

# 1. The linked diagram theorem

**Definition 1.1. Model problem.** In perturbation theory, the *model Hamiltonian* is an operator  $H_0 \approx H_e$  which approximates the full Hamiltonian and has eigenfunctions spanning the complete  $n$ -particle Fock space. An obvious choice in electronic structure is the diagonal one-particle component of  $H_e$ , which is diagonal in the determinant basis.

$$H_0 \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} = \mathcal{E}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} \quad H_c = H_0 + V_c \quad H_0 \equiv f_p^p \tilde{a}_p^p \quad \mathcal{E}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} \equiv (f_{a_1}^{a_1} + \dots + f_{a_k}^{a_k} - f_{i_1}^{i_1} - \dots - f_{i_k}^{i_k})$$

where the perurbation is  $V_c \equiv f_p^q (1 - \delta_p^q) \tilde{a}_p^p + \frac{1}{4} \bar{g}_{pq}^{rs} \tilde{a}_p^{pq}$ . In this context, the reference determinant  $\Phi$  is termed the *model function*. Note that we are playing fast and loose with Einstein summation here: the  $i$  and  $a$  indices are not summed over, but the  $p$  index in  $H_0$  is. The eigenvalue can be determined by noting that  $a_p^p \Phi_k = n_p^k \Phi_k$  where  $n_p^k$  is the occupation number of  $\psi_p$  in  $\Phi_k$ . Therefore  $\tilde{a}_p^p = a_p^p - \tilde{a}_{p^\circ}^{p^\circ} = a_p^p - n_p$  which implies that  $\tilde{a}_p^p \Phi_k = (n_p^k - n_p) \Phi_k$ . It then follows that  $\sum_p f_p^p \tilde{a}_p^p \Phi_k = (\sum_{p \in \Phi_k} f_p^p - \sum_{p \in \Phi} f_p^p) \Phi_k$ , which leads to the expression above for  $\mathcal{E}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}$ .

**Definition 1.2. Model space projection operators.** The projection  $P = |\Phi\rangle\langle\Phi|$  onto the model function is termed the *model space projection operator*, and its orthogonal complement  $Q = 1 - P = \sum_k (\frac{1}{k!})^2 \sum_{a_1 \dots a_k} |\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}\rangle \langle \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}|$  is the *orthogonal space projection operator*. Note that  $P^2 = Q^2 = 1$  and  $PQ = QP = 0$  are necessary consequences of the fact that  $P$  and  $Q$  are projection operators for orthogonal subspaces, and note that  $P + Q = 1$ . Assuming *intermediate normalization*, where we set the norm of the wavefunction such that  $\langle\Phi|\Psi\rangle = 1$  rather than  $\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle = 1$ , the model space projection operator takes the wavefunction into our model function,  $P\Psi = \Phi\langle\Phi|\Psi\rangle = \Phi$ .

**Definition 1.3. Resolvent.** Let  $R_0$  be minus<sup>1</sup> the inverse of  $H_0$  in the orthogonal space, so that  $-R_0 H_0 = Q$ . The operator  $R_0$  is termed the *resolvent*. Explicitly, we can apply resolution of the identity in the orthogonal space to get

$$R_0 = (-H_0)^{-1} Q = \sum_k \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} (-H_0)^{-1} |\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}\rangle \langle \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}| = \sum_k \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} \frac{|\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}\rangle \langle \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}|}{\mathcal{D}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}} \quad \mathcal{D}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} \equiv -\mathcal{E}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}$$

where we recognize that  $H_0^{-1}$  does not exist outside of the model space because  $H_0 \Phi = 0 \implies H_0 P = 0$ . Note that  $R_0$  simply acts as the null operator outside of the orthogonal space, so that  $R_0 Q = R_0$  and  $R_0 P = 0$ .

