

Regression

Avirup Gupta Roy

6 March 2018

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Motor Trend, a magazine about the automobile industry. Looking at a data set of a collection of cars, they are interested in exploring the relationship between a set of variables and miles per gallon (MPG) (outcome). They are particularly interested in the following two questions: “Is an automatic or manual transmission better for MPG” “Quantify the MPG difference between automatic and manual transmissions” Objective :Using simple linear regression analysis, we determine that there is a significant difference between the mean MPG for automatic and manual transmission cars.

```
summary(mtcars)
```

```
##           mpg           cyl           disp           hp
## Min.      :10.40   Min.      :4.000   Min.      : 71.1   Min.      : 52.0
## 1st Qu.:15.43   1st Qu.:4.000   1st Qu.:120.8   1st Qu.: 96.5
## Median :19.20   Median :6.000   Median :196.3   Median :123.0
## Mean     :20.09   Mean     :6.188   Mean     :230.7   Mean     :146.7
## 3rd Qu.:22.80   3rd Qu.:8.000   3rd Qu.:326.0   3rd Qu.:180.0
## Max.     :33.90   Max.     :8.000   Max.     :472.0   Max.     :335.0
##           drat           wt           qsec           vs
## Min.      :2.760   Min.      :1.513   Min.      :14.50   Min.      :0.0000
## 1st Qu.:3.080   1st Qu.:2.581   1st Qu.:16.89   1st Qu.:0.0000
## Median :3.695   Median :3.325   Median :17.71   Median :0.0000
## Mean     :3.597   Mean     :3.217   Mean     :17.85   Mean     :0.4375
## 3rd Qu.:3.920   3rd Qu.:3.610   3rd Qu.:18.90   3rd Qu.:1.0000
## Max.     :4.930   Max.     :5.424   Max.     :22.90   Max.     :1.0000
##           am           gear           carb
## Min.      :0.0000   Min.      :3.000   Min.      :1.000
## 1st Qu.:0.0000   1st Qu.:3.000   1st Qu.:2.000
## Median :0.0000   Median :4.000   Median :2.000
## Mean     :0.4062   Mean     :3.688   Mean     :2.812
## 3rd Qu.:1.0000   3rd Qu.:4.000   3rd Qu.:4.000
## Max.     :1.0000   Max.     :5.000   Max.     :8.000
```

```
data(mtcars)
head(mtcars)
```



Mazda RX4

Mazda RX4 Wag

Datsun 710

Hornet 4 Drive

Hornet Sportabout

Valiant

6 rows | 1-1 of 12 columns

```
mtcars$vs <- as.factor(mtcars$vs)
mtcars$am <- factor(mtcars$am, labels=c("Automatic", "Manual"))
summary(mtcars$mpg)
```

```
##      Min. 1st Qu.  Median    Mean 3rd Qu.    Max.
##  10.40   15.43   19.20   20.09   22.80   33.90
```

```
fit <- lm(mpg ~ am, data = mtcars)
summary(fit)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = mpg ~ am, data = mtcars)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -9.3923 -3.0923 -0.2974  3.2439  9.5077
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)   17.147      1.125   15.247 1.13e-15 ***
## amManual       7.245      1.764    4.106 0.000285 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 4.902 on 30 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.3598, Adjusted R-squared:  0.3385
## F-statistic: 16.86 on 1 and 30 DF, p-value: 0.000285
```

```
bestfit <- lm(mpg ~., data = mtcars)
summary(bestfit)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = mpg ~ ., data = mtcars)
##
## Residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.4506 -1.6044 -0.1196  1.2193  4.6271
##
## Coefficients:
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  12.30337    18.71788   0.657   0.5181
## cyl          -0.11144     1.04502  -0.107   0.9161
## disp         0.01334     0.01786   0.747   0.4635
## hp           -0.02148     0.02177  -0.987   0.3350
## drat         0.78711     1.63537   0.481   0.6353
## wt           -3.71530     1.89441  -1.961   0.0633 .
## qsec         0.82104     0.73084   1.123   0.2739
## vs1          0.31776     2.10451   0.151   0.8814
## amManual     2.52023     2.05665   1.225   0.2340
## gear         0.65541     1.49326   0.439   0.6652
## carb        -0.19942     0.82875  -0.241   0.8122
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 2.65 on 21 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared:  0.869, Adjusted R-squared:  0.8066
## F-statistic: 13.93 on 10 and 21 DF,  p-value: 3.793e-07
```

```
anova(fit, bestfit)
```

1

2

2 rows | 1-1 of 7 columns

```
print("##The p-value of 0.000285 is small and the CI does not include zero, so we
reject null hypothesis in favor of the alternative hypothesis that there is a signi
ficant difference in MPG between the two groups at 95% Confidence Interval.")
```

```
## [1] "##The p-value of 0.000285 is small and the CI does not include zero, so we
reject null hypothesis in favor of the alternative hypothesis that there is a signi
ficant difference in MPG between the two groups at 95% Confidence Interval."
```

```
print("##Adjusted R squared value is 0.3385 which means that only 33.85% of the reg
ression variance can be explained by our model. We will have to introduce more pred
ictor variables to see if they played a larger role in the model.")
```


```
## [1] "##Adjusted R squared value is 0.3385 which means that only 33.85% of the regression variance can be explained by our model. We will have to introduce more predictor variables to see if they played a larger role in the model."
```





```
print("p-value = 3.793e-09,hence reject the null hypothesis and state that our Multivariable Model is significantly different than the Simple Linear Regression")
```

```
## [1] "p-value = 3.793e-09,hence reject the null hypothesis and state that our Multivariable Model is significantly different than the Simple Linear Regression"
```

Including Plots

plot 1: boxplot of MPG VS Transmission Type





Note that the `echo = FALSE` parameter was added to the code chunk to prevent printing of the R code that generated the plot.