

Good morning! Will start at 9.05

Remind me to record!

Last reminder of the assignment which is due on Thursday at midday.

Product measure

When we've got two measure spaces (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) and (F, \mathcal{F}, ν) we want to produce a sensible σ -algebra and measure on $E \times F$.

Def" Cartesian product

$$E \times F = \{(x, y) : x \in E, y \in F\}$$

Ex: $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Def" The product σ -algebra which we write $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ is the σ -algebra generated by the collection of sets of the form $\Pi = \{\{A \times B, A \in \mathcal{E}, B \in \mathcal{F}\}\}$, Π is a collection of subsets of $E \times F$, and $E \times F = \sigma(\Pi)$

Ex: $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

we can kind of already see this as $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is generated by sets of the form $(a, b] \times (c, d]$

Q2: on the exercise sheet this week says that if $\mathcal{E} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_1)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_2)$ then $E \times F = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2)$

Remark M_d is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets in \mathbb{R}^d then $M_2 \neq M_1 \times M_1$. This is also on

the example sheet.

We define two maps

$$\pi_E : E \times F \rightarrow E \quad \pi_E(x,y) = x, \quad \pi_F : E \times F \rightarrow F, \quad \pi_F(x,y) = y.$$

Lemma 1. π_E and π_F are both measurable functions wrt to $E \times \mathcal{F}$ and \mathcal{E} resp F .

2. If C is in $E \times \mathcal{F}$ define $C_x = \{y \in F : (x,y) \in C\}$

$C_y = \{x \in E : (x,y) \in C\}$ These are often called sections we have $C_x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $C_y \in \mathcal{E}$

3. If $f : E \times F \rightarrow G$ is measurable wrt $E \times F$ with $E \times \mathcal{F}$ σ -algebra and G has some σ -algebra \mathcal{G}

then $f_x : F \rightarrow G$ defined by $f_x(y) = f(x,y)$

and $f_y : E \rightarrow G$ defined by $f_y(x) = f(x,y)$

are both measurable.

Proof 1. Let $A \in \mathcal{E}$ then $\pi_E^{-1}(A) = A \times F \in \Pi \subseteq E \times \mathcal{F}$

so $\pi_E^{-1}(A)$ is measurable so π_E is a measurable

function.

2. \mathcal{C} be the collection of sets C in $E \times F$ with $C_x \in \mathcal{F} \forall x$

and $C_y \in \mathcal{E} \forall y$.

* Then $\Pi \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ as $(A \times B)_x = \begin{cases} B & x \in A \\ \emptyset & x \notin A \end{cases}$

We want to show \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra as then we would have $\mathcal{C} \supseteq \sigma(\Pi) = E \times \mathcal{F}$ so would have

We want to show \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra ---
 would have $\mathcal{C} \supseteq \sigma(\Pi) = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ so would have
 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$.

$\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}$ as $\emptyset = \emptyset \times \emptyset$, $E \times F \in \mathcal{C}$ as $E \times F \in \Pi$

If $C \in \mathcal{C}$ then

$$(C^c)_x = \{y : (x, y) \in C^c\} = \{y : (x, y) \notin C\} = \{y : (x, y) \in C\}^c$$

$$= (C_x)^c$$

so $C^c \in \mathcal{E}$ as $C \in \mathcal{C}$ so $(C^c)_x = (C_x)^c \in \mathcal{E}$

so this works for any x and any y

so $C^c \in \mathcal{C}$ so \mathcal{C} is closed under complements

If C_1, C_2, \dots are all in \mathcal{C} then

$$(\bigcup_n C_n)_x = \{y : (x, y) \in \bigcup_n C_n\} = \bigcup_n \{y : (x, y) \in C_n\}$$

$$= \bigcup_n (C_n)_x \quad \text{so as } (C_n)_x \in \mathcal{E} \forall n$$

$(\bigcup_n C_n)_x \in \mathcal{E}$. This works for any x, y

so $\bigcup_n C_n \in \mathcal{C}$. This shows \mathcal{C} is closed under

countable unions.

So \mathcal{C} is a σ -algebra so contains $\sigma(\Pi)$

so $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$.

3. Now $f: E \times F \rightarrow G$

$$\text{take } A \in \mathcal{G} \quad f_x^{-1}(A) = \{y \in F : f(x, y) \in A\} = (f_x^{-1}(A))_x$$

$$f^{-1}(A) = \{(x, y) : f(x, y) \in A\}$$

$f^{-1}(A) \subset \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ as f is measurable

$$f^{-1}(A) = \{(x, y) : f(x, y) \in A\}$$

we know that $f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ as f is measurable
and so we know by part 2. that $(f^{-1}(A))_x \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 11.6 (Product measure)

Given two σ -finite (E, \mathcal{E}, μ) and (F, \mathcal{F}, ν) there exists a unique measure $\mu \times \nu$ on $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ s.t.

