



Tizard Learning Disability Review

The use of augmentative and alternative communication apps for the iPad, iPod and iPhone: an overview of recent developments

Jill Bradshaw,

Article information:

To cite this document:

Jill Bradshaw, (2013) "The use of augmentative and alternative communication apps for the iPad, iPod and iPhone: an overview of recent developments", Tizard Learning Disability Review, Vol. 18 Issue: 1, pp.31-37, <https://doi.org/10.1108/13595471311295996>

Permanent link to this document:

<https://doi.org/10.1108/13595471311295996>

Downloaded on: 13 September 2018, At: 02:44 (PT)

References: this document contains references to 36 other documents.

To copy this document: permissions@emeraldinsight.com

The fulltext of this document has been downloaded 1742 times since 2013*

Users who downloaded this article also downloaded:

(2015), "The iPad as a mobile assistive technology device", Journal of Assistive Technologies, Vol. 9 Iss 3 pp. 127-135 https://doi.org/10.1108/JAT-10-2014-0030

(2012), "Does he have sugar in his tea? Communication between people with learning disabilities, their carers and hospital staff", Tizard Learning Disability Review, Vol. 17 Iss 2 pp. 57-63 https://doi.org/10.1108/13595471211218712



Access to this document was granted through an Emerald subscription provided by emerald-srm:232583 []

For Authors

If you would like to write for this, or any other Emerald publication, then please use our Emerald for Authors service information about how to choose which publication to write for and submission guidelines are available for all. Please visit www.emeraldinsight.com/authors for more information.

About Emerald www.emeraldinsight.com

Emerald is a global publisher linking research and practice to the benefit of society. The company manages a portfolio of more than 290 journals and over 2,350 books and book series volumes, as well as providing an extensive range of online products and additional customer resources and services.

Emerald is both COUNTER 4 and TRANSFER compliant. The organization is a partner of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) and also works with Portico and the LOCKSS initiative for digital archive preservation.

*Related content and download information correct at time of download.

The use of augmentative and alternative communication apps for the iPad, iPod and iPhone: an overview of recent developments

Jill Bradshaw

Jill Bradshaw is based at the Tizard Centre, University of Kent, Canterbury, UK.

Abstract

Purpose – *The purpose of this paper is to provide an overview of the communication applications (apps) that can be used with devices such as the iPad, iPod and iPhone to support augmentative and alternative communication (AAC).*

Design/methodology/approach – *A brief discussion of the research into the use of high-technology communication aids is followed by an introduction to the iPad, iPod and iPhone AAC apps.*

Findings – *These devices and apps clearly have a role within the spectrum of AAC devices currently available. They may have some distinct advantages in cost, ease of use and acceptability but more research into their use is needed.*

Originality/value – *This article starts with a model of communicative competence and presents some recent research into barriers in the use of high-technology AAC. It suggests some ways in which AAC apps may address some of the barriers to implementation and functional use. Finally, the need for individual assessment to determine specific communication needs is stressed. These devices and apps may not always be the best solution for people with complex communication needs.*

Keywords *Mobile communication systems, Mobile technology, Communication, Augmentative and alternative communication, High-technology, Apps, Individual assessment, iPad, iPod, iPhone*

Paper type *Viewpoint*

Introduction

Communication takes place within a communication partnership and involves the exchange of ideas (Bartlett and Bunning, 1997). All communication exchanges involve a degree of interpretation and, for people with severe communication disabilities, communication partners may need to take greater responsibility for the interpretation of communication acts, particularly when people have a limited range of communicative signals (Grove *et al.*, 1999). People with severe disabilities are often unable to use spoken communication and so need additional means of communication such as Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) (Sigafoos *et al.*, 2007). It is estimated that 365,000 people in the UK have a need for AAC (Blackstone, 1990). The United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disability (United Nations, 2006) make explicit that people have the right to be able to access whichever form of communication they choose and that this includes the use of AAC.

Whilst AAC systems are able to support users to construct and share ideas with communication partners, AAC users may still face difficulties (Baxter *et al.*, 2012a).

These include prevailing attitudes that “privilege spoken languages and deny the value of alternatives” (Von Tetzchner and Grove, 2003, p. 1). However, recent developments in communication applications (apps) for the iPad, iPod and iPhone may provide a more socially “accepted” means of communication (www.speechbubble.org.uk/device/iPad/).

Glenda Watson Hyatt, who uses an iPad as an AAC points out that communication partners are much more familiar with iPad technology and so are not discouraged from communicating with her, as they might be if she was using a more traditional communication aid (Hyatt, 2011).

