

Quantum Algorithm for Linear Systems of Equations

Alfred Nguyen

Department of Computer Science

Technical University of Munich

05748 Garching, Bavaria

alfred.nguyen@outlook.com

Abstract—This document is a model and instructions for L^AT_EX. This and the IEEEtran.cls file define the components of your paper [title, text, heads, etc.]. *CRITICAL: Do Not Use Symbols, Special Characters, Footnotes, or Math in Paper Title or Abstract.

Index Terms—component, formatting, style, styling, insert

I. OVERVIEW OF THE ALGORITHM

The following section will describe how the algorithm works in general. Then it will roughly sketch out the steps of the algorithm. After that it will describe a short mathematical explanation.

A. Problem statement

Given an $N \times N$ hamiltonian matrix A and \vec{a} vector \vec{b} , we want to solve for the vector \vec{x} such that,

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b} \quad (1)$$

To solve for x the equation can be rewritten as

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} \quad (2)$$

As described earlier the hermitian matrix A^{-1} can be split into its spectral decomposition. Thus, A can be written as a linear combination of its outer products of its eigenvectors, weighted by its eigenvalues λ .

$$A = UDU^\dagger = (U_1 \quad \dots \quad U_n) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_1^\dagger \\ \dots \\ U_n^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

This means that if we can find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A we can then solve the linear equation quite easily. Classical solutions involving spectral decomposition are not faster than other standard algorithms such as Gaussian Elimination. Though, estimating eigenvalues and eigewerte can be performed quite efficiently by quantum methods. In the quantum version the formula looks like this

$$|x\rangle = A^{-1}|b\rangle \quad (4)$$

where $|b\rangle$ and $|x\rangle$ are the quantum state of the \vec{b} and \vec{x} vectors respectively. Note that $|x\rangle$ is just a quantum state. We can not read every element to achieve the x vector. But as mentioned earlier, we are only interested in an approximation of the expectation value of some operator on x .

1) *Mathematical Overview:* We will now look at a mathematical overview of what is happening in the quantum circuit. We will also assume that the matrix A is hermitian. If A is not hermitian, we can write A as a hermitian like this

$$A^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ \frac{0}{A^T} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

As already mentioned the matrix can now be described as a linear combination of its outer products of its eigenvectors and its eigenvalues. In the quantum version the formula looks like this

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i |u_i\rangle \langle u_i| \quad (6)$$

where $|u\rangle_i \langle u|_i$ are the outer products of A and λ_i are the eigenvalues of A . N is the size of the matrix A . We can rewrite the formula for the inverse A^{-1} as such

$$A^{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{-1} |u_i\rangle \langle u_i| \quad (7)$$

Similary $|b\rangle$ can be expressed in the eigenbasis of A like this

$$|b\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} b_j |u_j\rangle \quad (8)$$

We now have all the tools to solve the equation by inserting the definition of A^{-1} and $|b\rangle$ into our original equation,




Fig. 1. Example Circuit

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x\rangle &= A^{-1} |b\rangle \\
 &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{-1} |u_i\rangle \langle u_i| \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} b_j |u_j\rangle \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{-1} |u_i\rangle \langle u_i| b_j |u_j\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{-1} b_j |u_i\rangle \langle u_i| u_j\rangle \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{-1} b_j |u_i\rangle \delta_{ij} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \lambda_i^{-1} b_i |u_i\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

As we can see we can encode the solution $|x\rangle$ of our linear system into our quantum system quite nicely. That means, $|x\rangle$ can be calculated, only by determining the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of A . Using Quantum Phase Estimation, calculating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors for a unitary U can be very efficient.

B. Quantum Circuit

1) *Registers*: Fig. 1 shows the scheme of a simple quantum circuit for the HHL algorithm. We have three registers which describe different sets of qubits in the quantum circuit.

The b-register contains the \vec{b} vector which is encoded into a quantum state $|b\rangle$. After the whole HHL procedure is done the b-register will contain the solution state $|x\rangle$.

The c-register, oftentimes referred to as the clock-register, is used for the quantum phase estimation part. It is related to the time (clock) of the controlled rotation of the qubits and will store the eigenvalues after performing QPE.

The a-register contains the ancilla qubit. It is used for the inversion of the eigenvalues and will be explained in detail later on.

2) *Phases*: The procedure of the quantum circuit can be split into 4 phases:

- State preparation
- Quantum phase estimation (QPE)
- Inversion of eigenvalues

• Inverse quantum phase estimation (IQPE)

In the state preparation phase, the vector \vec{b} will be encoded into a quantum state $|b\rangle$ and the A matrix will be encoded as a hamiltonian, which is a unitary operator $U = e^{iAt}$ into the QPE and IQPE operations.

The Quantum Phase Estimation will then calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the A matrix.

Then we perform the rotation and invert the eigenvalues through rotary operations. Unfortunately, these operations have a probability to fail, as they are not unitary operators. The ancilla will detect whether the rotation was successful or not. It will either collapse to $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$ for failure and success respectively. If the rotation is not successful, the procedure has to be repeated from the beginning. If the rotation is successful we can continue the procedure. The problem is, that the qubits in the b-register and c-register are entangled. This means that we cannot factorize the result into a tensor product of the c-register and b-register. As a result, we cannot convert the b-register into the $|0\rangle / |1\rangle$ measurement basis with the desired amplitudes. We will need to uncompute the state so that it gives the right results in the $|0\rangle / |1\rangle$ measurement during which the b-register and c-register will be unentangled. That means we have to undo all operations until now to unentangle the states, keeping the inverted eigenvalues though.

This is achieved by the Inverse Quantum Phase Estimation which undoes all steps we performed in the QPE phase, leaving us with the $|0...0\rangle$ state in the c-register and the $|x\rangle$ state in the b-register.