

Lecture starts at 9.05

Remind me to record!

Poll on moodle in week 5

—
Simple functions (E, \mathcal{E}, μ)

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \quad a_k \geq 0 \quad A_k \in \mathcal{E}$$

"Functions that take finitely many values"

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(A_k)$$

Lemma "Integration on simple functions works the way we want it to"

The integral of a simple is well defined

(doesn't depend on how you write f)

$$\bullet \quad \alpha > 0 \quad \mu(\alpha f) = \alpha \mu(f)$$

$$\bullet \quad \mu(f+g) = \mu(f) + \mu(g)$$

$$\bullet \quad \text{If } f \leq g \text{ then } \mu(f) \leq \mu(g)$$

$$\bullet \quad f = 0 \text{ } \mu\text{-almost surely iff } \mu(f) = 0$$

==

~~PP~~ The first thing to notice is if $f(x) = \sum_k a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$
then we can rewrite this expression so $a_k > 0$ for

then we can rewrite this expression so $a_k > 0$ for each k and the $(A_k)_{k=1}^n$ are pairwise disjoint, without changing the value of $\sum_k a_k \mu(A_k)$.

First discard any term with $a_k = 0$

If $A_j \cap A_i \neq \emptyset$ then split this into

$$A_j \setminus (A_i \cap A_j) \cup a_{ij}$$

$$A_i \setminus (A_i \cap A_j) \text{ and } A_i \cap A_j \\ \hookrightarrow a_i \quad \hookrightarrow a_{ij}$$

So wlog $a_k > 0$ $k=1, n$ and all the $(A_k)_{k=1}^n$ are pairwise disjoint.

\equiv well definedness

$$\text{Suppose } f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k} = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \mathbb{1}_{B_j}$$

wlog the coeff are > 0 and sets disjoint

$$\text{supp}(f) = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_j \text{ so in particular}$$

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^m B_j \quad \text{supp}(f) = \{x : f(x) \neq 0\}$$

Also if $A_i \cap B_j \neq \emptyset$ then $a_i = b_j$

this is because if $x \in A_i \cap B_j$ then $f(x) = a_i$ and $f(x) = b_j$

$$\text{so } a_i = b_j \quad \text{because } A_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^m A_k \cap B_j \text{ disjoint}$$

Using this

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(A_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \sum_{j=1}^m \mu(A_k \cap B_j) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k \cap B_j) \quad \begin{matrix} \nearrow \text{either } \mu(A_k \cap B_j) = 0 \\ \text{or } a_k = b_j \end{matrix}$$

\vdash or $a_k = b_j$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_k \mu(A_k \cap B_j)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \mu(A_k \cap B_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(A_k \cap B_j)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \mu(B_j)$$

disjoint
 $B_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_j \cap A_k$

This shows well definedness.

Show

$$\mu(\alpha f) = \alpha \mu(f).$$

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha f = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$$

$$\mu(\alpha f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha a_k \mu(A_k) = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(A_k) = \alpha \mu(f).$$

$$\mu(f+g) = \mu(f) + \mu(g)$$

So write $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$ $g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \mathbb{1}_{C_j}$

and wlog $a_k > 0$ $c_j > 0$ A_k pairwise disjoint, C_j disjoint

write $A_0 = E \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k$ $a_0 = 0$

$C_0 = E \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m C_j$ $c_0 = 0$

$\mathbf{g} =$

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k} \quad \text{similarly with } g.$$

$\bigcup_{k=0}^n A_k = E$ all A_k are pairwise disjoint.

Idea here is to write f and g with the same sets

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j}$$

$$\text{as } A_k = \bigcup_{j=1}^m A_k \cap C_j \quad \text{disjoint}$$

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j}$$

we know the integral is well defined

so we can use any representation

$$(f+g)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m (a_k + c_j) \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j}$$

$$\mu(f+g) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m (a_k + c_j) \mu(A_k \cap C_j)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_k \mu(A_k \cap C_j) + \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \mu(A_k \cap C_j)$$

$$= \mu(f) + \mu(g)$$

(can also rearrange using $\sum_{j=0}^m \mu(A_k \cap C_j) = \mu(A_k)$)
to get original form for $\mu(f)$)

Now show monotonicity so $f \leq g$

so again we can write

so again we can write

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_{kj} \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j} \quad g = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m c_{kj} \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j}$$

we want to get rid of the terms where
 $A_k \cap C_j = \emptyset$ so a convenient of writing
this is to set $a_{kj} = a_{kj} \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j \neq \emptyset}$
and $c_{kj} = c_{kj} \mathbb{1}_{A_k \cap C_j \neq \emptyset}$

It is now true that if $f(x) \leq g(x)$
for every x then $a_{kj} \leq c_{kj}$
whenever $A_k \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$ if $x \in A_k \cap C_j$
then $f(x) = a_{kj}$ and $g(x) = c_{kj}$

$$\mu(f) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m a_{kj} \mu(A_k \cap C_j) \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m c_{kj} \mu(A_k \cap C_j) \\ = \mu(g)$$

$\mu(f) = 0$ iff $f = 0$ μ -almost everywhere

$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$ is 0 μ -a.e iff

for every k $a_k = 0$ or $\mu(A_k) = 0$

iff $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k \mu(A_k) = 0$

Something happens μ -almost everywhere if the set where it doesn't happen has μ -measure 0.

Defⁿ: If f is non-negative, measurable and real valued then

$$\mu(f) = \sup \{ \mu(g) : g \text{ simple } g \leq f \}$$

N.B we can see it is monotone.

(we don't need to use monotone convergence to see this)

Lemma The two definitions agree on simple functions if f is simple $f(x) = \sum_k a_k \mathbb{1}_{A_k}$

$$\sum_k a_k \mu(A_k) = \sup \{ \mu(g) : g \text{ simple } g \leq f \}$$

Pf On the exercise sheet.

Hint is use monotonicity of the second definition.

Final defn

If f is measurable, real-valued

$$f = f_+ - f_- \quad \text{where} \quad f_+(x) = \max \{ f(x), 0 \}$$

$$f_-(x) = \max \{ -f(x), 0 \}$$



If $\mu(f_+), \mu(f_-) < \infty$



If $\mu(f_+), \mu(f_-) < \infty$

we say f is integrable

and define $\mu(f) = \mu(f_+) - \mu(f_-)$.