

60% completed

Search Course

Java Concurrency Reference

Setting-up Threads

Basic Thread Handling

Executor Framework

Executor Implementations

Thread Pools

Types of Thread Pools

An Example: Timer vs ScheduledThreadPool

ThreadPoolExecutor

Callable Interface

Future Interface

CompletionService Interface

ThreadLocal

ThreadLocalRandom

CountDownLatch

CyclicBarrier

Concurrent Collections

ConcurrentHashMap

ConcurrentModificationException

Practice Mock Interview

CompletionService Interface

ThreadLocal

ThreadLocalRandom

CountDownLatch

CyclicBarrier

Concurrent Collections

ConcurrentHashMap

ConcurrentModificationException

Practice Mock Interview

Java Multithreading for Senior Engineering Interviews / ... / AtomicLongFieldUpdater

AtomicLongFieldUpdater

Guide to understanding and using AtomicLongFieldUpdater.

If you are interviewing, consider buying our number#1 course for [Java Multithreading Interviews](#).

Overview

You'll find the write-up for `AtomicLongFieldUpdater` similar to the one for `AtomicIntegerFieldUpdater`, since the two classes are similar in behavior but work with different types.

The class `AtomicLongFieldUpdater` is one of the three field updater classes. The field updater classes exist primarily for performance reasons. Instead of using atomic variables, one can use ordinary variables that occasionally need to be get and then set atomically. Another reason can be to avoid having atomic fields in objects that are short-lived and frequently created e.g. the next pointer of nodes in a concurrent linked list.

The atomicity guarantees for the updater classes are weaker than those of regular atomic classes because the underlying fields can still be modified directly i.e. without using the updater object. Additionally, the atomicity guarantees for arithmetic methods and `compareAndSet` method stand only with respect to other threads using the updater's methods. The atomic fields present a reflection-based view of an existing `volatile` field that an updater can execute the compare and set method against. Note, that the updater instance ~~isn't tied to any one instance of the target class; rather the updater object can be used to update the target field of any instance of the target class.~~

Another reason can be to avoid having atomic fields in objects that are short-lived and frequently created e.g. the next pointer of nodes in a concurrent linked list.

The atomicity guarantees for the updater classes are weaker than those of regular atomic classes because the underlying fields can still be modified directly i.e. without using the updater object. Additionally, the atomicity guarantees for arithmetic methods and `compareAndSet` method stand only with respect to other threads using the updater's methods. The atomic fields present a reflection-based view of an existing `volatile` field that an updater can execute the compare and set method against. Note, that the updater instance isn't tied to any one instance of the target class; rather the updater object can be used to update the target field of any instance of the target class.

Example

As an example consider a `Counter` class that is very infrequently incremented or decremented but supports a very high number of read operations. For such a class, we may choose to track the count in an ordinary `long` variable instead of an `AtomicLong` as we expect the class to be very infrequently updated. If such `Counter` objects are created in very large numbers then the cost savings in terms of space can be significant.

The code for the `Counter` class appears below along with comments.

```
1 import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicLongFieldUpdater;
2
3 class Demonstration {
4
5     static class Counter {
6         // volatile int field
7         protected volatile long count = 0;
8
9         // ... Rest of the operations exposed by the class
10    }
11
12    public static void main(String args[]) {
```

```
1 import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicLongFieldUpdater;
2
3 class Demonstration {
4
5     static class Counter {
6         // volatile int field
7         protected volatile long count = 0;
8
9         // ... Rest of the operations exposed by the class
10    }
11
12    public static void main(String args[]) {
```

Note, that in the code widget above if we remove `volatile` with the `long` variable, the updater object will throw an error since only `volatile` fields can be updated using the atomic field updater classes.