Experiments in generic programming: runtime type representation and implicit values

Pierre Chambart¹, Grégoire Henry²

OUD 2012

¹IRILL, CNRS, OCamlPro

²IRILL, PPS, Paris-Diderot

Every one complained about

```
let a = string_of_int 1
let b = string_of_float 3.14

rather than
let a = to_string 1
let b = to_string 3.14
```

Asking the compiler to code for us

Let's add a new type/value environment:

```
add_to_the_implicit_environment string_of_int  (find\_me\_a\_value\_of\_type \ int \ \rightarrow \ string)
```

Asking the compiler to code for us

Let's add a new type/value environment:

```
let implicit f = string\_of\_int

(val of type int \rightarrow string)

replaced at compile time by f
```

First try

```
let implicit _ = string_of_int

let s = "the value is: " ^ ( (val of type _) 1 )

# val s : string = "the value is: 1"

let s = ( (val of type _) 1 )

# Error: Free variables in type (int \rightarrow \alpha)
```

Back to the example

```
We wanted to write
  to_string 1
   to_string 3.14
now we can do
   let to_string f v : string = f a
  to_string (val of type _) 1
  to_string (val of type _) 3.14
```

Back to the example

```
let to_string ?#f a :string = f a
to_string 1
what the compiler see:
to_string ~f: (val of type _) 1
```

Simple but limited?

```
let implicit string_of_list ?#string_of_elt | =
    "[ " ^
        String.concat "; " (List.map string_of_elt |)
        " ]"

let s = to_string [1; 2]
# val s : string = "[ 1; 2 ]"
```

Simple but limited?

```
looking for: (val of type int list \rightarrow string)

In the environment: 
string_of_int : int \rightarrow string 
string_of_list : ?#string_of_elt:(\alpha \rightarrow string) \rightarrow \alpha list \rightarrow string

let s = to_string

~f:(string_of_list ~string_of_elt:string_of_int)

[1: 2]
```

No black magic

There are no hidden type informations:

```
let stringify a = to_string a;; # let stringify a = to_string a;; # Error: Free variables in type (\alpha \rightarrow string)
```

No black magic

There are no hidden type informations:

```
let stringify a = to_string a;;
   # let stringify a = to_string a;;
   # Error: Free variables in type (\alpha \rightarrow string)
    let stringify (f:\alpha \rightarrow \text{string}) (a:\alpha) =
      let implicit _ = f in
      to_string a
or
    let stringify ?#(f:\alpha \rightarrow string) (a:\alpha) = to_string a
```

Not enough discipline

```
let implicit f i = string_of_int i
let implicit g i = String.make i ', '
(val of type int → string)
```

Not enough discipline: let restrict a bit

We only allow type constructors

```
type \alpha stringable = {
   to_string : \alpha \rightarrow \text{string};
   print : \alpha \rightarrow \text{unit}
let implicit _ =
   { to_string = int_of_string;
      print = print_int }
let to_string ?#f a = f.to_string a
```

For you haskellers

We are close to typeclasses:

```
class Eq a where
   equal :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Bool
class (Eq a) \Rightarrow Num a where
   plus :: a \rightarrow a \rightarrow a
type \alpha eq = { equal : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow bool }
type \alpha num = {
   num_eq : \alpha eq;
   plus : \alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha;
let implicit eq_of_num ?#num = num.num_eq
```

We are not restricted to records

```
class int_eq = object

method eq (x:int) y = x = y

end

let eq ?#f (a:\alpha) (b:\alpha) : bool = f a b

let b = eq 1 2

# val b : bool = false
```

What we get

- ► Clean overloading on numbers, collections, etc ...
- ▶ What haskellers use typeclasses for

That's not all

```
Some heavy things stay heavy:
    type big_record = { big record content }
    to_string (v:big_record)
    Error: Don't know how to build a value of
        type: big_record stringable
```

You still need to define a printer

Runtime type representations

```
A new special type: \alpha ty

let int_representation = (val of type int ty)

# val int_representation : int ty = <abstr>
```

Runtime type representations

```
A new special type: α ty

let int_representation = (val of type int ty)
# val int_representation : int ty = <abstr>
head (val of type int ty)
# int head = Int
```

Runtime type representations

```
A new special type: \alpha ty
   let int_representation = (val of type int ty)
   # val int_representation : int ty = <abstr>
   head (val of type int ty)
   # int head = Int
   type _ head =
      I Int: int head
      I Float: float head
      | Array: \alpha ty \rightarrow \alpha array head
      I Abstract: ...
   val head: \alpha ty \rightarrow \alpha head
( Hooray for GADT )
```

Generic functions

```
val to_string_bis : \alpha ty \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow string

let to_string_bis ty v = match head ty with

| Int \rightarrow string_of_int v

| String \rightarrow v
```

- Difficult code: GADTs
- ▶ But you (someone else) only have to write it once

Usages

- Generic functions: printing, serialisation, ...
- ▶ Dynamic typing: type dyn = Dyn: α ty * α → dyn
- get rid of many camlp4 extensions

Way to upstream?

- simple patch: mainly adds in separated parts
- safe: no modification/interraction with type checking
- ▶ implicits are globaly stable and complete
- the GADT for α head type is stable

Work in progress

- syntax is not fixed: it has changed, it will change
- using generic functions should be easy
 - usable by beginners: as easy as python ?
 - no type annotation ?
 - difficult to write generic functions is ok
- It is a generic framework to generate values from types: Other ideas?
- Prolog like search mechanism: other interesting ?
- more testing
 - what will be future common paterns
 - what are meaningful restrictions
 - what are limiting restrictions
- https://gitorious.org/ocaml-ty/ocaml-ty
- https://gitorious.org/ocaml-ty/ocaml-implicit

Using dynamics

```
type dyn = Dyn: \alpha ty * \alpha \rightarrow dyn

let cast_int dyn = match dyn with
    | Dyn (ty,v) \rightarrow
    match head v with
    | Int \rightarrow Some v
    | \rightarrow None
```

Generic printer

```
let rec print (type a) ?#(ty : a ty) (v:a) =
  match head ty with
     | Int \rightarrow string_of_int v
     | String \rightarrow v
     | ... (* Other base types *)
     I Sum var \rightarrow
       let name, DynT (tup, args) = var.var_proj v in
       if List.length tup.tuple_field = 0 then name
       else name ^ "(" ^ print_fields tup.tuple_field args ^ ")"
     | . . . (* Record, tuples, array. *)
and rec print_fields tys values =
  match tys, values with
     [\ ],\ [] \rightarrow ""
     | [ty], [v] \rightarrow print \simty v
     | ty::tys, v::vs \rightarrow print ~ty v ^ "," print_fields tys vs
     | \_, \_ \rightarrow  assert false
```

type representation and abstract types

```
val new_opaque: ?repr:\alpha ty \rightarrow unit \rightarrow \alpha ty type t (* abstract *) let implicit _ = (new_opaque ():t ty)
```