The Riemann-Roch theorem

Armand BOREL and Jean-Pierre SERRE (Following some unpublished results by A. Grothendieck)

!TODO! old pages !TODO! cref for innercustomlemma etc.

Translator's note.

What follows is a translation, by Timothy Hosgood¹, of the French paper: Borel, Armand; Serre, Jean-Pierre. Le théorème de Riemann-Roch. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, Volume 86 (1958), pp. 97-136. DOI: 10.24033/bsmf.1500.

1

3

Contents

- Supplementary results about sheaves
- 2 Proper maps of quasi-projective varieties

Introduction

What follows constitutes the notes from a seminar that took place in Princeton in the autumn of 1957 on the works of Grothendieck; the new results that are included are due to Grothendieck; our contribution is solely of an editorial nature.

The "Riemann-Roch theorem" of which we speak here holds true for (non-singular) algebraic varieties over a field of arbitrary characteristic; in the classical case, where the base field is \mathbb{C} , this theorem encapsulates, as a particular example, the result proven a few years ago by Hirzebruch [10].

The full statement and proof of the Riemann-Roch theorem can be found in sections 7 to 16, with the last section being devoted to an application. Sections 1 to 6 contain some preliminaries on coherent algebraic sheaves [12]. The terminology that we follow is the same as in [12], up to one difference: to conform with a custom which is becoming more and more widespread, we use the word "morphism" instead of "regular maps".

Supplementary results about sheaves

(All the varieties considered below are algebraic varieties over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic. Unless otherwise mentioned, all the sheaves considered are coherent algebraic sheaves.)

т

https://thosgood.com

Proposition 1. Let U be an open subset of a variety V, and let \mathscr{F} be a coherent sheaf on V and \mathscr{G} a coherent subsheaf of $\mathscr{F}|U$ (the restriction of \mathscr{F} to U). Then there exists a coherent sheaf $\mathscr{G}' \subset \mathscr{F}$ such that $\mathscr{G}'|U = \mathscr{G}$.

(In fact, the proof will show that there exists a *largest* such sheaf having this property.)

Proof. For every open subset $W \subset V$, we define \mathscr{G}'_W as the set of sections of \mathscr{F} over W that belong to \mathscr{G} over $U \cap W$. Everything reduces to showing that the sheaf \mathscr{G}' associated to this presheaf is coherent. Since this is a local questions, we can suppose that V is an affine variety. Let A be its coordinate ring. There exist elements $f_i \in A$ such that $U = \bigcup V_{f_i}$, where $V_{f_i} = U_i$ denotes the set of points of V where $f_i \neq 0$. If, in the definition of \mathscr{G}' , we replace the open subset U by the open subset U_i , then we obtain a sheaf $\mathscr{G}'_i \subset \mathscr{F}$, and it is clear that $\mathscr{G}' = \bigcap \mathscr{G}'_i$. By known results on coherent sheaves [12, p. 209], it suffices to show that the \mathscr{G}'_i are coherent. We can thus restrict to considering the case where V is affine, and where $U = V_f$, for some $f \in A$. In this case, the sheaf \mathscr{F} is defined by an A-module M, and the subsheaf \mathscr{G} of $\mathscr{F}|U$ is defined by a submodule N of $M_f = M \otimes_A A_f$. Let N' be the inverse image of N in M under the canonical map $M \to M_f$. The module N' then corresponds to a coherent subsheaf of \mathscr{F} , and we can immediately verify (by taking the $V_{f'}$ to be the W, for example) that this sheaf is exactly \mathscr{G}' , which finishes the proof.

Lemma 1. Let U be an open subset of an affine variety V, and let \mathscr{F} be a (coherent) sheaf on U. Then \mathscr{F} is generated by its sections (over U).

Proof. Let $x \in U$, and let f be a regular function on V, zero on $V \setminus U$ and non-zero at x. We have $V_f \subset U \subset V$. Since V_f is affine, we know [12] that \mathscr{F}_x is generated by its sections over V_f , and it thus suffices to prove that these sections can be extended to U, after multiplying by a suitable power of f. This follows from the more general following lemma:

Lemma 2. Let X be a variety, f a regular function on X, \mathscr{F} a sheaf on X, and s a section of \mathscr{F} over $U = X_f$. Then there exists an integer n > 0 such that $f^n s$ can be extended to a section of \mathscr{F} over X.

Proof. We can cover X by finitely many affine opens X_i . By applying [12, lemma 1, p. 247] (or by arguing directly, as in $\ref{thm:proof:eq}$ 1), we see that there exists an integer n and sections s_i of $\ref{thm:proof:eq}$ over the X_i that extend $f^n s$ over $X_i \cap U$. Since the $s_i - s_j$ are zero on $X_i \cap X_j \cap U$, there exists an integer m such that $f^m(s_i - s_j) = 0$ on $X_i \cap X_j$ ([12, p. 235], or arguing directly), and m can be chosen independent of the pair (i,j). The $f^m s_i$ then define a section s' of $\ref{fm:eq}$ over X that indeed extend $f^{n+m}s$.

