Monthly Copy Ps. 50

Annual Subscription Rs. 2



CONTENTS

1	AA	an	ane	7 7	Hie	Con	ntru
July .	LVI	41.11.	11.161	$L \sim L$	1 (60)	C 100	16614

Normalcy (Contd.)

A Forgotten Chapter

Tyranny

Evelyn Wood

The World Bank Tries Again

Presidential Rule in Tamil Nadu

The "Unionversity"

3

5 J. B. Kripalani

7 Sadhan Kumar Ghosh

12 R. E. Hawkins

14 A. Ramsay Tainsh

19 K. Santhanam

19 J. W. Chapman

Trust For The People... The entire wealth gathered by the Founder of Tata Enterprises, and his sons and successors, over almost a century of industrial pioneering is bequeathed to Tata philanthropic trusts and foundations.

The whole of that wealth is held in trust for the people and used exclusively for their benefit—scholarships for education, grants for rural welfare, contributions for medical research, support for national institutions, and donations for relief from natural disasters.

The circle is thus complete: what came from the people goes back to the people many times over.



A MAN AND HIS COUNTRY

How shall a man love his country? Worshippingly and adoringly as his Goddess; Reverently and affectionately as his Mother; Tenderely and passionately as his Beloved. Thus shall a man love his country.

How shall a man understand his country?
Freeing himself from the illusions of predeliction and prejudice,
Observing carefully and studying deeply all manner of men and conditions within it,
Humbly attempting to be intellectually clear-sighted and honest,
Beholding it, as far as possible, with the eye of eternity,
Regarding himself, despite all these, as blood of its blood and flesh of its flesh,
Depriving not even its worst manifestations of his all-abiding sympathy,
Thus shall a man understand his country.

How shall a man serve his country?

Being honest in all his dealings,

Setting an example of probity and decent conduct,

Making his word his bond in matters great and small,

Readily accepting in practice the demands of good citizenship,

Extending a helping hand to the needy and the less fortunate,

Making the best use of all opportunities for betterment, physical and intellectual,

Taking a continuous interest in national and local affairs,

Studying the country's problems and applying his mind to their solution,

Courageously standing for right causes in the face of strong opposition, bitter denunciation, and personal danger,

Letting despair not work upon him even in the country's darkest hours,

Finding full reward for right in doing right,

Thus shall a man serve his country.

NORMALCY

(Continued from 10-2-1975)

Let us consider this in relation to Mr. Gokhale's first instance, the making impossible of debates in Parliament. How? By frequent interruptions, by not obeying the Speaker, by occasional disorder, by insisting on getting on one's feet and speaking while another member is addressing the House. Clearly under the Rules of Parliament, the Speaker has ample powers to deal with all or any of these abuses. He can name the member or members misbehaving, he can suspend them for a substantial period, he can even with the approval of the House, keep them out of the House for a whole session. The Speaker, having the ruling majority party behind him, no member can hope seriously to challenge him without serious consequence to himself. What was the Speaker doing to let such conditions develop that the work of Parliament became impossible? What were the Government and the ruling party doing that they did not pull up the Speaker and remind him of his duty? Clearly, a failure of responsibility by both. No new powers, no emergency, was needed to deal with such a situation. All that was necessary was a proper exercise of the powers already possessed. That in itself would have amply sufficed to produce normalcy, the normalcy that existed before authority's laxity allowed the aberration from it to appear and grow.

Take Mr. Gokhale's second point, legislators being forced to resign. Again, the law of criminal intimidation is clear. Why was it not resorted to? If the legislators were merely subjected to verbal persuasion, surely they, who spend a good deal of their time persuading others verbally, should scarcely object. The choice to accept or reject such appeals was theirs. If it went beyond that, they could easily call on authority to enforce the law. Only if authority failed in that duty, refused to excercise the powers it already had, would any question of legislators being forced to resign arise. Here, too, normalcy would seem to be easily restorable. if authority recognised its own responsibility and exercised the powers it possessed before the emergency. In fact real Normalcy is not difficult to return to, and is very much in the interest of the people. Authority shies away from it, because it involves shedding the enormous powers it

has acquired during the period from 25th June 1975.

A FORGOTTEN CHAPTER

J. B KRIPALANI

The Congress Working Committee met at Allahabad in June 1930 in connection with the Salt Satyagraha. It "expressed its abiding faith in Civil Disobedience." It chalked out a Programme including a no-tax campaign, boycott of foreign cloth and British banking, and appealed to the military and police forces to strive for the freedom of their country like the Satyagrahis who were their brothers.

