

Learning Objectives

By the end of this worksheet, you will:

- Prove statements using the definition of Big-O and its negation.
- Represent constant functions in Big-O expressions.
- Understand and use the definition of Omega and Theta to compare functions.

For your reference, here is the formal definition of Big-O:

$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f) : \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq cf(n) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

1. **Constant functions.** As we discussed in class, *constant functions*, like $f(n) = 100$, will play an important role in our analysis of running time next week. For now let's get comfortable with the notation.

(a) Let $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$. Show how to express the statement $g \in \mathcal{O}(1)$ by expanding the definition of Big-O.¹

there exists n_0, c in $\mathbb{R}_{\{+\}}$, for all n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_0 \implies g \leq c \cdot 1$

(b) Prove that $100 + \frac{77}{n+1} \in \mathcal{O}(1)$.

Note: this proof isn't too mathematically complex; treat this as another exercise in making sure you understand the definition of Big-O.

Hint: one algebraic property of inequalities is that $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+, x \geq y \Rightarrow \frac{1}{x} \leq \frac{1}{y}$.

let $n_0 = 0$ and $c = 177$

OR

let $n_0 = 76$ and $c = 101$

¹Remember that we often abbreviate Big-O expressions to just show the function bodies. " $\mathcal{O}(1)$ " is really shorthand for " $\mathcal{O}(f)$ ", where f is the constant function $f(n) = 1$."

2. **Omega.** Recall that we can think of Big-O notation as describing an *upper bound* on the rate of growth of a function: saying “ $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ ” is like saying “ g grows at most as fast as f .” Sometimes we care just as much about a *lower bound* on the rate of growth and for this, we have the symbol Ω (the Greek letter Omega), which is defined analogously to Big-O:

$$g \in \Omega(f) : \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \geq cf(n) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

Using this definition, prove that for all $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, if $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$, then $f \in \Omega(g)$.

there exist n_0, c in \mathbb{R}_+ for all n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$

WTS: there exist n_1, c_1 in \mathbb{R}_+ , for all n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_1 \Rightarrow f(n) \geq c_1 \cdot g(n)$

$$c_1 = 1/c, n_0 = n_1$$

3. **Theta.** Both Big-O and Omega are limited in the same way as inequalities on numbers. “ $2 \leq 10^{10}$ ” is a true statement, but not very insightful; similarly, “ $n + 1 \in \mathcal{O}(n^{10})$ ” and “ $2^n + n^2 \in \Omega(n)$ ” are both true, but not very precise.

Our final piece of asymptotic notation is Θ (the Greek letter Theta), which we define as:

$$g \in \Theta(f) : g \in \mathcal{O}(f) \wedge g \in \Omega(f) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

Or equivalently,

$$g \in \Theta(f) : \exists c_1, c_2, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow c_1 f(n) \leq g(n) \leq c_2 f(n) \quad \text{where } f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$$

When we write $g \in \Theta(f)$, what we mean is “ g grows at most as quickly as f and g grows at least as quickly as f ”—in other words, that f and g have the *same* rate of growth. In this case, we call f a **tight bound** on g , since g is essentially squeezed between constant multiples of f .

Prove that for all functions $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, and all numbers $a \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, if $g \in \Omega(1)$, then $a + g \in \Theta(g)$.²

(Or in other words, for such functions g , shifting them by a constant amount does not change their “Theta” bound.)

there exists n_0, c in \mathbb{R}^+ , for all n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \geq c \cdot 1$

$g(n) = 0$ doesn't work, so $g(n)$ must eventually be non-zero.

there exist n_1, c_1, c_2 in \mathbb{R}^+ , for all n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_1 \Rightarrow c_1 g(n) \leq g(n) + a \leq c_2 g(n)$

$c_2 = 1 + a/c$, $n_1 = n_0$, $c_1 = 1$

$g(n) \leq g(n)$ (1)

$a \leq c/a \leq g(n)$ (2)

$a \leq a/c \leq g(n)$ (2')

(1) + (2')

$g(n) + a \leq g(n) + a/c \cdot g(n)$

$g(n) + a \leq (1 + a/c) g(n)$

²Here we use $a + g$ to denote the function g_1 defined as $g_1(n) = a + g(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

4. **Negating Big-O.** So far, we have only looked at proving that a function *is* Big-O of another function. In this question, we'll investigate what it means to show that a function *isn't* Big-O of another.

- (a) Express the statement $g \notin \mathcal{O}(f)$ in predicate logic, using the expanded definition of Big-O. (As usual, simplify so that all negations are pushed as far “inside” as possible.)

there exist c, n_0 in \mathbb{R}^+ , for all n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_0 \implies g(n) \leq c \cdot f(n)$

for all c, n_0 in \mathbb{R}_+ , there exist n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_0$ and $g(n) > c \cdot f(n)$

- (b) Prove that for all positive real numbers a and b , if $a > b$ then $n^a \notin \mathcal{O}(n^b)$.

for all c, n_0 in \mathbb{R}^+ , there exist n in \mathbb{N} , $n \geq n_0$ and $n^a > c \cdot n^b$

Formal proof:

Assume $a > b$. Let c, n_0 be any number in \mathbb{R}^+ .

let $n = \text{ceiling}(c^{1/(a-b)} + n_0)$.

so, $n \geq n_0$.

$n > c^{1/(a-b)}$

$(n^{a-b})^{1/(a-b)} > c^{1/(a-b)}$

$n^{a-b} > c$

$n^a > c \cdot n^b$

(magic)

Assume $a > b$. Let c, n_0 be any number in \mathbb{R}^+ .

$(n^{a-b})^{1/(a-b)} > c^{1/(a-b)}$

$n > c^{1/(a-b)}$.

and $n \geq n_0$

adding them together, we can let n be:

$n = \text{ceiling}(c^{1/(a-b)} + n_0)$