This article will provide a brief overview of AAC before outlining some of the developments in the use of communication apps.

What do we mean by functional communication?

Functional communication is that which occurs in real life settings (rather than in “therapy sessions”), results in real consequences (is successfully used to exchange ideas) and include spontaneous use (is not limited to only responding or only communicating in set situations) (Rowland and Schweigert, 1993). Functional communication should be used to enable the individual to express their wants and needs and to share information (Light, 1997). Whilst we may be used to thinking primarily about one mode of communication (e.g. the person in question uses speech, or the person uses signed communication, or the person uses a voice output communication aid) communication is a multi-modal process (Light, 1988). We all use a variety of means of communication, such as body language, gesture, facial expression to take part in communication exchanges.

Augmentative and alternative communication

AAC systems can be broadly categorised as aided (e.g. voice output communication aids (VOCA), also known as speech generating devices (SGD) and light tech devices such as symbols boards) and unaided (e.g. manual sign systems). Aided communication systems can be further separated into those where communication is dependent on selection (of for example a symbol on a board) and exchange-based systems where symbols are given to a communicative partner, an act considered functionally equivalent to speaking a word or phrase (Sigafoos *et al.*, 2007).

AAC may be used in three main ways (Von Tetzchner and Martinsen, 2000). Some people may need AAC if they have comprehension skills which are in advance of their expressive skills. For this group of people, the issue is that they have more to communicate about than their current means of expression will allow. For another group of people, the AAC support may be a temporary means or may only be needed in some specific situations. Lastly, AAC may be needed for both expressive communication and for comprehension.

Communicative competence

Communicative competence (Light, 1989) occurs across a continuum and involves linguistic, operational, social and strategic competence. This involves thinking about issues such as:

- Does the person have functional communication skills that are adequate in any particular environment?
- How well does the person use their particular linguistic code? Does the communication system meet daily communication needs?
- How proficient are they in using the physical or technical skills that are required to produce the communication output (e.g. how easy is it to access the switch, or to physically produce the hand shape required for the signed communication)?
- How does the person manage the social skills that are required to take part in the interaction?
- Finally, what compensatory strategies does the person have to manage their communication difficulties? How are they able to adapt their communication (or other) skills when difficulties are encountered?

Light (1989) describes these areas as interacting with one another. If we imagine that each person has a set of resources that can be devoted to communication at any particular time, then it is sensible to provide a communication system that presents the least challenges to operational competence (is as easy as possible for the person to use) but provides the greatest possible opportunities for the person to take part in functional daily communication. This will usually mean making compromises. For example, the number of symbols used may need to be limited, so that the operational demands placed on the person are reduced but this in turn may limit the number of phrases that the individual is able to express.

Barriers to the implementation and use of high-technology AAC

Recent research reviews (Baxter *et al.*, 2012a, b) highlight the lack of evidence around the use of high-technology AAC devices, which make it difficult for practitioners to make decisions during the implementation of AAC interventions. There is also research suggesting that the functional use of devices may be limited, with evidence to suggest that though AAC users were able to demonstrate use of the communication aid in some settings (e.g. during therapy sessions) these gains did not necessarily transfer to use in every day settings (Jacobs, 2004).

In their thematic synthesis (Baxter *et al.*, 2012a) identified a number of factors which influenced the implementation and use of high-technology AAC. These included:

- *Ease of use.* Aspects such as the time taken to programme the system were important (Bailey *et al.*, 2006). This corresponds well with the technical competence described by Light (1997). Parents also reported finding the AAC systems difficult to use (Marshall and Goldbart, 2008).
- *Reliability.* Breakdown and length of time taken to repair were reported as barriers (Kent-Walsh and Light, 2003).
- *Availability of technical support.* It was often difficult to access any technical support needed (Smith and Connolly, 2008).
- *The voice or language of the device.* This included the limited vocabulary (Bailey *et al.*, 2006), and factors to do with voice, such as embarrassment felt by young people that the voice output was not their own voice (Clarke *et al.*, 2001).
- *Making decisions.* Users and users' families reported varying degrees of involvement in decisions made about the choice of high-technology AAC device.
- *Time generating a message.* The slowness of the device was often reported as problematic. Lund and Light (2007) point out that, to be really successful, the device needs to be able to "produce" the message in time with the thoughts of the person using it.
- In addition, positive family expectations were helpful. Familiar adults were generally seen as positive communication partners (Marshall and Goldbart, 2008).