The Viceroy promulgated a dozen ordinances to counteract picketing, non-payment of taxes and tampering with the loyalty of Government

servants.

On June 30, Government arrested Motilal Nehru, the Acting President, and declared the Working Committee as an unlawful association. Thousands of people were jailed. Government by ordinances went on. Many newspapers and printing presses were shut down, including the Navajivan Press. Gandhiji's 'Young India' appeared in a cyclostyled form.

On July 9, the Viceroy addressed a Joint Session of the Council of State and the Central Legislative Assembly. Among other things, he said, "that by way of the conference it should be possible to reach solutions that both countries, and all parties and interests in them can honourably accept..." Within a week of this, a conference of 40 members of the Nationalist and Independent Parties in the Assembly and a few members: of the Council of State, unanimously passed a resolution authorising M. R. Jayakar to negotiate for a settlement between the Congress and the Government. The Viceroy agreed to both Sapru and Jayakar seeing Gandhiji, Motilal and Jawaharlal Nehru in jail, to bring about normal conditions.

Both the Peace Intermediaries had long conversations with Gandhiji in Yervada Jail on July 23 and 24. Gandhiji gave a note and a letter for the Nehrus. Sapru and Jayakar interviewed the Nehrus in Naini Prison on July 27. The conversations lasted for two days, but the Nehrus refused to make any suggestions without consulting Gandhiji and other colleagues of the Working Committee.

The Nehrus were brought to Yervada Jail and the joint interview took place on August 13, 14 and 15 between Sapru and Jayakar on the one side and the Congress leaders on the other. The result was a letter

signed by Gandhiji and the Nehrus.


The negotiators interviewed the Viceroy, Lord Irwin, with the letter. At the time he said, "I do not think any useful purpose would be served"

(at present).

Repression continued merrily. Other Congress leaders too were arrested, the Congress Committees were declared illegal bodies, their meetings were banned and their properties confiscated. These measures drove the Congress underground. It no longer dominated the streets, but its bulletins were still printed secretly and distributed. Surprise street corner meetings were held. A no-tax campaign was led by the Sardar in Bardoli.

Yet, within a few months, on January 25, 1931, Irwin released Gandhiji and the members of the Working Committee, withdrawing the ban on their meetings and on the Congress Committees, saying, "My Government will impose no conditions on these releases, for we feel that the best hope of restoration of peaceful conditions lies in discussions being conducted by those concerned under the terms of unconditional liberty.... If civil quiet were proclaimed and assured, Government would not be backward in response."

This chapter finds no place in "The Glimpses of World History"!



TYRANNY

SADHAN KUMAR GHOSH

Tyranny is no longer limited to Gulag Archipelago but is spreading. Yet it is a fact that unlike fascism and communism which are modern viruses, tyranny is ageless. In Ancient Greece, it is true, the word "tyrant" meant something different from what it does to-day. It referred to the source of power and not to the manner in which power was exercised, and so great a freedom lover as Byron had kind things to say about one of the tyrants, Miltiades. But that was a long time ago. Today, the tyrant corroborates Lord Acton at every step. Maurice Latey writes: "We live in an age of tyrants, and have a special interest in diagnosing tyranny in its early stages before the danger becomes too great." Machiavelli's The Prince is a handbook for tyrants but the modern tyrant, needs no instruction. Tyrants communicate with each other by a sort of telepathy across the centuries and the oceans and seem to grasp by instinct what they do not learn by study. The modern tyrant however emulates Hitler and Stalin (whom Latey describes as "great beasts of history").

Aristotle defined tyranny as "irresponsible rule over equals or betters in the interest of the ruler but not in the interest of the ruled." The modern tyrant has however adopted "L'Etat c'est Moi" as his watchword. Since he controls the mass media, the instruments of persuasion or coercion, it is not difficult for him to make many persons accept his self-imposed identification with the State, the People or the Proletariat. A more sophisticated definition is Pascal's. Pascal writes: "Tyranny consists in the desire of universal power beyond its scope.... So these expressions are false and tyrannical: 'I am fair, therefore I must be feared; I am strong, therefore I must be loved; I am indispensable, therefore I must be retained.' Tyranny is the desire to have in one way what can only be had in another." Nonetheless the mere enactment of harsh laws does not make one a tyrant.

