# STAGE 1 - RESEARCH ETHICS APPROVAL FORM



Research by students and staff at the University must receive ethical approval before any data collection commences. Applications may be made on the Research Ethics Online system or via approval forms.

If using the approval forms, applicants complete this <u>Stage 1 - Research Ethics Approval Form</u> which includes the Risk Checklist.

For student projects classified as Risk Category 1 (e.g., many literature reviews), these can be approved on this <a href="Stage 1 - Research Ethics Approval Form">Stage 1 - Research Ethics Approval Form</a> by the Research Supervisor.

Applicants whose research studies are classified as Risk Category 2 or 3 must also complete and submit the separate Stage 2 - Research Ethics Approval Form.

Guidance for completion of this form and the application process is provided on pages 3 and 4.

APPLICANT DETAILS					
Your name (if a group project, include all names)	Avishek Sah				
School	The British College				
STATUS					
<ul> <li>Undergraduate student</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Taught Postgraduate student</li> </ul>					
<ul> <li>Research Postgraduate student</li> </ul>					
Staff member					
Other (give details)					
IF THIS IS A STUDENT PROJECT					
Student ID	77356813				
<ul> <li>Course title (eg, BA (Hons) History)</li> </ul>	BSc (Hons) Computing				
Student email	savishek21@tbc.edu.np				
<ul> <li>Research Supervisor's name</li> </ul>	Mr. Saroj Sharma				
Or Director of Studies' name					
THE PROJECT/STUDY					
Project /study title	Prediction of Cardiovascular disease using transformer model				
Start date of project	Feb 18, 2025				
Expected completion date of project	11 May, 2025				
Project summary – please give a brief summary of your study (maximum 100 words)					
This project aims to predict cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk using a transformer model with self-attention					

This project aims to predict cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk using a transformer model with self-attention mechanisms, leveraging patient health data such as age, cholesterol, and blood pressure. Unlike traditional models like CNNs and RNNs, the transformer offers improved accuracy and interpretability by capturing complex patterns in sequential data. The dataset will be pre-processed and used to train the model, with performance evaluated against baselines using metrics like accuracy and F1-score. A user-friendly web interface, built with Laravel and Bootstrap, will enable patients to input data and receive predictions, enhancing early CVD detection.

CONFIRMATION STATEMENTS	
The results of research should benefit society directly or by generally improving knowledge and	
understanding. Please tick this box to confirm that your research study has a potential benefit. If you	<u>~</u>
cannot identify a benefit you must discuss your project with your Research Supervisor to help identify one	
or adapt your proposal so the study will have an identifiable benefit.	
<u>Please tick this box</u> to confirm you have read the Research Ethics Policy and the relevant sections of the	<b>✓</b>
Research Ethics Procedures and will adhere to these in the conduct of this project.	

	CHECKLIST - Please answer ALL the questions in each of the sections below – tick YES or NO YOUR RESEARCH STUDY?	YES	<u>NO</u>
1	Involve direct and/or indirect contact with human participants?	П	<u>~</u>
2	Involve analysis of pre-existing data which contains personal or sensitive information not in the public domain?	□	<u>~</u>
3	Require permission or consent to conduct?		<u>~</u>
4	Require permission or consent to publish?		<u>~</u>
5	Have a risk of compromising confidentiality?		<u>~</u>
6	Have a risk of compromising anonymity?		<u>~</u>
7	Collect / contain sensitive personal data?		<u>~</u>
8	Contain elements which you OR your supervisor are NOT trained to conduct?		<u>~</u>
9	Use any information OTHER than that which is freely available in the public domain?		<u>~</u>
10	Involve respondents to the internet or other visual/vocal methods where participants may be identified?	□	<u>~</u>
11	Include a financial incentive to participate in the research?		<u>~</u>
12	Involve your own students, colleagues or employees?		<u>~</u>
13	Take place outside of the country where you are enrolled as a student, or for staff, outside of the UK?	旦	<u>~</u>
14	Involve participants who are particularly vulnerable or at risk?		<u>~</u>
15	Involve any participants who are unable to give informed consent?		<u>~</u>
16	Involve data collection taking place BEFORE informed consent is given?		<u>~</u>
17	Involve any deliberate deception or covert data collection?		<u>~</u>
18	Involve a risk to the researcher or participants beyond that experienced in everyday life?		<u>~</u>
19	Cause (or could cause) physical or psychological harm or negative consequences?		<u>~</u>
20	Use intrusive or invasive procedures?	旦	<u>~</u>
21	Involve a clinical trial?		<u>~</u>
22	Involve the possibility of incidental findings related to health status?		<u>~</u>
23	Fit into any of the following security-sensitive categories: concerns terrorist or extreme groups; commissioned by the military; commissioned under an EU security call; involves the acquisition of security clearances? If yes, see the guidance.	□	<u>~</u>

