· TT2? still not quite finished, updates on Piazza...
.TT3? Details on Quercus; office hours TBA Later today ... · P54? So far... examples of runtime analysis have been for algorithms with one input n. Worst-case mining time def is-pal (s: str) -> bool: Example: for i in range (leh(s)): if s[i] = s[leh(s)-l-i]: return False retum Tme Note: runtime depends not only on n=lea(s), but also on contents of sitself

Q: what is "the" mutime as a function of n? A: no single answer... Definitions & notation: For any algorithm A · RTA(x): runtime (# steps) of A on input x we want function of n = size af x $T_n = \{ x \mid x \text{ is an input of size } n \}$ . wors-case runtime wors-case miname  $WC_{A}(n) = \max \left\{ RT_{A}(x) \mid x \in I_{n} \right\}$ 

 $WC_A(n) = \max_A RT_A(x) | X \in L_n$ intaitively: largest matime of A for all inputs of size n

upper bound? lower bound? UB times O= WC →siæ

• f is a lower bound on WC ( $WC(n) \in IZ(f)$ ). FC, NOERT, YNEW, NONO =) FXEIN, RT(x) > C.f(n) WARNING: lower bound on worst-case does

NOT mean "find an input that takes as little
time as possible" Back to is-pal example... · Upper bound: WANT:-general argument that applies

· g is an upper bound on WC (WC(n) & O(g)):

Jano elRt, thell, non => tx∈In, RT(x) ∈ c.g(n)

to all inputs, for all sizes.
-okay to overertimate - Let nell, s be any string of length n - loop performs at most niterations - each iteration takes time at most 1 -66al is  $\leq N \Rightarrow O(N)$ Lover bound - Let nell. - not the only - Let 5 = "aa...a" - concrete input for each n n times input family

- condition of it-statement is never true for input s — loop executes all n iterations

- matime  $>n \rightarrow \Omega(n)$ 

Conclusion: WC (n) E (n)