**Definition 1.4. Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation theory.** Rearranging the Schrödinger equation to the form  $H_0 \Psi = (E_c - V_c) \Psi$  and operating  $R_0$  on both sides, recognizing that  $R_0 H_0 = -Q$  and  $Q\Psi = (1 - P)\Psi = \Psi - \Phi$ , we find

$$R_0 H_0 \Psi = -Q\Psi = -\Psi + \Phi = R_0 (E_c - V_c) \Psi \implies \Psi = \Phi - R_0 (E_c - V_c) \Psi = \Phi + R_0 (V_c - E_c) \Psi$$

which gives a recursive equation for  $\Psi$ . Straightforward induction gives  $\Psi = \sum_{k=0}^n (R_0 (V_c - E_c))^k \Phi + (R_0 (V_c - E_c))^{n+1} \Psi$ . Noting that  $H_0 \Phi = 0$  and  $\langle\Phi|\Psi\rangle = 1$ , projecting the Schrödinger equation by  $\Phi$  gives an expression for the correlation energy:  $E_c = \langle\Phi|V_c|\Psi\rangle$ . Assuming the recursive definition for  $\Psi$  converges, we find

$$\Psi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (R_0 (V_c - E_c))^k \Phi \quad E_c = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle\Phi|V_c|\Psi^{(k)}\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle\Phi|V_c (R_0 (V_c - E_c))^k |\Phi\rangle \quad (1.1)$$

which can be solved iteratively in orders of perturbation theory. Introducing a perturbation parameter  $V_c \mapsto \lambda V_c$  that acts as a switch to turn the perturbation on,  $\lambda = 1$ , or off,  $\lambda = 0$ , the wavefunction and correlation energy are given by

$$\Psi(\lambda) = \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k \left( \frac{\partial^k \Psi}{\partial \lambda^k} \right)_{\lambda=0} \equiv \sum_k \lambda^k \Psi^{(k)} \quad E_c(\lambda) = \sum_k \frac{1}{k!} \lambda^k \left( \frac{\partial^k E_c}{\partial \lambda^k} \right)_{\lambda=0} \equiv \sum_k \lambda^k E_c^{(k)}$$

and we can separate eq (1.1) in powers of  $\lambda$ . The first-order energy contribution vanishes  $\lambda E_c^{(1)} = \lambda \langle\Phi|V_c|\Phi\rangle = 0$  since  $V_c$  is composed of  $\Phi$ -normal operators. The first order wavefunction contribution is  $\lambda \Psi^{(1)} = \lambda R_0 (V_c - E_c^{(1)}) \Phi = \lambda R_0 V_c \Phi$ ,

<sup>1</sup>The annoying sign factor is required for consistency with the standard definition  $R_0 \equiv (E_0 - H_0)^{-1} Q$ . Since we have already subtracted off  $E_0$ , we have  $R_0 = (-H_0)^{-1} Q$ . This also results in a more convenient sign rule for the bracketing theorem.

which can be directly evaluated using Wick's theorem and  $\Phi$  normal ordering

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(1)} &= R_0 V_c \Phi = \sum_k \left( \frac{1}{k!} \right)^2 \sum_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} |\Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}\rangle \frac{\langle \Phi | \tilde{a}_{a_1 \dots a_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} V_c | \Phi \rangle}{\mathcal{D}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k}} \\
&= \sum_{ia} |\Phi_i^a\rangle \frac{\sum_{pq} f_p^q (1 - \delta_p^q) \langle \Phi | \tilde{a}_a^{i\circ} \tilde{a}_q^{p\bullet} | \Phi \rangle}{f_i^i - f_a^a} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijab} |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \frac{\frac{1}{4} \sum_{pqrs} \bar{g}_{pq}^{rs} P_{(r/s)}^{(p/q)} \langle \Phi | \tilde{a}_a^{i\circ} \tilde{a}_b^{j\circ} \tilde{a}_{r\circ}^{p\bullet} \tilde{a}_{s\circ}^{q\bullet} | \Phi \rangle}{f_i^i + f_j^j - f_a^a - f_b^b} \\
&= \sum_{ia} |\Phi_i^a\rangle \frac{f_a^i}{f_i^i - f_a^a} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijab} |\Phi_{ij}^{ab}\rangle \frac{\bar{g}_{ab}^{ij}}{f_i^i + f_j^j - f_a^a - f_b^b}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have recognized that only singly and doubly excited determinants can fully contract  $V_c$ . The second-order energy contribution,  $\lambda^2 E_c^{(2)} = \lambda^2 \langle \Phi | V_c | \Psi^{(1)} \rangle$ , can be evaluated from our expression for  $\Psi^{(1)}$ .