CLASSIFICATION	Tick the box which
The following guidance will help classify the risk level of your study	applies to your project
If you answered <b>NO</b> to all the above questions, your study is provisionally classified as	<b>~</b>
Risk Category 1 (literature reviews will be Risk Category 1).	<u>▼</u>
If you answered YES to any question from 1-13 and NO to all questions 14-22, your	
study is provisionally classified as Risk Category 2.	<u> </u>
If you answered <b>YES</b> to any question from 14-22, your study is provisionally classified	
as Risk Category 3.	<u> </u>
If question 23 has been answered <b>YES</b> , your application will be reviewed by the Chair	
of the University Research Ethics Sub-committee	브

# I confirm that I will undertake this project as detailed above. I understand that I must abide by the terms of the approval and that I may not make any substantial amendments to the project without further approval. Signed Date 2025-03-03

FOR RIS	K CATEGORY 1 STUDENT PR	OJECTS				
Approv	Approval from the Research Supervisor or Director of Studies for a student project:					
I have discussed the ethical issues arising from the project with the student. I approve this project.						
Name	Mr. Saroj Sharma	Signed	A	- -	Date	2025-03-03

### **NEXT STEP**

### RISK CATEGORY 1 PROJECTS: IF YOUR PROJECT HAS BEEN CLASSIFIED AS RISK CATEGORY 1:

- Students: The Research Supervisor should return the signed form to the student and send a copy to the Local Research Ethics Co-ordinator and where relevant, the Research Module Leader, for information.
- Staff: Submit this form to your Local Research Ethics Co-ordinator.

**RISK CATEGORY 2 OR 3 PROJECTS:** IF YOUR PROJECT HAS BEEN CLASSIFIED AS RISK CATEGORY 2 OR 3 please complete the <u>Stage 2 - Research Ethics Approval form</u> and submit both forms together with supporting documentation.

**QUESTION 23:** If this question has been answered **YES**, your application will be reviewed by the Chair of the University Research Ethics Sub-committee, and the forms should be submitted directly to Professor Karl Spracklen, <u>k.spracklen@leedsbeckett.ac.uk</u>. You will need to submit the Security-sensitive research form available from the Research Ethics web page.

Research ethics application forms will be retained in the School for the purposes of quality assurance of compliance and audit for THREE years

### **NOTES FOR COMPLETION**

*University Research Ethics Policy and Procedures:* The University Research Ethics Policy and Research Ethics Procedures should be read prior to commencing this application. Consideration of the application by the reviewer/s will be undertaken in accordance with the Policy and Procedures.

External requirements for the project: Applicants should consider if there are requirements by any relevant professional, statutory or regulatory body, or learned society, which may be relevant to the project or if the project also requires external approval.

# Submission

- Student applicants: email the typed form/s to your Research Supervisor or Director of Studies.
- Staff applicants: email the typed form/s to your Local Research Ethics Co-ordinator.

# How to complete the form

You can navigate through the form by using the tab keys. If you prefer to complete a normal Word document, you can unlock the form by selecting the 'Restrict Editing' button on the Developer tab, then click on 'Stop Protection'. The boxes should expand to allow space for your text.

# **Signatures**

Electronic/typed signatures are acceptable for emailed forms, as the emails provide the audit trail for all parties' agreement and approval of the forms (e.g., student applicant  $\rightarrow$ Research Supervisor  $\rightarrow$ Local Research Ethics Coordinator).

