

Theorem 1. Let μ^* be an outer measure on X .

Then the collection M of μ^* measurable sets is a σ -algebra.

The proof involves a large number of steps none of which is too hard.

As a technique for managing these steps I want to break the proof into 3 propositions.

The first involves algebras of sets which are like σ -algebras except the unions involved are finite unions.

Proposition 1. \mathcal{M} , the set
of μ^* measurable sets,
is an algebra.

Proof. We need to verify
the axioms of an algebra:

(a) $X \in \mathcal{M}$

(b) If $B \in \mathcal{M}$ then $B^c \in \mathcal{M}$

(c) If $B_i \in \mathcal{M}$ for $i=1\dots n$

then $\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i \in \mathcal{M}$.

(a) follows from the
proposition proved
in lecture 2 since
 $\mu^*(\emptyset) = 0$ and $X = \emptyset^c$.

$$X^c = \emptyset$$

(b) follows from the
symmetry of the measurability
condition:

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap B) + \mu^*(A \cap B^c)$$

$$(B^c)^c = B.$$

We now prove (c).

Say B_1 and B_2 are measurable we want to show that $B_1 \cup B_2$ is measurable which is to say:

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)) + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)^c)}$$

We analyse the first term on the right.

$$\left(\text{To show: } \mu^*(A) = \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2))} + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)^c)} \right)$$

Use the measurability of $\underline{B_1}$ with test set $A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)$
to get:

$$\underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2))} = \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) \cap B_1)} + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2) \cap B_1^c)}$$

$$\mu^*(A \cap B_1) \qquad \qquad \qquad \mu^*(A \cap B_2 \cap B_1^c)$$

$$\left(\text{To show: } \mu^*(A) = \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2))} + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)^c)} \right)$$

We rewrite the second term:

$$\underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)^c)} = \mu^*(A \cap B_1^c \cap B_2^c)$$

Putting these together we get:

$$\underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2))} + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)^c)} =$$

$$\mu^*(A \cap B_1) + \mu^*(\underline{A \cap B_2 \cap B_1^c}) + \mu^*(\underline{A \cap B_1^c \cap B_2^c})$$

Now we use the measurability of $\underline{B_2^c}$ with test set $\underline{A \cap B_1^c}$.

$$\underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2))} + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap (B_1 \cup B_2)^c)} = \mu^*(A \cap B_1) + \mu^*(A \cap B_1^c)$$

Now we use the measurability of \underline{B}_1 with test set A:

$$\mu^*(A \cap (\underline{B}_1 \cup \underline{B}_2)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\underline{B}_1 \cup \underline{B}_2)^c) = \mu^*(A \cap \underline{B}_1) + \mu^*(A \cap \underline{B}_1^c)$$

$= \mu^*(A)$

This proves $\underline{B}_1 \cup \underline{B}_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. The proof for finite unions follows.

This finishes the proof of Proposition 1.

The objective of Theorem 1 is to show that \mathcal{M} is closed under taking countable unions.

Say $B_i \in \mathcal{M}$ for $i=1\dots\infty$. We want to show that

$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \in \mathcal{M}$. That is

$$(*) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup B_i)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup B_i)^c)$$

This is equivalent to:

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup B_i)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup B_i)^c)$$

by solo-additivity

$$(\Rightarrow) \quad \mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap (\cup B_i)) + \mu^*(A \cap (\cup B_i)^c)$$



$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*\left(\bigcup_i A \cap B_i\right) + \mu^*\left(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right)$$

Countable subadditivity implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap B_i) \geq \mu^*\left(\bigcup_i A \cap B_i\right).$$

To establish $(*)$ it suffices to show:

$$(*) \quad \mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*\left(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c\right).$$

RHS of $(*)$
 \geq RHS of
 $(*)$.

Proposition 2. If B_i $i=1\dots\infty$

are disjoint then

$$(\#) \quad \mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c).$$

(Summary: Prop. 2 establishes that μ satisfies the countable union property for disjoint sets.)

Proof:

As a first step towards proving Proposition 2
we consider a finite collection of sets $B_1 \dots B_n$.

We show:

$$(I_n) \mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)$$

by induction on n .

For $n=1$ we have

$$(I_1) \quad \mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap B_1) + \mu^*(A \cap B_1^c)$$

which is just the measurability of B_1 .

Now assume the statement holds for n .

That is we assume:

$$(I_n) \quad \mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)}$$

Let's analyse the right hand term.

Measurability of B_{n+1} with respect to the test set $A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)$ gives:

$$\underline{\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c))} = \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c) \cap B_{n+1}) + \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c) \cap B_{n+1}^c)$$

$$\mu^*(A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)) = \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c) \cap B_{n+1}) + \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c) \cap B_{n+1}^c)$$

Disjointness implies \rightarrow ↓
 that $B_{n+1} \subset B_i^c$

$$\mu^*(A \cap B_{n+1})$$

$$\mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i^c)$$

$$(I_n) \quad \mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \mu^*(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap B_{n+1})} + \underline{\mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i^c)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i^c). \end{aligned}$$

This is statement (I_{n+1}) . Thus $(I_n) \Rightarrow (I_{n+1})$
 and this completes the induction and
 proves (I_n) for all n .

Now we need to go from a finite collection of sets B_i to a countably infinite collection.

We have:

$$(I_n) \quad \mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)$$

As n increases $\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c$ decreases. Monotonicity of μ^* gives:

$$\mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i^c)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c)$$

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i^c)$$

Replace
intersection
by union.

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)^c)$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get:

$$(*) \quad \mu^*(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)^c)$$

Thus we have finished the proof of Proposition 2.

Proposition 3. If $B_i \in \mathcal{U}$ for $i=1\dots\infty$ then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \subseteq \mathcal{U}$.

This proof of Prop.3 will complete the proof of the Theorem.

Proof of Prop.3.

Now say we have an arbitrary sequence of sets $\{B_i\}$.

$B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5 \dots$

Consider the following sequence of disjoint sets:

$B_1, B_1^c \cap B_2, B_1^c \cap B_2^c \cap B_3, \dots, B_1^c \cap B_2^c \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}^c \cap B_n \dots$

Each of these sets is measurable (since
 \mathcal{M} is an algebra by Prop 1.)

$$B_1, B_1^c \cap B_2, B_1^c \cup B_2^c \cap B_3, \dots$$
$$B_1^c \cap B_2^c \cap \dots \cap B_{n-1}^c \cap B_n$$

These sets are pairwise disjoint so their union is in \mathcal{M} by Prop. 2.

The union of this sequence of sets is

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \text{ so } \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and the proof of Prop 3}$$

is complete.

Proving Prop 3 completes the proof of Thm 1.

Theorem 2 The restriction of μ^* to the σ -algebra of μ^* measurable sets is countably additive.

Proof. Let B_j $j=1\dots\infty$ be a collection of disjoint sets.

To see that μ^* is countably additive consider the equation:

$$(\ast \ast) \quad \mu^*(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap B_i) + \mu^*(A \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i)^c)$$

from Prop 2

and let the test set A be $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \cap B_i\right) + \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \cap \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right)^c\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_i) + 0 \end{aligned}$$

So we have:

$$\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_i).$$

Subadditivity gives: $\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_i)$

so we conclude that

$$\mu^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(B_i)$$

when the B_i are disjoint.