$$E^{(2)} = \sum_{ia} \langle \Phi | V_c \tilde{a}_i^a | \Phi \rangle \frac{f_a^i}{f_i^i - f_a^a} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijab} \langle \Phi | V_c \tilde{a}_{ij}^{ab} | \Phi \rangle \frac{\bar{g}_{ab}^{ij}}{f_i^i + f_j^j - f_a^a - f_b^b} = \sum_{ia} \frac{f_i^a f_a^i}{f_i^i - f_a^a} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{ijab} \frac{\bar{g}_{ij}^{ab} \bar{g}_{ab}^{ij}}{f_i^i + f_j^j - f_a^a - f_b^b}$$

Note that the one-particle contributions involving  $f_i^a$  are present only for non-Hartree-Fock references. In both canonical and non-canonical Hartree-Fock  $f_i^a = 0$ . The second order wavefunction contribution is  $\lambda^2 \Psi^{(2)} = -\lambda^2 E_c^{(2)} R_0 \Phi + \lambda^2 R_0 (V_c - E_c^{(1)}) R_0 (V_c - E_c^{(1)}) \Phi = \lambda^2 R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi$  since  $R_0 \Phi = 0$  and  $E_c^{(1)} = 0$ . The third order energy can be then obtained from  $\Psi^{(2)}$  as  $\lambda^3 E_c^{(3)} = \lambda^3 \langle \Phi | V_c | \Psi^{(2)} \rangle$ . In this manner, one can in principle solve the Schrödinger equation recursively by alternately evaluating the wavefunction and energy contributions at increasing orders in the perturbation parameter.

**Derivation 1.1.** Writing the RSPT wavefunction equation as  $\Psi = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (R_0 V_c - R_0 E_c)^k \Phi$ , note that if  $R_0$  and  $V_c$  were to commute we could to an ordinary binomial expansion of  $(R_0 V_c - R_0 E_c)^k$  to give  $\sum_{p=0}^k \binom{k}{p} (-)^p (R_0 V_c)^{k-p} (R_0 E_c)^p$ . Since they don't commute, we can write the binomial expansion in the following slightly modified form

$$(R_0 E_c - R_0 V_c)^k = \sum_{p=0}^k (-)^p \{R_0 E_c\}^p \text{insert} \{R_0 V_c\}^{k-p}$$

where  $\{B_1, \dots, B_p\} \text{insert} \{A\}^{k-p}$  denotes the sum over all  $\binom{k}{p}$  possible ways of inserting  $k-p$  copies of  $A$  into the product  $B_1 \dots B_p$ . For example,  $\{B_1, B_2\} \text{insert} \{A\}^2$  evaluates to  $AAB_1B_2 + AB_1AB_2 + AB_1B_2A + B_1AAB_2 + B_1AB_2A + B_1B_2AA$ . This allows the wavefunction expansion to be easily grouped by orders

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p=0}^k (-)^p \{R_0 E_c\}^p \text{insert} \{R_0 V_c\}^{k-p} \Phi \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2(n) \cup \{(0, n)\}} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathcal{C}(n_1)} (-)^m \{R_0 E_c^{(r_1)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(r_m)}\} \text{insert} \{R_0 V_c\}^{n_2} \Phi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Psi^{(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(n)$  denotes the set of integer compositions of  $n$ , i.e. all ordered tuples  $(r_1, \dots, r_m)$  of strictly positive integers that add up to  $n$ .  $\mathcal{C}_k(n) \subset \mathcal{C}(n)$  is the set of  $k$ -tuple integer compositions of  $n$ , i.e. all  $(r_1, \dots, r_k)$  of fixed length  $k$  such that  $r_1 + \dots + r_k = n$ . The rearrangement follows from the fact that all possible terms of the form  $(-)^k \{R_0 E_c^{(n_1)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(n_k)}\} \text{insert} \{R_0 V_c\}^{n_{k+1}} \Phi$  contribute to the sum, and the composition sums group these into all possible terms of this form that are of a given order  $n$  in the perturbation parameter  $\lambda$ . Note that we have appended the tuple  $(0, n)$  to our sum over  $\mathcal{C}_2(n)$  but not  $(n, 0)$  since  $R_0$  acting directly on  $\Phi$  gives 0. These results are summarized in lem 1.1.

