

Due before 17:00 (EDT) on Tuesday 6 April

Note: **solutions may be incomplete, and meant to be used as guidelines only**. We encourage you to ask follow-up questions on the course forum or during office hours.

1. [10 marks] Analyzing nested loops.

- (a) [4 marks] Analyze the running time of function `nested3` below, in terms of its input  $n$ , concluding with a Theta bound on the running time.

```

1 def nested3(n: int) -> None:
2     i = 1
3     while i < n:           # Loop 1
4         j = i
5         while j > 1:       # Loop 2
6             k = 0
7             while k < n:   # Loop 3
8                 k = k + 2
9             j = j // 2
10        i = i * 2

```

**Solution**

*Approach A:* calculate “exact” steps and derive Theta bound at the end.

- Loop 3 performs  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  iterations, each one taking time 1, for a total of  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$  steps.
- Loop 2 performs  $\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor$  iterations, each one taking time  $\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1$  (the “+ 1” is for lines 6 and 9), for a total of  $\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor (\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1)$  steps.
- Loop 1 performs  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$  iterations, where the values of  $i$  go from  $1 = 2^0$  up to  $2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1}$ , and each iteration takes time  $\lfloor \log_2 i \rfloor (\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) + 1$  (the “+ 1” is for lines 4 and 10). With the addition of one more step for line 2, this gives the following total number of steps

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1} \left( \lfloor \log_2(2^k) \rfloor (\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) + 1 \right) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1} (k(\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) + 1) \\
 &= 1 + (\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1} k + \sum_{k=0}^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1} 1 \\
 &= 1 + (\lceil n/2 \rceil + 1) \frac{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil (\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1)}{2} + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil \\
 &\in \Theta(n(\log n)^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

*Approach B:* prove separate, but matching upper and lower bounds.

**Upper bound:**

- Loop 3 performs no more than  $n$  iterations, each one taking constant time, for a total time  $\leq n$ .

- Loop 2 performs no more than  $\log_2 n$  iterations, each one taking time  $\leq n$ , for a total number of steps  $\leq n \log_2 n$ .
- Loop 1 performs no more than  $\log_2 n$  iterations, each one taking time  $\leq n \log_2 n$ , for a total number of steps  $\leq n(\log_2 n)^2$ .
- Therefore, the running time is  $\mathcal{O}(n(\log n)^2)$ .

**Lower bound:**

- Loop 3 performs at least  $n/2$  iterations, each one taking constant time, for a total time  $\geq n/2$ .
- Loop 2 performs exactly  $\log_2 i$  iterations, each one taking time  $\geq n/2$ , for a total number of steps  $\geq (n/2) \log_2 i$ .
- Loop 1 performs at least  $\log_2 n$  iterations for values of  $i$  from  $1 = 2^0$  up to  $2^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1}$ , and each iteration takes time  $\geq (n/2) \log_2 i$ , for a total number of steps that is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} \frac{n}{2} \log_2(2^k) \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n - 1} k \\
 &= \frac{n}{2} \frac{\log_2 n (\log_2 n - 1)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, the running time is  $\Omega(n(\log n)^2)$ .

(b) [4 marks] Analyze the running time of function `up_and_down` below, in terms of its input  $n$ , concluding with a Theta bound on the running time.

```

1 def up_and_down(n: int) -> None:
2     i = 0
3     while i < n:           # Loop 1
4         j = i
5         if i % 2 == 1:
6             while j > 0:    # Loop 2
7                 j = j - 1
8                 print(j)
9         else:
10            while j < n:     # Loop 3
11                j = j + 1
12                print(j)
13            i = i + 1

```

**Solution**

*Approach A:* calculate “exact” steps and derive Theta bound at the end.

- When it executes, Loop 2 performs  $i$  iterations, each one taking time 1, for a total of  $i$  steps.
- When it executes, Loop 3 performs  $n - i$  iterations, each one taking time 1, for a total of  $n - i$  steps.
- Loop 1 performs  $n$  iterations, where the iterations for  $i = 0, 2, 4, \dots$  take time  $n - i + 1$  and

the iterations for  $i = 1, 3, 5, \dots$  take time  $i+1$  (the “+1” accounts for lines 4, 5, and 13). To make the final expression easier to express, we split up the proof into subcases, depending on whether  $n$  is odd or even.

