* Policy Iteration:

- Value iteration / DP iteration is not guaranteed to terminate finite time. Only the limiting iterate is the optimal value.

- Though we can derive a number of iteration dependent error

* Policy iteration is one alternative of value iteration which ends in finite time.

The algorithm is as follows:

1. Start with a stationary policy, μ° , $k \leftarrow 0$

2. do:

3. evaluate $J_{\mu \kappa}$ as the solution of the system of linear equations:

 $J(i) = g(i, \mu(i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} p(i, \mu(i), j) J(j), i \in [n]$

4. $\mu^{k+1}(i) \in \underset{u \in \mathcal{U}(i)}{\operatorname{arg min}} \left\{ g(i,u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(i,u,j) J_{\mu^{k}}(j) \right\}, i \in [n]$

5. K ← K+|

6. While Jux + Jux-1

Troposition 2: Under assumption I, the policy iteration algorithm for the SSPP generates an improving sequence of policies, i.e.

Jμκ+1 (i) ≤ Jμκ (i) ∀ i and κ and terminates with an optimal policy.

Froof: For any k, consider the sequence generated by the necursion

$$J_{N+1}(i) = g(i, \mu^{k+1}(i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(i, \mu^{k+1}(i), j) J_{N}(j) , i \in [m]$$

$$J_{N+1}(L) = J(L, \mu^{N+1}(L)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} T(i, \mu^{N+1}(L), j) J_{N}(j), \quad i \in [n]$$

$$\text{Where } N = 0, 1, \dots, \text{ and }$$

$$J_{0}(i) = J_{\mu k}(i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$\text{So. from Re algo,}$$

$$J_{0}(i) = J(i, \mu^{k+1}(i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(i, \mu^{k+1}(i), j) J_{0}(j)$$

$$= J_{1}(i) \qquad \qquad \forall i \in [n]$$

$$B_{j} \text{ using the above inequality we obtain}$$

$$J_{1}(i) = J(i, \mu^{N+1}(i)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} P(i, \mu^{N+1}(i), j) J_{0}(i)$$

$$= J_{2}(i) \qquad \qquad \forall i \in [n]$$

$$\text{and by continuing like this we get}$$

$$J_{0}(i) \geqslant J_{1}(i) \geqslant \dots \geqslant J_{N}(i) \geqslant J_{N+1}(i) \geqslant \dots \vee i \in [n]$$

$$\text{Since by Trap 1, } \lim_{N \to \infty} J_{N}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n]$$

$$\text{Since by Trap 1, } \lim_{N \to \infty} J_{N}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n]$$

$$\text{Now } J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N+1}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N}}(i) \vee i \in [n] \text{ then}$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N}}(i) \vee i \in [n]$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N}}(i) \vee i \in [n]$$

$$J_{\mu^{N}}(i) = J_{\mu^{N}}(i) \vee i \in [n]$$

$$J_{\Pi}(x_{0}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \alpha^{k} g(x_{k}, \mu_{k}(x_{k}), x_{k+1}) \right]$$

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$.

- We assume that g is absolutely bounded.

* We can have the results we obtained in the previous section for this setting as well. The proof technique is exactly the same (and somewhat simpler). So we will leave the proof of the following proposition for Homework.

Proposition 1: The following hold for the discounted cost problem:

a. The value iteration algorithm

$$J_{k+1}(i) = \min_{u \in U(i)} \left\{ g(i,u) + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{n} \phi(i,u,j) J_{k}(j) \right\}$$

Converges to the optimal costs $J^*(i)$, i=1,...,n, starting from arbitrary initial conditions $J_o(i),...,J_o(n)$.

b. The optimal costs $J^*(1), ..., J^*(n)$ of the discounted problem satisfy Bellman's equation,

$$J^*(i) = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}(i)} \left\{ g(i, u) + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{m} p(i, u, j) J^*(i) \right\}$$

and in fact they are the unique solution of this equation.

C. For any stationary policy μ , the costs $J_{\mu}(1),...,J_{\mu}(2)$ are the unique solution of the equation

Furthermore, given any initial condition $J_o(1), \ldots, J_o(n)$, the Sequence $J_k(i)$ generated by DP iteration

$$J_{k+1}(i) = g(i, \mu(i)) + \alpha \sum_{j=1}^{m} P(i, \mu(i), j) J_{k}(j), i \in [n]$$

Converges to the cost $J_{\mu}(i)$ for every $i \in [n]$.

d. A Stationary policy μ is optimal if and only if for every

d. A stationary tolicy μ is optimal if and only if for every state i , $\mu(i)$ attains the minimum in Bellmeens equation. e. The tolicy iteration algorithm given by
e. The policy iteration algorithm given by
$\mu^{K+1}(i) \in argmin + g(i,u) + \alpha > +(i,u,i) Tik(i) + i \in [n]$
generates an improving sequence of policies and terminates with an optimal policy.
· ·
Proof: HW.
- We will take another route to prove the above nesults in the next few lectures.
m me next few learnes.