

# **Proof by Contradiction and Proof by Induction**

**CSC165 Week 5**

**Lindsey Shorser, Winter 2021**

## Proof Techniques so far:

- Direct Proof of an implication
- Proof of a  $\forall$  statement
- Proof of a  $\exists$  statement
- Proof of the contrapositive
- Proof by cases

## New Proof Techniques:

- Proof by contradiction
- Proof by Induction

# Proof by Contradiction — General Structure

We want to show that statement  $A$  is true.

Assume  $\neg A$  is true.

Show that  $\neg A \implies$  a contradiction.

Given a correct implication, this must mean that  $\neg A$  is false.

Therefore,  $A$  is true.

Q.E.D.

Example: Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Example: Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Strategy: Assume that there is not infinitely many prime numbers.

Example: Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Strategy: Assume that there is not infinitely many prime numbers.

Want to show: There is no largest prime number.

Example: Prove that there are infinitely many prime numbers.

Strategy: Assume that there is not infinitely many prime numbers.

Want to show: There is no largest prime number.

$$\neg ( \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(n) \wedge (x < n) )$$

Proof: We want to show that there are infinitely many prime numbers in a proof by contradiction.

Assume that there are not infinitely many prime numbers.

So  $\exists x \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg \text{Prime}(n) \vee (x \geq n)$



Proof: We want to show that there are infinitely many prime numbers in a proof by contradiction.

Assume that there are not infinitely many prime numbers.

So  $\exists x \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg \text{Prime}(n) \vee (x \geq n)$

We will try proof that the last bracket is true and thereby get a contradiction.

Proof: We want to show that there are infinitely many prime numbers in a proof by contradiction.

Assume that there are not infinitely many prime numbers.

So  $\exists x \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \neg \text{Prime}(n) \vee (x \geq n)$

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be a complete list of all prime numbers.

Let  $x = (p_1)(p_2)(p_3)\dots(p_n) + 1$

What do we know about  $x = (p_1)(p_2)(p_3)\dots(p_n) + 1$ ?

What do we know about  $x = (p_1)(p_2)(p_3)\dots(p_n) + 1$ ?

This contradicts the idea that  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  is a complete list of prime numbers.

Therefore,  $\forall x \in \mathbb{N}, \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(n) \wedge (x < n)$       Q.E.D.

# Proof by Induction — General Structure

We want to prove that the statement  $P(n)$  is true for all natural numbers  $n$ .

In other words, we want to prove  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$ .

## Step 1: Base case

Prove  $P(0)$  (or any other case that should be verified first).

## Step 2: Induction hypothesis

Since we want to show that  $P(k) \implies P(k+1)$ ,  
We assume  $P(k)$  is true. (let  $n = k$ )

## Step 3: Let $n = k+1$ and Prove $P(k+1)$

This is where we use the induction hypothesis  $P(k)$  to prove  $P(k+1)$  must also be true.

Why do we need to prove the base case?

Example:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3 \implies 2n+1 < 2^n$

$$P(n) = 2n+1 < 2^n$$

$$Q(n) = n \geq 3 \implies 2n+1 < 2^n$$

Example:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3 \implies 2n+1 < 2^n$  (Method 1)

We want to show that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $P(n)$  is true.

Base Case:  $P(3)$

Induction Hypothesis: Assume  $P(k)$

Proof of  $P(k+1)$ :





Example:  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3 \implies 2n+1 < 2^n$  (Method 2)

We want to show that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $Q(n)$  is true.

Three cases:

- $n < 3$
- $n = 3$
- $n > 3$

Induction Hypothesis: Assume  $Q(k)$

Proof of  $Q(k+1)$ :



