QUADAS-2

Phase 1: State the review question:

Patients (setting, intended use of index test, presentation, prior testing):
Index test(s):
Reference standard and target condition:
Phase 2: Draw a flow diagram for the primary study

Phase 3: Risk of bias and applicability judgments

QUADAS-2 is structured so that 4 key domains are each rated in terms of the risk of bias and the concern regarding applicability to the research question (as defined above). Each key domain has a set of signalling questions to help reach the judgments regarding bias and applicability.

DOMAIN 1: PATIENT SELECTION

A. Risk of Bias

Describe methods of patient selection:

❖ Was a consecutive or random sample of patients enrolled?

Yes/No/Unclear

Was a case-control design avoided?

Yes/No/Unclear

Did the study avoid inappropriate exclusions?

Yes/No/Unclear

Could the selection of patients have introduced bias? **RISK: LOW/HIGH/UNCLEAR**

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Describe included patients (prior testing, presentation, intended use of index test and setting):

Is there concern that the included patients do not match CONCERN: LOW/HIGH/UNCLEAR the review question?

DOMAIN 2: INDEX TEST(S)

If more than one index test was used, please complete for each test.

A. Risk of Bias

Describe the index test and how it was conducted and interpreted:

❖ Were the index test results interpreted without knowledge of the results of the reference standard? Yes/No/Unclear

If a threshold was used, was it pre-specified?

Yes/No/Unclear

Could the conduct or interpretation of the index test

have introduced bias?

RISK: LOW /HIGH/UNCLEAR

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Is there concern that the index test, its conduct, or interpretation differ from the review question?

CONCERN: LOW /HIGH/UNCLEAR

DOMAIN 3: REFERENCE STANDARD

A. Risk of Bias

Describe the reference standard and how it was conducted and interpreted:

❖ Is the reference standard likely to correctly classify the target

Yes/No/Unclear

condition?

❖ Were the reference standard results interpreted without

Yes/No/Unclear

knowledge of the results of the index test?

Could the reference standard, its conduct, or its

RISK: LOW /HIGH/UNCLEAR

interpretation have introduced bias?

B. Concerns regarding applicability

Is there concern that the target condition as defined by the reference standard does not match the review question? **CONCERN: LOW / HIGH/UNCLEAR**

DOMAIN 4: FLOW AND TIMING

A. Risk of Bias

Describe any patients who did not receive the index test(s) and/or reference standard or who were excluded from the 2x2 table (refer to flow diagram):

Describe the time interval and any interventions between index test(s) and reference standard:

Was there an appropriate interval between index test(s) and reference standard?

Yes/No/Unclear

Did all patients receive a reference standard?

Yes/No/Unclear

Did patients receive the same reference standard?

Yes/No/Unclear

Were all patients included in the analysis?

Yes/No/Unclear

Could the patient flow have introduced bias?

RISK: LOW /HIGH/UNCLEAR