**Lemma 1.1. The Energy Substitution Lemma.** *The  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order contribution to the wavefunction is given by*

$$\Psi^{(n)} = (R_0 V_c)^n \Phi + \sum_{(n_1, n_2) \in \mathcal{C}_2(n)} \sum_{(r_1, \dots, r_m) \in \mathcal{C}(n_1)} (-)^m \{R_0 E_c^{(r_1)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(r_m)}\} \text{insert} \{R_0 V_c\}^{n_2} \Phi$$

which can be evaluated as the sum of a principal term,  $(R_0 V_c)^n \Phi$ , plus all possible  $m$ -tuple substitutions of adjacent factors  $(R_0 V_c)^{r_k}$  in the principal term by  $R_0 E_c^{(r_k)}$  times a sign factor  $(-)^m$ .

**Example 1.1.** Using the energy substitution lemma, we can directly write down the first few wavefunction contributions

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(1)} &= R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(2)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(1)} \Phi \\
\Psi^{(3)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} \Phi + R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(1)} \Phi \\
&\quad + R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 E_c^{(1)} \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(1)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(1)} \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

where we have directly evaluated the formula of lem 1.1 without simplifying. These expressions can be simplified by recognizing that  $E_c^{(1)} = 0$  and that any term with an energy factor next to  $\Phi$  vanishes since  $R_0 \Phi = 0$ . Omitting these terms, the wavefunction contributions can be simplified as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(1)} &= R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(2)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(3)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(4)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(3)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(5)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(4)} R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(3)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi \\
&\quad - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(3)} R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 E_c^{(2)} R_0 V_c \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

Projecting these equations by  $\langle \Phi | V_c$  then yields  $E_c^{(2)}$ ,  $E_c^{(3)}$ ,  $E_c^{(4)}$ ,  $E_c^{(5)}$ , and  $E_c^{(6)}$ .

**Theorem 1.1. The Bracketing Theorem.** *The  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order contribution to the wavefunction consists of a principal term  $(R_0 V_c)^n \Phi = R_0 V_c \cdots R_0 V_c \Phi$  plus the sum over all possible ways of inserting one or more pairs of brackets  $\langle \cdots \rangle \equiv \langle \Phi | \cdots | \Phi \rangle$  into the principal term,  $R_0 V_c \cdots R_0 \langle V_c \cdots R_0 V_c \rangle \cdots R_0 V_c \Phi$ , allowing nested brackets. Each of these terms gets a phase factor  $(-)^k$  where  $k$  is the total number of brackets.*

Proof: This obviously holds for  $\Psi^{(1)}$  since  $\Psi^{(1)} = R_0 V_c \Phi$  and there are no possible bracketings. Assume it holds up to  $n-1$  and consider  $n$ . By the substitution lemma,  $\Psi^{(n)}$  equals a principal term  $R_0 V_c \cdots R_0 V_c \Phi$  plus all unique substitutions of factors  $(R_0 V_c)^{r_1}, \dots, (R_0 V_c)^{r_m}$  in the principal term with energy factors  $R_0 E_c^{(r_1)}, \dots, R_0 E_c^{(r_m)}$ , weighted by a sign  $(-)^m$ . But, by our inductive assumption, the substituted energies  $E_c^{(r_k)} = \langle \Phi | V_c | \Psi^{(r_k)} \rangle$  are sums of a principal term  $\langle V_c R_0 V_c \cdots R_0 V_c \rangle$  plus all possible bracketings, with the appropriate sign factor, which shows that  $\Psi^{(n)}$  is the sum over all nested bracketings and completes the proof.

