

## Learning Objectives

By the end of this worksheet, you will:

- Understand and use the definition of greatest common divisor in statements and proofs.
- Write proofs and disproofs using the *proof by cases* and *contrapositive (indirect)* proof techniques.

1. **Greatest common divisor.** In this question, you'll explore a new definition which is fundamental in number theory: the greatest common divisor of two numbers.

- (a) As a warm-up, we are going to first consider how to express the idea of the “greatest” or “maximum” number that satisfies some predicate. Let  $P : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$  be a predicate. Express in predicate logic the statement “123 is the maximum natural number that satisfies  $P$ .”

**Hint:** Think about trying to complete the sentence “every number that satisfies  $P$  is...” Also don't forget express the fact that 123 has to satisfy  $P$ .

### Solution

$$P(123) \wedge (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n) \Rightarrow n \leq 123)$$

- (b) Now consider the following two definitions.

**Definition 1** (common divisor, greatest common divisor). Let  $x, y, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We say that  $d$  is a **common divisor** of  $x$  and  $y$  when  $d$  divides  $x$  and  $d$  divides  $y$ . When  $x$  and  $y$  are not both 0, we say that  $d$  is the **greatest common divisor (gcd)** of  $x$  and  $y$  when it is the maximum common divisor of  $x$  and  $y$ . We also define the greatest common divisor of 0 and 0 to be equal to 0 (as a special case).

We also define the function  $\text{gcd} : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  to be the function that takes two integers and returns their greatest common divisor.

In the space below, translate the above definitions as predicates. (You can use  $\text{IsCD}$  in the definition of  $\text{IsGCD}$ , and the divisibility predicate in both.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IsCD}(x, y, d): & \text{ “}d \text{ is a common divisor of } x \text{ and } y\text{,”} && \text{where } x, y, d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{IsGCD}(x, y, d): & \text{ “}d \text{ is the greatest common divisor of } x \text{ and } y\text{,”} && \text{where } x, y, d \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

### Solution

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IsCD}(x, y, d) : & d \mid x \wedge d \mid y, \quad \text{where } x, y, d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \text{IsGCD}(x, y, d) : & \left( (x = 0 \wedge y = 0 \Rightarrow d = 0) \wedge \right. \\ & \left. (x \neq 0 \vee y \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{IsCD}(x, y, d) \wedge (\forall d_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{IsCD}(x, y, d_1) \Rightarrow d_1 \leq d)) \right), \quad \text{where } x, y, d \in \mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Using the definition of divisibility and gcd, determine how to complete the following statement, and then prove it. (Note: be very careful about what you're proving, and make sure you give explicit proofs of divisibility here!)

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ IsGCD}(x, 0, \text{_____})$$

You can use in your proof the fact that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $d$  divides  $n$  then  $d \leq n$ .

### Solution

The full statement we'll prove is

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ IsGCD}(x, 0, x)$$

*Discussion.* We want to prove that  $\text{gcd}(x, 0) = x$ , which (by the definition of gcd) consists of proving two things:

- $x$  is a common divisor of  $x$  and 0.
- Every common divisor of  $x$  and 0 is  $\leq x$ .

We should be able to do the first part using the definition of divisibility; for the second part, we'll use the fact given in the question.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We'll prove that  $\text{IsGCD}(x, 0, x)$ , i.e. (using the definition),  $x = 0 \wedge 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$  and that  $x \neq 0 \vee 0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{IsCD}(x, 0, x) \wedge (\forall d_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ IsCD}(x, 0, d_1) \Rightarrow d_1 \leq x)$ . One subtlety here is that the first implication is actually *vacuously true* (since  $x = 0$  is false, as  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ). We'll prove the second implication now.

First, assume  $x \neq 0 \vee 0 \neq 0$  (this is actually always true for  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ). We'll prove that  $x$  is a common divisor of  $x$  and 0, and that for every  $d_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $d_1$  is a common divisor of  $x$  and 0 is  $\leq 0$ . Our proof will be divided into three parts.

**Part 1:** proving that  $x \mid x$ .

Let  $k_1 = 1$ . Then  $x = k_1x$ , and so  $x \mid x$ .

**Part 2:** proving that  $x \mid 0$ .

Let  $k_2 = 0$ . Then  $0 = k_2x$ , and so  $x \mid 0$ .

