

Motivation for second quantization

Note: In what follows, the terminology “ n -electron function” will refer to an antisymmetric function of n space-spin coordinates ($i \equiv (\mathbf{r}_i, s_i)$). We implicitly take every integral to be a definite integral over all values of (\mathbf{r}_i, s_i) .

Annihilation operators. Let $\{\psi_p\}$ be a complete basis of spin-orbitals and let $\Phi_{(p_1 \dots p_n)}$ denote the n -electron Slater determinant formed from $\psi_{p_1}, \dots, \psi_{p_n}$. Then it is possible to define an operator \hat{a}_{p_1} which deletes ψ_{p_1} from $\Phi_{(p_1 \dots p_n)}$ to produce $\Phi_{(p_2 \dots p_n)}$, the $(n-1)$ -electron Slater determinant formed from $\psi_{p_2}, \dots, \psi_{p_n}$. We can define such an “annihilator” \hat{a}_p of spin-orbital ψ_p explicitly as

$$(\hat{a}_p \Psi)(2, \dots, m) \equiv \sqrt{m} \int d(1) \psi_p^*(1) \Psi(1, 2, \dots, m) \quad (1)$$

which takes an m -electron function Ψ into the $(m-1)$ -electron function $\hat{a}_p \Psi$. Applied to $\Phi_{(p_1 \dots p_n)}$, this gives

$$\hat{a}_p \Phi_{(p_1 \dots p_n)} = \begin{cases} (-)^{k-1} \Phi_{(p_1 \dots \cancel{p_k} \dots p_n)} & \text{if } p = p_k \in (p_1 \dots p_n) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

As an exercise, it is worth proving to yourself that the definition in equation 1 satisfies equation 2.

Annihilation operators anticommute. Consider the action of two annihilators \hat{a}_p and \hat{a}_q on an n -electron function Ψ . If \hat{a}_p is applied first, we obtain

$$(\hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi)(3, \dots, n) = \sqrt{n(n-1)} \int d(1)d(2) \psi_p^*(1) \psi_q^*(2) \Psi(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$$

whereas if \hat{a}_q is applied first, we obtain

$$(\hat{a}_p \hat{a}_q \Psi)(3, \dots, n) = \sqrt{n(n-1)} \int d(1)d(2) \psi_q^*(1) \psi_p^*(2) \Psi(1, 2, 3, \dots, n) .$$

This last integral can be rewritten as

$$\int d(1)d(2) \psi_p^*(1) \psi_q^*(2) \Psi(2, 1, 3, \dots, n) = - \int d(1)d(2) \psi_p^*(1) \psi_q^*(2) \Psi(1, 2, 3, \dots, n)$$

by swapping dummy variables of integration ($1 \leftrightarrow 2$) and using the antisymmetry of Ψ . This shows that $\hat{a}_p \hat{a}_q \Psi = -\hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi$ and, restricting ourselves to the space of m -electron ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$) functions, we have the identity

$$[\hat{a}_p, \hat{a}_q]_+ \equiv \hat{a}_p \hat{a}_q + \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p = 0 \quad (3)$$

i.e. the annihilation operators anticommute. Note that restriction to antisymmetric functions is key – were we to restrict ourselves to *symmetric* functions, equation 1 would define operators which instead satisfy $\hat{a}_p \hat{a}_q - \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p = 0$.

Ψ decomposition. Using these newfangled operators, any n -electron function can be decomposed as

$$\Psi(1, \dots, n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_p \psi_p(1) (\hat{a}_p \Psi)(2, \dots, n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \sum_{pq} \psi_p(1) \psi_q(2) (\hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi)(3, \dots, n) \quad (4)$$

by resolution of the identity along one or two sets of electron coordinates (recall the definition in equation 1).¹

¹In principle, this could be carried on to eliminate all of the coordinates from Ψ . The scalars $(\hat{a}_{p_n} \dots \hat{a}_{p_1} \Psi)$ in this expansion would then be equal to $\sqrt{n!} c_{p_1 \dots p_n}$, where $c_{p_1 \dots p_n}$ is the expansion coefficient of Ψ in the basis of spin-orbital products.

Expressing \hat{H}_e in terms of annihilation operators. The electronic Hamiltonian² of an n -electron system can be written as

$$\hat{H}_e = \sum_i^n \hat{h}(i) + \sum_{i<j}^n \hat{g}(i, j) \quad \hat{h}(i) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 + \sum_A \frac{Z_A}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_A|} \quad \hat{g}(i, j) = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|}$$

and its matrix elements with respect to arbitrary n -electron functions Ψ and Ψ' are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_e | \Psi' \rangle &= \sum_i^n \langle \Psi | \hat{h}(i) | \Psi' \rangle + \sum_{i<j}^n \langle \Psi | \hat{g}(i, j) | \Psi' \rangle \\ &= n \langle \Psi | \hat{h}(1) | \Psi' \rangle + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \langle \Psi | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \Psi' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality can be shown by exchanging dummy variables ($1 \leftrightarrow i$ and $2 \leftrightarrow j$) in each integral and noting that Ψ and Ψ' are antisymmetric. Then, using the two decompositions shown in equation 4, we can write this as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | \hat{H}_e | \Psi' \rangle &= \sum_{pq}^\infty h_{pq} \langle \hat{a}_p \Psi | \hat{a}_q \Psi' \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pqrs}^\infty \langle pq | rs \rangle \langle \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi | \hat{a}_s \hat{a}_r \Psi' \rangle \quad h_{pq} = \langle \psi_p(1) | \hat{h}(1) | \psi_q(1) \rangle \\ &\quad \langle pq | rs \rangle = \langle \psi_p(1) \psi_q(2) | \hat{g}(1, 2) | \psi_r(1) \psi_s(2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where h_{pq} and $\langle pq | rs \rangle$ are our one- and two-electron integrals. Restricting ourselves to the space of m -electron ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$) functions, we have the identity

$$\hat{H}_e = \sum_{pq}^\infty h_{pq} \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_q + \sum_{pqrs}^\infty \langle pq | rs \rangle \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_q^\dagger \hat{a}_s \hat{a}_r \quad (5)$$

noting that $\langle \hat{a}_p \Psi | \hat{a}_q \Psi' \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_q \Psi' \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{a}_q \hat{a}_p \Psi | \hat{a}_s \hat{a}_r \Psi' \rangle = \langle \Psi | \hat{a}_p^\dagger \hat{a}_q^\dagger \hat{a}_s \hat{a}_r \Psi' \rangle$ by the definition of adjoint.

²Here I'm defining the electronic Hamiltonian as $\hat{H}_e = \hat{H} - (\hat{V}_{\text{Nu}} + \hat{T}_{\text{Nu}})$