**Example 1.2.** Noting that bracketings of the form  $R_0 \langle V_c \rangle$  vanish because  $\langle V \rangle_c = E_c^{(1)} = 0$ , and that any bracketing including the last factor vanish because  $R_0 \langle V_c \cdots R_0 V_c \rangle \Phi = \langle V_c \cdots R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 \Phi = 0$ , we can write down the bracketing theorem expansion for the first few contributions to the wavefunction as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{(1)} &= R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(2)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(3)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(4)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi \\
\Psi^{(5)} &= R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi \\
&\quad - R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \Phi \\
&\quad - R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi - R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi + R_0 \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \Phi
\end{aligned}$$

The bracketing expansions for the corresponding energies are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_c^{(2)} &= \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle \\
E_c^{(3)} &= \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle \\
E_c^{(3)} &= \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle \\
E_c^{(4)} &= \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle \\
E_c^{(5)} &= \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle + \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle - \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle + \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle R_0 V_c \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1.1.** Individual terms in the perturbation expansion are readily evaluated using Wick's theorem and  $\Phi$ -normal ordering. Using resolution of the identity in the orthogonal space, the general structure of a principal term is as follows.

$$(R_0 V_c)^n |\Phi\rangle = Q(R_0 V_c)^n |\Phi\rangle = \sum_k |\Phi_k\rangle \langle \Phi_k | (R_0 V_c)^n |\Phi\rangle = \sum_k |\Phi_k\rangle \sum_{k_1 \dots k_n} \frac{\langle \Phi_k | V_c | \Phi_{k_1} \rangle \langle \Phi_{k_1} | V_c | \Phi_{k_2} \rangle \langle \Phi_{k_2} | \dots | \Phi_{k_n} \rangle \langle \Phi_{k_n} | V_c | \Phi \rangle}{\mathcal{D}_{k_1} \mathcal{D}_{k_2} \dots \mathcal{D}_{k_n}}$$

Terms with bracketing insertions differ from the principal term by a scalar  $\langle \dots \rangle$  which can be factored out, leaving a squared resolvent at the point of insertion. By orthonormality of the determinant basis, the squared resolvent equals

$$R_0^2 = \sum_{k_1 k_2} \frac{|\Phi_{k_1}\rangle \langle \Phi_{k_1} | \Phi_{k_2}\rangle \langle \Phi_{k_2} |}{\mathcal{D}_{k_1} \mathcal{D}_{k_2}} = \sum_{k_1 k_2} \frac{|\Phi_{k_1}\rangle \delta_{k_1 k_2} \langle \Phi_{k_2} |}{\mathcal{D}_{k_1} \mathcal{D}_{k_2}} = \sum_k \frac{|\Phi_k\rangle \langle \Phi_k |}{\mathcal{D}_k^2}$$

which is of course equal to  $(H_0^2)^{-1}$  restricted to the orthogonal space where it is non-singular,  $R_0^2 H_0^2 = Q$ . Consequently, every wavefunction contribution is proportional to a term of the following generic form

$$R_0^{p_1} V_c R_0^{p_2} V_c \dots R_0^{p_n} V_c |\Phi\rangle = \sum_k |\Phi_k\rangle \langle \Phi | \tilde{a}_k^\dagger R_0^{p_1} V_c R_0^{p_2} V_c \dots R_0^{p_n} V_c | \Phi \rangle \quad R_0^p = \sum_k \frac{|\Phi_k\rangle \langle \Phi_k |}{\mathcal{D}_k^p}$$

which can be evaluated by determining all complete  $\Phi$ -normal contractions of  $\tilde{a}_k^\dagger R_0^{p_1} V_c R_0^{p_2} V_c \dots R_0^{p_n} V_c$ , where  $\tilde{a}_k^\dagger$  is a de-excitation operator  $\tilde{a}_k^\dagger \in \{\tilde{a}_{a_1 \dots a_n}^{i_1 \dots i_n} \mid i_1 < \dots < i_n, a_1 < \dots < a_n\}$ .

