

Homework 5

ejlouie, Auyen

Evan Louie
Austin Yen
CMPS 101
5/11/16

1. Delete (A, i)

$n = A.length$

swap $A[i], A[n]$

$A[n] = \text{NULL}$

$n = n - 1$

return Heapify (A, i)

Heapify (A, i)

left = $2i$, right = $2i+1$

$n = \text{len}(A)$

if (left < n) and $A[\text{left}] < A[i]$

min = left

else

min = i

if (right $\leq n$) and $A[\text{right}] < A[\text{min}]$

min = right

if (min $\neq i$)

swap $A[i], A[\text{min}]$

Heapify (A, min)

The time complexity of Delete is $\Theta(\log n)$. In the delete function, everything except for heapify runs in $\Theta(1)$ time. Heapify is what does the majority of the work. In heapify there is 1 recursive call that depends on the case, and the rest (swapping) runs in $\Theta(1)$ time. The children's subtrees have at most $2n/3$.

The worst case occurs when the bottom level of the tree is half full.

* Adapted from
CLRS

$$T(n) \leq T(2n/3) + c$$

$$a=1 \quad b=3/2 \quad f(n) = n^0 = 1$$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^{\log_{3/2} 1} = n^0 = 1$$

$f(n)$ equals 1, which is equal to $n^{\log_{3/2} 1}$

So the second case applies therefore

$$T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_{3/2} 1} \log n) = \Theta(\log n)$$

2.1. K -Sort (A, K)

$B = \text{Build-MaxHeap}(A)$

return $\text{Modified-Heapsort}(B, i, K)$

$\text{Build-MaxHeap}(A)$

size = $A.\text{length}$

for $i = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ to 1

$\text{Max-Heapify}(A, i)$

$\text{Max-Heapify}(A, i)$

left = $2i$, right = $2i + 1$

$n = \text{len}(A)$

if (left < n) and ($A[\text{left}] > A[i]$)

 max = left

else if ($A[\text{right}] > A[\text{left}]$)

 max = right

if (right < n) and ($A[\text{right}] > A[\text{max}]$)

 max = right

if (max != i)

 swap $A[i], A[\text{max}]$

$\text{Max-Heapify}(A, \text{max})$

$\text{Modified-Heapsort}(A, i, K)$

$A_{\text{size}} = A.\text{length}$

Initialize output array of size K

$K_{\text{size}} = K.\text{length}$

for $i = 1$ to K

 max = $A[1]$

$M[K_{\text{size}}] = \text{max}$

$K_{\text{size}} = K_{\text{size}} - 1$

$A[1] = A[A_{\text{size}}]$

$A_{\text{size}} = A_{\text{size}} - 1$

$\text{Max-Heapify}(A, 1)$

return M

The time complexity K -sort is the time it takes for Build-MaxHeap and Modified-Heapsort . In class we proved that to build a max heap, it takes $O(n)$. For Modified-Heapsort , we extract the max from the root, then place that value at the end of the array which take $O(1)$. After we called Heapify , to maintain the heap properties. We do this entire process K times, since we only want to sort K elements. In the last problem we proved heapify takes $O(\log n)$ time. In this case it only takes $\log(K)$ times because we are only sorting the first K largest elements. The time complexity is $O(n + K \log K)$, since we call Build-MaxHeap and Modified-Heapsort runs heapify K times.

2.2 Sort-Arrays ($A_1 \dots A_k$)

```
Initialize array B of size k
for i to k
    B[i] = Ai // Add each array to B so that B is an array of arrays
Build-MinHeap(B) // Build min heap assuming it considers each inner array's first index
Initialize array C of size n
j = 0
for i to n
    min = B[0][j] // After build heap, the min value is the first index of the first array in B
    Add min to array C // extract the first index of the root array
    B[0].remove(B[0][j])
    Heapify(B, B[0])
return C
```

Time complexity of sort-Arrays is $O(n \log k)$. This is because the for-loop runs for n times, which is the total amount of elements in all arrays. Within this for loop we are calling heapify, which as defined in question 1 to run $O(\log n)$ times. In this case since the size of the heap is k , Heapify runs in $O(\log k)$. This for loop runs $O(n \log k)$.

