Editorial: Exam in MAC - 1935D

Avighna June 2024

To begin with, let me state an obvious boolean logic fact: $\neg(a \land b) = \neg a \lor \neg b$. One way to solve this problem is to find the number of pairs that **don't satisfy** the given condition, and subtract this from the total number of pairs.

The negated problem statement is this: given an array of unique integers s, find the number of pairs of integers (x,y) such that $0 \le x \le y \le c$, and either $x+y \in s$ or $x-y \in s$, or both.

The **total** number of these (x,y) pairs is $\frac{(c+1)(c+2)}{2}$. This example with c=3 should clear things up:

(0,0), (0,1), (0,2), (0,3),

(1,1), (1,2), (1,3),

(2,2), (2,3),

(3, 3)

Now, for a pair (a, b), let e being 'present on the left' mean that a + b = e, and let e being 'present on the right' mean that b - a = e. Let lp(x) be a function that returns the number of times x is present on the left across all $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ pairs, and let rp(x) be a similar function that returns the number of times x is present on the right across all $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ pairs. Along with these two functions, we need to define another additional function: Let pe(x,y) be 1 if there exists a pair (a,b) with $a,b \le c$ such that both a+b=x and b-a=y, and 0 otherwise (all of this may seem a little bit arbitrary, but stick with me for a second).

We'll now try to find a way to count the number of pairs satisfying our negated problem by iterating over s (that's really the only thing we can iterate over). With some PIE, we get that the number of pairs (x) is:

$$x = \sum_{e \in s} \operatorname{lp}(e) + \sum_{e \in s} \operatorname{rp}(e) - \sum_{u \in s} \sum_{v \in s} \operatorname{pe}(u, v)$$

Let us first try to find $v_3 := \sum_{u \in s} \sum_{v \in s} \operatorname{pe}(u, v)$.

$$\begin{cases} a+b=x\\ b-a=y \end{cases}$$

is a system of two equations with two unknowns. Solving for a and b, we get $a=\frac{x-y}{2}$ and $b=\frac{x+y}{2}$. For (a,b) to be a valid pair, both a and b need to be integers between [0,c]. In other words: $0 \le a \le c$ and $0 \le b \le c$

$$\implies 0 \le \frac{x-y}{2} \le c \text{ and } 0 \le \frac{x+y}{2} \le c$$

$$\implies 0 \le x-y \le 2c \text{ and } 0 \le x+y \le 2c$$

From this we infer that if $pe(x, y) = 1 \implies y \le x$. If we iterate over each element s_i and find the first index j such that $s_i + s_j > 2c$ (if there's no such element, j = n), j - i pairs of the form (s_u, s_i) with $u \in [i, j)$ will be valid, existing pairs: we simply add j - i to v_3 (initialized to 0) on every iteration.

1

But wait, we're not done yet! We also need to make sure that $s_u + s_i$ is even, so that a and b are integers. This means that we want the parities of s_u and s_i to match. Here's an easy way to do this: if s_i is even, subtract from v_3 a number equal to how many odd numbers s_k there are with $k \in [i, j)$, and if s_i is odd, do the same thing for even numbers. Two prefix-sum arrays can be used to quickly count how many odd/even numbers there are within a range.

This will take $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time with binary search.

Now let us determine an expression for lp(e). How many pairs have e present on the left is equivalent to asking how many ways there are to write e as a sum of two integers $x, y \le c$, without double counting (that is, if (x, y) has been counted as a solution, (y, x) should not). Let a pair (x, y) summing up to e mean that x + y = e.

e=0 is a special case. If e=0, there is only 1 pair that sums up to e: (0,0). Similarly, since $x,y \le c$, if e>2c, it's impossible to have any pairs that sum up to e. Now let us consider two cases

If $e \leq c$, then we can write all of the pairs that sum up to e as:

$$(0, e)$$

 $(1, e - 1)$
 $(2, e - 2)$
...
 $(e, 0)$

We will now find the first overcounted pair in this list. Let the n^{th} pair be (n-1, e-n+1). Then we get the inequality: n-1 > e-n+1

$$\implies 2n > e + 2$$

$$\implies n > \frac{e+2}{2}$$

Let us define another function:

$$gtf(e) = \begin{cases} \frac{e}{2} + 2 & \text{if } e \text{ is even} \\ \lceil \frac{e+2}{2} \rceil & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

('gtf' is short for 'greater function')

Since the first overcounted pair is gtf(e), the number of pairs in this case is lp(e) = gtf(e) - 1.

When e > c, our pairs still remain the same, but some at the beginning (for example, the pair (0, e) in all cases) and some at the end will be invalid. The valid pairs will lie somewhere in the middle. We have three conditions to abide by: 1) $n - 1 \le c$, the first term of the pair

- 2) $e n + 1 \le c$, the second term of the pair
- 3) $n \leq \operatorname{gtf}(e) 1$, to prevent overcounting

Solving these three inequalities gives us $e-c+1 \le n \le \min(c+1, \operatorname{gtf}(e)-1)$, which means $n \in [e-c+1, \min(c+1, \operatorname{gtf}(e)-1)]$ (it can be checked that the start of this range is only bigger than its end when e > 2c). Thus the number of valid pairs in this case will be $\operatorname{lp}(e) = \min(c+1, \operatorname{gtf}(e)-1)-e+c$.

We now have:

$$\operatorname{lp}(e) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } e = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } e > 2c \\ \operatorname{gtf}(e) - 1 & \text{if } e \leq c \\ \min(c + 1, \operatorname{gtf}(e) - 1) - e + c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Let us finally determine an expression for $\operatorname{rp}(e)$. How many pairs have e present on the right is equivalent to asking how many ways there are to write e as a difference of two integers $x,y \leq c$, without double counting. Let a pair (x,y) differing to e mean that y-x=e.

If e > c, the answer is 0 since a difference of two non-negative integers (y - x) cannot equal e for any y < e. If $e \le c$, then the pairs differing to e will be:

$$(0, e)$$

 $(1, e + 1)$
 $(2, e + 2)$
...
 $(c - e, c)$

There are c - e + 1 such pairs. So:

$$rp(e) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } e > c \\ c - e + 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We now have all the tools necessary to find the answer, which is $\frac{(c+1)(c+2)}{2} - x$.