Proposition 2. If U is an open subset of a variety V, then every sheaf \mathscr{F} over U can be extended to V.

Proof. We show that, if $U \neq V$, we can extend \mathscr{F} to an open subset $U' \supset U$, with $U' \neq U$; from that fact that every (strictly) increasing chain of open subsets stabilises, this will imply the proposition. Let $x \in V \setminus U$, and let W be an affine open that contains x; let $U' = W \cup U$. We are thus led to extending the sheaf $\mathscr{F}|W \cap U$ to W, or, in other words, we can restrict to proving the proposition in the specific case where V is affine. In this case, ?? I shows that \mathscr{F} is generated by its sections, i.e. it is of the form \mathscr{L}/\mathscr{R} , where \mathscr{L} is the direct sum of the sheaves \mathscr{O}_U . The sheaf \mathscr{L} can be extended in the obvious way to V, and, by ?? I, there exists

a subsheaf \mathscr{R}' of \mathscr{L} on V whose restriction to U is \mathscr{R} . The sheaf \mathscr{L}/\mathscr{R}' is then the desired extension.

Remark. ?? I and ?? 2 correspond to the geometric fact that the closure of any algebraic subvariety of U is an algebraic subvariety of V. These propositions do not extend as is to the "analytic" case. The most we can hope for (by results of Rothstein) is that they still hold true if we make certain restrictions on the dimensions of $V \setminus U$ and the varieties appearing in the local primary decomposition of the sheaf \mathscr{F} .

2 Proper maps of quasi-projective varieties

A variety *X* is said to be *quasi-projective* is it is isomorphic to a locally closed subvariety of a projective space. It is said to be *projective* if it is isomorphic to a closed subvariety of a projective space. *From here on in, all the varieties considered are assumed to be quasi-projective.*

Lemma 3. Let P be a projective space, U an arbitrary variety, and G a closed subset of $P \times U$. Then the projection of G in U is closed.

This is a translation into geometric language of the well-known fact that a projective space is a "complete" variety, in the sense of Weil. We briefly recall the principal of the proof:

Proof. Since the question is local with respect to U, we can assume that U is affine, and even that U is as affine open of the space k^n . We can also assume that G is irreducible. So we choose projective coordinates x_i in P such that G meets the set $P_0 \times U$ of points where $x_0 \neq 0$. If A denotes the coordinate ring of U, then the coordinate ring of the affine variety $P_0 \times U$ is $A[x_i/x_0] = B_0$; the set G defines (and is defined by) a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of B_0 . If \mathfrak{p}' denotes $A \cap \mathfrak{p}$, then the prime ideal \mathfrak{p}' corresponds to the closure of the projection G' of G in U. A point in this closure is thus a homomorphism $f: A \to k$ (where k denotes the base field) that is zero on \mathfrak{p}' ; this point is the image of a point in G that lies in G0 the field of functions of G1; the field G2 contains G3 as a subring. By the theorem of extension of specialisations, there exists a valuation G3 of G4, with values in G5, then the place G6 is finite over the G6, and thus induces, on G6, then G7 conditions of G8 of or some G8, and thus induces, on G9 to G8, a homomorphism G9 that extends G9. If G9, and thus induces, on G9 by the G1 shomomorphism G2 that extends G3. If G4, and we are then back in the previous case.

If $f: X \to Y$ is a morphism, then we write G_f to denote its graph. It is trivial that G_f is closed in $X \times Y$.

Lemma 4. Let $f: X \to Y$ and $g: Y \to Z$ be morphisms, where X and Y are subvarieties of projective spaces P and P' (respectively). Suppose that G_f is closed in $P \times Y$, and that G_g is closed in $P' \times Z$. Then G_{gf} is closed in $P \times Z$.

Proof. We have $G_f \subset P \times Y = P \times G_g \subset P \times P' \times Z$, and since each one is closed in the next, we see that G_f can be identified with a closed subset of $P \times P' \times Z$. Since G_{gf} is exactly the projection of G_f to the factor $P \times Z$, the lemma follows from \ref{from} 3.

Lemma 5. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism, and let $X \subset P$ and $X \subset P'$ be embeddings of X into projective spaces. If G_f is closed in $P \times Y$, then it is also closed in $P' \times Y$.

Proof. We apply ?? 4 to the morphisms $X \xrightarrow{i} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$, where i denotes the identity morphism. Everything then reduces to showing that the graph G_i of i in $P \times X$ is closed, which follows from the fact that it is given by the intersection of $P \times X$ with the diagonal of $P \times P$. \square

?? 5 justifies the following definition:

Definition. A map $f: X \to Y$ is said to be *proper* if it is a morphism and if its graph G_f is closed in $P \times Y$, where P is a projective space containing X.

