## Learning Objectives

By the end of this worksheet, you will:

- Prove and disprove statements using the definition of Big-Oh.
- Investigate properties of Big-Oh for some common families of functions.

**Note**: In Big-Oh expressions, it will be convenient to just write down the "body" of the functions rather than defining named functions all the time. We'll always use the variable n to represent the function input, and so when we write " $n \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ ," we really mean "the functions defined as f(n) = n and  $g(n) = n^2$  satisfy  $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ ."

As a reminder, here is the formal definition of Big-Oh:

$$g \in \mathcal{O}(f): \exists c, n_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow g(n) \leq cf(n)$$
 where  $f, g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ 

1. Comparing polynomials. Our first step in comparing different families of functions is looking at different powers of n. Consider the following statement, which generalizes the fact that  $n \in \mathcal{O}(n^2)$ :

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ a \le b \Rightarrow n^a \in \mathcal{O}(n^b)$$

(a) Rewrite the above statement by expanding the definition of Big-Oh.

there exists 
$$n_0$$
,  $c$  in  $R_+$ , for all  $n$  in  $N$ ,  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $\implies n^a \le cn^b$ 

(b) Prove the above statement. **Hint**: you can actually pick c and  $n_0$  to both be 1. Even though this is pretty simple, take the time to write the formal proof as a good warm-up for the rest of this worksheet.

```
Let a, b be arbitrary nums in R_+. Let c = 1, n_0 = 1.
Assume a \le b, since n \ge 1
n^a \le n^b
```

2. **Comparing logarithms.** One slight oddity about the definition of Big-Oh is that it treats all logarithmic functions "the same". Your task in this question is to investigate this by proving the following statement:<sup>1</sup>

$$\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+, \ a > 1 \land b > 1 \Rightarrow \log_a n \in \mathcal{O}(\log_b n)$$

We won't ask you to expand the definition of Big-Oh, but if you aren't quite sure, then we highly recommend doing so before attempting even your rough work!

Hint: use the "change of base rule" for logarithms.

$$n \ge n \ 0 = \log a(n) \le c* \log b(n)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If you are concerned by the fact that  $\log n$  is not defined at n=0, you can replace  $\log_a n$  with  $\log_a (1+n)$  in the above, and similarly with  $\log_b$ . We usually don't worry about this subtlety, since our concern is with the value of the functions for larger values of n. Picking an  $n_0 > 0$  avoids the evaluation worry.

3. Sum of functions. Now let's look at one of the most important properties of Big-Oh: how it behaves when adding functions together. Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ . We define the sum of f and g as the function  $f + g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, (f+g)(n) = f(n) + g(n)$ . For example, if f(n) = 2n and  $g(n) = n^2 + 3$ , then  $(f+g)(n) = 2n + n^2 + 3$ . Consider the following statement:

$$\forall f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}, \ g \in \mathcal{O}(f) \Rightarrow f + g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$$

In other words, if g is Big-Oh of f, then f + g is no bigger than just f itself, asymptotically speaking.

Your task for this question is to prove this statement. Keep in mind this is an implication: you're going to assume that  $g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ , and you want to prove that  $f + g \in \mathcal{O}(f)$ . It will likely be helpful to write out the full statement (with the definition of Big-Oh expanded), and use subscripts to help keep track of the variables.

```
there exists c, n_0, n \ge n_0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 =
```

Prove for all n in N n >= 1,  $g_1$  ..  $g_n$  are all in O(f). Want to show  $g_1 + g_2 + ... + g_n$  in O(f) - can use induction from what we just proved

```
Define P = U_{i} = 1, infi O(n^{i}) g_{1}, g_{2}... g_{n} \text{ in } P, \text{ want to prove } g_{1} + g_{2}... + g_{n} \text{ in } P g_{2} <= c2* n^{i} = c2* n^{i
```