

Due before 17:00 on Tuesday 23 February 2021

Note: **solutions may be incomplete, and meant to be used as guidelines only.** We encourage you to ask follow-up questions on the course forum or during office hours.

1. [12 marks] **Number theory.** For this question, you may use any of the following definitions or facts *without proof*. In other words, you may refer to any of these definitions, and any of these facts may appear as a justification for some deduction in your proofs, but *do NOT prove* these facts as part of your justification.

- All definitions, facts, and statements proven in worksheets 1–8.
- Theorem 2.1 (Quotient-Remainder Theorem) from the Course Notes.
- *Fact 1:*  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1$ .
- *Definition 1:* An *odd function* is any function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies  $f(-x) = -f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- *Definition 2:* An *even function* is any function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies  $f(-x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now, for each statement below:

- Write down whether the statement is true or false.
- If the statement is true, write it in predicate notation and then write a detailed proof of the statement.
- If the statement is false, write its *negation* in predicate notation, and then write a detailed proof of its negation.

State all definitions you use in your proofs (other than the ones above).

- (a) [4 marks] There exist 4 consecutive integers whose product is **not** divisible by 12.  
(Integers are *consecutive* when their difference is 1, e.g., 2, 3, 4, 5 are consecutive.)

### Solution

(i) False

(iii)  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, 12 \mid n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . First we will show that  $n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$  is divisible by 4.

By Theorem 2.1, we know that  $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 2k + r \wedge 0 \leq r < 2$ .

**Case 1:** Assume  $n = 2k + 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) &= (2k)(2k+1)(2k+2)(2k+3) \\ &= 4(k)(2k+1)(k+1)(2k+3) \end{aligned}$$

**Case 2:** Assume  $n = 2k + 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) &= (2k+1)(2k+2)(2k+3)(2k+4) \\ &= 4(2k+1)(k+1)(2k+3)(k+2) \end{aligned}$$

Next we will show that the product is divisible by 3.

By Theorem 2.1, we know that  $\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, n = 3k + r \wedge 0 \leq r < 3$ .

**Case 1:** Assume  $n = 3k + 0$ . Then  $n = 3k$  is divisible by 3 so  $n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$  is also divisible by 3.

**Case 2:** Assume  $n = 3k + 1$ . Then  $n + 2 = 3k + 3 = 3(k + 1)$  is divisible by 3 so  $n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$  is also divisible by 3.

**Case 3:** Assume  $n = 3k + 2$ . Then  $n + 1 = 3k + 3 = 3(k + 1)$  is divisible by 3 so  $n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$  is also divisible by 3.

We now know that  $4 \mid n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$ , so

$$\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}, n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) = 4r$$

We also know that  $3 \mid n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)$ , so

$$\exists s \in \mathbb{Z}, n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) = 3s$$

This means  $4r = 3s$ , which means  $4r$  is a multiple of 3.

From lecture we know that  $\forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(p) \Rightarrow (p \nmid a \wedge p \nmid b \Rightarrow p \nmid ab)$ . This is logically equivalent to:

$$\forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Prime}(p) \wedge p \mid ab \Rightarrow p \mid a \vee p \mid b$$

The number 3 is prime and  $3 \mid 4r$ . Since 3 is not a factor of 4,  $3 \mid r$  which implies that  $\exists t \in \mathbb{Z}, r = 3t$ .

So  $n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) = 4r = 4(3t) = 12t$ . Therefore  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \exists t \in \mathbb{Z}, n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3) = 12t$ .

- (b) [4 marks] For all real numbers  $x$  greater than or equal to 6,  $4x^2 - 3[x]^2 \geq 9$ .  
(Hint: Try to find a lower bound for  $(x-3)^2$  first.)

### Solution

- (i) True  
(ii)  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, x \geq 6 \Rightarrow 4x^2 - 3[x]^2 \geq 9$   
Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and assume  $x \geq 6$ .

$$\begin{aligned} [x] &\leq x && \text{(by Fact 1)} \\ \Rightarrow [x]^2 &\leq x^2 && \text{(square both sides, since } x \geq 6 \Rightarrow [x] \geq 6) \\ \Rightarrow -3[x]^2 &\geq -3x^2 \\ \Rightarrow 4x^2 - 3[x]^2 &\geq 4x^2 - 3x^2 \\ \Rightarrow 4x^2 - 3[x]^2 &\geq x^2 \\ \Rightarrow 4x^2 - 3[x]^2 &\geq 6^2 && \text{(since } x \geq 6) \\ \Rightarrow 4x^2 - 3[x]^2 &\geq 9 \end{aligned}$$

- (c) [4 marks] There exist odd functions  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = g(x) - h(x)$  is a non-constant even function.  
(A function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *constant* when  $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(x) = k$ .)