The iPhone, iPad and iPod as AAC devices

Detailed information can be found at: www.speechbubble.org.uk/device/ amongst others. These devices are transformed into communication aids via the addition of AAC apps (described later). The iPad has a larger screen than the iPod and iPhone. This means that it is possible to allow more items on the screen, or less items with larger symbols or a greater space between each symbol. For example, for apps which use a grid system the iPad is capable of having up to 64 on-screen items, whereas the iPod and iPhone can only support 24.

Brady (2011) writes that these devices offer many advantages over more traditional communication aids. Not only are they "super-cool" which promotes use and acceptance (these devices may even be coveted by others) they are also much cheaper than traditional communication aids and more portable. Cost is likely to be a particular factor in the current economic climate, especially as previous research has identified funding issues as a barrier to the implementation and use of high-technology communication aids (McNaughton and Bryen, 2007). These devices are also easy to use and do not require a mouse or keypad. The apps to enable these devices to be used for communication are also easily available for download and many are inexpensive.

Some of the factors identified by Baxter *et al.* (2012a) as potential barriers to the use of communication aids (described above) may be addressed by the introduction of the iPad, iPod and iPhone. For example, these devices may score more highly in ease of use, reliability, availability of technical support, family perception and support, though further research would be needed to determine whether this is indeed the case.

What about people with physical disabilities?

As the iPad, iPod and iPhone have all been designed for people who do not have any difficulty in using their hands, these devices may presently have limited use for people who need alternative access. There is currently little option for people with physical disabilities who require access via head pointing, tracking or eye gaze (Chappel, 2011) (though see below for some more recent apps that have been designed with eye gaze in mind).

AAC apps

The development of apps for AAC is very rapid and as of August 2012, the web site AppsForAac.net listed 244 apps, of which 54 were free. This site was designed by an Occupational Therapist (Will Wade), during the time he worked at the ACE Centre in Oxford. The apps are described on this site and are divided into various categories according to their main function. Some apps encompass more than one category.

Categories of apps

- *Text to speech.* These apps convert text to spoken communication and are probably the largest category of apps for communication.
- *Symbols in grid-based system.* A number of symbols are used within grid systems on the screen, with each symbol activating a spoken word or phrase.
- *Word predictor.* These systems have a word predictor so that possible words are suggested when you start typing. These words are then converted into speech.
- *Phrases.* Some apps have set phrases, e.g. apps which have symbol sets of emotions. Some of these have set phrases, whilst others allow phrases to be changed.
- *Eye pointing.* These apps are designed for people who communicate using eye direction. The communication partner then follows the direction of the eye point to the symbol.
- *Photo story (or visual story).* These offer the ability to take photos, use these in a slide show and then add in speech to tell the story.
- *Picture exchange communication system.* Apps which use the PECS as a means of communication.

The speech output used varies. Some apps use synthesised voices (e.g. choice of male, female, some regional accents and some children's voices available) and others have the ability to record a voice (see www.appsforaac.net for examples). Apps also use a range of different symbol systems, with the ability to use photos also commonly available.

Some examples of the use of AAC apps

Symbol grid-based system. The Prologue2go (Sennott and Bowker, 2009) is the most popular AAC grid-based system (Mirenda, 2009). The app can be used on the iPad, iPod and iPhone. It has a range of voices (including English and American male and female child's voices). The app has two pre-stored vocabularies, which are based on linguistic research. These are basic communication and core words which facilitate fast sentence building. There is an option for multi-user support. This allows users to easily switch between different vocabularies or the device to be easily switched between users. Kagohara *et al.* (2011) present a case study of a successful intervention using Prologue2go with an iPod Touch device. However, this demonstrated success in being able to activate the symbols on the device rather than improvements in the functional use of the iPod as an aid to communication. Indeed, the authors describe a period during the intervention where the person did not have access to the device for a period of four weeks due to a school vacation.

Photo story (or visual story) apps. Good examples of these include using these apps for social stories™ (Gray and Garand, 1993) or for communication passports (Millar and Aitken, 2003). Social stories accurately describe and explain social information in an accessible format. Communication passports were developed as a means of presenting important information about a person, when that person was not able to communicate for themselves. They contain, in an accessible format, assessment information and record anything that it could be important for other people to know. In the past, these have been produced in a paper format. It is possible, using many of the available apps to create both social stories and communication passports using the iPad, iPod or iPhone. As the passport or social stories are then stored on the device, they are readily available and can be easily adapted to update new information or to create a new social story when needed (www.callscotland.org.uk/Resources/Information-Sheets/).