### **Outcome**

Applicants will be advised of the outcome of the application by receipt of the signed form from:

- The Research Supervisor or Director of Studies for Risk Category 1 student projects;
- The Local Research Ethics Co-ordinator or the School level group for Risk Category 2 and 3 projects.

YOU MAY ONLY BEGIN ANY DATA COLLECTION ONCE YOU RECEIVE NOTIFICATION THAT THE PROJECT HAS ETHICAL APPROVAL. If the circumstances of your research study change after approval it is your responsibility to revisit the Risk Checklist and complete a further application.

# **Advice**

When completing the <u>Stage 1 - Research Ethics Approval Form</u>, if you are uncertain about the answer to any question, read the relevant section of the <u>Research Ethics Procedures</u> document, and if you are still unsure:

- if you are student, seek guidance from your Research Supervisor or Director of Studies;
- if you are a staff member, contact your Local Research Ethics Co-ordinator.

# **APPROVAL PROCESS**

- Local Research Ethics Co-ordinator = LREC
- School level group (if your School uses a different review process, please follow your School guidance) University Research Ethics Sub-Committee = URESC

Category	Student applicants	Staff applicants
Risk	If your study has been provisionally classified as Risk Category 1,	If your study has been classified as Risk
Category 1	your Research Supervisor (or Director of Studies) can normally	Category 1, you do not need ethical
	give approval for the project.	approval for the project.
	You must complete this form and submit it to your Research	You must complete the remainder of this
	Supervisor for consideration.	form so that your research project is
		registered with the University.
	A copy of the signed form if approved must be given or emailed	
	to the LREC and, where relevant, the Research Module Leader,	Please submit this form to your LREC.
	for information.	
Risk	If your study has been provisionally classified as Risk Category 2,	If your study has been provisionally
Category 2	your Supervisor (or Director of Studies) can recommend approval	classified as Risk Category 2, your project
	for your study by the LREC.	will be considered for ethical approval by
	Var. marret as annulate this annulisation forms and also the assessment	the LREC.
	You must complete this application form and also the separate Stage 2 - Research Ethics Approval form.	Vou must complete this application form
	Stage 2 - Research Ethics Approval form.	You must complete this application form and also the separate Stage 2 - Research
	Once you have completed the forms please submit both forms	Ethics Approval form. Please submit both
	and supporting documentation to your Research Supervisor for	forms and supporting documentation to
	consideration. Your Supervisor may disagree with your	your LREC for consideration.
	assessment and ask you to make revisions or reject your	•
	application. When the Research Supervisor is happy to	The LREC will review your project and
	recommend the application for approval, they will send the	then decide to approve it, ask for
	forms to the LREC.	revisions or pass it on for review by the
		School level group.
	The LREC will review your project and then decide to approve it,	
	ask for revisions, reject it or pass it on for review by the School	
Risk	level group.	If your study has been provisionally
Category 3	Postgraduate Research Students  If your study has been provisionally classified as Risk Category 3,	If your study has been provisionally classified as Risk Category 3, your project
Category 3	your Supervisor or Director of Studies can recommend approval	will be considered for ethical approval by
	for your study by the LREC.	an appropriate LREC.
	You must complete this application form and also the separate	You must complete this application form
	Stage 2 - Research Ethics Approval form and submit both forms	and also the separate Stage 2 - Research
	to your Director of Studies.	Ethics Approval form and submit both
		forms with supporting documentation to
	If your Director of Studies recommends approval of your project	your LREC.
	they will refer it to the LREC who will review your project and	
	decide whether to grant ethical approval, request revisions,	The LREC will review your project and
	reject the application or refer it to the School level group for review.	then decide to approve it, ask for revisions or pass it on for review by the
	Teview.	School level group.
	Undergraduate and Taught Postgraduate Students	School level group.
	If your study has been provisionally classified as Risk Category 3,	
	you should consult with your Research Supervisor immediately	
	as it is unlikely you will be able to proceed and you should	
	negotiate a project that is of lower risk. However, if you have	
	already discussed the project with your Supervisor and they have	
	agreed that a case for approval is warranted, proceed in line with	
	the details above for Research Students.	
Q23	If question 23 has been answered 'yes', your application will be rev	
	Research Ethics Sub-committee. The answer does not affect the Ris	sk Category.