Modern tyrannies are indeed apt to be totalitarian exercising arbitrary power over their citizens in all aspects of their lives. But historically, not all tyrannies were totalitarian States, nor were all totalitarian States tyrannies. The Greek City States were totalitarian but not tyrannical. The Roman Empire was tyrannical, especially under Diocletian and his successors, but not totalitarian. Mussolini was a totalitarian, but not a tyrant. It is only Hitler, Stalin and their imitators who have combined the two most hateful traits of public life. It is only in our time with modern means of transport, communication and indoctrination that totalitarian tyranny over vast super-States has become possible.

Great tyrants have generally appeared in circumstances that appeared to facilitate the emergence of autocracy or a combination of autocracy and oligarchy. However, one of the compulsive conditions is the imperious necessity of unity either in a common culture or a common nation and the inability to achieve it by consent and agreement. Hannah Arendt mentions

Carl Friedrich analysed the component of Stalinist or Russian tyranny—(1) An official ideology covering all vial aspects of man's existence. (2) A single mass party conditioned and didicated to the ideology organised in strict hierachical manner. (3) A technologically conditioned near-complete monopoly of means of effective armed combat. (4) A similar monopoly of means of mass communication. (5) A system of terroristic police control directed against arbitrarily selected classes of the population systematically exploiting scientific psychology. Since then Brainwashing has become Russia's national industry.

Modern tyrants, says Maurice Latey, have found it necessary to simulate the conditions of war even in peace-time. And this, says Maurice Latey, is the distinguishing feature of modern totalitarianism rather than any specific form of organisation. Fascist and Crypto-communist governments never miss an opportunity of raising bogeys of encirclement and conspiracy. This, approximately is the contour of modern post-Hitler totalitarianism and tyranny. The will is that of the tyrant and of a small group about him; but it can only be enforced by the active and willing participation of a vast number of agents, agents-provocateurs and informers which can only be secured in a certain climate of opinion and induced euphoria.

Regimentation of intellectuals was first attempted by Stalin, not Hitler. By the whip, and by the carrot—since the rewards for the conformist writers and artists are great—Stalin sought to produce a new Soviet intelligentsia immune to liberalism, democracy, the soul of which is dissent, and cosmopolitanism; a priesthood of the ideology. It is much to the credit of the Russian intelligentsia that the combination of persecution, persuasion and payment of hush money failed to prevent the emergence of men like Pasternak, Solzhenitsyn and Sakharov.

Maurice Latey claims that it has now become the settled policy of the Soviet leadership to attempt to capture nationalist dictators for Communism. The policy was launched under Stalin when, in the early fifties, the U.S.S.R. backed the Guatemalan left-wing dictator Colonel Arbenz. The model is Fidel Castro of Cuba but other Soviet satellites included Nkrumah of Ghana (before his fall), Allende of Chile (before his death) and Ben Bella and Boumedienne (both of Algeria). There is a natural affinity between tyrants—almost, one might say, a dictators' international.

Sir James Frazer in *The Golden Bough* discussing the priesthood at Nemi says, that the tyrant shall, when his turn comes, be supplanted. In Shakespear's *Julius Caesar* Brutus reminds us that the tyrant's main ally is disunity among his critics. It is his function to sow and promote this disunity and then destroy them one by one. "So let high-sighted tyranny range on till each man drop by lottery." The tyrant's second ally is the vamped up Goebbelsian lie assuduously circulated through the controlled mass media about a danger or a threat to the nation. In Nazi Germany, this technique reached near-perfection. The tyrant's third ally is big money which enables him to hire unprincipled politicians, and (Continued on page 17)

THE WOLLD BANK TRIES AGAIN

A. RAMSAY TAINSH

"THE ASSAULT ON WORLD POVERTY", Published for the World Bank by The John Hopkins University Press, 425 pages, Price: \$5.95.

THE Assault on World Poverty". That means this book takes up the problems of rural development and the system of education and health that has to be built up. It is especially important to students of international aid as it marks the end of an era. The rebuilding of the infrastructure of war-damaged Europe paved the way for rapid progress because the agricultural sector was intact and as soon as food could again flow to the towns the economy prospered.

The building of an infrastructure and multipurpose projects in Asia, Africa and Latin America was of little help because nothing was done

to help the peasants, herdsman and shepherds.

