SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS: NEW LOWER BOUNDS ON THE MINIMUM SINGULAR VALUES OF A MATRIX

AVLEEN KAUR* AND S. H. LUI[†]

This elaborates some minute yet significant details for the proof of Theorem 3.1 presented in [1], which will provide more clarity the readers.

As discussed in Theorem 3.1, let $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be PSD matrices of ranks $p, q \leq n$, respectively. Define $M_1 = \mathcal{R}(P)$, $M_2 = \mathcal{R}(Q)$, and $M_3 = M_2 \cap (M_1 \cap M_2)^{\perp}$ to be subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n . Additionally, define $M_4 = M_1 \cap M_2$ and $M_5 = M_1 \cap M_4^{\perp}$, also now $M_3 = M_2 \cap M_4^{\perp}$. Let P_i be the orthogonal projections onto M_i , for all $1 \leq i \leq 5$.

Recall that $\dim(M_1) = p$, $\dim(M_2) = q$, and as $k = \dim(M_1 \cap M_2) = \dim M_4$, thus $\dim(M_3) = q - k$, and $\dim(M_5) = p - k$. Also, $0 \le \theta_1 \le \theta_2 \le \ldots \le \theta_{\min(p,q)} \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ represent the principal angles between M_1 and M_2 , r is the number of angles θ_i so that $0 < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2}$, for $1 \le i \le \min(p,q)$, and the Friedrichs angle between M_1 and M_2 is $\theta_F = \theta_{k+1}$.

1. Special case. Here we discuss the need of distinguishing the case r=0 from r>0 in Theorems 3.1 and 3.5. Note that r=0 means the principal angles between M_1 and M_2 are either 0 or $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Recall that $n_1=\dim(M_1\cap M_2^{\perp})$, $n_2=\dim(M_1^{\perp}\cap M_2)$, $n_3=\dim(M_1^{\perp}\cap M_2^{\perp})=0$, and $M_1+M_2=\mathbb{R}^n$. On substituting r=0 in (3.11), we get $n_1=p-k$, $n_2=q-k$, so we need to analyze eight cases as follows.

k	n_1	n_2	Interpretation
0	0	0	$M_1 = M_2 = O \text{ or } P = Q = 0$
0	0	+	$M_1 = O \text{ or } P = O$
0	+	0	$M_2 = O \text{ or } Q = O$
0	+	+	$M_1 = M_2^{\perp}$, e.g. $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
+	0	0	$M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$, or both P and Q are non-singular
+	0	+	$M_1 \subseteq M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ or Q is non-singular
+	+	0	$M_2 \subseteq M_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ or P is non-singular
+	+	+	$M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$ and $M_3 = M_5^{\perp}$, e.g. $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Table 1: Cases for r = 0

- 1. Suppose $k = n_1 = n_2 = 0$. Then p = q = 0, or M_1 and M_2 are zero subspaces. Thus, P and Q are zero matrices, and we do not consider this case.
- 2. Suppose $k = n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 > 0$. Then p = 0, thus M_1 is a zero subspace and P is a zero matrix. Therefore, all principal angles between M_1 and M_2 are $\frac{\pi}{2}$.
- 3. Suppose $k=n_2=0$ and $n_1>0$. Then q=0, thus Q is a zero matrix. Therefore, all principal angles between M_1 and M_2 are $\frac{\pi}{2}$.
- 4. Suppose k=0 and $n_1, n_2 > 0$. Then $n_1 = p$ and $n_2 = q$. Since $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$, $\dim(M_1 \cap M_2^{\perp}) = \dim(M_1)$, and $\dim(M_2 \cap M_1^{\perp}) = \dim(M_2)$, then $R^n = M_1 \oplus M_2$, thus $M_1 = M_2^{\perp}$. Therefore, all principal angles between them are $\frac{\pi}{2}$.
- 5. Suppose k > 0 and $n_1, n_2 = 0$. Then p = q = k, since n = p + q k or n = k, thus p = q = n. Therefore, $M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ and P, Q are non-singular. Thus,

^{*}University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada (kaura349@myumanitoba.ca)

[†]NSERC, Canada (shaun.lui@umanitoba.ca)

all principal angles between them are zero.