- If  $n$  is even, then the total time taken by the algorithm is given by the following expression (where the initial “+1” accounts for line 2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} 2k + 1 + 1 \right) + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} n - 2k + 1 \right) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} (2k + 2 + n - 2k + 1) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} (n + 3) \\
 &= 1 + \frac{n(n+3)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

- If  $n$  is odd, then the total time taken by the algorithm is given by the following expression (where the initial “+1” accounts for line 2):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} 2k + 1 + 1 \right) + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} n - 2k + 1 \right) \\
 &= 1 + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} (2k + 2 + n - 2k + 1) \right) + (n - (n-1) + 1) \\
 &= 1 + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} (n + 3) \right) + 2 \\
 &= 3 + \frac{(n-1)(n+3)}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, the running time is  $\Theta(n^2)$ .

*Approach B:* prove separate, but matching upper and lower bounds.

#### Upper Bound:

- Loop 2 iterates  $i \leq n$  times and each iteration takes time 1, for a total time  $\leq n$ .
- Loop 3 iterates  $n - i \leq n$  times and each iteration takes time 1, for a total time  $\leq n$ .
- Loop 1 iterates  $n$  times and each iteration takes time  $\leq n$ , for a total time  $\leq n^2$ .
- So the running time is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

#### Lower Bound:

- There are at least  $n/4$  values of  $i \geq n/2$  that are odd. For each of these values, Loop 2 performs at least  $n/2$  iterations, each one taking time 1, for a total number of steps  $\geq n/2$ .
- There are at least  $n/4$  values of  $i \leq n/2$  that are even. For each of these values, Loop 3 performs at least  $n - i \geq n/2$  iterations, each one taking time 1, for a total number of steps  $\geq n/2$ .

- Therefore, there are at least  $n/4 + n/4 = n/2$  iterations of Loop 1 that each take time  $\geq n/2$ , so the total runtime for the algorithm is  $\geq (n/2)^2 = n^2/4$ .
- So the running time is  $\Omega(n^2)$ .

(c) [2 marks] Find, with proof, an **exact** expression for the number of **print** statements executed by function `up_and_down` from the previous part, in terms of its input  $n$ .

(Hint: You may want to introduce cases for  $n$ .)

### Solution

- When it executes, Loop 2 performs  $i$  iterations, each one calling **print** exactly once.
- When it executes, Loop 3 performs  $n - i$  iterations, each one calling **print** exactly once.
- Loop 1 performs  $n$  iterations, where the iterations for  $i = 0, 2, 4, \dots$  call **print**  $n - i$  times and the iterations for  $i = 1, 3, 5, \dots$  call **print**  $i$  times. To make the final expression easier to express, we split up the proof into subcases, depending on whether  $n$  is odd or even.
  - If  $n$  is even, then the total number of calls to **print** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} 2k + 1 \right) + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} n - 2k \right) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} (2k + 1 + n - 2k) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{(n-2)/2} (n + 1) \\
 &= \frac{n(n + 1)}{2} = \frac{n^2 + n}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

- If  $n$  is odd, then the total number of calls to **print** is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} 2k + 1 \right) + \left( \sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} n - 2k \right) \\
 &= (n - (n - 1)) + \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} (2k + 1 + n - 2k) \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{(n-3)/2} (n + 1) \\
 &= \frac{2 + (n - 1)(n + 1)}{2} = \frac{n^2 + 1}{2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**2. [10 marks] Worst-case analysis.**

Consider the following function:

```

1 def some(lst: list, s: int) -> bool:
2     """Precondition: lst is a non-empty list of integers."""
3     for i in range(len(lst)):           # Loop 1
4         for j in range(i):             # Loop 2
5             if lst[i] + lst[j] == s:
6                 return True
7     return False

```

- (a) [2 marks] Find, with proof, an asymptotic upper bound (Big-O) on the worst-case running time of `some`.

**Solution**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and `lst`, `s` be any input with  $\text{len}(\text{lst}) = n$ .

- The body of Loop 2 takes time 1 (constant time), and Loop 2 iterates  $i \leq n$  times, so Loop 2 takes time  $\leq n$ .
- Loop 1 iterates  $n$  times, and each iteration takes time  $\leq n$  for a total number of steps  $\leq n^2$ .

Since this applies no matter the input, the worst-case running time is in  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

- (b) [3 marks] Find, with proof, an asymptotic lower bound (Omega) on the worst-case running time of `some`, that matches your upper bound.