The aim of this book is to increase the productivity of the agricultural sector especially of the poorest of the poor in rural areas. The chosen method is a Green Revolution on a smaller scale.

The officials of the World Bank have used the Gross National Product and the annual income per capita as yardsticks to measure progress and poverty. As peasants still barter much of their produce and pay debts in kind, many of the estimates are far from accurate.

On September 24th, 1973, Mr. Robert S. McNamara addressed the Board of Governors of the World Bank in Nairobi and undertook to help the rural and urban people in the poorest of the poor countries. He summarised his policy as follows:

"Though the strategy for increasing the productivity of smallholder agriculture is necessarily tentative, the following are essential elements of any comprehensive programme:

- 1. Acceleration in the rate of land and tenancy reform.
- 2. Better access to credit.
- 3. Assured availability of water.
- 4. Expanded extension facilities backed by intensified agricultural research.
- 5. Greater access to public services.
- 6. And most critical of all, new forms of rural institutions and organisations that will give as much attention to promoting the inherent potential and productivity of the poor as is generally given to protecting the power of the privileged.

These elements are not new. The need for them has been recognised before. But they will continue to remain little more than pious hopes unless we develop a framework of implementation, and agree to commitment of resources commensurate with their necessity. That is what I propose."

After the conference in Nairobi the staff of the World Bank in Washington D.C. was given the task of preparing a book based on the data available which had been collected when the policy was to concentrate on very large projects such as high dams, hydro-electric power stations and heavy and capital-intensive industries.

The first four sections of the book are entitled: Rural Development, Agricultural Credit, Land Reform and Education. Each has a summary

and conclusion and masses of Tables and Appendices.

Mr. McNamara has himself written the Preface to the section on Education. Having read the first four sections he no doubt realised the difficulty of introducing a Mini-Green Revolution which requires the use of machines, fuel, lubricants, pesticides and chemical fertilisers, all based on high-priced crude oil.

A Mini-Green Revolution to be successful in terms of increased production would require that the peasants give up their well-tried traditional

methods and accept the new and more costly ones.

The fifth section on Health is most refreshing as it has been written by men who know the rural areas. This section does not put the emphasis on curative drugs produced by the pharmaceutical industries but on simple preventive medicine: in other words, potable water, wholesome food and sound hygiene.

The following passage summarises the basic theme of the book:

"Since rural development is intended to reduce poverty, it must be clearly designed to increase production and raise productivity. Rural development recognises that improved food supplies and nutrition, together with basic services such as health and education, cannot only directly improve the physical well-being and quality of life of the rural poor, but can also indirectly enhance their productivity and their ability to contribute to the national economy. It is concerned with the modernisation and monetisation of rural society, and with its transition from traditional isolation to integration with the national economy."

Unfortunately, "The Assault on World Poverty" is of little help to the would-be aid givers. It offers no clear-cut plan of how to help the poorest of the poor. If the co-authors had studied the address given by Mr. George D. Woods to the Swedish Bankers Association on October 27th, 1967, on the subject of "Development—Need for New Directions" and his subsequent address in New Delhi on February 9th, 1968 to the Second UNCTAD, their task would have been simplified. Mr. Woods told the delegates from 132 countries that "Waste was to be found in many sectors but nowhere was it more important than in agriculture."

The staff of the World Bank have made no attempt to estimate the Gross National Waste of Food and the Domestic Fuel Requirements in the poorest of the poor countries. Assaulting world poverty without first attacking easily preventable waste just makes no sense. When this was realised, McNamara changed his policy and recommended working with Nature, which was introduced as the "Second Green Revolution". This is

nothing but a return to the well-tried traditional methods which harness the energy of the sun rather than high-priced fuel and put the emphasis

on good husbandry and good housekeeping.

What the peasants need are airtight storage for their sun-dried grain and stone mills. These can eliminate about 60 diseases caused by birds, rodents, insects, mites, bacteria and fungi and the removal of roughage. The peasants also need small pumps to raise water. Water in the right place, in the right quantity and at the right time can increase yields of food, fodder, fibre and fuelwood four to eightfold. The most important subject completely ignored by the book is the need for massive reafforestation, the planting of wind-breaks and domestic fuelwood forests near every village and town so that the peasants will not have to dry the dung to be used as fuel but can use it to build up the humus, hold the soil and retain the moisture and feed the soil bacteria and fungi without which vegetation cannot thrive.

