

More Proofs

CSC165 Week 4 - Part 2

Lindsey Shorser, Winter 2021

Last time, we proved:

- $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}, x \neq y+1$
- $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x \mid (x+d) \Rightarrow x \mid d$ (Fact 1)
- $\forall x, \in \mathbb{N}, \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(p) \wedge x \mid (x+p) \Rightarrow x=1 \vee x=p$

Notice that the “ \mathbb{Z} ”s were replaced by “ \mathbb{N} ”
to make the last statement true and provable.



Example: $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid a \wedge 2 \nmid b \implies 2 \nmid ab$

Generalization? $\forall d \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \implies d \nmid ab$

Example: $\forall d \in \mathbb{Z} (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \implies d \nmid ab)$
 $\implies \text{Prime}(d) \vee d \leq 1$

Example: $\forall d \in \mathbb{Z} (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \implies d \nmid ab)$
 $\implies \text{Prime}(d) \vee d \leq 1$

Recall: $\text{Prime}(p) = "p > 1 \wedge \forall d \in \mathbb{N}, d \mid p \implies d = 1 \vee d = p"$