**Solution**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let `lst` =  $[0, 0, \dots, 0]$  ( $n$  elements all equal to 0) and `s` = 1.

- By our choice of `lst` and `s`, the condition of the if statement will never evaluate to True:  $\text{lst}[i] + \text{lst}[j] = 0 \neq 1 = s$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .
- So Loop 2 always performs  $i$  iterations, each one taking constant time.
- So the body of Loop 1 takes time  $i$ , and Loop 1 iterates over every value of  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , for total time equal to  $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} i = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ .

Since there is at least one input of size  $n$  for which the algorithm takes time  $\Omega(n^2)$ , the worst-case running time is in  $\Omega(n^2)$ .

- (c) [5 marks] Find, with proof, an input family for which the running time of `some` is  $\Theta(\text{len}(\text{lst}))$ .

**Solution**

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let `lst` contain  $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 1$  many 0's followed by  $n - \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1$  many 1's, and let `s` = 2. In other words,  $\text{lst}[k] = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 2$  (if  $n \geq 4$ ) and  $\text{lst}[k] = 1$  for  $k = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 1, \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor, \dots, n-1$ .

- For each value of  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 1$ , and all values of  $j = 0, 1, \dots, i-1$ , the if condition on line 5 evaluates to False (because  $\text{lst}[i] + \text{lst}[j] = \text{lst}[i] + 0 < 2$ ). So Loop 2 performs  $i$  iterations, each one taking time 1, for each  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 1$ .
- For  $i = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ , the if condition on line 5 evaluates to False for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, i-2$  (because then  $\text{lst}[j] = 0$ ) and the if condition evaluates to True for  $j = i-1$  (when  $\text{lst}[i] = 1$

and `lst[j] = 1`). So Loop 2 also performs  $i$  iterations for  $i = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ , each one taking time 1. Then the entire function returns: Loop 1 performs no more iteration.

- The total number of steps taken by the algorithm is therefore equal to:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} i = \frac{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor (\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1)}{2} \in \Theta(n) \quad \text{as desired.}$$

**3. [10 marks] Worst-case and Best-case analysis.**

Consider the following function:

```

1 def loopy(lst: list) -> None:
2     """Precondition: lst is a non-empty list of integers."""
3     n = len(lst)
4     for i in range(n-1):                                # Loop 1
5         if lst[i] % 2 == 0:
6             d = lst[i+1] - lst[i]
7             for j in range(i+1, n):                    # Loop 2
8                 for k in range(i, j):                  # Loop 3
9                     if lst[j] - lst[k] < d:
10                        d = lst[j] - lst[k]
11            for j in range(i+1, n):                      # Loop 4
12                lst[j] = lst[j] + d
13        else:
14            j = i + 1
15            while j < n and lst[j] > 0:                  # Loop 5
16                lst[j] = lst[j] + 1
17                j = j + 1

```

- (a) [5 marks] Find, with proof, an asymptotic tight bound (Theta) on the worst-case running time of `loopy`. Your analysis should consist of two separate proofs for matching upper and lower bounds on the worst-case running time.

### Solution

**Upper Bound:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let `lst` contain  $n$  arbitrary integers.

- Loop 1 performs  $n - 1 \leq n$  iterations.
- For each iteration of Loop 1, Loop 2 performs  $n - i - 1 \leq n$  iterations.
- For each iteration of Loop 2, Loop 3 performs  $j - i \leq n$  iterations.
- Each iteration of Loop 3 takes time 1, so Loop 3 takes total time  $\leq n$ .
- Each iteration of Loop 2 takes time  $\leq n$  so Loop 2 takes total time  $\leq n^2$ .
- Loop 4 performs  $n - i - 1 \leq n$  iterations, each one taking time 1, so Loop 4 takes total time  $\leq n$ .
- Loop 5 performs at most  $n - i - 1 \leq n$  iterations, each taking time 1, so Loop 5 takes total time  $\leq n$ .
- So each iteration of Loop 1 takes time  $\leq \max(n^2 + n, n) \leq 2n^2$ .
- So the total time for `loopy(lst)` is  $\leq 2n^3$ , i.e., the worst-case time for `loopy` is in  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ .

**Lower Bound:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let `lst` =  $[0, 0, \dots, 0]$ , with  $n$  copies of the integer 0.