If Mr. McNamara has the time and the power to introduce and implement so radical a change of policy, then economic progress will be rapid and global inflation will be beaten. However, he will need new men in the World Bank, people with practical experience of peasant agriculture

and forestry.

Fabrics of Choice

2 x 2 Coatings 2 x 2 Poplins

SHIRTINGS, SAREES & VOILES

Mercerized Printed & Sanforized

The Ahmedabad Cotton Mfg. Co. Limited

Registered Office Premises Near Idgah Chowky Asarwa, Ahmedabad 16

(Continued from page 11)

deraciné rootless, jobless youth. History will level many charges against tyrants including embezzlement, liquidation of political opponents and alignment with other more powerful tyrants. But possibly the most serious charge will be the depravement and demoralisation of an entire generation.

Tyranny coupled with dynastic rule is a nervous break-down of the body politic. Modern society is subjected to a high degree of stress that often leads to tyranny. When this tyranny is coupled with megalomania and personality cult, there comes a proneness to claim immunity for its misdeeds—liquidation of political opponents, liquidation of dissent and suppression of Habeas Corups and Human Rights. In today's power-crazy and power-demented world, the misdeeds of a tyrant can in no circumstances claim immunity as internal affairs. They constitute the common concern of mankind.

Another ally of tyranny is the sense of alienation which is a feature of modern society. Maurice Latey claims that the most distinctive feature of the modern world is a crisis of confidence which is also a crisis of identity. A man's identity is largely determined by the goals which society sets him and by the image he has of himself as either achieving or rejecting them. A society in which the ruler and his colleagues make their illegitimate pile and throw their weight about is a compost of tyranny and oligarchy. From this arises the sense of trauma, frustration and alienation from society which Marx observed over a century ago. It is the sense of being a cog in a great machine, a means not an end which results in the loss of human dignity, purpose and identity. The modern tyrant promotes an acquisitive and predatory society, because the biggest exploiters of the people, the billionaires and the tax-dodgers become his natural allies, while the tax officers and vigilance men do well for themselves at the expense of the common honest people. One might adapt the language of W. B. Yeats in Sailing to Byzantium and say "This is no country for honest men".

When Julien Bende wrote Le Trahison deClercs in the heyday of Fascism, double standards did not exist and fascists did not need to proclaim themselves as anti-fascist. The neo-fascists, however, call themselves anti-fascist and the treason of the intellectuals is facilitated, who condone the suppression of freedom and facts in the belief that they are doing so in the interests of efficiency and discipline. The whip, the carrot and the Goebbelsian lie are the triple pillars of modern tyranny. Tyranny also finds a pluralistic society which has not attained full national integration highly congenial. It can exploit linguism and regionalism. History shows that pluralistic societies seldom realise or recognise the national menace until it is too late.

"We live in a shrinking world and the democratic vistas have ended in barbed wire" wrote Orwell more than thirty years ago. The relevance of his comment has much increased during this period. Tyranny has become rule by sudden arrests, slow poisoning and by endemic torture and terror. Young men are quietly shot in prisons and old men in detention-camps are slow poisoned, only because the Leader does not approve of them. As Solzhenitsyn points out, arrest in Gulag Archipelago or one of its imitators is utterly different from arrest in a civilised democracy where judges are neither suborned nor bullied and where Habaes Corpus is not a memory. "The majority of those in power were pitiless in arresting others and handed over to retribution any friend or comrade of yesterday in pursuit of a worthless ideology." Tyranny is the obverse of the coin of constitutional government. But a tyranny masquerading as a democracy is the greatest menace to mankind. The deification of persons or certain of the techniques of power is almost by definition, a recipe for tyranny.

HYDROGEN PEROXIDE

Ideal bleaching agent for cotton textiles, wool, synthetic fibres.

Useful oxidising agent for chemical reactions. Economical and permanent bleaching agent for writing & printing paper, newsprint pulp and jute

Bleaching and sterilising agent in cosmetics, pharmaceuticals, food & fermentation industries.

It also eliminates many municipal and industrial water treatment problems and acts as a pollution control product.

SODIUM PERBORATE

Safe permanent and most effective bleaching and whitening agent for cotton, wool, linen and rayon fabrics when mixed with domestic and industrial detergent powders (15 to 20%) and used at a temperature of 90°C.

Used as an ingredient of bleaching washes. Used in electroplating.

creams, lotions, deodorizers, hair bleachers, dentifrices and mouth It is specially useful for removing yellowing of nylon and other synthetics.