Building the min-heap, and adding each array to B both run in k times. So the total time complexity would be $O(2k + n \log k) = O(n \log k)$.

Q3.1

• Heapsort (A)

Initialize new array B

BuildHeap(A)

for $i = 0$ to $A.length - 1$

min = ExtractMin(A)

B.append(min)

BuildHeap(A)

return B

ExtractMin(A)

min = A[0]

A[0] = A[A.length - 1]

A.remove(A[A.length - 1])

return min

The stability of heap sort depends on the heapify function. If using a min-heap, one has to consider the child comparisons for a parent. If heapify decides to swap from the right side first when the left and right are equal, then heap sort is not stable. This can happen when you use " $\text{if}(A[\text{left}] < A[\text{right}])$ " to swap the parent with a child, because it then swaps the right side in an else statement. To ensure that the left child is swapped first, " $\text{if}(A[\text{left}] \leq A[\text{right}])$ " could be used.

Q3.2

- To extract something and maintain the min-heap, heapify must be called. Although the extraction by swapping the root with a leaf takes $O(1)$ time, we must call heapify on the resulting heap so that the leaf doesn't stay at the root of the min-heap where it likely doesn't belong. Since the time complexity of heapify is $O(\log n)$, $O(\log n)$ is the best we can do for ExtractMin.

Q4.

```
Insert (Root, A)
  if (Root == null)
    initialize new node with key A
  else if (A < Root.key)
    Insert (Root.left, A)
  else if (A > Root.key)
    Insert (Root.right, A)
```

Insert recursively moves down the tree until it finds an empty spot (a null).

The recurrence to do this would be

$$T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{2n}{3}\right) + 1$$

Master Theorem: $a=1, b=\frac{3}{2}, c=1$

$$n^{\log_b a} = n^0 = 1, c = \theta(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(n) = \theta(\log n) = \theta(D)$$

```
Delete (node, A)
  if (node.key == A)
    if node only has one child
      node = its child
      its child = null
    else if node has 2 children
      if the key of node.left >= key of node.right
        node = node.left
        Delete (node.left, A)
      else
        node = node.right
        Delete (node.right, A)
  else if node.key < A
    Delete (node.left, A)
  else
    Delete (node.right, A)
```

Delete has to search for any node with a key equal to A. The actual deleting process takes $\theta(1)$. Since Delete recursively searches, its worst case would involve

traversing the depth of the tree. Like Insert, the

recurrence is $T(n) \leq T\left(\frac{2n}{3}\right) + 1$

Therefore, like Insert, its time complexity is $\theta(\log n) = \theta(D)$

• Range(a, b)

return FindRange(root, a, b)

FindRange(node, a, b)

if (node.key \leq b and node.key \geq a)

return 1 + FindRange(node.left, a, b) + FindRange(node.right, a, b)

else if (node.key $<$ a)

return FindRange(node.right, a, b)

else

return FindRange(node.left, a, b)

Range calls FindRange. FindRange begins at the root. It recursively searches for any node with a key within the range given. When it finds such a node, it adds 1 and continues searching. In essence, it moves down the depth of the tree. Like Insert and Delete, its recurrence is $T(n) \leq T(\frac{2n}{3}) + 1$. Its time complexity would therefore be $O(\log n) = O(D)$

4.2 • BlockDelete(a)

Block(root, a)

Block(node, a)

if (node.key < a)

Delete(node, node.key)

Block(node.left, a)

Block(node.right, a)

if (node.key = a)

Delete(node, node.key)

Block(node.left, a)

if (node > a)

Block(node.left, a)

BlockDelete searches and deletes any node with a key less than or equal to a . Like Range, it can traverse the depth of the tree, starting from the root. Like Range, the movement down the tree is $O(\log n)$. However, Block calls Delete several times, or the number of nodes less than or equal to a . The worst case would be if a is equal to or greater than the largest key in the tree. Therefore, the time complexity is $O(n \log n + \log n)$, or $O(nD + D)$.