Aditya Shirode, Rhythm Shah, Shrenuj Gandhi

4. [6 points]

The **Set Splitting (SSP)** problem is defined as follows: Given a collection of subsets C of a finite set S, does there exist a partition of S into subsets S' and S - S' such that no subset in C is entirely inside S' or entirely inside S - S'? Prove that SSP is NP-Complete. Hint: Use 3-Sat.

An example of set splitting is

$$C = \{\{u, v, x\}, \{w, x, y\}, \{u, w, y\}, \{u, x, z\}, \{y, z\}, \{v, x\}\}\}$$

The choice of $S' = \{w, x, z\}$ will work. All but two of the sets in C contain x but not both w and z, and are therefore "split" by S'. The remaining sets are $\{u, w, y\}$, which contains w but not x or z, and $\{y, z\}$, which contains z but not w or x. What if we added the set S' to C? Then our certificate would no longer work. Is there another one?

[Bonus problem (3 points):] Prove that a polynomial algorithm for SSP implies a polynomial algorithm for the certificate version of SSP, i.e., instead of an output of yes or no, the output is a set S' that satisfies the condition or an indicator that no such set exists.

Answer:

To prove that set splitting is NP complete, we need to prove the following -

(1) Prove that set Splitting $\in NP$

Given a choice of S', it is easy to verify in polynomial time that no set in c contains all the elements S' or in S - S'.

In other words, if elements in S' were colored Red and elements in S-S' were colored Green, we can verify in polynomial time that no set in c is monochromatic.

- (2) Prove that some problem (say 3-CNF-SAT) in NPC is polynomial time reducible to SET-SPLITTING i.e. $3-CNF-SAT \leq_p SET-SPLITTING$
- (a) Mapping f that converts instance of 3-CNF-SAT into instance of SET-SPLITTING Let ϕ be the 3-CNF-SAT formula with the variable set V. Create the SET-SPLITTING instance

S as -

- One element for each variable $x_i \in V$
- One element for each variable $\neg x_i, x_i \in V$
- A new element f that is not related to any variable

C as -

- $S_{x_i} = \{x_i, \overline{x}_i\}$ for each variable $x_i \in V$
- $S_{c_i} = \{X, Y, Z, F\}$ for each clause of the form $c_i = X \vee Y \vee Z$

So the reduction is $f(\phi) = (S, C)$, where S and C are as described above. This reduction takes place in polynomial time.

(b) Prove that certificate for 3 - CNF - SAT implies certificate for SET - SPLITTING. i.e. if 3 - CNF - SAT formula was satisfiable, then the SET - SPLITTING instance is solvable CSC~505,~Spring~~2016 HW#5~Q4 page 2

as well.

Consider the following assignment:

- Assign element f to red
- For each variable x_i that is assigned False (in the 3-CNF-SAT problem), color the elements x_i and \overline{x}_i (in set S_{x_i} of C) green and red respectively.
- For each variable x_i that is assigned True (in the 3 CNF SAT problem), color the elements x_i and $\overline{x_i}$ (in set S_{x_i} of C) red and green respectively.

As long as the assignment was satisfying, this coloring makes no set monochromatic, which implies that the SET-SPLITTING instance can be solved.

(c) Prove that the certificate for SET-SPLITTING implies certificate for 3-CNF-SAT i.e. SET-SPLITTING instance is satisfiable, then 3-CNF-SAT is also solvable.

Consider the following assignment to the variable of ϕ .

- For each variable x_i , assign True (in 3 CNF SAT) if its color in SET SPLITTING instance differs from that of element f.
- For each variable x_i , assign False (in 3 CNF SAT) if its color in SET SPLITTING instance is same as that of element f.

Then each clause c in ϕ is satisfied, because the set S_{c_i} has at least one element that is colored differently than f, thus satisfying the 3 - CNF - SAT instance.

BONUS

Let SSP-DEC(C, S') be the polynomial time decision algorithm for SET-SPLITTING problem.

SSP-DEC(C, S') returns True if no subset in c is entirely inside S' or entirely inside S-S' and returns False otherwise.

Lets define function SET-SHRINK(C,x) such that it replaces x with special symbol # in all the sets occurring in C.

Moreover it returns True if after the replacement all sets in C have cardinality greater than 1 and returns False otherwise. (Also retaining all occurrences of x).

The following is the certificate algorithm -

return False

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{SSP-CERT}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{S}\,)\colon\\ \\ \text{for each element }x\text{ in }S\text{, do:}\\ \\ \text{if SET-SHRINK}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{x})\text{ = True, then:}\\ \\ S'\leftarrow S'\cup x\\ \\ \text{if SSP-DEC}(\mathbf{C},\mathbf{S}\,')\text{ = True, then:}\\ \\ \text{return }\mathbf{S}\,' \end{array}
```

end

This algorithm outputs the set S' that satisfies the condition or indicates that no such set exists.

Let n = |S| and m = |C|, and D(m, n) be the time taken by decision algorithm. Then the runtime of the above algorithm is O(nm+nD(m, n)) which is polynomial in size of m and n.

Thus if the decision algorithm of SSP is polynomial, then the corresponding certificate version is also polynomial.