- 6. Suppose $k, n_2 > 0$ and $n_1 = 0$. Then p = k, and n = p + q k implies n = k + q k, then n = q. Thus, $M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ or Q is non-singular and clearly $M_1 \subseteq M_2$. Note that all principal angles are zero in this case as well.
- 7. Suppose $k, n_1 > 0$ and $n_2 = 0$. Similarly, to the above case $M_2 \subseteq M_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$, thus P is non-singular and all principal angles are zero in this case as well.
- 8. Suppose $k, n_1, n_2 > 0$. This is the most general case for the r = 0 setting. In this case, there is a non-trivial intersection between the subspaces, that is, $M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$. Therefore, r = 0 means $M_3 = M_5^{\perp}$, so the first k principal angles are zero and rest of them are $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

For cases 5-7, the Friedrichs angle between M_1 and M_2 is 0, so that $\theta_F = 0$ which gives $1 - \cos \theta_F = 1 - \cos 0 = 0$. Therefore, we define c(P,Q) separately for the cases r = 0 and r > 0 in the proof of the theorem. All the above cases are mentioned in Table 1, where '+' represents that the corresponding parameter is considered to be positive.

2. The choice of subspaces. In this section, we discuss the choice of decomposition (3.14), which states $|P_2x|^2 = |P_{M_1 \cap M_2}x|^2 + |P_3x|^2 = |P_4x|^2 + |P_3x|^2$. Note that, this decomposition of M_2 , in terms of M_3 and M_4 , is considered for

Note that, this decomposition of M_2 , in terms of M_3 and M_4 , is considered for the case when $M_4 = M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$. It is because when we try to estimate (3.8), which is

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_{\min}^2(P_1 + P_2) + \sigma_{\min}^2(P_1 - P_2) \right],$$

then since k > 0, Property 2 of Theorem 2.9 implies that $P_1 - P_2$ is singular, thus

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_{\min}^2(P_1 + P_2) + 0 \right],$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \cos \theta_{k+1} \right)^2,$$

where the last equality holds by (3.10). In order to improve this lower bound, we consider various combinations of two subspaces to estimate a lower bound on the infimum of $\Delta(x)$, as defined by (3.6). We restrict to two subspaces to facilitate analysis. The investigation of the case with three subspaces is a topic for future research. Since (3.14) gives $|P_2x|^2 = |P_4x|^2 + |P_3x|^2$, and similarly, $|P_1x|^2 = |P_4x|^2 + |P_5x|^2$, thus the following approaches are established.

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_1 x|^2 + |P_2 x|^2}{|x|^2}$$

$$= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_1 x|^2 + |P_4 x|^2 + |P_3 x|^2}{|x|^2}$$

$$= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_5 x|^2 + 2|P_4 x|^2 + |P_3 x|^2}{|x|^2}$$

$$= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_5 x|^2 + |P_4 x|^2 + |P_2 x|^2}{|x|^2}.$$

By considering two terms at once in the above set of equations and applying (3.7), we can get a lower bound in terms of an expression of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[\sigma_{\min}^2(P_i + P_j) + \sigma_{\min}^2(P_i - P_j) \right],$$

where $i \neq j$.

For subspaces $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let P_U and P_V be orthogonal projections onto U and V, respectively. Properties 1 and 2 of Theorem 2.9 imply that $P_U + P_V$ is non-singular if and only if $\dim(U^{\perp} \cap V^{\perp}) = 0$, and $P_U - P_V$ is non-singular if and only if $\dim(U \cap V) = \dim(U^{\perp} \cap V^{\perp}) = 0$. Therefore, we construct Table 2, which describes the sum and difference of two orthogonal projections P_i , for $1 \le i \le 5$. Since only the

U	V	$\dim(U\cap V)$	$\dim(U^{\perp} \cap V^{\perp})$	Conclusion
M_1 M_1 M_2 M_2 M_3	M_3 M_4 M_4 M_5 M_4	0 k k 0	$0 \\ n-p \\ n-q \\ 0 \\ n-q$	both $P_1 \pm P_3$ are non-singular both $P_1 \pm P_4$ are singular both $P_2 \pm P_4$ are singular both $P_2 \pm P_5$ are non-singular both $P_3 \pm P_4$ are non-singular
M_3 M_4	M_5 M_5	0	n - p	both $P_3 \pm P_5$ are singular both $P_4 \pm P_5$ are non-singular

Table 2: Combinations of two subspaces

combinations of M_1 and M_3 , and M_2 and M_5 give non-singular sum and difference of their orthogonal projections, they are the only two combinations that provide a non-trivial lower bound. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is done by considering M_1 and M_3 . It is easy to see that if we consider M_2 and M_5 , then on following the proof to analyze

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \ge \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_5 x|^2 + |P_2 x|^2}{|x|^2},$$

we get the exact same lower bound as M_1 and M_4 , that is,

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \ge 1 - \cos \theta_F = 1 - \cos \theta_{k+1},$$

which is optimal for our analysis.

REFERENCES

 A. Kaur and S. H. Lui, New lower bounds for the minimum singular value of a matrix, preprint, (2022).