

CSE 403 Autumn 2013

Deliverable: Requirements (Phase 1b)

Due: 10/18/2013

Team: Whiteboard Interviewer
Members: Bing, Roi; Christanto, Danny; Moore, Clara; Namara, Yosun; Tjong, Christopher; Vo, Tuan

Document Section Description	Weight	Level	Item Score	Item Description
	2	Excellent	6	Clearly identifies purpose of the product
	1	Excellent	3	Clearly identifies target audience
	2	Excellent	6	Clearly identifies existing alternatives and their pros/cons
	1	Needs Work	1	Clearly explains why this product is different and/or better than alternatives
Tools	1	Excellent	3	Clearly identifies plausible software tools/libraries/languages planned to be used
	2	Needs Work	2	Clearly describes the team's level of expertise in these tools/libraries/languages, and what still needs to be learned
	1	Excellent	3	Clearly explains why these tools/libraries/languages were chosen, and why they are suitable for this project
Features	3	Excellent	9	Clearly identifies 3-4+ major features that are part of core functionality to be implemented
	2	Excellent	6	Clearly identifies 2+ minor features that will be part of the implementation attempt but won't impact core if left out
Schedule/Workload	2	Unacceptable	0	Clearly delineates how the work will be divided amongst team members
	2	Unacceptable	0	Clearly describes why this particular division of labor was chosen and makes sense as a team strategy
	2	Satisfactory	4	Clearly identifies the status of features (not started, in progress, complete) during each implementation phase (alpha, beta, V1)
	1	Needs Work	1	Clearly describes any dependencies among features that have an impact on scheduling the work
Risks/Adjustments	1	Excellent	3	Clearly identifies risks or worries
	1	Needs Work	1	Clearly identifies which features (at most 2 minor) that can be cut
	1	Excellent	3	Clearly describes at least one plausible risk mitigation strategy that does not involve cutting features
Use Cases	2	Excellent	6	At least 3 relevant use cases shown (2 formal, 1 casual)
	3	Satisfactory	6	Clearly shows reasonable and sufficient steps for important core functionality ("success" scenario)
	1	Excellent	3	Clearly delineates role of the user/actor from that of the system
	1	Excellent	3	Includes significant detail to show role(s) of users and their interaction(s) with the product
General	2	Excellent	6	Clearly shows extensions and reasonable failure handling remedies (or discusses how remedies will be researched)
	2	Satisfactory	4	Professionally written (clear, excellent spelling, grammar, etc.)
	2	Satisfactory	4	Thoroughness, effort evident from level of detail and plausibility
	1	Excellent	3	Formatting and structure clear, aids the reader
Totals	39		86	
Final Score [0, 3]			2.21	
Your schedule/workload section should document how you are distributing work among team members. Your use cases don't cover the "main" interaction (actually participating in an interview) but they are very clear nonetheless. You did a good job describing the product.				
Percentage			84	

Project Areas	Weight	Item	Notes
Status	5	Team Status Reports	Did you answer the questions, did you turn it in on time. 100, 90, or 0. Drop the lowest score.
Communications	5	Peer Evaluations	Did you answer the questions, did you turn it in on time. 100, 90, or 0. Drop the lowest score.
	5	Phase Meetings	Did you have a phase meeting. 100 or 0. Drop the lowest score.
Planning	4	Proposal,	100
		Icebreakers, and Team Organization	
	5	Requirements	
	4	User Interface	
Design & Logistics	3	ZFR	
	6	Design	
	6	Alpha Release & Documentation	
Development	7	Beta Release & Documentation	
	5	Beta Demonstration	
	3	Test #1	
Polishing	8	Version #1 Release & Documentation	
	3	Test #2	
	6	Final Presentation	
Project Total	75		
MidTerm1	12.5	Take-Home Questions	
MidTerm2	12.5	Reflection Paper	

0	0	Unacceptable	No
0.05	60		
0.1	61		
0.15	61.5		
0.2	62		
0.25	62.5		
0.3	63		
0.35	63.5		
0.4	64		
0.45	64.5		
0.5	65		
0.55	65.5		
0.6	66		
0.65	66.5		
0.7	67		
0.75	67.5		
0.8	68		
0.85	68.5		
0.9	69		
0.95	69.5		
1	70	Needs Work	
1.05	70.5		
1.1	71		
1.15	71.5		
1.2	72		
1.25	72.5		
1.3	73		
1.35	73.5		
1.4	74		
1.45	74.5		
1.5	75		
1.55	75.5		
1.6	76		
1.65	76.5		
1.7	77		
1.75	77.5		
1.8	78		
1.85	78.5		
1.9	79		
1.95	79.5		
2	80	Satisfactory	
2.05	81		
2.1	82		
2.15	83		
2.2	84		
2.25	85		
2.3	86		
2.35	87		
2.4	88		
2.45	89		
2.5	90		
2.55	91		
2.6	92		
2.65	93		
2.7	94		
2.75	95		
2.8	96		
2.85	97		
2.9	98		
2.95	99		
3	100	Excellent	Yes

Deliverable: Alpha (Phase 3a)**Due:** 11/13/2013**Turned In:** 11/13/2013**Team:** Whiteboard Interviewer**Members:** Bing, Roi; Christanto, Danny; Moore, Clara; Namara, Yosun; Tjong, Christopher; Vo, Tuan**Document Section
Functionality**