**Remark 1.2.** Note that, when an operator of the form  $M_o = \sum_i a_i |\Phi\rangle m_i \langle \Phi | a^i$  or  $M_v = \sum_a a^a |\Phi\rangle m_a \langle \Phi | a_a$  is contracted on the left and on the right, we can make the following rearrangement

$$\sum_i \overline{a^p a_i} |\Phi\rangle m_i \langle \Phi | \overline{a^i a_s} = |\Phi\rangle \langle \Phi | m_p \overline{a^p a_s} \quad \sum_a \overline{a_q a^a} |\Phi\rangle m_a \langle \Phi | \overline{a_a a^r} = |\Phi\rangle \langle \Phi | m_q \overline{a_q a^r}$$

where the requirement that  $m_p$  have a hole index and  $m_q$  have a particle index is taken care of by the contractions. In words, contracting one operator to the left side of  $M_o$  and another operator to the right side is equivalent to contracting these operators to each other and freezing out the term from  $M_o$  that matches the left (or, equivalently, the right) index. This generalizes directly to operators of the form  $\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \tilde{a}_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} |\Phi\rangle M_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} \langle \Phi | \tilde{a}_{a_1 \dots a_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k}$  and can be used to simplify the contractions of an operator product with an intervening resolvent,  $Q R_0^n Q'$ :

$$\overline{\overline{Q R_0^n Q'}} = \sum_k \frac{\overline{\overline{Q \tilde{a}_k^\dagger}} |\Phi\rangle \langle \Phi | \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_k^\dagger Q'}}}{\mathcal{D}_k^n} = |\Phi\rangle \langle \Phi | \sum_k \frac{\overline{\overline{Q \tilde{a}_k^\dagger}} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_k^\dagger Q'}}}{\mathcal{D}_k^n} = |\Phi\rangle \langle \Phi | \overline{\overline{Q \tilde{a}_k^\dagger Q'}} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_k^\dagger Q'}}.$$

Here, we have introduced the notion of a *resolvent line*  $\overline{\overline{\cdot}}$ . Complete contractions through a resolvent line are defined as

$$\overline{\overline{a^{p_1} \dots a^{p_k} a_{q_1} \dots a_{q_k} \overline{\overline{a^{r_1} \dots a^{r_k} a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_k}}}}} \equiv \frac{\overline{\overline{a^{p_1} \dots a^{p_k} a_{q_1} \dots a_{q_k} a^{r_1} \dots a^{r_k} a_{s_1} \dots a_{s_k}}}}{(\mathcal{D}_{p_1 \dots p_k}^{q_1 \dots q_k})^n}.$$

That is, each hole contraction  $\overline{a^p a_s}$  through the resolvent line  $\overline{\overline{\cdot}}$  fixes a hole index in the denominator  $(\mathcal{D}_{\dots p \dots})^n$  and each particle contraction  $\overline{a_q a^r}$  fixes a particle index in the denominator  $(\mathcal{D}^{\dots q \dots})^n$ . This result further generalizes to completely contracted products with multiple resolvents, and is codified in the next proposition.

**Proposition 1.1. Reduced Wick theorem for expectation values with resolvents.**

$$\langle \Phi | Q R_0^{p_1} Q_1 R_0^{p_2} Q_2 \dots R_0^{p_n} Q_n | \Phi \rangle = \overline{\overline{Q}} \overline{\overline{Q_1}} \overline{\overline{Q_2}} \dots \overline{\overline{Q_n}}$$