**Solution**

- (i) False
- (iii)  $\forall g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, (\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, g(-x) = -g(x)) \wedge (\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, h(-x) = -h(x)) \Rightarrow (\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, g(x) - h(x) = k) \vee (\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, g(-x) - h(-x) \neq g(x) - h(x))$

Let  $g, h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Assume  $(\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, g(-x) = -g(x)) \wedge (\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, h(-x) = -h(x))$ .

Note that either  $g - h$  is constant, or it is non-constant.

**Case 1:** Assume  $g - h$  is constant.

Then by definition,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{R}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, g(x) - h(x) = k$  so the conclusion holds.

**Case 2:** Assume  $g - h$  is non-constant ( $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \exists x \in \mathbb{R}, g(x) - h(x) \neq k$ ).

Then  $\exists x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, g(x_0) - h(x_0) \neq 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} g(-x_0) - h(-x_0) &= (-g(x_0)) - (-h(x_0)) && \text{(by assumption that } g \text{ and } h \text{ are odd)} \\ &= -(g(x_0) - h(x_0)) \\ &\neq g(x_0) - h(x_0) && \text{(since } g(x_0) - h(x_0) \neq 0). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, g(-x) - h(-x) \neq g(x) - h(x)$  (pick  $x = x_0$ ), and the conclusion holds.

2. [8 marks] **Sets of real numbers.** Consider the following predicates, defined for arbitrary sets  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ :

$$G_0(S, T) : \forall x \in S, \forall y \in T, x > y$$

$$G_1(S, T) : \forall x \in S, \exists y \in T, x > y$$

$$G_2(S, T) : \exists x \in S, \forall y \in T, x > y$$

$$G_3(S, T) : \exists x \in S, \exists y \in T, x > y$$

(a) [2 marks] For fixed (but arbitrary) **non-empty** sets  $S$  and  $T$ , do any of these predicates imply each other?

To answer this question, for each predicate, write any true implications for which it can be the hypothesis. For example, you would write “ $G_0(S, T) \Rightarrow G(S, T)$ ” if  $G(S, T)$  was on the list and was true whenever  $G_0(S, T)$  is true.

For each implication you write, **explain** in 1–3 sentences why the implication is true.

(Note: this will require you to consider all 16 possible implications between the four predicates.)

### Solution

Let  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  such that  $S \neq \emptyset, T \neq \emptyset$ .

**Lemma:** For all predicates  $P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$ ,  $\forall x \in S, P(x) \Rightarrow \exists x \in S, P(x)$ .

Assume  $\forall x \in S, P(x)$ . Let  $x_0 =$  some element in  $S$ —any element will do, and since  $S \neq \emptyset$  there is always at least one value we can choose. Then  $P(x_0)$  by our assumption. Hence,  $\exists x \in S, P(x)$ .

- $G_i(S, T) \Rightarrow G_i(S, T)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , because  $p \Rightarrow p$  is a tautology.
- $G_0(S, T) \Rightarrow G_1(S, T)$  by the lemma above, applied to variable  $y$  and the predicate  $x > y$  (for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ )
- $G_0(S, T) \Rightarrow G_2(S, T)$  by the lemma above, applied to variable  $x$  and the predicate  $\forall y \in T, x > y$
- $G_0(S, T) \Rightarrow G_3(S, T)$  by the lemma above, applied twice: first with variable  $y$  and the predicate  $x > y$  (for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ), next with variable  $x$  and the predicate  $\exists y \in T, x > y$
- $G_1(S, T) \Rightarrow G_3(S, T)$  by the lemma above, applied to variable  $x$  and the predicate  $\exists y \in T, x > y$
- $G_2(S, T) \Rightarrow G_3(S, T)$  by the lemma above, applied to variable  $y$  and the predicate  $x > y$  (for the same  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  that makes  $G_2(S, T)$  true)

(b) [6 marks] Prove or disprove each of the following statements. State clearly whether you are attempting a proof or a disproof.

- $G_0([3, 5], [0, 2])$

### Solution

We **prove**  $\forall x \in [3, 5], \forall y \in [0, 2], x > y$ .

Let  $x \in [3, 5]$ . Let  $y \in [0, 2]$ . Then,  $x \geq 3 > 2 \geq y$ .

- $G_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$

**Solution**

We **disprove**  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}, x > y$ .  
 Let  $x = 1$  and  $y = 1.5$ . Then,  $x \leq y$ .

- $G_1((0, 1], (0, 1))$

**Solution**

We **prove**  $\forall x \in (0, 1], \exists y \in (0, 1), x > y$ .  
 Let  $x \in (0, 1]$ . Let  $y = x/2$ . Then  $y \in (0, 1)$  and  $x > y$  (because  $x > 0$ ).

- $G_2(\mathbb{Z}, (-\infty, 0))$

**Solution**

We **prove**  $\exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall y \in (-\infty, 0), x > y$ .  
 Let  $x = 0$ . Let  $y \in (-\infty, 0)$ . Then  $y < 0 = x$ .

