

Registered Report Early Adoption: Census of Journal Policies and Open Science Practice



Zachary Loran, Amanda K. Montoya, William L. D. Krenzer
UCLA, Department of Psychology



May 21, 2019

Undergraduate Research Week

Introduction



- Registered reports (RR): a form of research article where “in principle acceptance” is based on methods/design instead of results.
- Benefits of registered reports:
 - Increased accountability
 - Boosting the potential for replications
 - Encouraging data sharing and open science practices
 - Reducing the “file drawer issue”
 - Reducing *p*-hacking and questionable research practices to force significant results
- Goals of the study:
 - What types of journals are implementing RRs?
 - Which research practices are emphasized in guidelines?
 - What specific RR policies are they implementing?

Methodology

- Collecting a census on all existing Registered Reports (143 total journals)
- Two coders double coding and cross checking
- Approximately 20 variables in 3 categories:
 - 1) General Journal characteristics (Ex.- Impact factor)
 - 2) Open Science policies (Ex.- Access to data)
 - 3) Registered Report specific policies (Ex.- Secondary data analysis policy)
- Data was analyzed in an exploratory way, driven by initial findings. Some potential analyses, before data collection, included:
 - Looking at impact factor in relation to journal guidelines
 - Comparing journals from different disciplines in terms of their guidelines


Rates of Open Science Research Practice Policies for Registered Reports

	Required	Encouraged
External Preregistration	71 (50%)	10 (7%)
Access to Data	90 (62%)	43 (30%)
Access to Materials	73 (51%)	47 (33%)
Power > 0.8	64 (45%)	N/A
Replications	9 (6%)	79 (55%)


Results

- Many journals require / encourage Open Science / Ethical Research practices (See table above)
- Psychological disciplines have differing numbers of journals offering Registered Reports (See graph top right)
- Impact factor (measured with 2017 IF, 5-year IF, or H-index) did not increase with year (See graphs below)
- We busted some common myths about Registered Reports, showing that Registered Reports do allow:
 - Exploratory analyses
 - Preliminary data / pilot studies
 - Secondary data analysis

Registered Reports by Discipline



Busting Myths About Registered Reports



Conclusion

- Common myths about Registered Reports are largely unfounded. Researchers can use secondary data, conduct exploratory analyses, and run initial studies.
- The fact that the average impact factor for Registered Reports has not changed since its conception, suggests that the adoption of Registered Reports is not associated with the prestige of a journal, and it is other factors that determine adoption.
- Future studies:
 - Will focus on authors’ experience of RR
 - Will give a more complete picture of Registered Reports, their benefits and boundaries.

References

Chambers, C. D. (2013). Registered report: A new publishing initiative at Cortex. *Cortex*, 49, 609 - 610.

Nosek, B. A., and Lakens, D. (2014). Registered reports: A method to increase the credibility of published results. *Social Psychology*, 45(3), 137 - 141.

“Registered Reports.” *Registered Reports*, Center for Open Science, cos.io/rr/.

Impact by Adoption Year