Conclusion and a cautionary note

A recent review of published interventions using high-technology communication aid devices (Baxter *et al.*, 2012a, b) found that, while the use of high-technology AAC has led to improvements in the communicative ability of people with communication difficulties, there is a great deal of variation in the outcomes of published research and a need for more high-quality research in this area. They suggest that much greater attention to individual characteristics is needed in order to make decisions about who will benefit most and which type of AAC may be best suited to individual needs.

Despite the potential of the AAC apps described above, we also need to remember that more “traditional” communication aids may offer a better option for some people who have a need to use AAC. For example, Stevens (2011) comments that the combination of an iPad and Lightwriter produced the best results for him. The iPad did not replace his need for a Lightwriter as it was less robust and not as easy to type with. For other people, low-technology aids (which are also cheaper) may offer advantages over high-technology aids (Iacona *et al.*, 2011; Mirenda, 2001). McBride (2011) notes the danger that, as devices such as the iPad are relatively low cost and easily available, they may be introduced prematurely, before the needs of the individual are assessed. For example, in a survey around the use of the iPad device, only just over half the people using these devices had had an assessment of whether this might be the most suitable means of AAC (Scherz *et al.*, 2010).

The key here seems to be that each person needs an individual assessment to determine their specific communication needs and to see which (if any) AAC devices are likely to meet these needs (Hershberger, 2011). Gosnell *et al.* (2011) suggest that we are in danger of trying to fit the person to the device and the app, rather than the app and the device to the person. It is also important to note that, whilst there are many examples of success stories, apps provide an alternative or augmentative “form” or “mode” of communication and as such, are only a small part of what communication is about.

Some useful resources

The information available online is vast. This is a small selection of what is available. Most have very useful links to other sites:

- www.ace-centre.org.uk – provide independent advice and information on AAC and assistive technology.
- www.AppsForAac.net – site developed to complement SpeechBubble and provides independent information on the apps which are currently available.
- www.callscotland.org.uk – specialist expertise in communication and assistive technology in Scotland. See also www.callscotland.org.uk/Resources/Apps for information about apps. Various information sheets are also available for download.
- www.communicationmatters.org.uk – is a charitable organization which covers the whole of the UK. It promotes all forms of communication.
- www.Speechbubble.org.uk – a comparison website which provides details of communication aid technology that is available in the UK.

Apps for people with autism spectrum conditions (ASC)

- www.iautism.info/en/2010/09/20/application-list/ provides information on AAC apps which might be suitable for people with ASC.
- www.touchautism.com/CommunicationApps – provide apps for children with ASC, with specific emphasis on visual learning as this is often a strength in people with ASC.

References

- Bailey, R.L., Parette, H.P., Stoner, J.B., Angell, M.E. and Carroll, K. (2006) "Family members' perceptions of augmentative and alternative communication device use", *Language, Speech and Hearing Services in Schools*, Vol. 37, pp. 50-60.
- Bartlett, C. and Bunning, K. (1997), "The importance of communication partnerships: a study to investigate the communicative exchanges between staff and adults with learning disabilities", *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, Vol. 25, pp. 148-53.
- Baxter, S., Enderby, S., Evans, P. and Judge, S. (2012a), "Barriers and facilitators to the use of high-technology augmentative and alternative communication devices: a systematic review and qualitative synthesis", *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, Vol. 47 No. 2, pp. 115-29.
- Baxter, S., Enderby, S., Evans, P. and Judge, S. (2012b), "Interventions using high-technology communication devices: a state of the art review", *Folia Phoniatrica et Logopaedica*, Vol. 64, pp. 137-44.
- Blackstone, S. (1990), "Population and practices in AAC", *Augmentative Communication News*, Vol. 3, pp. 1-3.
- Brady, L.J. (2011), *Apps for Autism: An Essential Guide to Over 200 Effective Apps for Improving Communication, Behavior, Social Skills and More!*, Future Horizons, Inc., Arlington, TX.
- Chappel, D. (2011), "The evolution of augmentative communication and the importance of alternate access", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 20, pp. 34-7.
- Clarke, M., McConachie, M., Price, K. and Wood, P. (2001), "Views of young people using augmentative and alternative communication systems", *International Journal of Language and Communication Disorders*, Vol. 36, pp. 107-15.
- Gosnell, J., Costello, J. and Shane, H. (2011), "There isn't always an app for that!", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 20, pp. 7-8.
- Gray, C. and Garand, J.D. (1993), "Social stories: improving responses of students with autism with accurate social information", *Focus on Autistic Behavior*, Vol. 8, pp. 1-10.
- Grove, N., Bunning, K., Porter, J. and Olsson, C. (1999), "See what I mean: interpreting the meaning of communication by people with severe and profound intellectual disabilities", *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, Vol. 12, pp. 190-203.
- Hershberger, D. (2011), "Mobile technology and AAC apps from an AAC developer's perspective", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 20, pp. 28-33.
- Hyatt, G.W. (2011), "The iPad: a cool communicator on the go", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 20, pp. 24-7.
- Iacona, T., Lyon, K. and West, D. (2011), "Non-electronic communication aids of people with complex communication needs", *International Journal of Speech Language Pathology*, Vol. 13, pp. 399-410.
- Jacobs, B.D. (2004), "Augmentative and Alternative Communication (AAC) for adults with severe aphasia: where we stand and how can we go further", *Disability and Rehabilitation*, Vol. 26, pp. 1231-1240.
- Kagohara, D.M., van der Meer, L., Achmadix, D., Green, V.A., O'Reilly, M.F., Mulloy, A., Lancioni, G.E., Lang, R. and Sigafoos, J. (2011), "Behavioral intervention promotes successful use of an iPod-based communication device by an adolescent with autism", *Clinical Case Studies*, Vol. 9 No. 5, pp. 328-38.
- Kent-Walsh, J.S. and Light, J. (2003), "General education teachers' experiences with inclusion of students who use augmentative and alternative communication", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 19, pp. 104-24.
- Light, J. (1988), "Interaction involving individuals using augmentative and alternative communication systems: state of the art and future directions", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, pp. 66-82.