NATIONAL PEROXIDE LIMITED

NEVILLE HOUSE, BALLARD ESTATE, BOMBAY 400 038

PRESIDENTIAL RULE IN TAMIL NADU

It is the source of our simulations of the work of in a wiedge, the work of interest by advance of som MANAHANA. A interest by advance of some simulations of the source o

The appointment of a judicial tribunal to enquire into the allegations of corruption and misuse of power by some of the ex-Tamil Nadu ministers is to be welcomed. It is however a matter of regret that this enquiry was not made as and when the allegations were made. I have always been of opinion that there should be a high-level statutory All-India Tribunal which will be automatically seized of all such allegations and enquire into their truth. It is improper and dangerous to allow such allegations to become political

allegations to become political weapons of party struggles.

Hitherto, action under Article 356 has been taken only on two grounds: (1) there is a breakdown of law and order in the State, and (2) it is not possible to instal a Ministry having the support of majority of members of the State Assembly. As neither of these conditions obtained in Tamil Nadu, it is sought to set up a new criterion, namely the State Ministry and legislature are not functioning to the satisfaction of the Central Government. The present order dissolving the Assembly and dismissing the Ministry has been made just seven weeks before the Assembly would have come to an end in the natural course and a General Election should have been ordered. It would have been then open for non-D. M. K. candidates to convince the electorate of the misdeeds and failures of the D. M. K. Government and party and, if they succeeded, a new Assembly with a different set of members and a new ministry would have come into existence within three months.

THE "UNIONVERSITY"

J. W. CHAPMAN

A MERICAN higher education is intensely competitive. Permanent appointment in our leading universities is won through a process of prolonged national and international competition, the purpose of which is to select the best qualified persons. Typically academic departments, on the basis of their specialized knowledge, evaluate the contenders for tenured appointment and recommend the best to the administrative officers. These officers have authority to review the departmental recommendation, and with the help of independently obtained professional advice, they approve or reject the candidate. Final responsibility for integrity of this appointive process rests with the Chancellor and the Board of Trustees.

In the university there is no alternative to the principle of competitive merit. Its application is the only way to provide fairness to the contestants. It is the source of our institutional vitality, and it directly serves the public interest by advance of scientific and scholarly knowledge. The worth of our students' degrees depends decisively upon our strict adherence to it. Without foundation in competitively demonstrated merit, the privileges of academic tenure and freedom are devoid of legitimacy and significance. In its 1974 Report, The Tenure Commission said "The principle of open, competitive appointment, conjoined with high absolute standards of excellence, guarantees that only persons of outstanding ability and achievement will receive tenure, with its attendant rights, immunities, and responsibilities."

None of the advocates of a "Unionversity" has endorsed this Report. Rather they speak of academic "tenure" as a "right" that can be "earned" by meeting a standard called "merit" without reference to the merits of competitors. It is as though one were to say to Chuck Noll, "You may put only Pittsburghers on the team, and everyone who meets the local, not the national, standard of play shall have a starting post!" That is to say, in the "unionversity" not only is the principle of competitive merit displaced by the practice of localized patronage, but also the corporate responsibility of the Chancellor for appointment of the best qualified is destroyed.

Those who would unionize the University seek to legitimize and to dignify their ambition by calling it "collective bargaining." This is gravely misleading. For the purposes and consequences of unionization in higher education are entirely different from the aims and accomplishments of industrial unions. To unionize the universities is to destroy their institutional independence and to sacrifice justice and the public interest for private advantage. The stark reality is that men and women want those permanent university positions, each worth more than a million dollars and offering freedom that no other profession can match. And today they are prepared to betray academic ideals and responsibilities to get them.

Public Law 195, which made possible the invasion of the universities by the unions, is an unmitigated disaster for our system of higher education. It legalized the standing human temptation to desert professional trust for personal security. Good laws help men and women remain true to themselves.

54. Shri B. Venkatappiah, No. 8, Tees Janvary Marg, New Delhi 11

Posted at Central Packet Sorting Office, Bombay on 25-2-1876 Regd. No. BYW 69

Licence No. 14. Licensed to post without pre-payment

Edited and published by A. D. Gorwala at 40C Ridge Road, Bombay-6 and Printed by him at the Mouj Printing Bureau, Khatau Wadi, Bombay-4.

Proprietor: A. D. Gorwala