# The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation

Anders, Elisabeth og Espen

April 1, 2014

#### Abstract

This is the abstract. Write smart things here.

## Introduction

The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation,

$$u_t + u_{xx} + u_{xxx} + uu_x = 0 \tag{1}$$

is one of the simplest partial differential equations that exhibits complicated dynamics in both time and space, which is why the equation has been the attention for a lot of research. The equation was developed by two scientists at the same time in 1977 [1]. Gregory Sivashinsky determined an equation for a laminar flame front, while Yoshiki Kuramoto modeled a diffusion-induced chaos using the same equation. Because of this, the equation is named Kuramoto-Sivashinsky. The KS-equation also models the motion of a fluid going down a vertical wall, e.g. solitary pulses in a falling thin film. [2]

The reason for the complex behaviour comes from the second- and fourth-order derivatives in (1). While the second-order term acts as an energy source and has a destabilizing effect, the fourth-order term has a stabilizing effect. In addition to this, the nonlinear term transfers energy from low to high wave numbers. [3] The KS-equation is a stiff equation, i.e. an equation where numerical methods for solving it are numerically unstable, unless the step size is extremely small.  $u_{xxxx}$  is the main reason for this as it leads to rapid variation in the solution.

### Numerical results

In the solution of the KS-equation we had periodic boundary conditions, i.e. u(0,t)=u(L,t). We also used L-periodic initial conditions. We experienced that a common initial condition used in several other reports was

$$u(x,0) = \cos(\frac{x}{16})(1 + \sin(\frac{x}{16}).$$

We also tried the initial condition

$$u(x,0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sin(x) - \frac{1}{8}\sin(2x),$$

which worked well. [4] states that the L-periodic initial conditions is customarily taken to satisfy

$$\int_0^L f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0,\tag{2}$$

which both of our initial conditions satisfy. The same article also states that there exists a bound

### Stability

Von Neumann stability analysis is a method based on Fourier decomposition of numerical error, and is used to check the stability of linear pde-s. Since the KS-equation has a non-linear term,  $\frac{1}{2}(u^2)_x$ , this has to be linearized before the method is applied. The KS-equation is stiff, and the non-linear term stabilizes the equation. Replacing it by  $\frac{1}{2}(\rho(x)u)_x$ , where  $\rho(x) \approx u(x)$  is constant in time, we obtain

$$u_t = -u_{xx} - u_{xxxx} - \frac{1}{2} (\rho(x)u)_x.$$
 (3)

Choosing  $\rho(x)=f(x,0),$  where  $f(x,0)=U^0,$  we obtain the linearized scheme

$$\left(I + \frac{k}{h^2}A + \frac{k}{h^4}A^2\right)U^{n+1} = \left(I - \frac{k}{h^2}A - \frac{k}{h^4}A^2 - \frac{k}{4h}DR\right)U^n,$$

which written out becomes

$$\begin{split} U_{m}^{n+1} + \frac{k}{h^{2}} (U_{m+1}^{n+1} - 2U_{m}^{n+1} + U_{m-1}^{n+1}) + \frac{k}{h^{4}} (U_{m+2}^{n+1} - 4U_{m+1}^{n+1} + 6U_{m}^{n+1} - 4U_{m-1}^{n+1} n + U_{m-2}^{n+1}) \\ &= U_{m}^{n} - \frac{k}{h^{2}} (U_{m+1}^{n} - 2U_{m}^{n} + U_{m-1}^{n}) - \frac{k}{h^{4}} (U_{m+2}^{n} - 4U_{m+1}^{n} + 6U_{m}^{n} - 4U_{m-1}^{n} + U_{m-2}^{n}) \\ &\qquad \qquad - \frac{k}{4h} (U_{m+1}^{0} U_{m+1}^{n} - U_{m-1}^{0} U_{m-1}^{n}) \end{split}$$

Let  $U_m^n = \xi^n e^{i\beta x_m}$  and  $U_m^0 = \xi^0 e^{i\beta x_m} = e^{i\beta x_m}$  such that

$$\begin{split} \xi(1+\frac{k}{h^2}(e^{i\beta h}-2+e^{-i\beta h})+\frac{k}{h^4}(e^{2i\beta h}-4e^{i\beta h}+6-4e^{-i\beta h}+e^{-2i\beta h})\\ =1-\frac{k}{h^2}(e^{i\beta h}-2+e^{-i\beta h})-\frac{k}{h^4}(e^{2i\beta h}-4e^{i\beta h}+6-4e^{-i\beta h}+e^{-2i\beta h})\\ -\frac{k}{4h}(e^{i\beta h}e^{i\beta h}-e^{-i\beta h}e^{-i\beta h}) \end{split}$$

$$\xi = \frac{1 - \frac{k}{h^2}(\cos(\beta h) - 1) - \frac{k}{2h^4}(6 - 8\cos(\beta h) + \cos(2\beta h)) - \frac{k}{2h}i\sin(2\beta h)}{1 + \frac{k}{h^2}(\cos(\beta h) - 1) + \frac{k}{2h^4}(6 - 8\cos(\beta h) + 2\cos(2\beta h))}$$

$$= \frac{1 + \frac{2k}{h^2}\sin^2\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right) - \frac{8k}{h^4}\sin^4\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right) - \frac{k}{2h}\sin^2(2\beta h)}{1 - \frac{2k}{h^2}\sin^2\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right) + \frac{8k}{h^4}\sin^4\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right)}$$

