

**ISO/IEC JTC 1  
Information Technology**

**Document Type:** National Body Contribution

**Document Title:** Japan's Proposal on BRM Related Procedure for the Discussion at the SWG Directives Meeting to be Held 2008-07/29/31, Mt. Tremblant, Canada

**Document Source:** National Body of Japan

**Reference:** This document is for review and consideration by delegates to the July 2008 JTC 1 SWG-Directives Meeting in Mt. Tremblant, Canada

**Action ID:** ACT

**Due Date:**

**No. of Pages:** 2

**Title: Japan's proposal on BRM related procedure for the discussion at the SWG Directives meeting to be held 2008-07-29/31, Mt. Tremblant, Canada**

**Source: The National Body of Japan**

Japan observes that the BRM related procedure described in clause 13 and 14 of the JTC 1 Directives is ambiguous on some points as follows:

(1) In the clause 14.4.3.9, there is no particular specification of the voting procedure, only adaption of the clause 9.4.1 is stated without asking any accordance to "the normal JTC1 procedure" that is specified in the clause 13.8.

It is not clear that who should be involved in the voting, the inside of BRG or P-O members who voted to the original DIS balloting. Otherwise, the allowance or capability on the BRG autonomy should be specified more clearly.

(2) In the current 14.4.3.8, it is requested that NBs who voted "Disapproval" have to send delegate to the BRG. However, it should be also requested that NBs who voted "Approval" but have "technical comments" should send delegates to the BRG as well.

Japan would suggest BRM procedure should be spelled out more precisely.