- Light, J. (1989), "Toward a definition of communicative competence for individuals using augmentative and alternative communication systems", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 5, pp. 137-44.
- Light, J. (1997), "Communication is the essence of human life: reflections on communicative competence", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 13, pp. 61-70.
- Lund, S.K. and Light, J. (2007), "Long-term outcomes for individuals who use augmentative and augmentative communication: part III – contributing factors", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 23, pp. 323-35.
- McBride, D. (2011), "AAC evaluations and new mobile technologies: asking and answering the right questions", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 20, pp. 9-16.
- McNaughton, D. and Bryen, D. (2007), "AAC technologies to enhance participation and meaningful societal roles for adolescents and adults with developmental disabilities who require AAC", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 23, pp. 217-29.
- Marshall, J. and Goldbart, J. (2008), "'Communication is everything I think.' Parenting a child who needs augmentative and alternative communication AAC", *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 43, pp. 77-98.
- Millar, S. and Aitken, S. (2003), *Personal Communication Passports: Guidelines for Good Practice*, Call Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh.
- Mirenda, P. (2001), "Autism, augmentative communication and assistive technology: what do we really know?", *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, Vol. 16, pp. 141-51.
- Mirenda, P. (2009), "Promising interventions in AAC for individuals with autism spectrum disorders", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 18, pp. 112-3.
- Rowland, C. and Schweigert, P. (1993), "Analyzing the communication environment to increase functional communication", *Journal for the Association of Persons with Severe Handicaps*, Vol. 18, pp. 161-76.
- Scherz, J., Dutton, L., Steiner, H. and Trost, J. (2010), "Smartphone applications useful in communication disorders", Miniseminar Presented at the 2010 Annual Meeting of the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association, Philadelphia, PA, November, Cited in McBride (2011).
- Sennott, S. and Bowker, A. (2009), "Autism, AAC, and Proloquo2Go", *Perspectives on Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Vol. 18, pp. 137-45.
- Sigafoos, J., Ganz, J.B., O'Reilly, M.F., Lancioni, G.E. and Schlosser, R. (2007), "Assessing correspondence following acquisition of and exchange-based communication system", *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, Vol. 28, pp. 71-83.
- Smith, M. and Connolly, I. (2008), "Roles of aided communication: perspectives of adults who use AAC", *Disability and Rehabilitation Assistive Technology*, Vol. 3, pp. 260-73.
- Stevens, S. (2011), "Have you seen my new mobile phone? Merging AAC with mobile telephony", *Communication Matters*, Vol. 25 No. 3, pp. 5-7.
- United Nations (2006), *Convention on the Rights of Person with Disabilities*, United Nations, New York, NY.
- Von Tetzchner, S. and Grove, N. (Eds) (2003), *Augmentative and Alternative Communication: Developmental Issues*, Whurr, London.
- Von Tetzchner, S. and Martinsen, H. (Eds) (2000), *Augmentative and Alternative Communication*, Whurr, London.