**Example 1.3.** The reduced Wick theorem of prop 1.1 can be used to evaluate the following

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1 q_1}}} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2 q_2}}} &= P_{(r_2/s_2)}^{(p_2/q_2)} \tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1^\circ q_1^\circ} \tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2^\bullet q_2^\bullet} \\ \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1 q_1}}} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2 q_2}}} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_3 s_3}^{p_3 q_3}}} &= P_{(r_1/s_1 | r_3/s_3)}^{(p_1/q_1 | p_3/q_3)} \tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1^\circ q_1^\circ} \tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2^\bullet q_2^\bullet} \tilde{a}_{r_3 s_3}^{p_3^\bullet q_3^\bullet} + P_{(r_1/s_1 | r_2/s_2 | r_3/s_3)}^{(p_1/q_1 | p_2/q_2 | p_3/q_3)} \tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1^\circ q_1^\circ} \tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2^\bullet q_2^\bullet} \tilde{a}_{r_3 s_3}^{p_3^\bullet q_3^\bullet} \\ &\quad + P_{(r_1/s_1 | r_3/s_3)}^{(p_1/q_1 | p_3/q_3)} \tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1^\circ q_1^\circ} \tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2^\bullet q_2^\bullet} \tilde{a}_{r_3 s_3}^{p_3^\bullet q_3^\bullet} \end{aligned}$$

in order to derive the second- and third-order energy contributions

$$E_c^{(2)} = \langle V_c R_0 V_c \rangle = \overline{\overline{V_c}}^{R_0} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2 \overline{\overline{g_{p_1 q_1}^{r_1 s_1} g_{p_2 q_2}^{r_2 s_2}}}^{R_0} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1 q_1} \tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2 q_2}}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\overline{g_{ij}^{ab} g_{ab}^{ij}}}{\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{ab}}$$

$$E_c^{(3)} = \langle V_c R_0 V_c R_0 V_c \rangle = \overline{\overline{V_c}}^{R_0} \overline{\overline{V_c}}^{R_0} = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^3 \overline{\overline{g_{p_1 q_1}^{r_1 s_1} g_{p_2 q_2}^{r_2 s_2} g_{p_3 q_3}^{r_3 s_3}}}^{R_0} \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_{r_1 s_1}^{p_1 q_1} \tilde{a}_{r_2 s_2}^{p_2 q_2} \tilde{a}_{r_3 s_3}^{p_3 q_3}}} = \frac{1}{4} \frac{\overline{g_{ij}^{ab} g_{ab}^{cd} g_{cd}^{ij}}}{\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{ab} \mathcal{D}_{ij}^{cd}} + \frac{\overline{g_{ij}^{ab} g_{bk}^{jc} g_{ca}^{ki}}}{\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{ab} \mathcal{D}_{ki}^{ca}} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\overline{g_{ij}^{ab} g_{kl}^{ij} g_{ab}^{kl}}}{\mathcal{D}_{ij}^{ab} \mathcal{D}_{kl}^{ab}}$$

where we have used implicit summation (over all indices) to keep the expressions relatively compact. Here, we have assumed a canonical Hartree-Fock (RHF or UHF) reference so that the one-particle perturbation vanishes  $f_p^q(1 - \delta_p^q) = 0$  and  $V_c = \frac{1}{4} \overline{g_{pq}^{rs} \tilde{a}_{rs}^{pq}}$ . Non-canonical Hartree-Fock (such as ROHF) has the same second-order contribution, but introduces two additional terms at third order. In Hugenholtz diagram notation, this looks as follows.

**Example 1.4.** Contributions to the wavefunction can be separated into singles, doubles, triples, etc. contributions by a resolution of the identity in the orthogonal space

$$\Psi^{(n)} = Q\Psi^{(n)} = \sum_k \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{a_1 \dots a_k \\ i_1 \dots i_k}} \Phi_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{a_1 \dots a_k} {}^{(n)}c_{a_1 \dots a_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} \quad {}^{(n)}c_{a_1 \dots a_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} \equiv \langle \Phi | a_{a_1 \dots a_k}^{i_1 \dots i_k} | \Psi^{(n)} \rangle$$

which turns the problem into one of identifying contributions to the CI coefficients. At second and third order, only doubles contributions are non-vanishing.

$${}^{(2)}c_a^i = \langle \tilde{a}_a^i R_0 V_c \rangle = \overline{\overline{\tilde{a}_a^i}}^{R_0} V_c = 0$$