**Part 3:** proving that every common divisor of  $x$  and 0 is  $\leq x$ .

Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and assume that  $d$  divides both  $x$  and 0. Then because  $d \mid x$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , using the "fact" given in the question we can conclude that  $d \leq x$ .  $\square$

- (d) Here is one of the most famous and useful properties of the greatest common divisor. We probably won't have time to prove this statement in the course, but we'll certainly use it!

For every pair of integers  $a$  and  $b$ , if at least one of them is non-zero, then  $\text{gcd}(a, b)$  is the *smallest positive integer* that can be written in the form  $pa + qb$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are integers.<sup>1</sup>

In the space below, translate the above statement into predicate logic. You may define helper predicates to help simplify your formula, and you can use " $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ " directly in your translation.

### Solution

Let's define the following helper predicate:\*

$$\text{LinComb}(a, b, c) : \text{"}\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, c = pa + qb,\text{" where } a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we can express the above statement as:

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0 \vee b \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{LinComb}(a, b, \text{gcd}(a, b)) \wedge (\forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ LinComb}(a, b, d) \Rightarrow d \geq \text{gcd}(a, b))$$

\*We call expressions of the form  $pa + qb$  a *linear combination* of  $a$  and  $b$ .

<sup>1</sup>For example,  $\text{gcd}(6, 22) = 2$ , and  $2 = (-7) \cdot 6 + (2) \cdot 22$ .

2. **Proof by cases.** Often when proving a universally-quantified statement, the same argument in a proof does not actually apply to all cases. Consider the following (True) statement:

For every integer  $n$ ,  $n^2 - 3n$  is even.

Such statements are usually easier to prove by dividing the domain into different parts, and giving a different argument for each part separately. We call such a proof a **proof by cases**, where the term “case” refers to one of the different parts of the domain that are considered.

In this question, we will use the fact that every integer is either even or odd, and so divide up our proof into two cases. Practice using the proof by cases technique by completing the following proof.

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We will divide this proof into two cases: when  $n$  is even, and when  $n$  is odd.

**Case 1:** assume that  $n$  is even, i.e.,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k$ .

[**TODO:** prove that  $n^2 - 3n$  is even, assuming that  $n$  is even.]

**Solution**

This can be proved using a simple calculation. Letting  $k_1 = 2k^2 - 3k$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 - 3n &= (2k)^2 - 3(2k) \\ &= 4k^2 - 6k \\ &= 2(2k^2 - 3k) \\ &= 2k_1 \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:** assume that  $n$  is odd, i.e.,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k - 1$ .

[**TODO:** prove that  $n^2 - 3n$  is even, assuming that  $n$  is odd.]

**Solution**

Left as an exercise; similar to the previous case.

□

3. **An indirect (contrapositive) proof.** We saw in lecture that the contrapositive form of an implication can often be easier to work with when writing a proof. Let's study a more complex example.

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, 1 < \gcd(a, b) \wedge \gcd(a, b) < b \Rightarrow \neg \text{Prime}(b).$$

- (a) Write the contrapositive form of the above statement.

**Solution**

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(b) \Rightarrow \gcd(a, b) \leq 1 \vee \gcd(a, b) \geq b$$

- (b) Prove the above statement. Use two cases: when  $b \mid a$ , and when  $b \nmid a$ .

Note: to prove a formula of the form  $p \vee q$ , you only need to prove that  $p$  is True, or that  $q$  is True. And since you have two cases, which one you prove to be True can be different for each case!

**Solution**

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume that  $b$  is prime. We'll divide this proof into two cases.

**Case 1:** assume  $b \mid a$ .

In this case,  $\gcd(a, b) = b$ , since every divisor of  $b$  is  $\leq b$ . Then  $\gcd(a, b) \geq b$ .

**Case 2:** assume  $b \nmid a$ .

By our initial assumption that  $b$  is prime and the definition of prime, the only natural numbers that divide  $b$  are 1 and  $b$ . For this case, we've assumed that  $b \nmid a$ , and so  $b$  is not a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ . Since

$1 \mid a$ , we can conclude that  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , since it is the only positive common divisor of these numbers. Since  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , we can conclude that  $\gcd(a, b) \leq 1$ .  $\square$