Let  $q = \sin^2(\frac{\beta h}{2})$  and  $r = \frac{k}{h^4}$ . The Von Neumann's stability criterion claims there is a constant  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $|\xi| \leq 1 + \mu k$ .

$$|\xi|^2 = \left(\frac{1 + 2rq(h^2 - 4q)}{1 - 2rq(h^2 - 4q)}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \frac{krh^2 \sin^2(2\beta h)}{\left(1 - 2rq(h^2 - 4q)\right)^2}$$

Maximizing  $-2rq(4q - h^2)$  wrt q gives  $q = h^2/8$ , which replaced in the equation gives

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi|^2 & \leq \left(\frac{1 + rh^4/8}{1 - rh^4/8}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}kh^2r\frac{\sin(2\beta h)}{(1 - rh^4/8)^2} \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1 + rh^4/8}{1 - rh^4/8}\right)^2 + k\frac{rh^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

This expression is dependent on both k and h, so the method is not stable. This is expected, since the linearized KS-equation is unstable in numerical experiments.

$$U^{n+1} = \left(I - \frac{k}{h^2}A - \frac{k}{h^4}A^2 - \frac{k}{4h}D(RU^n)\right)$$

$$U_{m}^{n+1} = U_{m}^{n} - \frac{k}{h^{2}}(U_{m+1}^{n} - 2U_{m}^{n} + U_{m-1}^{n}) - \frac{k}{h^{4}}(U_{m+2}^{n} - 4U_{m+1}^{n} + 6U_{m}^{n} - 4U_{m-1}^{n} + U_{m-2}^{n}) - \frac{k}{4h}(U_{m+1}^{0}U_{m+1}^{n} - U_{m-1}^{0}U_{m-1}^{n})$$

Let 
$$U_m^n = \xi^n e^{i\beta x_m}$$
 and  $U_m^0 = \xi^0 e^{i\beta x_m} = e^{i\beta x_m}$  s.t.

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{k}{h^2} (e^{i\beta h} - 2 + e^{-i\beta h}) - \frac{k}{h^4} (e^{2i\beta h} - 4e^{i\beta h} + 6 - 4e^{-i\beta h} + e^{-2i\beta h})$$
$$- \frac{k}{4h} (e^{i\beta h} e^{i\beta h} - e^{-i\beta h} e^{-i\beta h})$$
$$= 1 - \frac{2k}{h^2} (\cos(\beta h) - 1) - \frac{k}{h^4} (6 - 8\cos(\beta h) + 2\cos(2\beta h)) - \frac{k}{4h} 2i\sin(2\beta h)$$

The Von Neumann's stability criterion claims there is a constant  $\mu \geq 0$  such that  $|\xi| \leq 1 + \mu k$ . Let  $r = r/k^4$ .

$$|\xi|^2 = (1 - 4rh^2(\cos(\beta h) - 1) - 2r(3 - 4\cos(\beta h) + \cos(2\beta h)))^2 + k\frac{rh^2}{4}\sin^2(2\beta h)$$
$$= \left(1 + 4rh^2\sin^2\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right) - 16r\sin^4\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right)\right)^2 + k\left(\frac{rh^2}{4}\sin^2(2\beta h)\right)$$

We want  $|\xi| \leq 1 + \mu k$ , so we need  $\psi = |1 + 4rh^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right) - 16r \sin^4\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right)| \leq 1 + \tilde{\mu}k$ . Let  $q = \sin^2\left(\frac{\beta h}{2}\right)$ . For the case  $(1 \leq 16rq^2 \leq 2)$  we achieve

$$\psi = \left| 1 + 4rh^2q - 16rq^2 \right| \le 1 \underset{0 \le x \le 1}{\Longrightarrow} (1/16 \le r \le 1/8)$$

For the case  $(0 \le 16rq^2 \le 1)$  we get no further result. Let us now assume that  $(1 \le 16rq^2 \le 2)$  and r = 1/8.

$$|\xi|^2 = \left(1 + \frac{4}{8}qh^2 - \frac{16}{8}q^2\right)^2 + k\frac{h^2}{4 \cdot 8}\sin(2\beta h)$$
 
$$\max\left(1 + \frac{xh^2}{2} - 2x^2\right)|(0 \le x \le 1) \text{ gives } x = \frac{h^2}{8}$$

## References

- [1] Scott Arthur Gasner, Fall 2004, Integrating the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation: A simulation of the hopping state http://terminus.sdsu.edu/thesis\_repository/ScottGasner\_2004\_Fall\_MS\_Comp\_Sci.pdf, 03/31-2014
- [2] Mehrdad and Dehghan, Lakestani Mehdi Febru-Numericalgeneralizedary solutionsoftheKuramoto-Sivashinsky equationusingB-spline functions, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0307904X11004082, 03/31-2014
- [3] Marjan Uddin and Sardar Ali, January 2013, PSmethodand*Fourier Pseudospectral* methodnonlinearpartial differentialstiffequations, http://www.naturalspublishing.com/files/published/ed38fj6n3xt187.pdf, 03/31-2014
- [4] Andrew Spratley, March 2010, Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation: A PDE with chaotic solutions, http://www.dtic.mil/dtic/tr/fulltext/u2/a228590.pdf, 03/31-2014