Weight	Level	Item Score	Item Description
3	Excellent	9	System demonstrates a significant effort towards implementing at least some core parts (bugs OK)
2	Excellent	6	System shows adequate amount of functionality to get a feel for how the system will work (stubs OK)

Release Notes

2	Excellent	6	Clearly identifies which "normal operation" features have been wholly, partially, or not implemented
1	Needs Work	1	Clearly identifies which "exceptional operation" features (for example, error handling) have been wholly, partially, or not implemented

Contributions

1	Excellent	3	Clearly identifies who did what with a high-level summary of persons and contributions
1	Excellent	3	Uses the issue tracking system, commit messages, and/or alternative method(s) to clearly highlight non-obvious or non-coding contributions

Schedule/Workload

1	Excellent	3	Clearly identifies tasks left to be done during the rest of development
1	Needs Work	1	Clearly identifies dependencies and/or priorities among tasks
1	Needs Work	1	Clearly identifies deadline for each task's completion
1	Needs Work	1	Clearly identifies responsibility for each task

Issue-/Bug-Tracking

2	Excellent	6	Bugs or unimplemented features are clearly noted in the bug-tracker and/or release notes
1	Excellent	3	Team is making a good effort to use the Bug- or Issue-Tracker feature in GitHub (labels, assignments, milestones, closure)

User Instructions

1	Needs Work	1	Clearly indicates where user documentation is found (on wiki, within product, etc.)
3	Excellent	9	Clearly explains reasonable and sufficient steps for functionality that has been implemented thus far
2	Satisfactory	4	Professionally written (clear, excellent spelling, grammar, etc.)

Totals

23	57
----	----

Final Score [0, 3]

2.48

Comments

Looks very polished!

Schedule is not very specific about deps/deadlines/responsibilities

User instructions were spread out through the alpha release page; one "user docs" section would be better

Everything worked great when I tried it; nice to see how well it works!

Percentage

89

CSE 403 Autumn 2013

Deliverable: Design (Phase 2b)

Due: 11/1/2013

Turned In: 11/1/2013

Team: WhiteboardInterviewer

Members: Bing, Roi; Christanto, Danny; Moore, Clara; Namara, Yosani; Tjong, Christopher; Vo, Tuan

Document Section	Weight	Level	Item Score	Item Description
Architecture	2	Excellent	6	Includes a picture/diagram that clearly identifies and expresses the chosen architecture and its important parts
	1	Needs Work	1	Clearly explains why this architecture contributes to a good solution to the problem your system is supposed to solve

Decision Rationale

1	Needs Work	1	Identifies a significant decision that was chosen over alternatives discussed
2	Unacceptable	0	Clearly describes each alternative and explains its pros and cons
1	Needs Work	1	Clearly explains why the final design was chosen over the alternatives

Data Organization

2	Excellent	6	Includes a clear high-level picture, diagram, schema, or other depiction of how data is organized
1	Excellent	3	Data is either self-evident, or includes a data dictionary or glossary for clarification

UML Class Diagram

2	Needs Work	2	Follows syntax guidelines for names, attributes, operations; consistent
2	Satisfactory	4	Follows syntax guidelines for relationships (inheritance, other associations) and multiplicity
2	Excellent	6	Diagram is reasonably complete
1	Satisfactory	2	Design follows design tips and heuristics from class diagram slides

General

2	Needs Work	2	Professionally written (clear, excellent spelling, grammar, etc.)
2	Excellent	6	Thoughtfulness, effort evident from level of detail and completeness
1	Satisfactory	2	Formatting and structure clear, aids the reader

Totals

17 32

Final Score [0, 3]

1.88

Percentage

78.5

CSE 403 Autumn 2013

Deliverable: Zero-Feature Release (Phase 2a)

Due: 10/25/2013

Turned In: 10/25/2013

Team: WhiteboardInterviewer

Members: Bing, Roi; Christanto, Danny; Moore, Clara; Namara, Yosan; Tjong, Christopher; Vo, Tuan

Item Source	Weight	Level	Item Score	Item Description
	1	Yes	3	URL exists for hosted page or to download app
	1	Yes	3	Hosted web page(s) or deployed app establishes a database connection and displays some data
	1	Yes	3	GitHub repo location and access provided

Issue Tracking 1 Excellent 3 Issue tracking has at least one issue assigned to each team member

SysAdmin Instructions

1	Excellent	3	Professionally written (clear, excellent spelling, grammar, etc.)
2	Excellent	6	Complete

Totals 7 21

Final Score [0, 3] 3

Percentage 100

CSE 403 Autumn 2013

Deliverable: User Interface Prototype (Phase 1c)

Due: 10/18/2013

Turned In: 10/18/2013

Team: WhiteboardInterviewer

Members: Bing, Roi; Christanto, Danny; Moore, Clara; Namara, Yosan; Tjong, Christopher; Vo, Tuan

Document Section Use Case Coverage	Weight	Level	Item Score	Item Description
	2	Excellent	6	Completely prototypes interaction that accomplishes the main task outlined in the use case
	1	Excellent	3	Includes representation of some dynamic content (pop-up lists, dialog boxes, etc.)
	1	Excellent	3	Includes some coverage of major extensions as appropriate

UI Elements

1	Excellent	3	User interface elements chosen are appropriate for the task
---	-----------	---	-------------------------------------------------------------

General

2	Excellent	6	Thoughtfulness, effort evident from level of detail
1	Excellent	3	Prototype parts labeled and include written instructions if UI is not obvious

Totals

8		24	
---	--	----	--

Final Score [0, 3]

3

Comments

Percentage

100
