

PS 1: check out all these resources (all on Quercus)

- TA office hours
- Group work advice & resources
- Read the announcements!

(Especially "Problem Set 1 Information")

Last week...

- We proved $\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}, x \mid x+5 \Rightarrow x \mid 5$
- In this proof, the constant 5 did not play a special role.
- So same proof with constant d in place of 5 proves the generalization
 $\forall x, d \in \mathbb{Z}, x \mid x+d \Rightarrow x \mid d$ (Fact 1)

Recall the definition of predicate Prime(p):
(where $p \in \mathbb{N}$)

$$\boxed{p > 1} \wedge \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \boxed{d \mid p} \Rightarrow \boxed{d = 1} \vee \boxed{d = p}$$

Variation:

$$\forall x, p \in \mathbb{N}, \boxed{\text{Prime}(p) \wedge x \mid x+p} \Rightarrow \boxed{x=1 \vee x=p}$$

Proof: Let $x, p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume $\text{Prime}(p)$ and $x \mid x+p$.

By fact 1, we know $x|p$.
($x, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x|x+p$ by assumption)

By definition of Prime(p),
 $x|p \Rightarrow \underline{x=1 \vee x=p.} \quad \square$

Generally, in a proof, we have a sequence of (deduction, justification) pairs. The justifications fall into 4 categories:

- definitions
 - external facts
 - assumptions
 - previous deductions
- } external
- } internal

Example:

• True/false? $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, 2 \nmid a \wedge 2 \nmid b \Rightarrow 2 \nmid ab$

Proof? Exercise...

• Can we generalize?

$$\forall d, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \Rightarrow d \nmid ab$$

This is false:

$$\neg \forall d, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \Rightarrow d \nmid ab$$

$$\exists d, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, \neg (d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \Rightarrow d \nmid ab)$$

$$\exists d, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \wedge d \mid ab$$

pick $d=4, a=6, b=10$

Variation:

$$\underline{\forall d \in \mathbb{N}}, (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \Rightarrow d \nmid ab) \\ \Rightarrow (d \leq 1 \vee \text{Prime}(d))$$

Let's prove it!

- Direct proof? (assume hypothesis, try to prove conclusion)
doesn't work...
- Indirect proof (proof by contrapositive)
to prove $A \Rightarrow B$, prove $\neg B \Rightarrow \neg A$

Contrapositive:

$$\forall d \in \mathbb{N}, d > 1 \wedge \neg \text{Prime}(d) \Rightarrow \\ \exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \wedge d \mid ab$$

Proof header:

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $d > 1$ and $\neg \text{Prime}(d)$.

WTS: $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \nmid a \wedge d \nmid b \wedge d \mid ab$

KNOW

$d \in \mathbb{N}$

$d > 1$

$\neg \text{Prime}(d)$

$d \leq 1 \vee \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (k \mid d \wedge k \neq 1 \wedge k \neq d)$

\downarrow
False

must be True

expanded: $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z}, d = km$

WANT

$a = \frac{?}{?}$

$b = \frac{?}{?}$

$d \nmid a$

$d \nmid b$

$d \mid ab$

DETAILS? \leadsto worksheet 7!