- $G_2(\{10\}, \emptyset)$ , where  $\emptyset$  is the empty set.

**Solution**

We **prove**  $\exists x \in \{10\}, \forall y \in \emptyset, x > y$ .  
 Let  $x = 10$ . Then,  $\forall y \in \emptyset, x > y$  is vacuously true. (Equivalently, there is no  $y \in \emptyset$  that can make  $x > y$  false.)

- $G_3([0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q})$

**Solution**

We **prove**  $\exists x \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, \exists y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}, x > y$ .  
 Let  $x = 1$ . Let  $y = \pi/4$ . Then  $y \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $y < 1 = x$ .

**3. [10 marks] Pascal's Triangle.** Pascal's Triangle is the following arrangement of numbers:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & \binom{0}{0} & & & \\
 & & \binom{1}{0} & \binom{1}{1} & & & \\
 & \binom{2}{0} & \binom{2}{1} & \binom{2}{2} & & & \\
 \binom{3}{0} & \binom{3}{1} & \binom{3}{2} & \binom{3}{3} & & & \\
 \binom{4}{0} & \binom{4}{1} & \binom{4}{2} & \binom{4}{3} & \binom{4}{4} & & \\
 \binom{5}{0} & \binom{5}{1} & \binom{5}{2} & \binom{5}{3} & \binom{5}{4} & \binom{5}{5} & \\
 \vdots & & & & & & \ddots
 \end{array} = \begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 1 & & & \\
 & & 1 & & 1 & & \\
 & 1 & & 2 & & 1 & \\
 & 1 & 2 & & 3 & & 1 \\
 & 1 & 3 & 3 & & 4 & \\
 & 1 & 4 & 6 & 4 & & 1 \\
 1 & 5 & 10 & 10 & 5 & & 1 \\
 \vdots & & & & & & \ddots
 \end{array}$$

where  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k \leq n \Rightarrow \binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ , and  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n! = \prod_{i=1}^n i = (n)(n-1) \cdots (2)(1)$ .

(In particular, note that  $0! = 1$ .)

Binomial Theorem:  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (a+b)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a^k b^{n-k}$ .

Note that the  $n^{\text{th}}$  row of Pascal's Triangle contains the coefficients in the simplified expansion of any binomial of the form  $(a+b)^n$ . (Where we number rows starting at the top, and counting from 0, so the top row is the  $0^{\text{th}}$  row, the second row from the top is the  $1^{\text{st}}$  row, and so on.)

The three statements below are true and can be proven by at least one of these methods:

- indirect proof (proof by contrapositive);
- direct proof.

Prove each statement so that you use *each* type of proof listed above *at least once*.

- (a) **[4 marks]** Consider a function  $f(x) = \left(x + \frac{c}{x}\right)^b$ , where  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\forall b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , if  $f(x)$  has no constant term, then  $b$  is an odd number. (A *constant term* is one whose value does not depend on  $x$ .)

**Solution**

Indirect proof. Let  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Assume  $b$  is even, i.e.,  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, b = 2k$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= \left(x + \frac{c}{x}\right)^b \\
 &= \left(x + \frac{c}{x}\right)^{2k} \\
 &= \left(x^2 + 2c + \frac{c^2}{x^2}\right)^k
 \end{aligned}$$

The full expansion of  $f(x)$  will contain the term  $(2c)^k$ , where  $x$  does not appear. So  $f(x)$  has a non-zero constant term.

- (b) **[3 marks]** Let  $f(n, k) = \binom{n}{k}$ , where  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  is an onto function.

**Solution**

By definition of “onto”, we prove that  $\forall y \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \exists n, k \in \mathbb{N}, f(n, k) = y$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $n = y$ , and  $k = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(n, k) &= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{y!}{1!(y-1)!} \\ &= \frac{y(y-1)!}{(y-1)!} \\ &= y \end{aligned}$$

Where in the second-last line, we rely on the fact that for all  $y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $y! = \prod_{i=1}^y i = y \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{y-1} i = y(y-1)!$ .

(c) [3 marks]  $\forall n, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, k < n \Rightarrow \binom{n}{k} \div \binom{n-1}{k} > 1$

**Solution**

Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{Q}^+$ . Assume  $k < n$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k} \div \binom{n-1}{k} &= \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \div \frac{(n-1)!}{k!(n-1-k)!} \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)!}{k!(n-k)(n-k-1)!} \cdot \frac{k!(n-k-1)!}{(n-1)!} \\ &= \frac{n}{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

And this allows us to conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} &k > 0 \\ \Rightarrow &n > n - k \\ \Rightarrow &\frac{n}{n-k} > 1 && (n-k > 0 \text{ since } k < n) \\ \Rightarrow &\binom{n}{k} \div \binom{n-1}{k} > 1 \end{aligned}$$