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A \times B) = \mu(A) \nu(B) \text{ when } A \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } B \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Furthermore the maps $x \mapsto \nu(C_x)$ and $y \mapsto \mu(C_y)$ for $C \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ are measurable and

$$\mu \times \nu(C) = \int_E \nu(C_x) \mu(dx) = \int_F \mu(C_y) \nu(dy)$$

Pf First in order to show existence we want to show $(\mapsto \int_E \nu(C_x) \mu(dx))$ is a measure on $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ with right properties. For uniqueness we use a Dynkin's uniqueness of extension argument (which why we need σ -finiteness).

First we check $x \mapsto \nu(C_x)$ is measurable.

Let us work first in the case where μ and ν are finite.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ be the collection of all sets in $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$ s.t. $x \mapsto \nu(C_x)$ is measurable and $y \mapsto \mu(C_y)$ is

measurable.
 $\pi \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ as $x \mapsto v((A \times B)_x) = \mathbb{1}_A(x)v(B)$ which is measurable.

Q1 on the exercise sheet asks you to show π is a π -system.

Recall Dynkin's lemma If A is a π -system, D is a σ -system with $A \subseteq D$ then $\sigma(A) \subseteq D$

So if we can show that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a σ -system then $\sigma(\pi) = \mathcal{E}^{\infty} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{E}^{\infty}$

wts: $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a σ -system.

If $C_1 \subseteq C_2$ both in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ then $v((C_2 \setminus C_1)_x) = \underbrace{v(C_2)_x - v(C_1)_x}_{\text{use the fact that } v \text{ is finite.}}$

If $C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq C_3 \subseteq \dots$ all in $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ then

$$v((\bigcup_n C_n)_x) = v(\bigcup_n (C_n)_x) \underset{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{continuity}}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v((C_n)_x)$$

$v((\bigcup_n C_n)_x)$ is the limit of measurable functions so measurable.

This shows $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a σ -system.

By Dynkin's lemma $\mathcal{E}^{\infty} = \sigma(\pi) \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ so $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{E}^{\infty}$ so $x \mapsto v(C_x)$ is measurable

for every $C \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}$.

So this allows us to define the measure

$$(\mu \times \nu)_1(C) = \int_E \nu(C_x) \mu(dx).$$

We can also define

$$(\mu \times \nu)_2(C) = \int_F \mu(C_y) \nu(dy)$$

If $C = A \times B$ then

$$(\mu \times \nu)_1(A \times B) = \int_E \mathbb{1}_A(x) \nu(B) \mu(dx) = \mu(A) \nu(B)$$

$$(\mu \times \nu)_2(A \times B) = \int_F \mathbb{1}_B(y) \mu(A) \nu(dy) = \mu(A) \nu(B).$$

Now as μ, ν are finite we can apply

Dynkin's uniqueness of ext theorem

If you have two finite measures which agree on the whole space, and agree on a π -system generating the σ -algebra then they are the same.

$(\mu \times \nu)_1 = (\mu \times \nu)_2$ and will also coincide with any other measure which has

$$(\mu \times \nu)(A \times B) = \mu(A) \nu(B).$$

Extension to the σ -finite case

\exists set $(E_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{E}$ $(F_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \mathcal{F}$
 with $\mu(E_n) < \infty \quad \forall n$ $\nu(F_n) < \infty \quad \forall n$

$E = \bigcup E_n$, $F = \bigcup F_n$ $\text{wlog } E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_3 \dots$
 $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq F_3 \dots$

We know that if $C \subseteq E_n \times F_n$ then by working with the restrictions of $\mathcal{E}, \mu, \mathcal{F}, \nu$ to E_n, F_n we have

$x \mapsto \nu(C_x)$ is measurable and $y \mapsto \mu(C_y)$ is measurable

Therefore for any C

$x \mapsto \nu((C \cap (E_n \times F_n))_x)$ is measurable for any n

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $((C \cap (E_n \times F_n))_x) \uparrow$ to C_x

so $\nu((C \cap (E_n \times F_n))_x) \rightarrow \nu(C_x)$

by continuity of measure

so $x \mapsto \nu(C_x)$ is the limit of measurable functions so measurable, the same proof works for $y \mapsto \mu(C_y)$.

So the measures

$$(\mu \times \nu)_1(C) = \int_E \nu(C_x) \mu(dx)$$

and $(\mu \times \nu)_2(C) = \int_F \mu(C_y) \nu(dy)$ make sense

So we've done existence in the σ -finite case

Now uniqueness let $(\mu \times \nu)_2$ be any other measure with

Now uniqueness let $(\mu \times \nu)_3$ be any other measure with

$$(\mu \times \nu)_3(A \times B) = \mu(A) \nu(B).$$

By our finite measure result

$$(\mu \times \nu)_1(C \cap (E_n \times F_n)) = (\mu \times \nu)_3(C \cap (E_n \times F_n))$$

and $C = \bigcup (C \cap (E_n \times F_n))$ and this is an increasing union so by continuity of measure

$$(\mu \times \nu)_1(C) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu \times \nu)_1(C \cap (E_n \times F_n)) =$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu \times \nu)_3(C \cap (E_n \times F_n)) = (\mu \times \nu)_3(C).$$

so $(\mu \times \nu)_1 = (\mu \times \nu)_3$ and so the product measure is unique.