NLP Ex2 – Answers

part1:

for this part we recommend you to **look at our grammar with the comments** and try to parse each sentence yourself and **compare it to the trees** we added, also notice we defined what each term means.

More details about the modifications:

*Ex=Extended(shortcut we will use)

we will discuss the problem later....

example a:

as you can see in the tree we added NP→ NounExtended , and NounEx can be a NNP(name) or det Noun (e.g "the/ dog")

we also added VP \rightarrow VPExtended , VPExtended can be VP or VP conj VP(we will use the second one later) , and then VP \rightarrow VerbPt NP ("ate/ a sandwich ") where VerbPt is verb in past tense("ate").

example b:

for "sally and the president" we added a rule NP→ NounEx conj(and,or) NounEx for "wanted and ate a sandwich" we added VPExtended→ VP conj VP

notice the second VP goes to VerbPt and NP(the rule we added in example a) and then NP→ NounExtended(it also happens in the first part) also notice that sally, the president are developed from NounExtended(NNP,Det Noun)

example c:

In this Part we added VP→ VerbPTTerminal which means we can develop it to verb in the past that no NP will come after it, usually ends the sentence like example c.(in the tree we skipped the NP→NounExtended)

example d:

Aviv Shisman 206558157 Itay Hassid 209127596

in this part we added VP→ VerbPTSbar SBAR (there is mistake in the tree it not VPExtended) ,VerbPTSbar is a verb("thought") that usually have complementary(SBAR) after it.

we also added SBAR \rightarrow that S (that is complementary), and then we can do "thought that a sandwich sighed"

example e:

for "it perplexed the president that a ..." we added the rule:

 $S \rightarrow it \ VerbPTE \ NP \ SBAR \ (VerbPTE = emotion, NP = the president, SBAR=that+cont)$

example f:

we didn't add much, only the option to recursively add JJ(adj) to a noun

example q:

we added VP→ VerbPTTerminal PP PP ("worked/ on every proposal /on the desk")

example h:

for this we added $S \rightarrow NP$ IS JJ ("Sally/ is /lazy")

<u>example i:</u>

we added VP→ is VerbPS NP ("is/eating/a sandwich")

VerbPS is verb in present tense (present progressive)

example j:

for the last part of the sentence we added S→ NNP is Noun("sally/is/ a sandwich")

because we didn't have any rule that let us do this transition.

problems:

we solved the interaction problem with additions we made in sections H and I, that way we go straight to the wanted solution and skipped $S \rightarrow NP$ VP.

Aviv Shisman 206558157 Itay Hassid 209127596

If we didn't add these solutions we were able to go to $S \rightarrow NP \ VP$, and then from NP develop "sally and the president" (example B)

and from VP→ is lazy (we assume most of the people add the "is lazy" part here)

then we can get sentences like: "sally and the president is lazy" and that is a big mistake!