

# Measure Theory: Exercises (not for credit)

Josephine Evans

October 7, 2021

*Question 1.* Let  $C$  be a countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\lambda^*(C) = 0$ .

**Answer:** We first show that  $\lambda^*(\{b\}) = 0$ . We have  $\lambda^*(\{b\}) \leq \lambda((b-1/n, b]) = 1/n$ . So letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $\lambda^*(\{b\}) = 0$ . Then we can write  $C = \{b_1\} \cup \{b_2\} \cup \{b_3\} \cup \dots$  then, by countable subadditivity, we have  $\lambda^*(C) \leq \sum_n \lambda^*(\{b_n\}) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Question 2.* For each set  $A \in \mathbb{R}^d$  show that there is a Borel subset,  $B$ , of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda(B) = \lambda^*(A)$ , and  $A \subseteq B$ .

**Answer:** Let us define a sequence of intervals  $I_{n,k}$  with two indices, by finding such a sequence with  $A \subseteq \bigcup_k I_{n,k}$  and  $\sum_k \lambda(I_{n,k}) \leq \lambda^*(A) + 2^{-n}$ . Then write  $J_n = \bigcup_k I_{n,k}$  for each  $k$  and  $B_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n J_i$ . Since the  $I_{n,k}$  are Borel sets it follows that the  $J_n$  are Borel sets and then that the  $B_n$  are Borel sets. We also have  $A \subseteq B_n$  for each  $n$  and  $\lambda(B_n) \leq \lambda(J_n) \leq \sum_k \lambda(I_{n,k}) \leq \lambda^*(A) + 2^{-n}$ . We then let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and get  $A \subseteq \bigcup_n B_n$  and  $\lambda^*(\bigcup_n B_n) \leq \lambda^*(A)$ . By monotonicity of  $\lambda^*$  we also have  $\lambda^*(A) \leq \lambda(\bigcup_n B_n)$  therefore  $\lambda^*(A) = \lambda(\bigcup_n B_n)$  and  $\bigcup_n B_n$  is a Borel set as it is the countable intersection of Borel sets.  $\square$

*Question 3.* Let  $B$  be a Borel subset of  $[0, 1]$  show that there exists a finite, disjoint sequence of half open intervals  $A$  such that  $\lambda(A \Delta B) \leq \epsilon$ . Here  $A \Delta B = (A^c \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^c)$ .

**Answer:** This is similar to question 1. Let us take a sequence  $I_n$  of half open intervals such that  $B \subseteq \bigcup_n I_n$  and  $\sum_n \lambda(I_n) \leq \lambda(B) + \epsilon/2$ . Now since the sum  $\sum_n \lambda(I_n)$  converges there exists an  $N$  such that  $\sum_{n \geq N} \lambda(I_n) < \epsilon/2$ . We then write  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N-1} I_n$  this is a finite union of half open intervals so can be expressed as a finite disjoint union of half open intervals. We also have that  $B \cap A^c \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq N} I_n$  therefore  $\lambda(B \cap A^c) \leq \sum_{n \geq N} \lambda(I_n) \leq \epsilon/2$ . We also have that  $A \cap B^c \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \setminus B$  so  $\lambda(A \cap B^c) \leq \lambda(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n \setminus B) = \lambda(\bigcup_n I_n) - \lambda(B) \leq \epsilon/2$ . Putting this together gives  $\lambda(A \Delta B) \leq \epsilon$ .  $\square$

*Question 4.* Let  $(E, \mathcal{E}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and let  $A_n$  be a sequence of measurable sets. Show that

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_n \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right) \leq \liminf_n \mu(A_n) \leq \limsup_n \mu(A_n) \leq \mu \left( \bigcap_n \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right).$$

Find an example to show that the last inequality is not necessarily true if  $\mu$  is not finite.

**Answer:** The sequence  $\bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m$  is increasing sequence. Therefore by continuity we have

$$\mu \left( \bigcup_n \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right) = \lim_n \mu \left( \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right).$$

By monotonicity of  $\mu$  we have

$$\mu \left( \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right) \leq \mu(A_m), \quad \forall m \geq n$$

therefore

$$\mu \left( \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m \right) \leq \inf_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m).$$

Putting this all together gives the first inequality.

The second inequality is just the fact that  $\liminf \leq \limsup$ .

The sequence  $\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$  is decreasing, and we are working in a finite measure space so all the sets have finite measure. By our continuity theorem this means that

$$\mu \left( \bigcap_n \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right) = \lim_n \mu \left( \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right).$$

By monotonicity we have

$$\mu(A_m) \leq \mu \left( \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right) \quad \forall m \geq n.$$

Therefore we have

$$\sup_{m \geq n} \mu(A_m) \leq \mu \left( \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \right).$$

Putting all this together gives the last inequality.

For a counterexample let  $\mu = \lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $A_n = [n, n+1]$  then  $\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m = [n, \infty)$  and  $\bigcap_n \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m = \bigcap_n [n, \infty) = \emptyset$ . Therefore we have  $\limsup_n \mu(A_n) = 1$  as  $\mu(A_n) = 1$  for every  $n$ , but  $\mu(\bigcap_n \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m) = \mu(\emptyset) = 0$ .  $\square$