We can give a definition of proper maps that is analogous to the definition of complete varieties:

Proposition 3. For a morphism $f: X \to Y$ to be proper, it is necessary and sufficient, for every variety Z, and every closed subset T of $X \times Z$, for the image of T in $Y \times Z$ to be closed.

Proof. Let P be a projective space inside which X can be embedded; since G_f is closed in $P \times Y$, the product $G_f \times Z$ is closed in $P \times Y \times Z$, and so T can be embedded as a closed subset into $P \times Y \times Z$. Applying $\ref{eq:total_property}$ 3, we see that the projection of T to $Y \times Z$ (which is exactly $(f \times 1)(T)$) is closed. Conversely, suppose that this property holds true, and apply it to Z = P, with the set T being the diagonal of $X \times X$, embedded into $X \times P$. The image of T in $Y \times Z = Y \times P$ is then exactly G_f , which is indeed closed.

Proposition 4. (i) The identity morphism $i: X \to X$ is proper.

- (ii) The composition of two proper maps is proper.
- (iii) The direct product of two proper maps is proper.
- (iv) The image of a closed subset by a proper map is a closed subset.
- (v) An injection $Y \to X$ is proper if and only if Y is closed in X.
- (vi) Every morphism from a projective variety is proper.
- (vii) A projection $Y \times Z \to Y$ is proper if and only if Z is projective (assuming the variety Y to be non-empty).

Proof. We indicate, as an example, how to prove *(vii)* (since the other claims are even easier to prove). If Z is projective, then we apply the criteria of $\ref{formula}$? 3; so let Z' be an arbitrary variety, and T a closed subset of $Y \times Z \times Z'$; we need to show that the projection of T in $Y \times Z'$ is closed, which follows from $\ref{formula}$? 3. Conversely, if $Y \times Z \to Y$ is proper, then the composition $Z \to Y \times Z \to Y$ is proper. Since the image of this map is a point, we immediately deduce that Z is projective (by returning to the definition).

Corollary 5. For a morphism $f: X \to Y$ to be proper, it is necessary and sufficient for it to factor as $X \to P \times Y \to Y$, where $X \to P \times Y$ is an injection into a closed subvariety, and $P \times Y \to Y$ is the projection onto the second factor (where P denotes some projective space).

Proof. By the definition of a proper map, this condition is necessary (if we take P to be a projective space into which we can embed X). It is sufficient by (ii), (v), and (vii).

Proposition 5. Suppose that the base field k is the field of complex numbers. For a morphism $f: X \to Y$ to be proper (in the above sense), it is necessary and sufficient for it to be proper (in the topological sense) when we endow X and Y with the "usual" topology.

Proof. Suppose that f is proper in the algebraic sense, and let K be a compact subset of Y (for the usual topology). Suppose that X is embedded into some projective space P. Since P is compact, we know that $f^{-1}(K) = G_f \cap (P \times K)$ is compact, which shows that f is proper in the topological sense. Conversely, assume that this condition is satisfied, and aim to prove that the condition of $\ref{eq:topology}$ 3 is satisfied: the image of T in $Y \times Z$ is closed for the usual topology, and thus also for the Zariski topology [13, proposition 7, p. 12].

References

- [1] ATIYAH, M. Vector bundles over an elliptic curve. *Proc. London math. Soc.* 7 (1957), 414–452.
- [2] BOREL, A., AND HIRZEBRUCH, F. Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, II. *Amer. J. Math.* (to appear).
- [3] CARTAN, H., AND EILENBERG, S. *Homological algebra*, vol. 19 of *Princeton Math. Series*. Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [4] Chevalley, C. La notion de correspondance propre en géométrie algébrique. In *Séminaire Bourbaki*, vol. 10. (Talk number 152).
- [5] CHEVALLEY, S. C. Anneaux de Chow et applications, vol. 2. 1958.
- [6] CHOW, W. On equivalence classes of cycles in an algebraic variety. *Ann. Math. 64* (1956), 450–479.
- [7] GROTHENDIECK, A. Sur les faisceaux algébriques et les faisceaux analytiques cohérents. In *Séminaire H. Cartan*, vol. 9. (Talk number 2).
- [8] GROTHENDIECK, A. Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique. *Tohoku math. J. 9* (1957), 119–221.
- [9] GROTHENDIECK, A. Bull. Soc. math. France 80 (1958), 137-154.
- [10] HIRZEBRUCH, F. Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie. Ergebnisse der Mathematik. Berlin, Springer, 1956. neue Folge, Heft 9.
- [II] SAMUEL, P. Rational equivalence of arbitrary cycles. Amer. J. Math. 78 (1956), 383-400.
- [12] SERRE, J.-P. Faisceaux algébriques cohérents. Ann. Math. 61 (1955), 197–279.
- [13] SERRE, J.-P. Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique. *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* 6 (1955–1956), 1–42.

[14] SERRE, J.-P. Sur la cohomologie des variétés algébriques. *J. Math. pures et appl. 36* (1957), 1–16.