earlier” with “also to earlier”.	
SE6	6.3.1	Last bullets, 2 nd bullet	Ed	Escalation should be: hard, harder, even harder.	Delete “even”.	
SE7	6.3.2.4	1	Ed	A customer may give the PP to more than one developer (e.g. in an acquisition process).	Replace “a developer.” with “one or more developers.”.	
SE8	6.3.2.4	Next to last	Ed	Superfluous words.	Delete “by the developer”.	
SE9	6.3.3.2	3, last line	Ed	Improve logic.	Replace “any customer’s specific” with “any specific customer’s”.	
SE10	6.3.4	Last line	Ed	Unclear meaning of “more explicitly”.	Replace “more explicitly” with “explicit”.	
SE11	9.1	6 th para	Ed	To get the SPD right is indeed extremely important.; add emphasis.	Replace “important” with “of paramount importance”.	
SE12	9.2.2.6	2 nd para	Ed	“Proof” is maybe a too strong word.	Replace “proof” with “verification”.	
SE13	9.2.3	1 st para, line 6	Ed	Preferred wording.	Replace “trades” with “trade-offs”.	
SE14	9.2.3	5 th para, line 3	Ed	Preferred reference to communication protocol(s)..	Repac “http/https” with “SSL/TLS” (and add those to clause 4).	
SE15	9.3.3.3	Last bullet list	Ed	“Data center” is a broader term than “processing equipment”.	Replace “Data Centres.” with “Centrally located equipment, such as servers, network components etc.”	
SE16	9.3.4	5 th para	Ed	Missing word.	Add “only” after “usually found”.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 080917	Document: N6645
--------------	-----------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
SE17	9.3.5	3 rd para	Ed	Although semantically akin, the word “hesitate” is preferred to “be afraid”.	See comment.	
SE18	9.6	Last para	Ed	Add word for emphasis.	Add “then” after “actual requirement”-	
SE19	10.3	2 nd para	Ed	Preferred wording.	Replace “clearly” with “well”.	
SE20	10.6	7 th para	Ed	Improve wording.	Replace “put” with “state in the rationale”.	
SE21	12.2.4.1	Last para	Ed	Inconsistent grammar.	Replace “monitor” with “monitoring” (2 places).	
SE22	12.2.4.2	2 nd para	Ed	Missing word.	Replace “each list” with “each list entry”.	
SE23	12.2.4.2	2 nd bullet	Ed	Typo	Replace “functions recover” with “functions recovering”.	
SE24	12.2.4.2	3 rd bullet	Ed	Improve wording.	Replace “stopped” with “halted”.	
SE25	12.2.5.1	5 th bullet	Ed	Typo. [Additional comment: The bullet lists on 12.2.5.1 and 12.2.5.2 are very similar; can this be simplified?]	Replace “send” with “sent” and “receipt” with “received”.	
SE26	12.2.5.1	Last line	Ed	Missing comma.	Insert comma after “channel”.	
SE27	12.2.5.2	2 nd para	Ed	Missing final full stop.		
SE28	12.2.5.2	3 rd para	Ed	Avoid line break in “e.g.”.	Delete the space.	
SE29	12.2.5.2	4 th para	Ed	Typo	Delete “of” in first line.	
SE30	12.2.5.2	4 th para, 2 nd line	Ed	Functions containing functions makes the reading hard.	Replace “data by functions that detect” with “data by detecting”.	
SE31	12.2.7.1	Last	Ed	Forward reference could be useful.	Add reference to table 6.	
SE32	12.2.7.2	2 nd para	Ed	Improper self reference.	Use “this Technical report” instead of “this guide”.	
SE33	12.3.5	5 th bullet	Ed	Typo	Add “s” at the end of “parameter”.	
SE34	12.3.5	5 th bullet	Ed	Typo and wording.	Delete space after “e.” and replace “hanging” with “switching”.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 080917	Document: N6645
--------------	-----------------

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
SE35	12.4.1	3 rd para	Ed	Legacy systems will usually have design documentation, the issue may instead normally be if it is sufficiently detailed.	Add “sufficiently detailed” after “(where “.	
SE36	13	4 th para, line -2	Ed	Missing word.	Add “been” after “functions have”.	
SE37	14.1	2 nd bullet	Ed	Typo	Add “s” following “register”.	
SE38	14.1	6 th bullet	Ed	Also smart cards have OS.	Add “host” before “operating system”. Also in last bullet in the following bullet list.	
SE39	14.1	Example objectives list, 7 th bullet	Ed	Insert information regarding the context for the probability.	Add “in a brute force attack” after “falsely authenticating a user”.	
SE40	14.1	Example objectives list, 8 th and 9 th bullet	Ed	Clarify.	Add “ (claimed)” after “record contains the” (8 th bullet). Add same also after “obtain the” (9 th bullet).	
SE41	Bibliography	Schneier	Ed	No objection to include this reference although another book by the same author may be at least as relevant: Practical Cryptography Niels Ferguson, Bruce Schneier ISBN: 978-0-471-22357-3 April 2003, John Wiley & Sons	Review Bibliographic list.	

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.