

# CS670: Assignment 1

## Instructions

- Using LaTeX to typeset your solutions will fetch +5 bonus marks in the assignment.
- You can ask the instructor for help in the assignment during office hours or via appointment.
- All help will stop 48 hours before the assignment deadline.
- **Deadline: March 4th 2024, EOD**

## 1 Applications of DPFs

There are two servers  $S_0$  and  $S_1$ . There are  $C$  clients. Each client holds a string  $\alpha_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . The servers want to learn the number of clients who hold a certain string  $\sigma$ . At the same time clients would like to keep their strings a secret.

1. Write a protocol that allows the servers to calculate the number of clients who hold  $\sigma$  (while learning nothing about the client's secret string) **(12 marks)**.
2. Prove the correctness of your protocol **(3 marks)**.

## 2 Authenticated PIR

Observe that Private Information Retrieval (PIR) does not guarantee the integrity of the database, and thus it does not guarantee the integrity of the response that a client receives. Suppose that an honest database holder does not have enough space to hold the database. Therefore, it outsources the storage to an untrusted party. A client now uses PIR to retrieve data from this untrusted party.

### Malicious Database Holder

The malicious (and untrusted) *single* database holder can alter some contents of the database. Suggest a way in which you could prevent the malicious database holder from altering the database contents. Write protocol in detail. **(5 marks)**

### A Two Server PIR protocol

Now let us consider two servers who hold the replicas of the database.

1. Write a simple protocol that allows a client to privately retrieve the  $i^{\text{th}}$  record of the database. **(3 marks)**
2. Now, assume that one of the servers is malicious and can tamper with the database. Would the above protocol still work? Why/Why not? **(2 marks)**
3. Modify the above protocol so that we can detect any foul play from the malicious database holder. (*Hint: Along with the shares of a standard basis vector the client sends the shares of a standard-basis vector scaled with a random  $\alpha$ .*) Prove the correctness. **(10 marks)**

## 3 Computational PIR

### Replication is needed

Prove that if there are no *computational assumptions*, a single server is not enough to achieve PIR. The proof should be detailed and formal. **(5 marks)**

## SPIR

A Symmetric PIR scheme (henceforth SPIR) is a PIR scheme where, at the end, Alice learns nothing more than  $x_i$ . We will allow the databases to share a common random string; however, the length of that string will be one of our parameters. (Recall that  $a$  is Quadratic Residue modulo  $m$ , if  $z^2 \equiv a \pmod{m}$ ) **Hint:** User wanting to download item  $i$ , downloads  $n - 1$  random quadratic residues modulo  $m$ ,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n$ . User also generates one quadratic non-residue  $b_i$ . The user then sends  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{i-1}, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n$ . Server then computes  $R \leftarrow u_1^{X_1} \cdot u_2^{X_2} \cdot \dots \cdot u_n^{X_n}$ . Where  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is a one bit database held by the server and  $u_i$ 's are the series of the numbers received by the server. Of course, recall that server cannot distinguish between a residue and a non-residue.

1. Describe the CPIR protocol based on the quadratic residue assumption. Why does the protocol work? **(5 marks)**
2. Is the Quadratic Residue based PIR protocol SPIR? Prove it either way. **(10 marks)**

## 4 Programming Distributed Point Functions

1. Clone the repository from `git@github.com:avadapal/cs670-iitk-2024.git`. **(2 marks)**
2. Use the Makefile to compile the code and run the code. **(3 marks)**
3. The current code does not give the expected output. What should be the expected output? **(5 marks)**
4. Make changes to the `traverse` function in `dpf.h`, so that we get the expected output. **(10 marks)**