

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS: NEW LOWER BOUNDS ON THE MINIMUM SINGULAR VALUES OF A MATRIX

AVLEEN KAUR\* AND S. H. LUI†

This document elaborates on some minute yet significant details for the proof of [Theorem 3.1](#) presented in [1], which will provide more clarity for the readers.

As discussed in [Theorem 3.1](#), let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be PSD matrices of ranks  $p, q \leq n$ , respectively. Define  $M_1 = \mathcal{R}(P)$ ,  $M_2 = \mathcal{R}(Q)$ , and  $M_3 = M_2 \cap (M_1 \cap M_2)^\perp$  to be subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Additionally, define  $M_4 = M_1 \cap M_2$  and  $M_5 = M_1 \cap M_4^\perp$ , also now  $M_3 = M_2 \cap M_4^\perp$ . Let  $P_i$  be the orthogonal projections onto  $M_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ .

Recall that  $\dim(M_1) = p$ ,  $\dim(M_2) = q$ , and as  $k = \dim(M_1 \cap M_2) = \dim M_4$ , thus  $\dim(M_3) = q - k$ , and  $\dim(M_5) = p - k$ . Also,  $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \theta_2 \leq \dots \leq \theta_{\min(p,q)} \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$  represent the principal angles between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ ,  $r$  is the number of angles  $\theta_i$  so that  $0 < \theta_i < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq \min(p, q)$ , and the Friedrichs angle between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is  $\theta_F = \theta_{k+1}$ .

**1. Special case.** Here we discuss the need of distinguishing the case  $r = 0$  from  $r > 0$  in [Theorems 3.1](#) and [3.5](#). Note that  $r = 0$  means the principal angles between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are either 0 or  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ . Recall that  $n_1 = \dim(M_1 \cap M_2^\perp)$ ,  $n_2 = \dim(M_1^\perp \cap M_2)$ ,  $n_3 = \dim(M_1^\perp \cap M_2^\perp) = 0$ , and  $M_1 + M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ . On substituting  $r = 0$  in [\(3.11\)](#), we get  $n_1 = p - k$ ,  $n_2 = q - k$ , so we need to analyze eight cases as follows.

$k$	$n_1$	$n_2$	Interpretation
0	0	0	$M_1 = M_2 = O$ or $P = Q = 0$
0	0	+	$M_1 = O$ or $P = O$
0	+	0	$M_2 = O$ or $Q = O$
0	+	+	$M_1 = M_2^\perp$ , e.g. $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
+	0	0	$M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ , or both $P$ and $Q$ are non-singular
+	0	+	$M_1 \subseteq M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $Q$ is non-singular
+	+	0	$M_2 \subseteq M_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $P$ is non-singular
+	+	+	$M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$ and $M_3 = M_5^\perp$ , e.g. $P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , $Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Table 1: Cases for  $r = 0$

1. Suppose  $k = n_1 = n_2 = 0$ . Then  $p = q = 0$ , or  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are zero subspaces. Thus,  $P$  and  $Q$  are zero matrices, and we do not consider this case.
2. Suppose  $k = n_1 = 0$  and  $n_2 > 0$ . Then  $p = 0$ , thus  $M_1$  is a zero subspace and  $P$  is a zero matrix. Therefore, all principal angles between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .
3. Suppose  $k = n_2 = 0$  and  $n_1 > 0$ . Then  $q = 0$ , thus  $Q$  is a zero matrix. Therefore, all principal angles between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .
4. Suppose  $k = 0$  and  $n_1, n_2 > 0$ . Then  $n_1 = p$  and  $n_2 = q$ . Since  $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{0\}$ ,  $\dim(M_1 \cap M_2^\perp) = \dim(M_1)$ , and  $\dim(M_2 \cap M_1^\perp) = \dim(M_2)$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^n = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , thus  $M_1 = M_2^\perp$ . Therefore, all principal angles between them are  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .
5. Suppose  $k > 0$  and  $n_1, n_2 = 0$ . Then  $p = q = k$ , since  $n = p + q - k$  or  $n = k$ , thus  $p = q = n$ . Therefore,  $M_1 = M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $P, Q$  are non-singular. Thus,

\*University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada ([kaura349@myumanitoba.ca](mailto:kaura349@myumanitoba.ca))

†University of Manitoba, Canada ([shaun.lui@umanitoba.ca](mailto:shaun.lui@umanitoba.ca))

all principal angles between them are zero.

6. Suppose  $k, n_2 > 0$  and  $n_1 = 0$ . Then  $p = k$ , and  $n = p + q - k$  implies  $n = k + q - k$ , then  $n = q$ . Thus,  $M_2 = \mathbb{R}^n$  or  $Q$  is non-singular and clearly  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$ . Note that all principal angles are zero in this case as well.
7. Suppose  $k, n_1 > 0$  and  $n_2 = 0$ . Similarly, to the above case  $M_2 \subseteq M_1 = \mathbb{R}^n$ , thus  $P$  is non-singular and all principal angles are zero in this case as well.
8. Suppose  $k, n_1, n_2 > 0$ . This is the most general case for the  $r = 0$  setting. In this case, there is a non-trivial intersection between the subspaces, that is,  $M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$ . Therefore,  $r = 0$  means  $M_3 = M_5^\perp$ , so the first  $k$  principal angles are zero and rest of them are  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ .

For cases 5-7, the Friedrichs angle between  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  is 0, so that  $\theta_F = 0$  which gives  $1 - \cos \theta_F = 1 - \cos 0 = 0$ . Therefore, we define  $c(P, Q)$  separately for the cases  $r = 0$  and  $r > 0$  in the proof of the theorem. All the above cases are mentioned in Table 1, where ‘+’ represents that the corresponding parameter is considered to be positive.

**2. The choice of subspaces.** In this section, we discuss the choice of decomposition (3.14), which states  $|P_2x|^2 = |P_{M_1 \cap M_2}x|^2 + |P_3x|^2 = |P_4x|^2 + |P_3x|^2$ .

Note that, this decomposition of  $M_2$ , in terms of  $M_3$  and  $M_4$ , is considered for the case when  $M_4 = M_1 \cap M_2 \neq \{0\}$ . It is because when we try to estimate (3.8), which is

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_{\min}^2(P_1 + P_2) + \sigma_{\min}^2(P_1 - P_2)],$$

then since  $k > 0$ , Property 2 of Theorem 2.9 implies that  $P_1 - P_2$  is singular, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) &\geq \frac{1}{2} [\sigma_{\min}^2(P_1 + P_2) + 0], \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta_{k+1})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by (3.10). In order to improve this lower bound, we consider various combinations of two subspaces to estimate a lower bound on the infimum of  $\Delta(x)$ , as defined by (3.6). We restrict to two subspaces to facilitate analysis. The investigation of the case with three subspaces is a topic for future research. Since (3.14) gives  $|P_2x|^2 = |P_4x|^2 + |P_3x|^2$ , and similarly,  $|P_1x|^2 = |P_4x|^2 + |P_5x|^2$ , thus the following approaches are established.

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) &= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_1x|^2 + |P_2x|^2}{|x|^2} \\ &= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_1x|^2 + |P_4x|^2 + |P_3x|^2}{|x|^2} \\ &= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_5x|^2 + 2|P_4x|^2 + |P_3x|^2}{|x|^2} \\ &= \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_5x|^2 + |P_4x|^2 + |P_2x|^2}{|x|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By considering two terms at once in the above set of equations and applying (3.7), we can get a lower bound in terms of an expression of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} [\sigma_{\min}^2(P_i + P_j) + \sigma_{\min}^2(P_i - P_j)],$$

where  $i \neq j$ .

For subspaces  $U, V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $P_U$  and  $P_V$  be orthogonal projections onto  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively. Properties 1 and 2 of Theorem 2.9 imply that  $P_U + P_V$  is non-singular if and only if  $\dim(U^\perp \cap V^\perp) = 0$ , and  $P_U - P_V$  is non-singular if and only if  $\dim(U \cap V) = \dim(U^\perp \cap V^\perp) = 0$ . Therefore, we construct Table 2, which describes the sum and difference of two orthogonal projections  $P_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ . Since only the

$U$	$V$	$\dim(U \cap V)$	$\dim(U^\perp \cap V^\perp)$	Conclusion
$M_1$	$M_3$	0	0	both $P_1 \pm P_3$ are non-singular
$M_1$	$M_4$	$k$	$n - p$	both $P_1 \pm P_4$ are singular
$M_2$	$M_4$	$k$	$n - q$	both $P_2 \pm P_4$ are singular
$M_2$	$M_5$	0	0	both $P_2 \pm P_5$ are non-singular
$M_3$	$M_4$	0	$n - q$	both $P_3 \pm P_4$ are non-singular
$M_3$	$M_5$	0	$k$	both $P_3 \pm P_5$ are singular
$M_4$	$M_5$	0	$n - p$	both $P_4 \pm P_5$ are non-singular

Table 2: Combinations of two subspaces

combinations of  $M_1$  and  $M_3$ , and  $M_2$  and  $M_5$  give non-singular sum and difference of their orthogonal projections, they are the only two combinations that provide a non-trivial lower bound. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed by considering  $M_1$  and  $M_3$ . It is easy to see that if we consider  $M_2$  and  $M_5$ , then on following the proof to analyze

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \geq \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|P_5 x|^2 + |P_2 x|^2}{|x|^2},$$

we get the exact same lower bound as  $M_1$  and  $M_4$ , that is,

$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \Delta(x) \geq 1 - \cos \theta_F = 1 - \cos \theta_{k+1},$$

which is optimal for our analysis.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. KAUR AND S. H. LUI, *New lower bounds for the minimum singular value of a matrix*, preprint, (2022).