About the author

Jill Bradshaw trained as a Speech and Language Therapist, working with adults with learning disabilities in a community challenging needs team for a number of years. She now works as a Lecturer in Learning Disability at the Tizard Centre. Jill Bradshaw can be contacted at: j.bradshaw@kent.ac.uk

To purchase reprints of this article please e-mail: reprints@emeraldinsight.com
 Or visit our web site for further details: www.emeraldinsight.com/reprints

This article has been cited by:

1. Carissa K. Baier, Jerry K. Hoepner, Thomas W. Sather. 2018. Exploring Snapchat as a dynamic capture tool for social networking in persons with aphasia. *Aphasiology* 32:11, 1336-1359. [[Crossref](#)]
2. Laura A. Bassette, Teresa Taber-Doughty, Roberto I. Gama, Paul Alberto, Gulnoza Yakubova, David Cihak. 2018. The Use of Cell Phones to Address Safety Skills for Students With a Moderate ID in Community-Based Settings. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities* 33:2, 100-110. [[Crossref](#)]
3. Adham Atyabi, Beibin Li, Yeojin Amy Ahn, Minah Kim, Erin Barney, Frederick Shic. An exploratory analysis targeting diagnostic classification of AAC app usage patterns 1633-1640. [[Crossref](#)]
4. Brittney Wiley, Deb Cameron, Sonia Gulati, Alison Hogg. 2016. Exploring the use of tablets (iPads) with children and young adults with disabilities in Trinidad. *Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology* 11:1, 32-37. [[Crossref](#)]
5. May M. Agius, Margaret Vance. 2016. A Comparison of PECS and iPad to Teach Requesting to Pre-schoolers with Autistic Spectrum Disorders. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication* 32:1, 58-68. [[Crossref](#)]
6. Andrew Myrden, Larissa Schudlo, Sabine Weyand, Timothy Zeyl, Tom Chau. 2014. Trends in Communicative Access Solutions for Children With Cerebral Palsy. *Journal of Child Neurology* 29:8, 1108-1118. [[Crossref](#)]
7. Margaret Lubas, Jennifer Mitchell, Gianluca De Leo. 2014. User-Centered Design and Augmentative and Alternative Communication Apps for Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders. *SAGE Open* 4:2, 215824401453750. [[Crossref](#)]
8. Jill Bradshaw. 2014. Commentary on "Evaluation of the impact of supervisory support on staff experiences of training". *Tizard Learning Disability Review* 19:2, 85-89. [[Abstract](#)] [[Full Text](#)] [[PDF](#)]
9. Jiří KRAJÍČEK, Radek KOČÍ. 2014. COMPUTER THERAPY AS CONCEPT OF NEW FORM OF THERAPY FOR INTELLECTUAL DISABLED PEOPLE: THEORY AND PRACTISE. *Journal of Technology and Information* 6:1, 89-103. [[Crossref](#)]
10. Simon Hayhoe. A literature review on the use of inclusive mobile devices by people with disabilities with particular reference to educational uses in the countries of the cooperation council of the Arab states of the Gulf (GCC) 5-10. [[Crossref](#)]
11. Janice Light, David McNaughton. 2013. Putting People First: Re-Thinking the Role of Technology in Augmentative and Alternative Communication Intervention. *Augmentative and Alternative Communication* 29:4, 299-309. [[Crossref](#)]
12. Larah van der Meer. iPods and iPads as AAC Devices for Children with Developmental Disorders 846-872. [[Crossref](#)]
13. Lisa A. Proctor, Ye Wang. Using iPads and Mobile Technology for Children with Developmental Disabilities 268-301. [[Crossref](#)]
14. Toby B. Mehl-Schneider. Recent Advances in Augmentative and Alternative Communication 2236-2248. [[Crossref](#)]
15. Larah van der Meer. iPods and iPads as AAC Devices for Children with Developmental Disorders 1-26. [[Crossref](#)]
16. Lisa A. Proctor, Ye Wang. Using iPads and Mobile Technology for Children with Developmental Disabilities 45-78. [[Crossref](#)]
17. Toby B. Mehl-Schneider. Recent Advances in Augmentative and Alternative Communication 128-140. [[Crossref](#)]
18. Emily C. Bouck, Andrea Jasper, Laura Bassette, Jordan Shurr. Mobile Phone: Repurposed Assistive Technology for Individuals with Disabilities 1442-1455. [[Crossref](#)]