- *Lemma:* Every element of `lst` remains equal to 0 during the entire execution of `loopy`.  
*Proof:* During the first iteration of Loop 1, `lst[0] % 2 == 0` evaluates to True, so the algorithm executes the if block. During Loops 2 and 3, because  $d$  is always set to the difference between two list elements, and all list elements are equal to 0,  $d = 0$  is always true. So during Loop 4, none of the list elements change ( $d = 0$  is added to each one).

Since this happens for every iteration, the elements remain the same during the entire execution of `loopy`.

- For each iteration of Loop 1, `lst[i]` is equal to 0 by the Lemma above, so the if block executes.
- Loop 1 performs  $n - 1$  iterations. There are  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  of these iterations for which  $i \leq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor - 1$  (when  $i = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor n/3 \rfloor - 1$ ).
- For each of these values of  $i$ , Loop 2 performs  $n - i - 1$  iterations. There are at least  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  of these iterations for which  $j \geq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor$  (when  $j = \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor, \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1, \dots, n - 1$ ).
- For each of these values of  $j$  and  $i$ , Loop 3 performs  $j - i \geq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  iterations.
- All together, this means Loops 1, 2, 3 perform more than  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor^3$  steps (at least  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  steps, at least  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  times, at least  $\lfloor n/3 \rfloor$  times).
- So the running time of `loopy` is  $\geq \lfloor n/3 \rfloor^3$  for each input in our input family. This means that the worst-case running time of `loopy` is in  $\Omega(n^3)$ .

All together, this proves that the worst-case running time of `loopy` is in  $\Theta(n^3)$ .

- (b) [5 marks] In general, we define the **best-case running time** of an algorithm `func` as follows (where  $\mathcal{I}_n$  is the set of all inputs of size  $n$ ):

$$BC_{\text{func}}(n) = \min\{\text{running time of executing } \text{func}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{I}_n\}$$

Note that this is analogous to the definition of worst-case running time, except we use **min** instead of **max**.

Analyse the **best-case** running time of `loopy` to find a Theta bound for it. Your analysis should consist of two separate proofs for matching upper and lower bounds on the best-case running time.

HINT: You should review the definitions of what it means for a function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  to be an upper bound or lower bound on the worst-case running time of an algorithm, and take the time to write down the corresponding definitions for the best-case running time, to ensure that you know exactly what you are trying to prove. Your definitions are likely to be very similar to the ones for the worst-case, but *they should NOT be identical* (else you are doing it wrong)!

SUB-HINT: You may find it helpful to first translate the simpler statements “ $M$  is an upper bound on the minimum of set  $S$ ” and “ $M$  is a lower bound on the minimum of set  $S$ ” to make sure you have the right idea. Compare this with what it means for a value to be an upper or lower bound on the *maximum* of a set. Finally, coming back to algorithms, remember that upper and lower bounds can be proved separately on both the worst-case and the best-case running times (since these are two different functions).

### Solution

**Upper Bound:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let `lst` =  $[-1, -1, \dots, -1]$ , with  $n$  copies of the integer  $-1$ .

- *Lemma:* Every element of `lst` remains equal to  $-1$  during the entire execution of `loopy`.  
*Proof:* During the first iteration of Loop 1, `lst[0] = -1` so the else block executes. Because `lst[1] = -1`, Loop 5 stops immediately (it performs NO iteration), so the values of `lst` remain unchanged for the next iteration. Since this happens at every iteration, none of the entries of `lst` change.
- For every iteration of Loop 1, the else block will be executed because `lst[i] = -1` so the if condition is False.
- Also because `lst[i] = -1`, the condition of Loop 5 is False the first time it is evaluated, so Loop 5 performs NO iteration. This means lines 14–17 take constant time to execute.



- In addition to line 3, and lines 4–5 that execute for each iteration of Loop 1, this means the total number of steps executed is at most  $1 + (n - 1) = n$  for each input in our input family.
- Hence, the best-case running time of `loopy` is in  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ .

**Lower Bound:** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let `lst` be any list of integers of length  $n$ .

- Loop 1 executes  $n - 1$  iterations and each one takes at least constant time.
- So `loopy` executes at least  $n - 1$  steps for every input.
- This shows that the best-case running time of `loopy` is in  $\Omega(n)$ .

Hence, the best-case running time of `loopy` is in  $\Theta(n)$ .