

COE 352 Project 1

Anna Victoria Lavelle

SVD Function

Explaining the SVD Function

The SVD.m file contains the SVD function, as well as a comparison between the function and the blackbox SVD. Given a $N \times M$ matrix A , the function `svdd` returns a U , S , and V transpose matrix as parts of the SVD. It also returns the condition number c and inverse matrix of A .

Using the SVD Function

In order to solve for a user's matrix, enter the desired matrix values for A . Both the written function and the blackbox will use matrix A for their calculations.

Written Function vs. Blackbox Results

For a matrix A using the written function:

$A =$

1	2	3
0	1	4
5	6	0

U =

0.3378	0.5132	0.7890
0.1989	0.7804	-0.5928
0.9200	-0.3571	-0.1616

Vt =

0.5964	0.7724	0.2185
0.2627	0.0694	-0.9624
0.7585	-0.6314	0.1615

S =

8.2788	0	0
0	4.8436	0
0	0	0.0249

c =

331.9745

I =

24.0487	-17.9713	-4.8674
-19.9370	15.0371	4.1717
5.0178	-3.9895	-0.9514

For the same matrix A using the blackbox function:

U1 =

-0.3378	-0.5132	-0.7890
-0.1989	-0.7804	0.5928
-0.9200	0.3571	0.1616

VT =

-0.5964	-0.7724	-0.2185
0.2627	0.0694	-0.9624
0.7585	-0.6314	0.1615

S1 =

8.2788	0	0
0	4.8436	0
0	0	0.0249

AI =

-24.0000	18.0000	5.0000
20.0000	-15.0000	-4.0000
-5.0000	4.0000	1.0000

From the above results, the written function and the blackbox function provide the same absolute values of every matrix except the inverse of A because matrix I isn't rounded. However, the signs for the values don't match. This is likely because of the ordering of eigenvalues or eigenvectors or because the signs of the eigenvectors weren't correct when they were first calculated.

```
Singular Values:          u =  
    3.2470  
    1.5550                29.4300  
    0.1981                49.0500  
                        58.8600  
  
Eigenvalues:  
   10.5429               w =  
    2.4179  
    0.0392               29.4300  
                        19.6200  
                        9.8100  
  
Condition Number:  
   16.3937  
  
e =  
  
                29.4300  
                19.6200  
                9.8100
```

Two Free End Examination

Two free ends in a spring mass system certainly doesn't make sense physically because the springs and masses aren't connected to anything. No outside forces are working on the springs or masses in this case. Fixed ends also allow for displacement values of 0, allowing one or two of the elongation equations to be equal to just one displacement. This allows for the ideal number of equations and unknowns to solve the system, however, two free ends does not lend itself to this. Not only is two free ends unintuitive, it is underdetermined because there will be more unknowns than equations, making a unique solution impossible.